

Equivariant Function Spaces and Stable Homotopy Theory I

J. C. BECKER¹⁾ and R. E. SCHULTZ²⁾

Let $F(S^n)$ denote the space of self-maps of the n -sphere with the compact-open topology and the identity as its basepoint. Results of Dold and Lashof [10] and Stasheff [27] show the importance of $F(S^n)$ in the classification of fiber spaces with fiber (homotopically equivalent to) S^n , and because of this the topological properties of $F(S^n)$ yield (or should yield, at least) considerable information about the topology of manifolds. Actually, for purposes of studying manifolds it is preferable to replace the spaces $F(S^n)$ by a so-called *stable version*. To construct this, we embed $F(S^n)$ in $F(S^{n+1})$ via the unreduced suspension functor and set

$$F = \text{inj } \lim_k F(S^k).$$

(In the literature, this space is usually called G ; however, we shall soon find it convenient to let G designate a compact Lie group).

If we are given an action of a compact Lie group G on S^n , we shall let $F_G(S^n)$ denote the subspace (submonoid, in fact) of all self-maps of S^n that are *equivariant* with respect to the given actions of G ; we shall restrict our attention to group actions given by free orthogonal representations (see §3). In this paper we shall study the homotopy properties of these spaces $F_G(S^n)$ and their corresponding stable versions. Perhaps the most interesting consequence of our work is a relationship between the stable versions of the spaces $F_G(S^n)$ and stable homotopy theory that generalizes the fundamentally important natural isomorphism

$$\theta X: [X, F] \simeq \{X, S^0\}$$

essentially due to G. Whitehead [32], where $[,]$ and $\{ , \}$ denote homotopy classes of ordinary maps and S -maps respectively and X is a CW complex.

Just as the spaces $F(S^n)$ and F and the isomorphism θX are applicable to the topology of manifolds, the spaces $F_G(S^n)$, their stable analogs, and the results of this paper are applicable to the study of manifolds with G -actions. Applications of our results along these lines appear in [35] and [36].

We wish to thank Mark Mahowald for suggestions which contributed substantially to the formulation of our results.

¹⁾ Partially supported by NSF Grant GP-34197

²⁾ Partially supported by NSF Grant GP-19530

1. Introduction

We shall describe some of our results more precisely in this section. Let G be a compact Lie group and W a free G -module (see §3). Let $S(W)$ denote the underlying unit sphere of W . If V is a submodule of W , we denote by $F(V | W)$ the space of G -equivariant maps $S(V^*) \rightarrow S(W)$, where V^* is the orthogonal complement of V in W . If W' is another free G -module, then $S(W \oplus W')$ is equivariantly homeomorphic to the join of $S(W)$ and $S(W')$; furthermore, the orthogonal complement of V in $W \oplus W'$ is $V^* \oplus W'$. Hence the join functor induces an inclusion of $F(V | W)$ in $F(V | W \oplus W')$. We define

$$F(V) = \text{inj} \lim_k F(V | kW),$$

where kW denotes the k -fold sum of W with itself and V is included in the first factor. If V is the trivial G -module $\{0\}$ we write F_G in place of $F(\{0\})$.

Our main result (Theorem (6.6)) gives a description of $F(V)$ as a space constructed from the classifying space of G in a natural way. For example, F_G is describable as follows: let B_G be a classifying space for G with total space E_G , let \mathfrak{G} be the Lie algebra of G and G act on \mathfrak{G} via the adjoint representation; the balanced product of E_G and \mathfrak{G} is a vector bundle over BG which we shall call ζ and whose Thom space we shall call B_G^ζ . Then F_G is homotopy equivalent to $Q(B_G^\zeta)$, where $Q(Y)$ is defined for pointed spaces Y by

$$Q(Y) = \text{inj} \lim_k \Omega^k S^k Y.$$

The homotopy equivalence is best understood using its alternate stable homotopy theoretic interpretation. Namely, under the canonical natural isomorphism

$$\theta X: [X, Q(Y)] \cong \{X, Y\}$$

it takes the form of a natural isomorphism

$$\varphi X: [X, F_G] \cong \{X, BG^\zeta\}.$$

If G is the trivial group, then φX is essentially the same as the previously mentioned θX .

There are many generalizations of the spaces F_G , and it is natural to ask whether they too are describable as $Q(Y)$ for suitable choices of Y . We mention two results in this direction:

(i) If G is finite and acts orthogonally on its real group algebra via the regular representation, the homotopy type of F_G is essentially given by results of Graeme Segal [25, Prop. 2 and Corollary to Prop. 7]. Using the techniques of [24] one can derive special cases of Segal's results from some of our results and vice versa.

(ii) Suppose G is finite and acts freely and topologically on S^n ; results of R. Lee [17] and T. Petrie [22] show that some finite groups admit such actions (smooth actions, in fact) but not linear ones. In this case one can still define F_G and prove analogs of our results. Details will appear in Part II of this paper.

Sections 2 through 4 contain preliminary material on ex-spaces, vector bundles, and the transfer map for fiber bundles. Our main results are stated in Sections 5 and 6; some of the more technical arguments are postponed to Sections 7, 8, and 9. Finally, we consider the following problem: If H is a closed subgroup of G , there is an inclusion of F_G in F_H because every G -equivariant map is automatically H -equivariant; determine the image of $\pi_*(F_G)$ in $\pi_*(F_H)$. The last three sections (10–12) contain some quantitative results on this problem.

2. Sectioned Bundles

Let B denote a locally finite CW-complex. In the terminology of James [14], an *ex-space* of B is an object $\xi = (E_\xi, B, p_\xi, \Delta_\xi)$ consisting of maps $p_\xi: E_\xi \rightarrow B$ and $\Delta_\xi: B \rightarrow E_\xi$ such that $p_\xi \Delta_\xi$ is the identity. If ξ and ξ' are ex-spaces, we denote by $[\xi, \xi']$ the set of homotopy classes of fiber and cross section preserving maps $E_\xi \rightarrow E_{\xi'}$. Ex-spaces may be regarded as generalizations of pointed spaces and many of the standard constructions for pointed spaces, such as reduced join, wedge, etc., carry over to ex-spaces. This is usually done by performing the construction ‘fiberwise’. For detailed accounts see [14], [15], [4].

An ex-space ξ will be called a *sectioned bundle* if it has the following local product structure. There is a pointed space F , with base point (say) x_0 , a cover $\{U\}$ of B by open sets, and homeomorphisms $\psi_U: U \times F \rightarrow p_\xi^{-1}(U)$ such that the following diagrams are commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 U \times F & \xrightarrow{\psi_U} & p_\xi^{-1}(U) \\
 \searrow p & & \swarrow p_\xi \\
 & U &
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 U \times F & \xrightarrow{\psi_U} & p_\xi^{-1}(U) \\
 \swarrow \Delta & & \searrow \Delta_\xi \\
 & U &
 \end{array}$$

Here p is the projection and Δ is the cross section $b \rightarrow (b, x_0)$. We will also assume that F is a finite complex and $(E_\xi, \Delta_\xi(B), p_\xi)$ has the homotopy extension property [4; section 2].

The fiberwise reduced join of ξ and α will be denoted by $\xi \wedge \alpha$. There is a suspension map

$$\sigma: [\xi, \xi'] \rightarrow [\xi \wedge \alpha, \xi' \wedge \alpha] \tag{2.1}$$

defined by $f \rightarrow f \wedge 1$, and the following suspension theorem is proved in [15] (see also [14]).

(2.2) THEOREM. *Suppose that α is a sphere bundle and the fiber of ξ' is $(n-1)$ -connected. Then σ is injective if E_{ξ} is $(2n-1)$ -connected and surjective if E_{ξ} is $2n$ -connected.*

If Y and \hat{Y} are homeomorphic pointed spaces let $H(Y, \hat{Y})$ denote the space of base point preserving homeomorphisms from Y to \hat{Y} . If $\xi = (E, B, p, \Delta)$ and $\hat{\xi} = (\hat{E}, \hat{B}, \hat{p}, \hat{\Delta})$ are sectioned bundles with the same fiber F , let

$$H(E, \hat{E}) = \bigcup_{(b, \hat{b}) \in B \times \hat{B}} H(p^{-1}(b), \hat{p}^{-1}(\hat{b}))$$

and let $q: H(E, \hat{E}) \rightarrow B \times \hat{B}$ denote the obvious projection. For each pair of coordinate maps

$$\psi_U: U \times F \rightarrow p^{-1}(U), \quad \psi_V: V \times F \rightarrow \hat{p}^{-1}(V)$$

we obtain

$$\psi_{U \times V}: (U \times V) \times H(F, \hat{F}) \rightarrow q^{-1}(U \times V)$$

by $(b, \hat{b}, \varphi) \rightarrow \psi_b \varphi \psi_{\hat{b}-1}$. Let $H(E, \hat{E})$ have the smallest topology such that each $\psi_{U \times V}$ is continuous. Then, with this topology, it is easy to check that $(H(E, \hat{E}), B \times \hat{B}, q)$ is a fiber bundle which we denote by $H(\xi, \hat{\xi})$. Now the following bundle covering homotopy property is an immediate consequence of the covering homotopy property for $H(\xi, \hat{\xi})$.

(2.3) THEOREM. *Let $H: B \times I \rightarrow \hat{B}$ and $k: E \rightarrow \hat{E}$ be such that $\hat{p}k = H_0$, k is cross section preserving, and k is a homeomorphism on each fiber. Then there is $K: E \times I \rightarrow \hat{E}$ such that $pK = H$, $K_0 = k$, K_t is cross section preserving, and K_t is a homeomorphism on each fiber.*

We conclude this section with some notation and remarks. If X is a pointed space with base point x_0 , let \hat{X} denote the sectioned bundle $(B \times X, B, p, \Delta)$ where $p(b, x) = b$ and $\Delta(b) = (b, x_0)$. If α is a vector bundle over B , define $\bar{\alpha}$ to be the sectioned bundle obtained by taking the fiberwise one point compactification of E_{α} and letting $A_{\bar{\alpha}}$ be the cross section at infinity. Observe that $\overline{\alpha \oplus \beta}$ is canonically equivalent to $\bar{\alpha} \wedge \bar{\beta}$.

There is a functor T from sectioned bundles to pointed spaces defined by $T(\xi) = E_{\xi}/\Delta_{\xi}(B)$. If α is a vector bundle, $T(\bar{\alpha})$ is simply the Thom space of α which we will alternately denote by $T(\alpha)$ or B^{α} . More generally, if $A \subset B$ let

$$(B, A)^{\alpha} = E_{\bar{\alpha}}/\Delta_{\bar{\alpha}}(B) \cup p_{\bar{\alpha}}^{-1}(A).$$

If X is a pointed space we have $T(X \wedge \xi) = X \wedge T(\xi)$. Note also that projection onto the second factor induces a bijection

$$[\xi, \hat{X}] \rightarrow [T(\xi), X]. \tag{2.4}$$

3. Vector Bundles

Suppose that M is a compact differentiable manifold without boundary and G is a compact Lie group acting freely and differentiably on M . By a result of Gleason [11] the orbit map $p: M \rightarrow M/G$ has the structure of a principal G -bundle (in fact, a smooth bundle, compare [34]). The tangent bundles of M and M/G are related as follows. Let $\text{Ad}(G)$ denote the G -module determined by the adjoint representation of G . The vector bundle with fiber $\text{Ad}(G)$ associated with $p: M \rightarrow M/G$ will be denoted by ζ . One then has an identification

$$\tau(M)/G \simeq \zeta \oplus \tau(M/G), \quad (3.1)$$

and this identification is natural with respect to smooth G -maps [28].

