

## Symplectic Topology and Hamiltonian Dynamics II

Ivar Ekeland<sup>1,\*</sup> and Helmut Hofer<sup>2,\*\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Ceremade, Université Paris-9, Dauphine, F-75775 Paris, Cedex 16, France

<sup>2</sup> Ruhr-Universität Bochum, D-4630 Bochum 1, Federal Republic of Germany

### I. Symplectic Capacities

Consider the real vectorspace  $\mathbb{C}^n$  which we equip with the standard symplectic form  $\omega$  defined by

$$\omega(\xi, \eta) = \operatorname{Im}(\xi, \eta).$$

Here  $(\xi, \eta) = \sum_{k=1}^n \xi_k \bar{\eta}_k$ . A map  $T: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  is called symplectic if it is  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear and preserves  $\omega$ , that is

$$T^* \omega = \omega.$$

Symplectic maps in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  build a group which we denote by  $Sp(n)$ . A smooth map  $f: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  is called a symplectic diffeomorphism if  $f$  is a diffeomorphism and

$$f^* \omega = \omega.$$

We denote the symplectic diffeomorphism group by  $\mathcal{D}(n)$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C}^n)$  the power set of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

**Definition 1.** A symplectic capacity on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is a map  $c: \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C}^n) \rightarrow [0, +\infty) \cup \{+\infty\}$  having the following properties.

- (M) 1)  $c(S) \leq c(T)$  if  $S \subseteq T$   
 2)  $c(f(S)) = c(S)$  for  $f \in \mathcal{D}(n)$   
 3)  $c(\alpha S) = \alpha^2 c(S)$  for  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$

- (N) 1)  $c(B^{2n}(1)) > 0$   
 2)  $c(B^2(1) \times \mathbb{C}^{n-1}) < +\infty$

\* Supported by U.S. Army contract DAJA 45-88-C-0009

\*\* Supported by the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation and NSF grant DMS 88-03496

Here  $B^{2n}$  is the Euclidean  $2n$ -ball in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Axioms (M) 1) and 2) say that  $c$  is a monotonic symplectic invariant. (M) 3) says that it has conformal behavior with respect to conformal symplectic maps. Note that (M) would be satisfied by the map

$$(1) \quad S \rightarrow (\text{outer measure}(S))^{1/n}.$$

In order to exclude such invariants, which are more related to the volume preserving character of symplectic maps rather than to their “symplectic character”, we impose condition (N) ( $N$  = nontriviality). (N) 1) says that  $c$  is locally nontrivial and (N) 2) excludes the pathology (1) if  $n \geq 2$ .

In an earlier paper [3] we proved the existence of a symplectic capacity  $c_1$  satisfying in addition to (M) and (N) the normalisation property

$$(2) \quad c_1(B^{2n}(1)) = c_1(B^2(1) \times \mathbb{C}^{n-1}),$$

using Hamiltonian dynamics. The first such invariant was introduced by Gromov in [8] using first order elliptic systems.

In this paper we shall do away with the requirement (2). In fact we shall construct a sequence  $c_k, k = 1, \dots$ , of distinct symplectic capacities with

$$(3) \quad c_1 \leq c_2 \leq c_3 \dots$$

Each of the  $c_k$  has a remarkable representation property which can be described as follows. Given a bounded domain  $U$  with smooth boundary  $\partial U$  of restricted contact type the capacity  $c_k(U)$  can be represented by a closed characteristic on  $\partial U$ . We refer the reader to [3] for background information. We just recall that a compact smooth hypersurface  $\Delta$  in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  is said to be of restricted contact type if there exists a 1-form  $\lambda$  on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  such that  $d\lambda = \omega$  and  $\lambda(x, \xi) \neq 0$  for every nonzero  $(x, \xi) \in \ker(\omega|_\Delta)$ , where

$$\ker(\omega|_\Delta) := \{(x, \xi) \in T\Delta \mid \xi_\omega^\perp T_x \Delta\}.$$

A closed characteristic on  $\Delta$  is a compact leaf of the foliation associated to the distribution  $\ker(\omega|_\Delta) \rightarrow \Delta$  on  $\Delta$ .

We shall compute  $c_k(\Omega)$  in some simple cases and we shall prove an embedding result.

Let us also note that the existence of a symplectic capacity  $c$  satisfying (M) and (N) already characterizes symplecticity in the following sense. Consider the group  $G_\Omega$  of linear maps preserving  $\Omega := \omega^n$ . Then  $G_\Omega \supset Sp(n)$ . Now assume  $G_c$  is the subgroup of  $G_\Omega$  consisting of all linear maps in  $G_\Omega$  which preserve the capacity of linear ellipsoids. Here a linear ellipsoid is of the form

$$\{x \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid q(x) < 1\}$$

where  $q: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a positive definite quadratic form.

Then, following the arguments in [3],

- $G_c = Sp(n)$  if  $n$  is odd
- $G_c = Sp(n) \cup \varphi \circ Sp(n)$  if  $n$  is even.

Here  $\varphi: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  is antisymplectic:  $\varphi^* \omega = -\omega$ .

Using the continuity properties of a symplectic capacity as derived from the axioms in Proposition 3 of Chap. II one can derive again  $C^0$ -stability results as proved in [3]. This is one aspect of the importance of symplectic capacity. Somewhat surprisingly, capacities can be seen as a consequence of an additional structure in a variant of Floer-Homology for Hamiltonian systems as was shown in [7]. Moreover, the capacities introduced here are interrelated by a product property, [7]. There are also alternative definitions for capacities based on Hamiltonian dynamics which can be defined for every symplectic manifold, [11].

## II. Construction of the $c_k$

We start with the functional analytic framework which is the same as in [3]. We introduce the Hilbert space  $E$  consisting of all  $x \in L^2(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C}^n)$  such that its Fourier series

$$(1) \quad x = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{2\pi i k t} x_k, \quad x_k \in \mathbb{C}^n$$

satisfies

$$(2) \quad \sum |k| |x_k|^2 < \infty.$$

The inner product in  $E$  is defined by

$$(3) \quad (x, y) := \langle x_0, y_0 \rangle + 2\pi \sum |k| \langle x_k, y_k \rangle$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle: \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is the real scalar product defined by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = \operatorname{Re}(\cdot, \cdot)$ . We denote by  $\|x\|$  the norm corresponding to (3).  $E$  has a natural orthogonal splitting:

$$E = E^- \oplus E^0 \oplus E^+$$

$$E^- = \{x \in E \mid x_k = 0 \text{ for } k \geq 0\}$$

$$E^0 = \{x \in E \mid x_k = 0 \text{ for } k \neq 0\} = \mathbb{C}^n$$

$$E^+ = \{x \in E \mid x_k = 0 \text{ for } k \leq 0\}.$$

We denote by  $P^+$ ,  $P^0$  and  $P^-$  the corresponding orthogonal projection. We introduce the action on  $E$  as the quadratic form defined by

$$(4) \quad A(x) = -\frac{1}{2} \|x^-\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|x^+\|^2$$

where  $x^* = P^* x$  for  $* \in \{+, -, 0\}$ . If  $x \in E$  is smooth one easily sees that

$$(5) \quad A(x) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \langle -i\dot{x}, x \rangle dt.$$

On  $E$  there is a natural  $S^1$ -action by phase shift defined by

$$(\theta * x)(t) = x(t + \theta)$$

for  $\theta \in S^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  and  $x \in E$ . Finally we need a specific set of homeomorphisms of  $E$  which we will denote by  $\Gamma$ . We say  $h \in \Gamma$  provided  $h: E \rightarrow E$  is a homeomorphism and

$$h(x) = e^{\gamma^+(x)} x^+ + x^0 + e^{\gamma^-(x)} x^- + K(x).$$

Here  $\gamma^+$  and  $\gamma^-: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are required to be continuous,  $S^1$ -invariant, mapping bounded sets into bounded sets, while  $K: E \rightarrow E$  is continuous,  $S^1$ -equivariant, mapping bounded sets into precompact sets. In addition there must be a  $\rho > 0$  such that  $A(x) \leq 0$  or  $\|x\| \geq \rho$  implies that  $\gamma^+(x) = \gamma^-(x) = 0$  and  $K(x) = 0$ . It is easily checked that  $\Gamma$  is a group.  $\Gamma$  is similar to the group  $\Gamma$  introduced in [3] where the  $S^1$ -equivariance was not required. We construct now a pseudo-index theory in the sense of Benci, [1], associated to the Fadell-Rabinowitz-Index [5]. We recall the relevant points of the F-R-Index. Given a paracompact  $S^1$ -space  $X$  we build a free  $S^1$ -space  $X \times S^\infty$  by letting  $S^1$  act through the diagonal action. Here  $S^\infty = \bigcup S^{2n-1}$ ,  $S^{2n-1} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ . Taking the quotient with respect to the  $S^1$ -action we obtain a principal  $S^1$ -bundle

$$X \times S^\infty \rightarrow (X \times S^\infty)/S^1.$$

The classifying map

$$f: (X \times S^\infty)/S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^\infty$$

induces an homomorphism

$$f^*: \bar{H}(\mathbb{C}P^\infty) \rightarrow \bar{H}((X \times S^\infty)/S^1) =: \bar{H}_{S^1}(X)$$

in Alexander-Spanier-Cohomology with rational coefficients. Here  $\bar{H}_{S^1}$  is the well-known Borel construction for an  $S^1$ -equivariant cohomology theory. We know that  $\bar{H}(\mathbb{C}P^\infty) = \mathbb{Q}[t]$ , the generator  $t$  being of degree 2. We define the index of  $X$  denoted by  $\alpha(X)$  to be the largest number  $k$  such that  $f^*(t^{k-1}) \neq 0$ . If  $f^*(t^k) \neq 0$  for all  $k$  we define  $\alpha(X) = +\infty$ . If  $X = \emptyset$  we put  $\alpha(X) = 0$ . Next we define the index of an  $S^1$ -equivariant subset  $\xi$  of  $E$  by

$$\text{ind}(\xi) = \inf_{h \in \Gamma} \alpha(h(\xi) \cap S^+)$$

where  $S^+$  is the unit sphere in  $E^+$ . We need the following:

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $X$  be a finite dimensional  $S^1$ -invariant subspace of  $E^+$ . Then*

$$\text{ind}(E^- \oplus E^0 \oplus X) = \frac{1}{2} \dim X.$$

We shall sketch the proof for the convenience of the reader although it is given in principle (modulo notation) in [1] for a somewhat smaller group of homeomorphisms.

*Proof.* Taking  $h = Id$  we see that

$$\text{ind}(E^- \oplus E^0 \oplus X) \leq \alpha(X \cap S^+) = \frac{1}{2} \dim X$$

by a result in [5]. Next pick  $h \in \Gamma$  such that with  $F = E^- \oplus E^0 \oplus X$

$$(6) \quad \alpha(h(F) \cap S^+) = \text{ind}(F).$$

Arguing indirectly let us assume that  $\text{ind}(F) < \frac{1}{2} \dim(X)$ . By the continuity property of the  $\alpha$ -index as proved in [5] we find an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $h(F) \cap S^+$  such that  $\alpha(U) = \text{ind}(F)$ . Denote by  $Q_k: E \rightarrow E_k = \{x + E \mid x_j = 0 \text{ for } |j| > k\}$ , the orthogonal projection. We show first that for large  $k$

$$(7) \quad (Q_k h(F \cap E_k)) \cap S^+ \subset U.$$

If (7) does not hold we find a sequence  $(x_k)$  such that

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} x_k &\in E_k \cap F \\ Q_k h(x_k) &\in S^+ \\ Q_k h(x_k) &\notin U. \end{aligned}$$

Using the special form of  $h$  we can write the two last conditions in the equivalent form

$$\begin{aligned} x_k^0 + x_k^- + (e^{-\gamma^-(x_k)} P^- + P^0) Q_k K(x_k) &= 0 \\ \|Q_k h(x_k)\| &= 1, \quad Q_k h(x_k) \notin U. \end{aligned}$$

By the properties of  $\gamma^-$  and  $K$  the sequence  $(x_k^0 + x_k^-)$  is precompact. Let us show that  $(x_k^+)$  remains bounded. Since  $x_k^+ \in X$  this fact will imply that  $(x_k)$  is precompact. If  $(x_k^+)$  is unbounded we may assume after taking a subsequence that  $\|x_k^+\| \rightarrow +\infty$ . Then by using the properties of  $h$  we see that  $h(x_k) = x_k$  for  $k$  large enough. In particular we obtain the contradiction

$$\begin{aligned} +\infty &= \lim \|x_k^+\| \\ &= \lim \|Q_k x_k\| \\ &= \lim \|Q_k h(x_k)\| \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, summing up  $(x_k)$  is precompact. So we may assume eventually taking a subsequence that  $x_k \rightarrow x_\infty$  as  $k \rightarrow +\infty$ . Taking the limit in (8) gives

$$\begin{aligned} x_\infty &\in F \\ h(x_\infty) &\in S^+ \\ h(x_\infty) &\notin U, \end{aligned}$$

contradicting that fact that  $U$  was a neighborhood of  $h(F) \cap S^+$ . The map  $x \rightarrow Q_k h(x)$  is the identity in  $E_k$  for  $\|x\| \geq \rho$ . Moreover this map is also the identity

on  $E^0 \cap E_k = E^0$ , which is the fixed point for the action since  $A(x) \leq 0$  for  $x \in E^0$ . By proposition 3.3 in [6] we have (for  $k$  large enough)

$$\alpha(Q_k h(F \cap E_k) \cap (S^+ \cap E_k)) \geq \frac{1}{2} \dim X,$$

which completes the proof of the proposition, since by (7) this implies  $\alpha(U) \geq \frac{1}{2} \dim X$ .  $\square$

Denote by  $\mathcal{H}$  the set of all smooth Hamiltonians  $H: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$  such that

- (9)  $\bullet H|_U \equiv 0$  for some open subset  $U$  of  $\mathbb{C}^n$
- $\bullet H(x) = a|x|^2$  for  $|x|$  large, where  $a > \pi, a \notin \mathbb{N}\pi$ .