A G -module V will always be assumed to be real, finite dimensional, and equipped with a G -invariant metric. The unit sphere of V will be denoted by $S(V)$ and the quotient space $S(V)/G$ by $M(V)$. We say that V is *free* if G acts freely on $S(V)$. In this case $M(V)$ is a smooth manifold and $p: S(V) \rightarrow M(V)$ is a principal G -bundle.

Suppose now that W is a free G -module and $V \subset W$ is a submodule. Let U denote the orthogonal complement of V in W and let η denote the balanced product vector bundle

$$\eta = (S(U) \times V/G, M(U), p) \quad (3.2)$$

Let ξ be the sectioned bundle

$$\xi = (S(U) \times S(W)/G, M(U), p, \Delta) \quad (3.3)$$

where $\Delta[u] = [u, u]$. We have an identification

$$\xi \simeq \overline{\eta \oplus \tau(S(U))/G} \quad (3.4)$$

given by

$$[u, v \oplus u'] \rightarrow \left[u, \frac{v}{1 - u \cdot u'} \right] \oplus \left[u, \frac{u' - (u \cdot u')u}{1 - u \cdot u'} \right]$$

Combining this with (3.1) we have

$$\xi \simeq \overline{\eta \oplus \zeta \oplus \tau}, \quad (3.5)$$

where τ is the tangent bundle of $M(U)$.

We will also need a description of the Thom space of $\eta \oplus \zeta$ along the lines of [2, Proposition (4.3)]. The map

$$S(U) \times (V \oplus \text{Ad}(G)) \rightarrow S(W) \times \text{Ad}(G)$$

by

$$(u, v, y) \rightarrow (\sqrt{1 - (|v|/(1 + |v|^2))}u \oplus (1/(1 + |v|)v), y)$$

is equivariant and its quotient extends to an identification

$$M(U)^{n \oplus \zeta} \simeq (M(W), M(V))^{\zeta}. \tag{3.6}$$

4. The Transfer

In this section we will give a brief description of the transfer or ‘umkehr’ map associated with a differentiable fiber bundle. Our account follows that of Boardman [6]. By a manifold we mean a compact differentiable manifold without boundary. Let N be a manifold and M a submanifold of N with normal bundle ω . Choose an embedding $E_\omega \subset N$ of E_ω as a tubular neighborhood of M . Let α be a sectioned bundle over N and consider the maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_\alpha \Big| E_\omega \xrightarrow{k_t} E_\alpha \Big| E_\omega \\ \downarrow p_\alpha \qquad \qquad \downarrow p_\alpha \\ E_\omega \xrightarrow{j_t} E_\omega, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1. \end{array} \tag{4.1}$$

where j_t is the canonical homotopy given by $j_t(x) = (1-t)x$, and k_t is a sectioned bundle morphism covering j_t such that k_0 is the identity, k_t is the identity on $E_\alpha \Big| M$ (where $M \subset E_\omega$ is the 0-section), and k_t is a homeomorphism on each fiber. Such a homotopy exists by (2.3). Define

$$h_\alpha : \alpha \Big| E_\omega \rightarrow p_\omega^*(\alpha \Big| M) \tag{4.2}$$

by $h_\alpha(a) = (p_\alpha(a), k_1(a))$ and let

$$\tilde{h}_\alpha : \alpha \Big| E_\omega \rightarrow \alpha \Big| M \tag{4.3}$$

denote the map k_1 . The Pontrjagin-Thom map

$$c : T(\alpha) \rightarrow T(\bar{\omega} \wedge \alpha \Big| M) \tag{4.4}$$

is then given by

$$c(a_x) = \begin{cases} x \wedge \tilde{h}_\alpha(a_x), & x \in E_\omega \\ \infty, & \text{if } x \notin E_\omega. \end{cases}$$

It follows by a standard argument that the homotopy class of c does not depend on the particular choice of covering homotopy.

Let $p : M \rightarrow N$ be a differentiable fiber bundle. Choose an embedding $\hat{p} : M \rightarrow N \times R^s$ homotopic to p and let ω denote the normal bundle. If α is a sectioned bundle over N there is the product bundle $\alpha \times 0$ over $N \times R^s$ and $\alpha \times 0 \Big| M \simeq p^*(\alpha)$. Since $T(\alpha \times 0) = T(\alpha) \times R^s/R^s$, the Pontrjagin-Thom map has the form

$$c : T(\alpha) \times R^s/R^s \rightarrow T(p^*(\alpha) \oplus \omega).$$

Representing S^s as the one point compactification of R^s , c may be extended to a map

$$t: T(\alpha) \wedge S^s \rightarrow T(p^*(\alpha) \oplus \omega). \quad (4.5)$$

In particular, if G is a compact Lie group acting freely on a manifold M and H is a closed subgroup, we have the fiber bundle $p: M/H \rightarrow M/G$. Let ζ_G (respectively, ζ_H) denote the bundle over M/G (respectively, M/H) having fiber $\text{Ad}(G)$ (respectively, $\text{Ad}(H)$). Now

$$\tau(M/H) \oplus \omega \simeq p^*(\tau(M/G) \oplus R^s).$$

Adding $\zeta_H \oplus p^*(\zeta_G)$ to both sides and using (3.1) we have

$$\tau(M)/H \oplus p^*(\zeta_G) \oplus \omega \simeq \tau(M)/H \oplus \zeta_H \oplus R^s.$$

For sufficiently large s we may cancel $\tau(M)/H$ obtaining an equivalence

$$p^*(\zeta_G) \oplus \omega \simeq \zeta_H \oplus R^s. \quad (4.6)$$

Thus, the map t of (4.5) yields

$$t: T(\alpha \wedge \zeta_G) \wedge S^s \rightarrow T(p^*(\alpha) \wedge \zeta_H) \wedge S^s. \quad (4.7)$$

The stable homotopy class of this map does not depend on the particular choice of embedding because of the following: (a) isotopic embeddings determine homotopic maps. (b) the effect of replacing $\hat{p}: M/G \rightarrow M/H \times R^s$ by $i\hat{p}: M/G \rightarrow M/H \times R^{s+1}$, where i is the usual inclusion, is to replace t by its suspension. (c) for sufficiently large s , any two embeddings homotopic to p are isotopic.

We shall call t in (4.7) the *transfer* associated with the bundle $p: M/H \rightarrow M/G$. It is easily seen that t is functorial with respect to smooth G -maps. Moreover, if H has finite index in G (so that p is a finite covering map) t agrees with the transfer defined and axiomatized by Roush [23]. A proof of this fact will be given in the appendix.

Consider now the situation of the previous section. If V is a G -module write $V = V_G$ and let V_H denote its underlying H -module. Suppose that $V_G \oplus U_G = W_G$. Let η_G and η_H be as in (3.2). We have the fiber bundle $p: M(U_H) \rightarrow M(U_G)$ and since $p^*(\eta_G) = \eta_H$ we obtain a transfer map

$$T(\eta_G \oplus \zeta_G) \wedge S^s \rightarrow T(\eta_H \oplus \zeta_H) \wedge S^s.$$

Making the identification (3.6) we have

$$t: (M(W_G), M(V_G))^{\zeta_G} \wedge S^s \rightarrow (M(W_H), M(V_H))^{\zeta_H} \wedge S^s. \quad (4.8)$$

5. The Spaces $F(V | W)$

If α and β are sectioned bundles, let $\mathcal{M}(\alpha, \beta)$ denote the space of fiber and cross

section preserving maps $E_\alpha \rightarrow E_\beta$, with the compact-open topology. Recall that if Y is a pointed space

$$Q(Y) = \text{inj } \lim_k \mathcal{M}(S^k, Y \wedge S^k).$$

Let V and W be free G -modules such that $V \subset W$ and $V \neq W$. Let V^* denote the orthogonal complement of V in W . We define $F(V | W)$ to be the pointed space of G -equivariant maps $S(V^*) \rightarrow S(W)$, the inclusion map being the base point. Our objective is to construct a map

$$\lambda: F(V | W) \rightarrow Q((M(W), M(V))^{\mathfrak{f}}). \quad (5.1)$$

Let

$$\xi = (S(V^*) \times S(W)/G, M(V^*), p, \Delta) \quad (5.2)$$

where p and Δ are induced by the projection and diagonal respectively. From (3.4) we have an identification

$$\xi \simeq \overline{\eta \oplus \zeta \oplus \tau}, \quad (5.3)$$

where τ is the tangent bundle of $M(V^*)$ and $\eta = (S(V^*) \times V/G, M(V^*), p)$. The function

$$\theta: F(V | W) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\dot{S}^0, \xi) \quad (5.4)$$

defined by sending $f: S(V^*) \rightarrow S(W)$ to $f': S^0 \times M(V^*) \rightarrow S(V^*) \times S(W)/G$, where $f'(0, [y]) = [y, f(y)]$ and $f'(\infty, [y]) = [y, y]$ is easily seen to be a homeomorphism of function spaces.³⁾ Making the identification (3.4), θ becomes

$$\theta: F(V | W) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\dot{S}^0, \overline{\eta \oplus \zeta \oplus \tau}). \quad (5.5)$$

Choose an embedding $M(V^*) \subset R^s$ and let ν denote the normal bundle. Let $\psi: \tau \oplus \nu \rightarrow R^s$ denote the associated trivialization and $c: S^s \rightarrow T(\nu)$ the Pontrjagin-Thom map. The map λ is to be the following composition.

$$\begin{aligned} F(V | W) &\xrightarrow{\theta} \mathcal{M}(\dot{S}^0, \overline{\eta \oplus \zeta \oplus \tau}) \xrightarrow{\sigma} \mathcal{M}(\bar{\nu}, \overline{\eta \oplus \zeta \oplus \tau \oplus \nu}) \\ &\xrightarrow{\mathcal{M}(1 \oplus \psi)} \mathcal{M}(\bar{\nu}, \overline{\eta \oplus \zeta \oplus R^s}) \xrightarrow{T} \mathcal{M}(T(\nu), T(\eta \oplus \zeta) \wedge S^s) \\ &\xrightarrow{\mathcal{M}(c)} \mathcal{M}(S^s, T(\eta \oplus \zeta) \wedge S^s) \rightarrow Q(T(\eta \oplus \zeta)) \\ &\longrightarrow Q((M(W), M(V))^{\mathfrak{f}}). \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

Here σ is suspension and the last map is given by the identification (3.6). It is easy to check that the homotopy class of λ does not depend on the choice of embedding.

³⁾ We use S^n to denote the one point compactification of R^n . The sphere of unit vectors in R^{n+1} will be denoted by $S(R^{n+1})$.

(5.7) THEOREM. λ is an n -equivalence where $n = \dim(V) + \dim(W) + \dim(G) - 2$.