We define now for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $H \in \mathcal{H}$  a number  $c_{H,k} \in (0, +\infty) \cup \{\infty\}$  by

$$(10) \quad c_{H,k} = \inf \{ \sup \Phi_H(\xi) \mid \xi \subset E \text{ is } S^1\text{-equivariant and } \text{ind}(\xi) \geq k \},$$

where  $\Phi_H: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined by

$$\Phi_H(x) = A(x) - \int_0^1 H(x(t)) dt.$$

That  $c_{H,k} > 0$  follows from the following observation. Let  $H \in \mathcal{H}$  be flat at  $U$  and pick  $x^0 \in U$ . Arguing as in [3], find  $\varepsilon > 0$  small such that

$$(11) \quad \Phi|_{x^0 + \varepsilon S^+} \geq \beta > 0$$

for some positive  $\beta$ . The group  $\Gamma$  gives enough freedom to find an  $\tilde{h} \in \Gamma$  such that

$$\tilde{h}(S^+) = x^0 + \varepsilon S^+$$

Hence if  $\text{ind}(\xi) \geq 1$  we infer that

$$\tilde{h}^{-1}(\xi) \cap S^+ \neq \emptyset$$

or equivalently

$$(12) \quad \emptyset \neq \xi \cap \tilde{h}(S^+) = \xi \cap (x^0 + \varepsilon S^+).$$

Comparing (11) and (12), we find that

$$c_{H,k} \geq \beta > 0.$$

On the other hand it is clear that  $c_{H,k+1} \geq c_{H,k}$  by the monotonicity of the index. Further let us note that if the constant  $a$  occurring in the definition of  $H$  satisfies  $a \in (j\pi, (j+1)\pi)$  (see (9)), then

$$\sup \Phi_H(E^- \oplus E^0 \oplus X_j) < \infty$$

for  $X_j = \{x \in E^+ \mid x_k = 0 \text{ for } k > j\}$ .

This shows that

$$0 < \beta \leq c_{H,1} \leq c_{H,2} \dots \leq c_{H,nj} < +\infty.$$

It was proved in [3] that the Palais-Smale condition holds for  $\Phi_H$ . A variant of the proof of [3], Proposition 2 gives the following:

**Lemma 1.** *If  $H \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $U$  is an open  $S^1$ -invariant neighborhood of the critical set of  $\Phi_H$  on some level  $c > 0$ , then there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for some  $h \in \Gamma$*

$$h(\Phi_H^{c+\varepsilon} \setminus U) \subset \Phi_H^{c-\varepsilon}. \quad \square$$

Here  $\Phi_H^d := \Phi_H^{-1}((-\infty, d])$ .

As a consequence of Lemma 1 the numbers  $c_{H,j}$  are critical levels provided  $c_{H,j} < +\infty$ . Next we observe that for  $H_1, H_2 \in \mathcal{H}$  with  $H_1 \geq H_2$  we have

$$c_{H_2,j} \leq c_{H_1,j}.$$

Given a bounded set  $S$  of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  we denote by  $\mathcal{H}(S)$  the subset of  $\mathcal{H}$  consisting of all  $H \in \mathcal{H}$  such that

$$(13) \quad H \equiv 0 \quad \text{on some open neighborhood } U \quad \text{of } cl(S).$$

Here  $cl$  denotes the closure. Finally we put

$$(14) \quad c_j(S) = \inf_{H \in \mathcal{H}(S)} c_{H,j}$$

For an unbounded set  $S$  we define

$$(15) \quad c_j(S) = \sup \{c_j(T) \mid T \subset S, T \text{ bounded}\}.$$

As in [3] one verifies easily that (M1)–(M3) hold and that a representation result holds; namely

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $\Delta$  be a connected smooth compact hypersurface of restricted contact type. Let  $B_\Delta$  be the bounded component of  $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \Delta$ . Then there exists for given  $j$  a closed characteristic  $P_j$  on  $\Delta$  and a positive integer  $k_j$  such that*

$$c_j(\Delta) = c_j(B_\Delta) = k_j \left| \int \lambda P_j \right|$$

where  $d\lambda = \omega$  on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .  $\square$

As a Corollary we find that

**Corollary 1.**  $c_j(B^{2n}(1)) \geq \pi$ .

*Proof.* The “smallest” closed characteristic on  $S^{2n-1}(1)$  has  $\left| \int \lambda P \right| = \pi$ .  $\square$

This proves (N 1). In order to obtain (N 2) take a smooth map  $\varphi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  such that  $\varphi(s) = 0$  for  $|s| \leq 2$  and  $\varphi(s) = a|s|$  for  $|s|$  large where  $a \in (j\pi, (j+1)\pi)$  for some positive integer  $j$ . Define  $\sigma: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\sigma(x) = \int_0^1 \varphi(|\pi_1 x|^2) dt$$

where  $\pi_1: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is the projection onto the first factor. If now  $S$  is a bounded subset of  $B^2(1) \times \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$  we obtain

$$c_j(S) \leq \inf_{\text{ind}(\xi) \geq j} \sup \Phi_\sigma(\xi)$$

where  $\Phi_\sigma(x) = A(x) - \sigma(x)$ .

Define  $\tilde{\xi} \subset E$  by

$$\tilde{\xi} = E^- \oplus E^0 \oplus \hat{X}_j$$

where  $\hat{X}_j = \{x \in E^+ \mid x_k = 0 \text{ for } k > j \text{ and } x_k \in \mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C} \times \{0\}^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{C}^n \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq j\}$ .

By Proposition 1

$$\text{ind}(\tilde{\xi}) \geq j$$

Hence

$$c_j(S) \leq \sup \Phi_\sigma(\tilde{\xi})$$

It follows from the definition of  $\varphi$  that, for some constant  $\gamma > 0$ , we have

$$\Phi_\sigma(x) \leq A(x) - \int_0^1 a |\pi_1 x|^2 + \gamma$$

since  $j\pi < a < (j+1)\pi$ , we must have  $A(x) \leq \int_0^1 a |\pi_1 x|^2$  on  $\hat{X}_j$ . So, for  $x \in \tilde{\xi}$ ,

$$\Phi_\sigma(x) \leq 0 + \gamma = \gamma < +\infty.$$

This implies

$$c_j(S) \leq c < +\infty$$

for every bounded subset  $S \subset B^2(1) \times \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ .  $\square$

This proves

**Corollary 2.**  $c_j(B^2(1) \times \mathbb{C}^{n-1}) < \infty$  for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Finally we note a nice continuity property of symplectic capacities. Consider the space defined by

$$\mathcal{S} = \{B_A \mid A \text{ is a smooth connected compact hypersurface of restricted contact type in } \mathbb{C}^n\}.$$



We introduce the Hausdorff metric on  $\mathcal{S}$  by

$$d(B_{\Delta_1}, B_{\Delta_2}) = \sup_{\substack{x \in \Delta_1 \\ y \in \Delta_2}} \{ \text{dist}(x, \Delta_2) + \text{dist}(\Delta_1, y) \}.$$

**Proposition 3.** *A symplectic capacity  $c$  induces a continuous map  $(\mathcal{S}, d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $B_{\Delta} \in \mathcal{S}$ . We take a 1-form  $\lambda$  such that  $\lambda$  is nondegenerate on  $\ker(\omega|_{\Delta}) \rightarrow \Delta$  and  $d\lambda = \omega$  on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  as used in the definition of restricted contact type. We may of course assume that

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n (p_k dq_k - q_k dp_k)$$

for  $z = q + ip$  large. We define a vectorfield  $\eta$  with linear growth by

$$\lambda = i_{\eta} \omega = \omega(\eta, \cdot).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\eta} \omega &= d i_{\eta} + i_{\eta} d \omega \\ &= d \lambda \\ &= \omega. \end{aligned}$$

Now assume  $B_{\Delta_k} \rightarrow B_{\Delta}$  in  $\mathcal{S}$ . We use the vectorfield  $\eta$  to obtain a flow  $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $(z, t) \rightarrow z \cdot t$ . We observe that  $\eta$  is transversal to  $\Delta$ . Hence for  $\varepsilon > 0$  given and  $k$  large enough we have

$$B_{\Delta} \cdot (-\varepsilon) \subset B_{\Delta_k} \subset B_{\Delta} \cdot (\varepsilon).$$

Now, since the maps  $\mathcal{L}_t: z \rightarrow z \cdot t$  are conformally symplectic, in fact  $\mathcal{L}_t^* \omega = e^t \omega$ , we obtain using axiom (M)

$$e^{-\varepsilon} c(B_{\Delta}) \leq c(B_{\Delta_k}) \leq e^{\varepsilon} c(B_{\Delta}).$$

Consequently,

$$c(B_{\Delta_k}) \rightarrow c(B_{\Delta}). \quad \square$$

### III. Some Examples

Let  $0 < r_1 \leq r_2 \leq \dots \leq r_n < +\infty$  and write  $r = (r_1, \dots, r_n)$ . Consider the ellipsoid

$$E(r) = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid \sum \left| \frac{z_i}{r_i} \right|^2 < 1 \right\}.$$

We associate to  $r = (r_1, \dots, r_n)$  a sequence  $(d_i)$  as follows. Consider all numbers of the form  $k\pi r_j^2$  with  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$  and  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . If the same number is obtained for different choices of  $k$  and  $j$  we call the number of different choices the multiplicity of the number. Order those numbers and repeat them according

to their multiplicity to obtain the sequence  $(d_i)$ ,  $d_i = d_i(r)$ . For example, if  $r = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_1 &= \dots = d_n = \pi \\ d_{n+1} &= \dots = d_{2n} = 2\pi \\ d_{2n+1} &= \dots = d_{3n} = 3\pi \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 4.**  $c_j(E(r)) = d_j(r)$  for every  $j$ .