Proof. It follows from the suspension theorem (2.2) that σ is an n -equivalence. It remains to show that $\mathcal{M}(c)T$ is an n -equivalence for large s . Let $\alpha = \eta \oplus \zeta \oplus R^s$. Choose a complementary bundle β and let $\varphi: \beta \oplus \alpha \rightarrow R^t$ be a trivialization. We then have a duality map

$$\mu: S^{s+t} \rightarrow T(v \oplus \beta) \wedge T(\alpha)$$

given by the composite

$$\begin{aligned} S^{s+t} &\xrightarrow{c \wedge 1} T(v) \wedge S^t \xrightarrow{T(1 \oplus \varphi^{-1})} T(v \oplus \beta \oplus \alpha) \\ &\xrightarrow{\Delta} T(v \oplus \beta) \wedge T(\alpha), \end{aligned}$$

where Δ is the diagonal map. Let X be a finite complex such that $\dim(X) \leq n$. The associated correspondence

$$D_\mu: [X \wedge T(v \oplus \beta), S^t] \rightarrow [X \wedge S^{s+t}, T(\alpha) \wedge S^t]$$

defined by sending $f: X \wedge T(v \oplus \beta) \rightarrow S^t$ to the map

$$X \wedge S^{s+t} \xrightarrow{1 \wedge \mu} X \wedge T(v \oplus \beta) \wedge T(\alpha) \xrightarrow{f \wedge 1} S^t \wedge T(\alpha) \rightarrow T(\alpha) \wedge S^t$$

is bijective, provided we are in the stable range. Let us take t to be large enough so that this is the case.

We have the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} [\dot{X} \wedge \bar{v}, \bar{\alpha}] & \xrightarrow{T} & [X \wedge T(v), T(\alpha)] & \xrightarrow{(1 \wedge c)^*} & [X \wedge S^s, T(\alpha)] \\ \downarrow \sigma & & & & \downarrow \sigma \\ [\dot{X} \wedge \overline{v \oplus R^t}, \overline{\alpha \oplus R^t}] & \xrightarrow{T} & [X \wedge T(v) \wedge S^t, T(\alpha) \wedge S^t] & \xrightarrow{(1 \wedge c \wedge 1)^*} & [X \wedge S^{s+t}, T(\alpha) \wedge S^t] \\ \uparrow 1 \wedge (1 \oplus \varphi^{-1})^* & & & & \uparrow D_\mu \\ [\dot{X} \wedge \overline{v \oplus \beta \oplus \alpha}, \overline{\alpha \oplus R^t}] & & & & \\ \uparrow & & & & \\ [\dot{X} \wedge \overline{v \oplus \beta \oplus \alpha}, \overline{R^t \oplus \alpha}] & & & & \\ \uparrow \sigma & & & & \\ [\dot{X} \wedge v \oplus \beta, R^t] & \xrightarrow{T} & & & [X \wedge T(v \oplus \beta), S^t] \end{array}$$

For sufficiently large s the suspension maps in the above diagram are bijective and therefore $(1 \wedge c)^*T$ is bijective as desired.

We will now consider the functorial properties of the map λ . We identify the unreduced join $S(V) * S(W)$ with $S(V \oplus W)$ by the map $[v, w, t] \rightarrow tv \oplus \sqrt{1-t^2}w$. If

$V \subset U \subset W$ there is an inclusion map

$$j: F(V | U) \rightarrow F(V | W) \quad (5.8)$$

induced by the join operation as follows. Let V^* denote the orthogonal complement of V in U and U^* the orthogonal complement of U in W . Then j is defined by sending $f: S(V^*) \rightarrow S(U)$ to $f * 1: S(V^*) * S(U^*) \rightarrow S(U) * S(U^*)$. Let

$$i: (M(U), M(V))^{\zeta} \rightarrow (M(W), M(V))^{\zeta} \quad (5.9)$$

denote the inclusion, and let X denote a finite complex.

(5.10) *The following diagram is commutative.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [X, F(V | U)] & \xrightarrow{\lambda^*} & [X, Q((M(U), M(V))^{\zeta})] \\ \downarrow j_* & & \downarrow Q(i)_* \\ [X, F(V | W)] & \xrightarrow{\lambda^*} & [X, Q((M(W), M(V))^{\zeta})]. \end{array}$$

Let

$$r: F(V | W) \rightarrow F(U | W) \quad (5.11)$$

denote the map defined by restricting $f: S(V^*) \rightarrow S(W)$ to $S(U^*)$, and let

$$c: (M(W), M(V))^{\zeta} \rightarrow (M(W), M(U))^{\zeta} \quad (5.12)$$

be the collapsing map.

(5.13) *The following diagram is commutative*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [X, F(V | W)] & \xrightarrow{\lambda^*} & [X, Q((M(W), M(V))^{\zeta})] \\ \downarrow r_* & & \downarrow Q(c)_* \\ [X, F(U | W)] & \xrightarrow{\lambda^*} & [X, Q((M(W), M(U))^{\zeta})]. \end{array}$$

Finally, if H is a closed subgroup of G there is the natural forgetful map

$$\varphi: F(V_G | W_G) \rightarrow F(V_H | W_H), \quad (5.14)$$

and for sufficiently large s , there is a transfer map

$$t: (M(W_G), M(V_G))^{\zeta_G} \wedge S^s \rightarrow (M(W_H), M(V_H))^{\zeta_H} \wedge S^s \quad (5.15)$$

as in (4.8).

(5.16) *The following diagram is commutative*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [X, F(V_G | W_G)] & \xrightarrow{\lambda_*} & [X, \mathcal{Q}((M(W_G), M(V_G))^{\zeta_G})] \\ \downarrow \varphi_* & & \downarrow \mathcal{Q}(\iota)_* \\ [X, F(V_H | W_H)] & \xrightarrow{\lambda_*} & [X, \mathcal{Q}((M(W_H), M(V_H))^{\zeta_H})]. \end{array}$$

Proofs for (5.10), (5.13) and (5.16) are given in section 8.

6. The Spaces $F(V)$.

Given a free G -module V , choose a free G -module W such that $V \subset W$ and $V \neq W$. Let kW denote the k -fold direct sum of W and define

$$F(V) = \text{inj lim}_k F(V | kW) \quad (6.1)$$

and

$$B(V)^{\zeta} = \text{inj lim}_k (M(kW), M(V))^{\zeta} \quad (6.2)$$

If X is a pointed finite CW-complex the map

$$\lambda_{\#} : [X; F(V | kW)] \rightarrow [X, \mathcal{Q}((M(kW), M(V))^{\zeta})]$$

is, by (5.10), compatible with the above inclusions. Hence we obtain

$$\lambda(V) : [X; F(V)] \rightarrow [X; \mathcal{Q}(B(V)^{\zeta})] \quad (6.3)$$

as the injective limit of the $\lambda_{\#}$. As a result of theorem (4.5) we have

(6.4) **THEOREM.** $\lambda(V)$ is a natural equivalence of homotopy functors on the category of finite CW-complexes.

We next show that $F(V)$ has the homotopy type of a CW-complex. To do this it is sufficient to show that the spaces $F(V | W)$ have the homotopy type of a CW-complex. Since $F(V | W)$ is homeomorphic to the space of cross sections to the bundle $S(V^*) \times S(W)/G \rightarrow M(V^*)$, the result for $F(V | W)$ is a consequence of the following.

(6.5) **LEMMA.** *Let $p: E \rightarrow B$ be a Hurewicz fibration with fiber F . Suppose that B is compact and both B and F have the homotopy type of a CW-complex. Then the space of cross sections to p has the homotopy type of a CW-complex.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{H}$ denote the category of spaces having the homotopy type of a CW-complex. First, suppose that $p: E \rightarrow B$ is a fibration such that E and B are in $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{H}$. We will show that the fiber F is in $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{H}$. If we replace the inclusion $i: F \rightarrow E$ by

a fibration $i': F' \rightarrow E$ in the usual way, the fiber over e has the homotopy type of $\Omega(B, p(e))$ [21]. By a result of Milnor [19], $\Omega(B, p(e))$ is in \mathcal{CH} . Hence by a theorem of Stasheff [27], F' is in \mathcal{CH} . Therefore F is in \mathcal{CH} .

Now let $p: E \rightarrow B$ be as in the statement of the lemma. By the exponential law, $p': E^B \rightarrow B^B$ is also a Hurewicz fibration and since both E^B and B^B are in \mathcal{CH} [19], the fiber over the identity is in \mathcal{CH} . This is just the space of cross sections to p .

As a consequence of (6.4), we have proved the following:

(6.6) THEOREM. *The space $F(V)$ is homotopy equivalent to $Q(B(V)^\zeta)$.*

Since the homotopy type of $B(V)^\zeta$ clearly does not depend on the choice of ambient G -module W , Theorem (6.6) has an obvious consequence.

(6.7) COROLLARY. *The homotopy type of $F(V)$ depends only on the representation V .*

There are two functorial properties of the transformation $\lambda(V)$. Firstly, if V is a submodule of U we obtain from (5.13) the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [X; F(V)] & \xrightarrow{\lambda(V)} & [X; Q(B(V)^\zeta)] \\ \downarrow r_\# & & \downarrow Q(e)_\# \\ [X; F(U)] & \xrightarrow{\lambda(U)} & [X; Q(B(U)^\zeta)]. \end{array} \quad (6.8)$$

Secondly, if H is a closed subgroup of G , we have a *transfer*

$$t_\# : [X; Q(B(V_H)^{\zeta_H})] \rightarrow [X; Q(B(V_G)^{\zeta_G})] \quad (6.9)$$

defined to be the injective limit of the maps $Q(t)_\#$, where $Q(t)$ is the map appearing in (5.16). Then by (5.16) we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [X; F(V_G)] & \xrightarrow{\lambda(V_G)} & [X; Q(B(V_G)^{\zeta_G})] \\ \downarrow \varphi_\# & & \downarrow t_\# \\ [X; F(V_H)] & \xrightarrow{\lambda(V_H)} & [X; Q(B(V_H)^{\zeta_H})]. \end{array} \quad (6.10)$$

Actually, by the methods of [6], one can construct in a natural way, a map $t: Q(B(V_G)^{\zeta_G}) \rightarrow Q(B(V_H)^{\zeta_H})$ which realizes the transfer $t_\#$. Since we will not need such a map, we do not carry out the construction here.

If V is the trivial G -module $\{0\}$ we shall write F_G in place of $F(V)$ and B_G^ζ in place of $B(V)^\zeta$. Thus, F_G is the injective limit of the space of G -equivariant self maps of $S(kW)$ and B_G^ζ is the Thom space of the bundle with fiber $\text{Ad}(G)$ associated to the universal principal G -bundle.