*Proof.* Let us first assume that the numbers  $r_i^2$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Then the sequence  $d_j(r)$  is strictly monotonic. Define  $H(x) := \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \frac{z_i}{r_i} \right|^2$  and denote by  $\mathcal{F}$  the class of all  $f: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ ,  $f$  monotonic, such that  $f \circ H \in \mathcal{H}(E(r))$ .

Clearly,

$$c_j(E(r)) = \inf \{ c_{f \circ H, j} \mid f \in \mathcal{F} \}.$$

Consider  $\Phi_{f \circ H}$  defined by

$$\Phi_{f \circ H}(x) = A(x) - \int_0^1 f \circ H(x) dt.$$

The critical points of  $\Phi_{f \circ H}$  are the solutions  $x$  of the problem

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= f'(H(x))iH'(x) \\ x(0) &= x(1). \end{aligned}$$

This implies immediately that  $y(t) := x(t/f'(H(x(0))))$  is a solution of

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{y} &= iH'(y) \\ y(0) &= y(T), \end{aligned}$$

with  $T = f'(H(x(0)))$ . Hence, with  $H(x(0)) =: \tau$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{f \circ H}(x) &= f'(\tau)\tau - f(\tau) \\ f'(\tau) &= 2\pi pr_i^2 \end{aligned}$$

for some integer  $p \geq 0$ . Now consider the  $c_j(f \circ H)$ . They are all distinct because otherwise  $\Phi_{f \circ H}$  would have uncountably many  $S^1$ -orbits on the level  $c_j = c_{j+1}$ , say. (In fact, as in [1], formula 4.2, one shows that if  $c_j = c_{j+1}$  for some  $j$ , then the critical set on level  $c_j$  has Fadell-Rabinowitz index at least 2.) This would imply uncountably many solutions of  $\dot{x} = iH'(x)$  on  $\partial E(r)$ , which contradicts the nonresonance assumption on the  $r_i$ . So

$$(1) \quad c_{f \circ H, j} \geq \lambda_{f \circ H, j}$$

where  $\lambda_{f \circ H, j}$  is the  $j$ -th critical value of  $\Phi_{f \circ H}$  (starting from the bottom,  $\lambda_1 = \min$ ). Let  $X_j \subset E^+$  be spanned by the eigenvectors belonging to the first  $j$  positive eigenvalues of

$$\begin{aligned} -i\dot{h} &= \lambda H'(h) \\ h(0) &= h(1). \end{aligned}$$

Then, since the eigenspaces are  $S^1$ -invariant,

$$E^- \oplus E^0 \oplus X_j$$

has index  $j$  by Proposition 1. Moreover,

$$\sup \Phi_{f \circ H}((E^- \oplus E^0 \oplus X_j)) = \lambda_{f \circ H, j}.$$

Hence,

$$(2) \quad c_{f \circ H, j} \leq \lambda_{f \circ H, j}$$

which proves Proposition 3 in the case that the  $\{\pi r_i^2\}$  are independent over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . If  $r$  is arbitrary the result follows from the continuity property established in Proposition 3 observing that  $E(r) \in \mathcal{L}$ .  $\square$

Now define for  $r = (r_1, \dots, r_n)$ ,  $0 < r_1 \leq r_2 \leq \dots \leq r_n < +\infty$

$$D(r) := B^2(r_1) \times \dots \times B^2(r_n).$$

**Proposition 5.**  $c_j(D(r)) = \pi j r_1^2$ .

**Corollary 3.** *If there exists a symplectic embedding  $\Psi: D(r) \hookrightarrow B^{2n}(r')$ , then*

$$\sqrt{n} r_1 \leq r'.$$

*Proof of Corollary 3.* Upon replacing  $D(r)$  by  $D(\delta r)$  for a given  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ , in order to avoid problems on the boundary, we can extend  $\Psi|_{D(\delta r)}$  to a symplectomorphism  $\tilde{\Psi}$  defined on all of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  (cf. [B]) such that

$$\tilde{\Psi}(D(\delta r)) = \Psi(D(\delta r)).$$

Hence

$$\tilde{\Psi}(D(\delta r)) \subset B^{2n}(r').$$

Taking the  $n$ -capacity we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} n\pi \delta^2 r_1^2 &= c_n(D(\delta r)) \\ &= c_n(\tilde{\Psi}(D(\delta r))) \\ &\leq c_n(B^{2n}(r')) \\ &= \pi(r')^2. \end{aligned}$$

This is true for every  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ . Hence, taking the square root

$$\sqrt{nr_1} \leq r'$$

as claimed.  $\square$

*Remark.* Note that for  $r = (r_1, \dots, r_1)$  this result is optimal since we have the obvious embedding  $D(r) \hookrightarrow B^{2n}(\sqrt{nr_1})$ . If  $r_1 < r_2$ , say, one might suspect that there are better symplectic invariants, which however have not been found yet.