We shall now examine some special cases of the preceding results. First, if G is the trivial group we write F in place of F_G . In this case $B_G^\zeta = S^{\infty+}$ may be identified

with S^0 by collapsing S^∞ to a point. Let us write $Q^{(0)}(S^0)$ (respectively, $Q^{(1)}(S^0)$) to denote $Q(S^0)$ with the constant map (respectively, the identity map) as base point. We will relate

$$\lambda: [X; F] \rightarrow [X; Q^{(0)}(S^0)] \tag{6.11}$$

to a more familiar map. Let

$$T: [X; Q^{(1)}(S^0)] \rightarrow [X; Q^{(0)}(S^0)] \tag{6.12}$$

be defined as follows. First let $T': \Omega^k(S^k) \rightarrow \Omega^k(S^k)$ send f to the composite

$$S^k \xrightarrow{h} S^k \vee S^k \xrightarrow{1 \vee Rf} S^k \vee S^k \xrightarrow{g} S^k,$$

where h is the pinching map, R is the reflection $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \rightarrow (-x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$, and g is the folding map. (With respect to loop addition T' sends f to $1-f$). Let $H: S^k \times I \rightarrow S^k$ denote the canonical homotopy from $T'(1)$ to the constant map. Then T is to be the injective limit of

$$[X' \Omega^k(S^k)] \xrightarrow{T' \#} [X; \Omega^k(S^k)] \xrightarrow{H \#} [X; \Omega^k(S^k)]$$

There is also a natural inclusion $\iota: F \rightarrow Q^{(1)}(S^0)$ defined by sending $f: S(R^k) \rightarrow S(R^k)$ to its radial extension $f': S^k \rightarrow S^k$ given by $f'(tv) = tf(v)$, $t \geq 0$, $|v| \geq 1$.

(6.13) THEOREM. *The triangle*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [X, F] & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & [X; Q^{(0)}(S^0)] \\ & \searrow \iota \# & \nearrow T \\ & [X; Q^{(1)}(S^0)] & \end{array}$$

is commutative.

A proof of (6.13) will be given in Section 9.

Now let K denote one of the fields R, C or H , the real, complex, or quaternionic numbers and let d denote the dimension of K over R . Let $G = S^{d-1}$ and let V denote the standard representation of G on R^d given by scalar multiplication. Then the space $F(kV)$, which we shall now denote by L_k , is the injective limit over n of the spaces L_k^n , where L_k^n is the space of S^{d-1} -equivariant maps $S^{d(n-k)-1} \rightarrow S^{dn-1}$.

Let S^{d-1} act on $S^{dn-1} \times S^{d-1}$ by $(x, y) \rightarrow (gx, gyg^{-1})$, $g \in S^{d-1}$. The *quasi-projective* space \tilde{P}_n defined by James [12] is the space obtained from $S^{dn-1} \times S^{d-1} / S^{d-1}$ by identifying the section $S^{dn-1} \times \{1\} / S^{d-1}$ to a point (see [2; section 5]). It is easy to see that \tilde{P}_n is the Thom space of the bundle with fiber $\text{Ad}(S^{d-1})$ associated with the bundle $S^{dn-1} \rightarrow P_n$, where P_n is the projective space S^{dn-1} / S^{d-1} [2]. Let $\tilde{P}_\infty = \text{inj lim}_n \tilde{P}_n$ and let \tilde{P}_0 be the base point of \tilde{P}_∞ . Then with these changes in notation we obtain

from (6.6) a homotopy equivalence

$$L_k \simeq Q(\bar{P}_\infty / \bar{P}_k). \quad (6.14)$$

In particular,

$$F_{S^{d-1}} \simeq Q(\bar{P}_\infty). \quad (6.15)$$

Note that $R\bar{P}_\infty = RP^{\infty+}$ and $C\bar{P}^\infty = (CP^{\infty+}) \wedge S^1$.

7. Morphisms of Sectioned Bundles

In this section we take up some properties of the mapping set $[\alpha, \beta]$ which will be needed to establish the functorial properties of the transformation λ .

Suppose that N is a manifold and $M \subset N$ is a submanifold with normal bundle ω . Let $E_\omega \subset N$ as a tubular neighborhood. Then if α is a sectioned bundle over N we have

$$h_x: \alpha|_{E_\omega} \rightarrow p_\omega^*(\alpha|M), \quad \tilde{h}_x: \alpha|_{E_\omega} \rightarrow \alpha|M$$

as in (4.2) and (4.3). Let β denote another sectioned bundle over N and define

$$e: [\bar{\omega} \wedge \alpha|M, \beta|M] \rightarrow [\alpha, \beta] \quad (7.1)$$

by

$$e(f)(a_x) = \begin{cases} h_\beta^{-1}(x, f(x \wedge \tilde{h}_\alpha(a_x))), & x \notin E_\omega \\ \Delta_\beta(x), & x \in E_\omega. \end{cases}$$

The map e is easily seen to be natural with respect to suspension. That is, if γ is another sectioned bundle over N , the following diagram is commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [\bar{\omega} \wedge \alpha|M, \beta|M] & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & [\bar{\omega} \wedge (\alpha \wedge \gamma)|M, (\beta \wedge \gamma)|M] \\ \downarrow e & & \downarrow e \\ [\alpha, \beta] & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & [\alpha \wedge \gamma, \beta \wedge \gamma]. \end{array} \quad (7.2)$$

The relation between e and the Pontrjagin-Thom map $c: T(\alpha) \rightarrow T(\bar{\omega} \wedge \alpha|M)$ is given by the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [\bar{\omega} \wedge \alpha|M, \beta|M] & \xrightarrow{T} & [T(\bar{\omega} \wedge \alpha|M), T(\beta|M)] \\ \downarrow e & & \downarrow c^* \\ & & [T(\alpha), T(\beta|M)] \\ & & \downarrow i_* \\ [\alpha, \beta] & \xrightarrow{T} & [T(\alpha), T(\beta)]. \end{array} \quad (7.3)$$

Here $i: T(\beta | M) \rightarrow T(\beta)$ denotes the inclusion. To prove (7.3) we have

$$T e(f)(a_x) = \begin{cases} h_\beta^{-1}(x, f(x \wedge \tilde{h}_x(a_x))), & x \in E_\omega \\ \infty, & x \notin E_\omega, \end{cases}$$

and

$$i: T(f) c(a_x) = \begin{cases} f(x \wedge \tilde{h}_x(a_x)), & x \in E_\omega \\ \infty, & x \notin E_\omega. \end{cases}$$

A connecting homotopy H is given by

$$H(a_x, t) = \begin{cases} h_\beta^{-1}(tx, f(x \wedge \tilde{h}_x(a_x))), & x \in E_\omega \\ \infty, & x \notin E_\omega. \end{cases}$$

Now consider the restriction map

$$r: [\alpha, \beta] \rightarrow [\alpha | M, \beta | M]. \quad (7.4)$$

Note that for $f: \alpha \rightarrow \beta$ we have $\tilde{h}_\beta f \simeq f | M \tilde{h}_\alpha$ since both are the end of a homotopy from $\alpha | E_\omega$ to $\beta | E_\omega$ which begins at f and covers the homotopy j_t of (4.1). From this observation and a straightforward calculation we obtain the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [\alpha, \beta] & \xrightarrow{T} & [T(\alpha), T(\beta)] \\ \downarrow r & & \downarrow c^\# \\ [\alpha | M, \beta | M] & & [T(\alpha), T(\beta | M \wedge \bar{\omega})] \\ \downarrow \sigma & & \downarrow c^\# \\ [\alpha | M \wedge \bar{\omega}, \beta | M \wedge \bar{\omega}] & \xrightarrow{T} & [T(\alpha | M \wedge \bar{\omega}), T(\beta | M \wedge \bar{\omega})]. \end{array} \quad (7.5)$$

Suppose now that $p: M \rightarrow N$ is a map and α, β are sectioned bundles over N . There is then the induced map

$$p^*: [\alpha, \beta] \rightarrow [p^*(\alpha), p^*(\beta)] \quad (7.6)$$

defined by $p^*(f)(m, a) = (m, f(a))$, $m \in M$, $a \in E_\alpha$. Suppose further that $p: M \rightarrow N$ is a differentiable fiber bundle. Let $\hat{p}: M \rightarrow N \times R^s$ be an embedding homotopic to p , let ω denote the normal bundle, and let $\pi: N \times R^s \rightarrow N$ denote the projection. Let us also choose \hat{p} so that $\pi \hat{p} = p$. Then $p^*(\alpha) = \pi^*(\alpha) | M$ and under this identification the map p^* of (7.6) corresponds to

$$[\alpha, \beta] \xrightarrow{\pi^*} [\pi^*(\alpha), \pi^*(\beta)] \xrightarrow{r} [p^*(\alpha), p^*(\beta)].$$

where r is the restriction map. Hence by the commutativity of (7.5) and the definition

of the transfer t , we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 [\alpha, \beta] \xrightarrow{T} [T(\alpha), T(\beta)] \xrightarrow{\sigma} [T(\alpha) \wedge S^s, T(\beta) \wedge S^s] & & \\
 \downarrow p^* & & \downarrow t_{\#} \\
 [p^*(\alpha), p^*(\beta)] & & [T(\alpha) \wedge S^s, T(p^*(\beta) \wedge \bar{\omega})] \\
 \downarrow \sigma & & \downarrow t_{\#} \\
 [p^*(\alpha) \wedge \bar{\omega}, p^*(\beta) \wedge \bar{\omega}] \xrightarrow{T} [T(p^*(\alpha) \wedge \bar{\omega}), T(p^*(\beta) \wedge \bar{\omega})]. & &
 \end{array} \tag{7.7}$$

8. The Functorial Properties of λ

We will first establish property (5.10). Let U, V , and W be free G -modules such that $V \subset U \subset W$. Let V^* denote the orthogonal complement of V in W and V^{**} the orthogonal complement of V in U . We then have $M(V^{**}) \subset M(V^*)$. We let η, ζ, τ denote the bundles over $M(V^*)$ which appear in the definition of $\lambda(V | W)$ and η_0, ζ_0, τ_0 those over $M(V^{**})$ which appear in the definition of $\lambda(V | U)$. Let ω denote the normal bundle of $M(V^{**})$ in $M(V^*)$.

Let X be a finite complex. Since the restriction of τ to $M(V^{**})$ is $\tau_0 \oplus \omega$, we have

$$[\dot{X}, \overline{\eta_0 \oplus \zeta_0 \oplus \tau_0}] \xrightarrow{\sigma} [\dot{X} \wedge \bar{\omega}, \overline{\eta_0 \oplus \zeta_0 \oplus \tau_0 \oplus \omega}] \xrightarrow{\hat{e}} [\dot{X}, \overline{\eta \oplus \zeta \oplus \tau}].$$

and we denote this composite by \hat{e} . A lengthy but straightforward calculation shows that the following diagram is commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 [X, F(V | U)] \xrightarrow{\theta_{\#}} [\dot{X}, \overline{\eta_0 \oplus \zeta_0 \oplus \tau_0}] & & \\
 \downarrow j_{\#} & & \downarrow \hat{e} \\
 [X, F(V | W)] \xrightarrow{\theta_{\#}} [\dot{X}, \overline{\eta \oplus \zeta \oplus \tau}] & &
 \end{array} \tag{8.1}$$

Now let $M(V^*) \subset R^s$ with normal bundle ν and let ν_0 denote normal bundle of the composite embedding $M(V^{**}) \subset R^s$. Then $\nu_0 \simeq \omega \oplus (\nu | M(V^{**}))$ so that, by (7.2) and the definition of \hat{e} we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 [\dot{X}, \overline{\eta_0 \oplus \zeta_0 \oplus \tau_0}] \xrightarrow{\sigma} [\dot{X} \wedge \bar{\nu}_0, \overline{\eta_0 \oplus \zeta_0 \oplus \tau_0 \oplus \nu_0}] & & \\
 \downarrow \hat{e} & & \downarrow e \\
 [\dot{X}, \overline{\eta \oplus \zeta \oplus \tau}] \xrightarrow{\sigma} [\dot{X} \wedge \bar{\nu}, \overline{\eta \oplus \zeta \oplus \tau \oplus \nu}]. & &
 \end{array} \tag{8.2}$$

Let $\psi: \tau \oplus \nu \rightarrow R^s$ and $\psi_0: \tau_0 \oplus \nu_0 \rightarrow R^s$ denote the trivializations associated with the embeddings. Since ψ_0 is the restriction of ψ we have the commutativity relation.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 [\dot{X} \wedge \bar{\nu}_0, \overline{\eta_0 \oplus \zeta_0 \oplus \tau_0 \oplus \nu_0}] \xrightarrow{(1 \oplus \psi_0)_{\#}} [\dot{X} \wedge \bar{\nu}_0, \overline{\eta_0 \oplus \zeta_0 \oplus R^s}] & & \\
 \downarrow e & & \downarrow e \\
 [\dot{X} \wedge \bar{\nu}, \overline{\eta \oplus \zeta \oplus \tau \oplus \nu}] \xrightarrow{(1 \oplus \psi)_{\#}} [\dot{X} \wedge \bar{\nu}, \overline{\eta \oplus \zeta \oplus R^s}]. & &
 \end{array} \tag{8.3}$$

Now, by (7.3) we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 [\dot{X} \wedge \bar{v}_0, \overline{\eta_0 \oplus \zeta_0 \oplus R^s}] & \xrightarrow{T} & [X \wedge T(v_0), T(\eta_0 \oplus \zeta_0) \wedge S^s] \\
 \downarrow e & & \downarrow c^\# \\
 & & [X \wedge T(v), T(\eta_0 \oplus \zeta_0) \wedge S^s] \\
 & & \downarrow i_\# \\
 [\dot{X} \wedge \bar{v}, \overline{\eta \oplus \zeta \oplus R^s}] & \xrightarrow{T} & [X \wedge T(v), T(\eta \oplus \zeta) \wedge S^s].
 \end{array} \tag{8.4}$$

Property (5.10) now follows easily from the commutativity of the diagrams (8.1) through (8.4), together with the relation

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & T(v) \\
 & \nearrow c & \downarrow c \\
 S^s & & \\
 & \searrow c & T(v_0).
 \end{array} \tag{8.5}$$

We turn now to the proof of (5.16). Let V_G and W_G be free G -modules such that $V_G \subset W_G$ and let V_H and W_H denote their underlying H -modules. Let $p: M(V_G^*) \rightarrow M(V_G^*)$ denote the projection and choose an embedding $\hat{p}: M(V_H^*) \rightarrow M(V_G^*) \times R^{s_1}$ such that $\pi \hat{p} = p$, where $\pi: M(V_G^*) \times R^{s_1} \rightarrow M(V_G^*)$ is the projection. Let ω denote the normal bundle to this embedding. The bundles over $M(V_G^*)$ which appear in the definition of λ will be denoted by a subscript G and those over $M(V_H^*)$ by a subscript H . We then have $p^*(\eta_G) = \eta_H$ and $p^*(\zeta_G \oplus \tau_G) = \zeta_H \oplus \tau_H$.

We have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 [X, F(V_G | W_G)] & \xrightarrow{\theta} & [\dot{X}, \overline{\eta_G \oplus \zeta_G \oplus \tau_G}] \\
 \downarrow \varphi & & \downarrow p^* \\
 [X, F(V_H | W_H)] & \xrightarrow{\theta} & [\dot{X}, \overline{\eta_H \oplus \zeta_H \oplus \tau_H}]
 \end{array} \tag{8.6}$$

Now choose an embedding $M(V_G^*) \subset R^{s_1}$ with normal bundle v_G and let v_H denote the normal bundle of the composite embedding

$$M(V_H^*) \xrightarrow{\hat{p}} M(V_G^*) \times R^{s_1} \rightarrow R^{s_1 + s_2}.$$

We then have the relation

$$v_H \simeq p^*(v_G) \oplus \omega, \tag{8.7}$$

and from (4.6),

$$\zeta_H \oplus R^{s_1} \simeq p^*(\zeta_G) \oplus \omega. \tag{8.8}$$

Let $\psi_G: \tau_G \oplus \nu_G \rightarrow R^{s_2}$ and $\psi_H: \tau_H \oplus \nu_H \rightarrow R^{s_1+s_2}$ denote the trivializations associated with the embeddings. Making use of the identifications (8.7) and (8.8) we have the following commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 [\dot{X}, \overline{\eta_G \oplus \zeta_G \oplus \tau_G}] & \xrightarrow{(1 \oplus \psi_G) \# \sigma} & [\dot{X} \wedge \bar{\nu}_G, \overline{\eta_G \oplus \zeta_G \oplus R^{s_2}}] \\
 \downarrow p^* & & \downarrow p^* \\
 & & [\dot{X} \wedge p^*(\bar{\nu}_G), \overline{\eta_H \oplus p^*(\zeta_G) \oplus R^{s_2}}] \\
 & & \downarrow \sigma \\
 [\dot{X}, \overline{\eta_H \oplus \zeta_H \oplus \tau_H}] & \xrightarrow{(1 \oplus \psi_H) \# \sigma} & [\dot{X} \wedge \bar{\nu}_H, \overline{\eta_H \oplus \zeta_H \oplus R^{s_1+s_2}}]
 \end{array} \quad (8.9)$$

Next, by the commutativity of (7.8) we have (see (4.7))

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 [\dot{X} \wedge \bar{\nu}_G, \eta_G \oplus \zeta_G \oplus R^{s_2}] & \xrightarrow{T} & [X \wedge T(\nu_G), T(\eta_G \oplus \zeta_G) \wedge S^{s_2}] \\
 \downarrow p^* & & \downarrow \sigma \\
 [\dot{X} \wedge p^*(\bar{\nu}_G), \eta_H \oplus p^*(\zeta_G) \oplus R^{s_2}] & & [X \wedge T(\nu_G) \wedge S^{s_1}, T(\eta_G \oplus \zeta_G) \wedge S^{s_1+s_2}] \\
 \downarrow \sigma & & \downarrow t \# \\
 [\dot{X} \wedge \nu_H, \eta_H \oplus \zeta_H \oplus R^{s_1+s_2}] & \xrightarrow{T} & [X \wedge T(\nu_H), T(\eta_H \oplus \zeta_H) \wedge S^{s_1+s_2}] \\
 & & \uparrow (1 \wedge t) \#
 \end{array} \quad (8.10)$$

Finally, from the relation

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & T(\nu_G) \wedge S^{s_1} & \\
 c \swarrow & \downarrow t & \\
 S^{s_1+s_2} & & T(\nu_H) \\
 c \searrow & &
 \end{array}$$

we obtain the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 [X \wedge T(\nu_G), T(\eta_G \oplus \zeta_G) \wedge S^{s_2}] & \xrightarrow{c \#} & [X \wedge S^{s_2}, T(\eta_G \oplus \zeta_G^G) \wedge S^{s_2}] \\
 \downarrow t \# \sigma & & \downarrow t \# \sigma \\
 [X \wedge T(\nu_G) \wedge S^{s_1}, T(\eta_H \oplus \zeta_H) \wedge S^{s_1+s_2}] & & \\
 \uparrow (1 \wedge t) \# & \searrow (1 \wedge c) \# & \\
 [X \wedge T(\nu_H), T(\eta_H \oplus \zeta_H) \wedge S^{s_1+s_2}] & \xrightarrow{(1 \wedge t) \#} & [X \wedge S^{s_1+s_2}, T(\eta_H \oplus \zeta_H) \wedge S^{s_1+s_2}]
 \end{array} \quad (8.11)$$

Property (5.16) now follows from the commutativity of the diagrams (8.6) through (8.11).

Property (5.13) requires a similar analysis but we will leave the details to the reader. The key relation needed here is given in (7.5).

9. Proof of (6.13)

Let X be a finite complex such that $\dim(X) < n-1$ and let p_2 denote the projection $X \times S^n \rightarrow S^n$. The proof of (6.13) is based on the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} [X \times S(R^n), S(R^n)] & \xrightarrow{s} & [X \times S^n, S^n] & \xrightarrow{T'} & [X \times S^n, S^n] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ [X, F] & \xrightarrow{i} & [X, Q^{(1)}(S^0)] & \xrightarrow{T} & [X, Q^{(0)}(S^0)] \end{array}$$

Here s is defined by $s(f)(x, tv) = tf(x, v)$, $t \geq 0$, $|v|=1$. The vertical maps are given by the obvious exponential correspondence and T' is the map $T'(u) = [p_2] - u$. Since we are in the stable range $[X \times S^n, S^n]$ has a natural abelian group structure.

Let $f: X \times S(R^n) \rightarrow S(R^n)$ represent an element of $[X, F]$ and let

$$\lambda(f): X \times S^n \rightarrow S^n \quad (9.2)$$

represent its image under the equivalence $\lambda: [X, F] \rightarrow [X, Q^{(0)}(S^0)]$. From the commutativity of the above diagram it is sufficient to show that

$$[\lambda(f)] = [p_2] - [s(f)]. \quad (9.3)$$

To do this we will give an explicit description of $\lambda(f)$. The standard embedding $S(R^n) \subset R^n$ has a trivial normal bundle and a tubular neighborhood map $S(R^n) \times R \rightarrow R^n$ is given by $(v, t) \rightarrow e^t v$. Hence, the associated Pontrjagin-Thom map

$$c: S^n \rightarrow S^1 \times S(R^n)/S(R^n)$$

is given by $c(v) = (\log|v|, v/|v|)$. (It will be understood throughout this section that a point for which a formula is not defined is to be mapped to the base point.)

Let $\psi: \gamma \oplus \hat{R} \rightarrow R^n$ denote the standard trivialization $\psi((v, w) \oplus t) = tv + w$. If v is a non-zero vector let $\hat{v} = v/|v|$. Using this data to construct λ , we have

$$\lambda(f)(x, v) = \frac{f(x, \hat{v}) - (\hat{v} \cdot f(x, \hat{v})) \hat{v}}{1 - \hat{v} \cdot f(x, \hat{v})} + \log|v| \hat{v}.$$

Let

$$h: S^n \times S^n \rightarrow S^n \quad (9.4)$$

be defined by

$$h(v, w) = \frac{\hat{w} - (\hat{v} \cdot \hat{w}) \hat{v}}{1 - \hat{v} \cdot \hat{w}} + \log|v| \hat{v}.$$

Let $a \in \pi_n(S^n)$ denote a generator and let $a_1, a_2 \in \pi_n(S^n \times S^n)$ denote the image of a under inclusion onto the first and second factor respectively.