*Proof of Proposition 5.* The proof of Proposition 5 consists of two parts. First we derive the estimate (assuming  $r = (1, r_2, \dots, r_n)$ )

$$c_j(D(r)) \leq \pi j.$$

Then we show that  $c_j(D(r)) \in \mathbb{Z}\pi$ . Studying the  $\alpha$ -index of the set of trajectories representing  $c_j$  we derive that  $c_j < c_{j+1}$ , implying the desired result. Without loss of generality assume  $r_1 = 1$ .

Take for  $\varepsilon > 0$  a smooth map  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

- (4)  $\bullet f(s) = 0 \quad s \leq 1 + \varepsilon$
  - $\bullet f''(s) > 0 \quad s > 1 + \varepsilon$
  - $\bullet f(s) = (j + \frac{1}{2})\pi |s|^2 \quad s \text{ large}$
  - $\bullet f'(s_0) = 2j\pi s_0 \quad \text{for } s_0 > 1 + \varepsilon$
- implies  $f(s_0) \leq \varepsilon$  and  $s_0 \leq 1 + 2\varepsilon$ .

Define  $\sigma: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\sigma(x) = \int_0^1 f(|\pi_1 x|) dt$$

where  $\pi_1: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is the projection onto the first factor. We define  $\Phi_0(x) = A(x) - \sigma(x)$ . From the definition of  $c_j(D(r))$  it follows immediately that for  $1 \leq r_2 \leq r_3 \dots \leq r_n$

$$c_j(D(1, r_2, r_3, \dots, r_n)) \leq \sup \Phi_\sigma(\xi_j)$$

where

$$\xi_j = E^- \oplus E^0 \oplus X_j$$

and

$$X_j = \{x \in E^+ \mid x_k \in \mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C}x\{0\}^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{C}^n \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq j \text{ and } x_k = 0 \text{ for } k > j\}.$$

$\sup \Phi_\sigma(\xi_j)$  is attained at some point  $x \in X_j$  satisfying

$$-i\dot{x} = f'(|\pi_1 x|) \frac{\pi_1 x}{|\pi_1 x|}$$

$$x(0) = x(1).$$

Hence with  $\tau = |(\pi_1 x)(0)|$

$$\begin{aligned} \sup \Phi_\sigma(x) &= \frac{1}{2} f'(\tau)\tau - f(\tau) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} 2j\pi |\tau|^2 - f(\tau) \\ &\leq j\pi(1 + 2\varepsilon)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  was arbitrary we infer

$$c_j(D(1, r_2, r_3, \dots, r_n)) \leq \pi j.$$

In particular,

$$(5) \quad c_j(B^2(1) \times \mathbb{C}^{n-1}) \leq \pi j.$$

The other direction is more delicate. Using monotonicity it is enough to study  $D := D(1, 1, 1, \dots, 1)$ .

Taking the right sequence of Hamiltonians in  $\mathcal{H}(D)$  it is quite easy to show that  $c_j(D)$  can be represented by a linear combination of loops on  $S^1 \times \dots \times S^1$  as follows. Given a sequence  $x^k$  of critical points of  $\Phi_{H_k}$  on level  $c_{H_k, j}$  there exist numbers  $\delta_\ell \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $j_\ell \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$  for  $\ell \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum j_\ell =: j' > 0 \\ |\delta_\ell| = 1 \quad \text{or} \quad \delta_\ell = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and a subsequence of  $(x^k)$  converges to

$$(\delta_1 e^{2\pi i j_1 t}, \dots, \delta_n e^{2\pi i j_n t})$$

Take a sequence  $(f_k)$  of smooth maps satisfying

- $f_k: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
  - $f_k(s) = 0 \quad s \leq 1 + \frac{1}{k}$
  - $f_k''(s) > 0 \quad s > 1 + \frac{1}{k}$
  - $f_k(s) = (k + \frac{1}{2})\pi |s|^2 \quad s > 1 + \frac{2}{k}$
  - $f_k'(s_0) = 2k\pi s_0 \quad \text{for } s_0 > 1 + \frac{1}{k}$
- implies  $f_k(s_0) \leq \frac{1}{k}$ .

It is clear that the Hamiltonians  $H_k \in \mathcal{H}(D)$  defined by

$$H_k(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n f_k(|x_i|), \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$$

have the property

$$c_{H_k, j} \rightarrow c_j(D) \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Our aim is of course to show that  $j' = j$ . So we know so far that  $c_j(D) \in \{\pi, 2\pi, 3\pi, \dots\}$ . Consider the first  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$  such that

$$c_j(D) = c_{j+1}(D).$$

If no such  $j$  exists we have  $c_j(D) = \pi j$  by formula (5) and we are done. Hence we must have  $c_j(D) = \pi j$  and  $c_{j+1}(D) = \pi j$ . Our functionals  $\Phi_{H_k}$  decompose into a sum of functionals on the loop spaces of  $\mathbb{C}$ . In fact,  $\Phi_{H_k}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{\Phi}_{f_k(|\cdot|)}(x_i)$ . The positive critical set of  $\tilde{\Phi}_{f_k}$  is of the form

$$(6) \quad \pi \leq c_1^k < c_2^k < \dots \text{ with } \lim_k (c_{j+1}^k - c_j^k) = \pi.$$

Now consider the set  $\Sigma$  defined by

$$\Sigma = \{(\delta_2 e^{2\pi i j_1 t}, \dots, \delta_n e^{2\pi i j_n t}) \mid |\delta_\ell| = 1 \text{ or } \delta_\ell = 0, \\ j_\ell \in \{1, 2, \dots\}, \sum j_\ell = j\}.$$

We note that  $\alpha(\Sigma) = 1$ . Hence we find an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $\Sigma$  with

$$\alpha(U) = \alpha(\Sigma) = 1.$$

By our previous arguments we find that for  $k$  large enough the critical sets of  $\Phi_{H_k}$  on levels  $c_{H_k, j}$  and  $c_{H_k, j+1}$  are subsets of  $U$ . Since  $\Phi_{H_k}$  has the direct sum form, its gradient flow will have a product form. Since the critical values of  $\Phi_{H_k}$  are sums of critical values of the  $\tilde{\Phi}_{f_k}$  we see that if  $k$  is large enough in view of (5), that for given  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\delta \in (0, \pi)$  for a suitable  $h \in \Gamma$

$$(7) \quad h(\Phi_{H_k}^{c_{H_k, j+1+\varepsilon}} \setminus U) \subset \Phi_{H_k}^{c_{H_k, j+1+\varepsilon-\delta}}.$$

Since by definition

$$\text{ind}(\Phi_{H_k}^{c_{H_k, j+1+\varepsilon}}) \geq j+1$$

we obtain as a consequence of  $\alpha(U) = 1$  and the subadditivity of the  $\alpha$ -index, see [5], that

$$\text{ind}(\Phi_{H_k}^{c_{H_k, j+1+\varepsilon}} \setminus U) \geq j.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ind}(h(\Phi_{H_k}^{c_{H_k, j+1+\varepsilon}} \setminus U)) \\ \geq \text{ind}(\Phi_{H_k}^{c_{H_k, j+1+\varepsilon-\delta}}) \\ \geq j, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain from (7)

$$(8) \quad \text{ind}(\Phi_{H_k}^{c_{H_k, j+1+\varepsilon-\delta}}) \geq j.$$

This is true for every large  $k$  for a given  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\delta \in (0, \pi)$ . So we obtain from (8) and the definition of  $c_j(D)$

$$\pi j = c_j(D) \leq c_{H_{k,j+1}} + \varepsilon - \delta$$

for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\delta \in (0, \pi)$  and all large  $k$ . This implies

$$\pi j \leq \pi j - \pi,$$

giving a contradiction. Consequently  $c_j(D)$  is strictly increasing. This implies with our previous discussion

$$c_j(D(1, 1, \dots, 1)) = \pi j.$$

Using  $(M)$  we obtain therefore

$$c_j(D(r)) = \pi j$$

as required.  $\square$

## References

1. Benci, V.: On the critical point theory for indefinite functionals in the presence of symmetries. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **274**, 533–572 (1982)
2. Benci, V., Rabinowitz, P.H.: Critical point theory for indefinite functionals. *Invent. Math.* **52**, 336–353 (1979)
3. Ekeland, I., Hofer, H.: Symplectic topology and Hamiltonian dynamics I. *Math. Z.* **200**, 355–378 see also: *C.R. Acad. Sci., Paris, Ser. I*, **307**, 37–40 (1988)
4. Ekeland, I., Hofer, H.: Convex Hamiltonian energy surfaces and their periodic trajectories. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **113**, 419–469 (1987)
5. Fadell, E., Rabinowitz, P.H.: Generalized cohomological index theories for Lie group actions with an application to bifurcation questions for Hamiltonian systems. *Invent. Math.* **45**, 139–173 (1978)
6. Fadell, E., Husseini, S., Rabinowitz, P.: Borsuk Ulam Theorems for arbitrary  $S^1$ -actions and applications. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **274**, 345–360 (1982)
7. Floer, A., Hofer, H.: in preparation
8. Gromov, M.: Pseudo-holomorphic curves in symplectic manifolds. *Invent. Math.* **82**, 307–347 (1985)
9. Hofer, H.: On strongly indefinite functionals and application. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **275**, 185–214 (1983)
10. Hofer, H., Zehnder, E.: Periodic solutions on hypersurfaces and a result by C. Viterbo, *Invent. Math.* **90**, 1–7 (1987)
11. Hofer, H., Zehnder, E.: A new capacity for symplectic manifolds. *Proceedings of a Conference on the occasion of J. Moser's 60<sup>th</sup> Birthday.* (to appear)
12. Viterbo, C.: A proof of the Weinstein Conjecture in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ . *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, Anal. Non Linéaire*, **4**, 337–356 (1987)

Received March 14, 1989; in final form July 4, 1989