(9.5) LEMMA. *Suppose that n is odd. Then $h_*(a_1) = a$ and $h_*(a_2) = -a$.*

Proof. Since h maps the diagonal to the base point we have $h_*(a_1 + a_2) = 0$. Now let $d: S^n \rightarrow S^n \times S^n$ send v to $(v, -v)$ and consider the composite $hd: S^n \rightarrow S^n$. Its adjoint $(hd)': S(R^n) \rightarrow \Omega(S^n)$ is given by

$$(hd)'(v)(t) = \begin{cases} \log(t)v, & t > 0 \\ -\log(t)v, & t < 0. \end{cases}$$

Let $i: S(R^n) \rightarrow \Omega(S^n)$ denote the adjoint of the identity. Evidently, $(hd)'$ represents $[i] - [iA]$, where $A: S(R^n) \rightarrow S(R^n)$ is the antipodal map. If n is odd $[iA] = -[i]$ and $(hd)'$ represents $2[i]$. Therefore hd has degree 2. Since $d_*(a) = a_1 - a_2$ we have $h_*(a_1 - a_2) = 2a$. The lemma follows now from this and the relation $h_*(a_1 + a_2) = 0$.

We suppose now that n is odd. The map $\lambda(f)$ admits a factorization

$$X \times S^n \xrightarrow{f} S^n \times S^n \xrightarrow{h} S^n$$

where $\tilde{f}(x, v) = (v, s(f)(x, v))$. Because of the dimensional restriction on X we may deform \tilde{f} into $S^n \vee S^n$. That is, there exists a homotopy commutative diagram of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \times S^n & \xrightarrow{f} & S^n \times S^n \xrightarrow{h} S^n \\ & \searrow \tilde{f} & \uparrow \\ & & S^n \vee S^n \end{array}$$

It now follows from the lemma and an elementary diagram chase that $h\tilde{f} = \lambda(f)$ represents $[p_2] - [s(f)]$.

10. The Image of $\pi_*(F_G)$ in $\pi_*(F)$, $G = Z_p$.

The stable homotopy theoretic interpretation of the forgetful homomorphism from F_G to F_H yields considerable information on the image of $\pi_*(F_G)$ in $\pi_*(F_H)$. There is a natural division into two cases depending on whether G is finite or infinite; we defer the infinite case to the next two sections.

We begin with an easy observation.

(10.1) PROPOSITION. *Suppose G is finite and admits a free linear representation. Then the induced homomorphism*

$$\pi_*(F_G) \otimes Z[|G|^{-1}] \rightarrow \pi_*(F) \otimes Z[|G|^{-1}]$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. According to (6.10), the above map is equivalent to the transfer homomorphism

$$\tau_*: \mathbf{S}_*(B_G^+) \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_*(S^{\infty+})$$

tensored with $Z[|G|^{-1}]$. However, if $p: S^\infty \rightarrow B_G$ is projection, the composite $(p^+)_* \circ \tau_*$ is an isomorphism when tensored with $Z[|G|^{-1}]$ (see [23]). By a spectral sequence argument, $(p^+)_*$ is an isomorphism when tensored with $Z[|G|^{-1}]$. Hence the same is true of τ_* .

As one might expect, considerably stronger results hold for suitable choices of G . We limit our discussion to the following

(10.2) THEOREM. *Let $G = Z_p$, where p is a prime. Then the forgetful map from $\pi_*(F_G)$ to $\pi_*(F)$ is surjective in positive dimensions.*

Proof. By (10.1) the image of the forgetful map contains all torsion in $\pi_*(F)$ of order prime to p . Since $\pi_*(F)$ is finite in positive dimensions, it suffices to prove that the p -primary component of $\pi_*(F_G)$ maps onto the p -primary component of $\pi_*(F)$ in positive dimensions. We shall establish this using results of D. S. Kahn and S. B. Priddy [16]; the cases $p=2$ and $p \neq 2$ require separate treatment.

Case 1. $p=2$. In this case $B_G = RP^\infty$. Embed RP^∞ in the infinite special orthogonal group via the reflection construction; since SO is contained in F_G (linear maps are Z_2 -equivariant) and F_{Z_2} is homotopy equivalent to $Q(RP^{\infty+})$, this yields a map from RP^∞ to $Q(RP^{\infty+})$. The results of [18] imply the existence of a unique map

$$h: Q(RP^\infty) \rightarrow Q(RP^{\infty+}) \tag{10.3}$$

which is a map of infinite loop spaces and makes the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \pi_*(RP^\infty) & \longrightarrow & \pi_*(Q(RP^\infty)) & & \\
 \downarrow p^* & & \downarrow h^* & & \\
 \pi_*(SO) & \rightarrow & \pi_*(F_{Z_2}) & \xrightarrow{\lambda_{2*}} & \pi_*(Q(RP^{\infty+})) \\
 \searrow J^* & & \downarrow & & \downarrow t^* \\
 & & \pi_*(F) & \xrightarrow{\lambda_{1*}} & \pi_*(Q(S^0))
 \end{array} \tag{10.4}$$

It is well-known that $\lambda_1 Jp$ induces an isomorphism of fundamental groups. Thus by [16, Theorem 4.1] its adjoint induces a surjection of 2-primary components in positive-dimensional homotopy. But this adjoint induces $t_* h_*$ in homotopy by standard adjoint functor formulas, and hence t_* must also induce a surjection of 2-primary components in positive-dimensional homotopy.

Case 2. $p \neq 2$. Suppose $f: X \rightarrow QY$ is continuous where X and Y are pointed CW-complexes. Then there is an essentially unique factorization of f through Y as an S -map (i.e., in the category of CW-spectra). Hence for any cohomology theory h^* there is a canonical induced homomorphism

$$f^*: h^*(Y) \rightarrow h^*(X) \tag{10.6}$$

making the following diagram commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} h^*(Q(Y)) & \xrightarrow{f^*} & h^*(X) \\ \downarrow i^* & \nearrow f^* & \\ h^*(Y) & & \end{array}$$

Furthermore the correspondence $f \rightarrow f^*$ is functorial. Let $L = B_{Z_p}$ and let $t: Q(L^+) \rightarrow Q(S^0)$ denote some map which realizes the transformation

$$t_* : [\ ; Q(L^+)] \rightarrow [\ ; Q(S^0)].$$

For any such choice of t we have the following commutative diagram (where H^* denotes singular cohomology with Z_p coefficients).

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & H^*(F) & \xleftarrow{\lambda^*} & H^*(Q(S^0)) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow i^* \\ H^*(U) & \leftarrow & H^*(F_{Z_p}) & \xleftarrow{\lambda^*} & H^*(Q(L^+)) \\ & & \searrow \lambda^* & & \downarrow i^* \\ & & & & H^*(L^+) \end{array} \tag{10.7}$$

Let $\sigma(q_i) \in H^{2i(p-1)-1}(F)$ represent the loop-suspension of the i -th Wu class

$$q_i \in H^{2i(p-1)}(BF) \tag{10.8}$$

and let $r_i = \lambda^{*-1}(\sigma(q_i))$. By the results of Kahn and Priddy [16, Remark 4.3] together with a lemma of Tsuchiya [30, Lemma 6.3], in order to show that the adjoint of the composite

$$L^+ \xrightarrow{t} Q(L^+) \xrightarrow{t} Q(S^0)$$

induces an epimorphism of p -primary components in stable homotopy (in positive dimensions) it is sufficient to show that the images of the r_i in $H^{2i(p-1)-1}(L^+)$ are non zero. From the diagram (10.7) this will follow by showing that the classes $\sigma(q_i)$ map non-trivially into $H^*(U)$. Now the image of $\sigma(q_i)$ in $H^*(U)$ is the loop-suspension of the i -th Wu class in $H^*(BU)$ which is a non zero multiple of the Chern class of dimension $(p-1) i$ modulo decomposables (see [33] or [30, p. 120]). Hence it is non zero in $H^*(U)$.

11. The Image of $\pi_*(F_G)$ in $\pi_*(F)$, G Infinite

In contrast to the above results for $G = Z_p$ the image of $\pi_k(F_G)$ in $\pi_k(F)$ is always a proper subgroup if G is infinite and $k \equiv \pm 1 \pmod 8$ with the exception of $k = 1$ if

$G \neq S^3$ (since F_{S^3} is 2-connected by (6.6), clearly the generator of $\pi_1(F) = Z_2$ does not come from $\pi_*(F_{S^3})$). The proof has two basic ingredients – an investigation of the image of $\pi_*(U)$ in $\pi_*(F)$ and a computation of the Adams e -invariant of elements in $\pi_*(F)$ which come from torsion in $\pi_*(F_{S^1})$.

In [8] Browder essentially proved that $\pi_*(U) \rightarrow \pi_*(F_{S^1})$ is monic. Using his methods one can prove a much stronger result.

(11.1) THEOREM. *The map from $\pi_*(U)$ to $\pi_*(F_{S^1})$ is an injection onto a direct summand, and the complementary summand of the latter group is finite.*

We shall need the notion of G -equivariant fiber bundle as defined by Tom Dieck [29]; however, all of our equivariant bundles will be over trivial G -spaces, and hence the formulation of equivariant local triviality is easily understandable. In particular, if $\text{Top}(X, \varphi)$ is the group of G -equivariant homeomorphisms of the G -space X with action $\varphi: G \times X \rightarrow X$, then equivariant (X, φ) bundles over a trivial base are classified by maps from the base into $B \text{Top}(X, \varphi)$.

The Dold-Lashof classification of ordinary fiber bundles up to fiber homotopy type [10, Theorem 7.5, p. 303] generalizes to equivariant fiber bundles over trivial G -spaces with only minor changes.

(11.2) PROPOSITION. *Let (X, φ) be as above, and let $F(X, \varphi)$ be its space of equivariant self-maps. Two equivariant fiber bundles over a CW complex with fiber (X, φ) are equivariantly fiber homotopy equivalent if and only if the composites of their classifying maps with the induced function*

$$B \text{Top}(X, \varphi) \rightarrow BF(X, \varphi).$$

are homotopic.

The following result generalizes the main step in Browder's argument. It is apparently well-known but (to our knowledge) unpublished.

(11.3) LEMMA. (i) *Let ξ be an n -dimensional complex vector bundle over a finite complex, and assume that its unit sphere bundle is equivariantly fiber homotopically trivial (with the obvious free S^1 action). Then the complex K -theoretic Chern classes of ξ are trivial.* (ii) *Let ξ be an n -dimensional quaternionic vector bundle over a finite complex, and assume that the unit sphere bundle of ξ is equivariantly fiber homotopically trivial (with the obvious free S^3 action). Then the real K -theoretic symplectic Pontrjagin classes of ξ are trivial.*

The characteristic classes mentioned above are defined in [9].

Proof. (i) Let $S(\xi)$ be the associated S^{2n-1} bundle of ξ and let $P(\xi)$ be the associated CP^{n-1} bundle. Then $S(\xi) \rightarrow P(\xi)$ is a principal S^1 bundle projection we shall call the *canonical line bundle* of ξ . An equivariant fiber homotopy equivalence

from $S(\xi)$ to $B \times S^{2n-1}$ induces a fiber homotopy equivalence from $P(\xi)$ to $B \times CP^{n-1}$ under which the canonical line bundle over $B \times CP^{n-1}$ (namely, $\text{id} \times p: B \times S^{2n-1} \rightarrow B \times (P^{n-1})$) pulls back to the canonical line bundle on ξ . Since K -theoretic Chern classes satisfy an analog of the Grothendieck relation for ordinary Chern classes (compare [9, pp. 45–48] or [3, pp. 84, 109], Browder’s argument [8, p. 33] works for complex K -theory as well as singular cohomology.

(ii) This follows from a virtually identical argument with canonical quaternionic line bundles replacing complex line bundles and KO -theoretic symplectic Pontrjagin classes [9, pp. 45–48, 52–58] replacing K -theoretic Chern classes.

(11.4) COROLLARY. *If ξ satisfies the hypotheses of Proposition 8.3, it is stably trivial.*

Proof. The results of [9, Section 9] show that the first K -theoretic Chern or symplectic Pontrjagin class of ξ is its stable equivalence class in $K^2(X) \cong \widetilde{K}(X)$ or $KO^4(X) \cong \widetilde{KSp}(X)$.

Proof of Theorem (11.1). Since U and F_{S^1} are both arcwise connected, the result is trivial for π_0 . We shall first prove the result for π_1 and use the low-dimensional cases in providing the higher-dimensional ones.

Let $F(CP^{n-1})$ be the space of self maps of CP^{n-1} . Regarding C^n as an S^1 module we have the space $F_{S^1}(C^n)$. A result of James [13] states that the ‘passage to orbit space’ homomorphism

$$F_{S^1}(C^n) \rightarrow F(CP^{n-1}) \tag{11.5}$$

is a fibration whose fiber is homeomorphic to the space of functions from CP^{n-1} to S^1 . It is easy to show that the latter is a $K(Z, 1)$ and the inclusion of S^1 as the set of diagonal matrices is an explicit homotopy equivalence. Thus we have the following commutative diagram whose rows represent fibrations and whose left-hand vertical map is a homotopy equivalence;

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} S^1 & \rightarrow & U_n & \longrightarrow & PSU_n \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \rightarrow & F_{S^1}(C^n) & \rightarrow & F(CP^{n-1}) \end{array} \tag{11.6}$$

as usual, PSU_n denotes the projective group. Consider the induced mappings of fundamental groups; in the first row one obtains the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow Z \rightarrow Z \rightarrow Z_n \rightarrow 0$. By (11.4), the induced map from $\pi_1(U_n)=Z$ to $\pi_1(F_{S^1}(C^n))$ is monic. Thus the induced map from $\pi_1(X)$ to $\pi_1(F_{S^1}(C^n))$ is also monic; notice that $\pi_1(F_{S^1}(C^n))=Z$ holds if $n \geq 2$ by Theorem (5.7). An application of [26, Theorem 4.11, p. 452] shows that $\pi_1(F(CP^{n-1})) \cong Z_n$, and it follows that the bottom row of the above diagram also yields the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow Z \rightarrow Z \rightarrow Z_n \rightarrow 0$ in funda-

mental groups. But this forces the map from $\pi_1(U_n)$ to $\pi_1(F_{S^1}(C^n))$ to be an isomorphism. Since $\pi_1(U_n) \cong \pi_1(U)$ and $\pi_1(F_{S^1}(C^n)) \cong \pi_1(F_{S^1})$ if n is large, the proof of the theorem in dimension 1 is complete.

Consider the following extended fibration sequence

$$U_n \rightarrow F_{S^1}(C^n) \xrightarrow{g} Y_n \xrightarrow{f} BU_n \xrightarrow{h} BF_{S^1}(C^n). \quad (11.7)$$

By the results of the previous paragraphs, Y_n is 1-connected. Thus Lemma (6.5) and results of Stasheff [27] and Milnor [19] imply Y_n has the homotopy type of a CW complex with finitely many cells in each dimension.

Let W_n be the $2n$ -skeleton of such a complex homotopically equivalent to Y_n , and let $j: W_n \rightarrow Y_n$ be the 'inclusion' map. Then hfg is homotopically trivial, so that the composite of f_i with the canonical map from BU_n to BU is homotopically trivial by Corollary (11.4). Since (BU, BU_n) is $(2n+1)$ -connected and $\dim W_n \leq 2n$, it follows that fg is homotopically trivial. Since f is a fibration, this means that j factors through g up to homotopy. Since g is a fibration, this means that the induced fibration

$$U_n \rightarrow j^*F_{S^1}(C^n) \rightarrow W_n$$

has a cross section. Therefore

$$\pi_*(j^*F_{S^1}(C^n)) \cong \pi_*(W_n) \oplus \pi_*(U_n).$$

However, the pair $(F_{S^1}(C^n), j^*F_{S^1}(C^n))$ is $2n$ -connected, and hence it is immediate that $\pi_i(U_n) \rightarrow \pi_i(F_{S^1}(C^n))$ is an injection onto a direct summand if $i < 2n$. Since (U, U_n) is $2n$ -connected and $(F_{S^1}, F_{S^1}(C^n))$ is $(2n-2)$ -connected by 5.5 and 6.6, an obvious diagram chase shows that $\pi_*(U) \rightarrow \pi_*(F_{S^1})$ is also an injection onto a direct summand. The finiteness of the complementary summand follows because rank $\pi_i(F_{S^1})$ is 1 if i is odd and 0 if i is even, the same as the corresponding rank of $\pi_i(U)$.

(11.8) *Addendum to 11.1.* A completely analogous argument shows that $\pi_*(Sp) \rightarrow \pi_*(F_{S^3})$ is an injection onto a direct summand with finite complementary summand; we shall omit the details.

(11.9) **THEOREM.** *Let n be odd, and let $u \in \pi_n(F_{S^1})$ have finite order. Then the image of u in $\pi_n(F)$ has trivial complex e -invariant.*

See [1, §3] for the definition and properties of the complex Adams e -invariant.

Proof. Let $T: S^{2m+1}(CP^{r+}) \rightarrow S^{2m}(S^{2r+1+})$ be the transfer, where $r \geq n$ and $2m \geq r$. Let $u': S^{2m+n} \rightarrow S^{2m+1}(CP^{r+})$ correspond to u . The image v of u in $\pi_n(F)$ corresponds to cTu' , where $c: S^{2m}(S^{2r+1+}) \rightarrow S^{2m}$ collapses the $S^{2m+2r+1}$ wedge factor.

To show $e_c(\text{image } u) = 0$, it suffices to prove that $\tilde{K}(C(v)) \cong \tilde{K}(S^{2m}) \oplus \tilde{K}(S^{2m+n+1})$

as modules over the Adams ψ operations (compare [1, §6]). Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 S^{2m+n} & \xrightarrow{v} & S^{2m} & \rightarrow & C(v) & \rightarrow & S^{2m+n+1} & \rightarrow & S^{2m+1} \\
 \downarrow u' & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow & & \downarrow Su' & & \downarrow = \\
 S^{2m+1}(CP^{r+}) & \xrightarrow{cT} & S^{2m} & \rightarrow & Y & \rightarrow & S^{2m+2}(CP^{r+}) & \rightarrow & S^{2m+1}
 \end{array} \tag{11.9}$$

Apply \tilde{K} to this diagram; since $\tilde{K}(X)=0$ if X is a finite complex with cells of only odd dimensions, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \leftarrow & \tilde{K}(S^{2m}) & \leftarrow & \tilde{K}(Y) & \leftarrow & \tilde{K}(S^{2m+2}CP^{r+}) & \leftarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \leftarrow & \tilde{K}(S^{2m}) & \leftarrow & \tilde{K}(C(v)) & \leftarrow & \tilde{K}(S^{2m+n+1}) & \leftarrow & 0
 \end{array} \tag{11.10}$$

Let α generate $\tilde{K}(S^{2m})=Z$, let $\xi' \in \tilde{K}(Y)$ map to α , let $\xi \in \tilde{K}(C(v))$ denote the image of ξ' .

It suffices to show that $\psi^k(\xi) = k^m \xi$. By naturality,

$$\psi^k(\xi) = k^m \xi + \tau, \tag{11.11}$$

where $\tau \in \text{Image}(u')^*$. But the order of $(u')^*$ is finite since the order of u' is; since $\tilde{K}(S^{2m+n+1})=Z$, this means $(u')^*$ must vanish. Therefore $\tilde{K}(C(v))$ splits as a ψ -module.

Theorems (11.1) and (11.9) readily yield the following result:

(11.12) THEOREM. (i) Let $\mu_k (k \geq 1)$ denote the Adams-Barratt element in $\pi_{8k+1}(F)$. Then μ_k is not in the image of $\pi_{8k+1}(F_{S^1})$.

(ii) Let $\sigma_k (k \geq 1)$ denote the generator of the image of J in dimension $8k-1$. Then σ_k is not in the image of $\pi_{8k-1}(F_{S^1})$.

(iii) In the notation of (ii), twice σ_k is not in the image of $\pi_{8k-1}(F_{S^3})$.

Proof. The results of Adams show that μ_k and $2\sigma_k$ have nontrivial e -invariant [1, pp. 68 and 44-45]. Thus they can only come from elements of $\pi_*(F_{S^3})$ or $\pi_*(F_{S^1})$ having infinite order. An easy application of Theorem (11.1) and its addendum shows that if they come from $\pi_*(F_{S^3})$ or $\pi_*(F_{S^1})$, they also come from $\pi_*(Sp)$ or $\pi_*(U)$ respectively. Since μ_k is not in the image of J , conclusion (i) follows. On the other hand, the Bott periodicity theorems imply that $\pi_{8k-1}(G)=Z$ if $G=0, U$, or Sp and the canonical maps

$$\begin{array}{l}
 \pi_{8k-1}(U) \rightarrow \pi_{8k-1}(0) \\
 \pi_{8k-1}(Sp) \rightarrow \pi_{8k-1}(0)
 \end{array}$$

are multiplication by 2 and 4 respectively (for example, see [7]). This shows that σ_k and $2\sigma_k$ do not come from $\pi_{8k-1}(F_{S^1})$ and $\pi_{8k-1}(F_{S^3})$ respectively, proving (ii) and (iii).

12. The Image of $\pi_*(F_{S^3})$ in $\pi_*(F_{S^1})$

The pathologies discussed in Section 11 are definitely 2-primary in nature. For example, if p is odd the generators of the p -primary components of the image of J always come from $\pi_*(F_{S^3})$; in fact, they come from $\pi_*(Sp)$ because the canonical map from $\pi_*(Sp)$ to $\pi_*(0)$ is an isomorphism mod (graded) finite 2-groups. Thus one is led to ask whether the induced map from $\pi_*(F_{S^3}) \otimes Z[\frac{1}{2}]$ to $\pi_*(F) \otimes Z[\frac{1}{2}]$ is surjective in positive dimensions. Although we cannot prove this, we can prove that the images of $\pi_*(F_{S^3}) \otimes Z[\frac{1}{2}]$ and $\pi_*(F_{S^1}) \otimes Z[\frac{1}{2}]$ in $\pi_*(F) \otimes Z[\frac{1}{2}]$ are the same.

By Theorem (5.15) the above statement is equivalent to saying that the images of the transfer homomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{S}_*((H\tilde{P}^\infty)^\infty) \otimes Z[\tfrac{1}{2}] &\rightarrow \mathbf{S}_*(S^0) \otimes Z[\tfrac{1}{2}] \\ \mathbf{S}_*(S(CP^{\infty+})) \otimes Z[\tfrac{1}{2}] &\rightarrow \mathbf{S}_*(S^0) \otimes Z[\tfrac{1}{2}] \end{aligned}$$

are equal. We shall deduce this using the following result.

(12.1) THEOREM. *Let k be the involution of CP^∞ given by conjugation. Then the transfer from $\mathbf{S}_*(H\tilde{P}^\infty) \otimes Z[\frac{1}{2}]$ to $\mathbf{S}_*(S(CP^\infty)) \otimes Z[\frac{1}{2}]$ is surjective, and its image is the subgroup left fixed by $S(k^+)_*$.*

Assuming this, we state and prove the fact mentioned above.

(12.2) THEOREM. *The images of $\mathbf{S}_*(H\tilde{P}^\infty) \otimes Z[\frac{1}{2}]$ and $\mathbf{S}_*(S(CP^{\infty+})) \otimes Z[\frac{1}{2}]$ in $\mathbf{S}_*(S^0) \otimes Z[\frac{1}{2}]$ are equal.*

Proof. Let S^∞ be the total space of the universal S^1 bundle over CP^∞ . Then k lifts to an involution l of S^∞ , and by the naturality of the transfer we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} S(CP^{\infty+}) & \rightarrow & S^{\infty+} & \simeq & S^0 \\ \begin{array}{c} S(k^+) \downarrow \\ S(CP^{\infty+}) \end{array} & & \begin{array}{c} l^+ \downarrow \\ S^{\infty+} \end{array} & & \begin{array}{c} id \downarrow \\ S^0 \end{array} \end{array}$$

It follows that if $y \in \mathbf{S}_*(S(CP^{\infty+}))$, then y and $S(k^+)_* y$ have the same image in $\mathbf{S}_*(S^0)$. Clearly this remains true after tensoring with $Z[\frac{1}{2}]$.

Consider the element $\frac{1}{2}(y + S(k^+)_* y)$ in $\mathbf{S}_*(S(CP^{\infty+})) \otimes Z[\frac{1}{2}]$. By the discussion of the preceding paragraph its image in $\mathbf{S}_*(S^0) \otimes Z[\frac{1}{2}]$ is the same as the image of y . On the other hand, it is clearly left fixed by $S(k^+)_*$, so that it lies in the image of $\mathbf{S}_*(H\tilde{P}^\infty) \otimes Z[\frac{1}{2}]$ by Theorem (12.1).

Let N be the normalizer of S^1 in S^3 ; then the transfer from $H\tilde{P}^\infty$ to $S(CP^{\infty+})$ factors through BN^ζ . The proof of Theorem (12.1) has two parts – an examination of the image of $\mathbf{S}_*(H\tilde{P}^\infty) \otimes Z[\frac{1}{2}]$ in $\mathbf{S}_*(BN^\zeta) \otimes Z[\frac{1}{2}]$ and an examination of the image of $\mathbf{S}_*(BN^\zeta) \otimes Z[\frac{1}{2}]$ in $\mathbf{S}_*((CP^{\infty+}) \otimes Z[\frac{1}{2}])$.

(12.3) PROPOSITION. *The induced homomorphism from $S_*(H\bar{P}^\infty) \otimes Z[\frac{1}{2}]$ to $S_*(BN^\zeta) \otimes Z[\frac{1}{2}]$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Let $k \geq 0$ be given, and let n be large with respect to k . It suffices to prove that

$$t_* S_k((HP^{n-1})^{\zeta(S^3)}) \rightarrow S_k((S^{4n-1}/N)^{\zeta(N)})$$

is an isomorphism when tensored with $Z[\frac{1}{2}]$.

The Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence for stable homotopy theory yields a spectral sequence map converging to the homomorphism under consideration. On the E_2 level it takes the form

$$t_*: H_p((HP^{n-1})^\zeta; S_q) \otimes Z[\frac{1}{2}] \rightarrow H_p((S^{4n-1}/N)^\zeta; S_q) \otimes Z[\frac{1}{2}].$$

The homology groups of X^ζ are isomorphic to unreduced cohomology groups of X (where $X = HP^{n-1}$ or S^{4n-1}/N) by the Thom isomorphism and Poincaré duality. Techniques of Boardman [6, §6] show that under these isomorphisms t_* corresponds to the cohomology map induced by the projection

$$p: S^{4n-1}/N \rightarrow HP^{n-1}.$$

Therefore it suffices to know that p^* is an isomorphism in $Z[\frac{1}{2}]$ -module coefficients. This follows from the Serre spectral sequence; for p is an orientable fiber bundle projection whose fiber is RP^2 , a $Z[\frac{1}{2}]$ -acyclic space.

We shall need a slight generalization of a familiar result on the transfer in singular cohomology.

(12.4) PROPOSITION. *Suppose $p: X \rightarrow Y$ is a regular n -sheeted covering (Y is a CW complex) and G is the full group of covering transformations. Let ξ be a k -plane bundle over Y whose pullback to X is trivial, and let $p^\zeta: S^k X^+ \rightarrow Y^\zeta$ denote the induced map of Thom spaces.*

(i) *If $t: Y^\zeta \rightarrow S^k X^+$ is the transfer, then $p^\zeta t$ is an isomorphism in any homology theory taking values in the category of $Z[1/n]$ -modules.*

(ii) *Let h_* be a homology theory taking values in the category of $Z[1/n]$ -modules. Then t_* is injective and its image is the stationary set of $h_*(S^k X^+)$ under the action of G induced by covering transformations.*

The proof of the first part is an exercise in the techniques of [6, §6] and [23]. The proof of the second part is an elementary algebraic exercise based on the canonical isomorphism from $h_*(S^k X^+)/G$ to $h_*(Y^\zeta)$ induced by p^ζ .

The following result and Proposition (12.3) imply Theorem (12.1).

(12.5) PROPOSITION. *The transfer map from $S_*(BN^\zeta) \otimes Z[\frac{1}{2}]$ to $S_*(S(CP^{\infty+})) \otimes Z[\frac{1}{2}]$ is injective and its image is the subgroup left fixed under $S(k)_*$.*

Proof. If ζ is the line bundle over BN given by the adjoint representation, then the pullback of ζ to CP^∞ is trivial. On the other hand, CP^∞ is a double covering of BN , and an elementary argument shows that the covering involution of CP^∞ is homotopic to k . Thus the proposition follows from Proposition (12.4).

APPENDIX

13. The Transfer

Let $p: M \rightarrow N$ be a finite covering space where M and N are compact smooth manifolds without boundary. In section 4, we described a well known method of associating with a sectioned bundle α over N an S -map.

$$t: T(\alpha) \wedge S^s \rightarrow T(p^*(\alpha)) \wedge S^s.$$

For the purposes of this section we refer to t as the ‘umkehr’ map. On the other hand, there are general constructions of Roush [23] and of Kahn and Priddy [16] which associate with a finite covering pair a wrong way map called the ‘transfer’. In particular, for the covering pair $(E_{p^*(\alpha)}, M) \rightarrow (E_\alpha, N)$ there is a transfer

$$\tau: T(\alpha) \wedge S^s \rightarrow T(p^*(\alpha)) \wedge S^s.$$

The object of this appendix is to give a direct proof that the umkehr map agrees with the transfer. In this direction Roush has shown that their induced homomorphisms agree for any (co) homology theory h for which N is h -orientable (taking $\alpha=0$).

We begin by describing the transfer for finite coverings. Let \mathcal{C} denote the subcategory of the stable homotopy category of CW-spectra [6,31] having pointed CW-complexes as objects. Let G be a finite group and H a subgroup. Let \mathcal{P}_G denote the category whose objects are CW-pairs (X, A) with a free and cellular action of G on X which leaves A invariant. The morphisms in \mathcal{P}_G are to be equivariant maps of pairs. We will call (X, A) a free G -pair. There is the forgetful functor $\mathcal{R}: \mathcal{P}_G \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_H$ obtained by restricting the action of G to H . There is also the quotient functor $\mathcal{Q}_G: \mathcal{P}_G \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ defined by sending (X, A) to $X/A/G$. As usual, we write X^+ for $X/\Phi = X \cup \{+\}$ and, in general, $+$ will denote the base point of a pointed space. If $f: (X, A) \rightarrow (X', A')$ is a G -map, we also let f denote the quotient map $f: X/A/G \rightarrow X'/A'/G$.

There is a ‘suspension’ functor $\mathcal{P}_G \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_G$ defined by sending (X, A) to the pair $(X, A) \times (S^1, +)$ with G acting on the first factor. Note that the quotient of $(X, A) \times (S^1, +)$ is equal to $X/A/G \wedge S^1$.

Suppose that $\Delta: X/G \rightarrow X/H$ is a cross section to the covering $p: X/H \rightarrow X/G$.

There is then a retraction $q: X/A/H \rightarrow X/A/G$ by

$$q(y) = \begin{cases} p(y), & \text{if } y = \Delta(p(y)). \\ +, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(13.1) DEFINITION. An $H-G$ transfer is a natural transformation $\tau: \mathcal{Q}_G \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_H$ having the following properties:

- (a) $\tau(X, A) \times (S^1, +) = \tau(X, A) \wedge 1$.
- (b) If $\Delta: X/G \rightarrow X/H$ is a cross section.

the composite

$$X/A/G \xrightarrow{\tau} X/A/H \xrightarrow{q} X/A/G$$

is the identity.

Although our formulation of the transfer is slightly different than Roush's his results are easily translated. Hence we have

(13.2) THEOREM. (Roush [23]). *There exists a unique $H-G$ transfer.*

The construction of τ that follows is equivalent to that of Roush and also of Kahn and Priddy. If Y is a pointed space let $P(Y)$ denote the space of functions $\sigma: G/H \rightarrow Y$, where G/H denotes the set of left cosets of H in G . Let G act on $P(Y)$ by $g\sigma(wH) = \sigma(g^{-1}wH)$, $g, w \in G$. We have an equivariant embedding

$$(G/H)^+ \wedge Y \rightarrow P(Y)$$

by $wH \wedge y \rightarrow \sigma$, where $\sigma(wH) = y$ and $\sigma(w'H) = +$ if $w'H \neq wH$. Topologically, the pair $(P(Y), (G/H)^+ \wedge Y)$ is simply the n -fold product of Y modulo the n -fold wedge, where n is the index of H in G . Hence it is a $(2s-1)$ -connected pair if Y is $(s-1)$ -connected.

Now we may write

$$P(Q(Y)) = \text{inj } \lim_k \Omega^i(P(Y \wedge S^k))$$

and

$$Q((G/H)^+ \wedge Y) = \text{inj } \lim_p \Omega^k((G/H)^+ \wedge (Y \wedge S^k)).$$

Moreover, the embedding (13.3) is compatible with the injective limit maps and so we obtain

$$i: Y((G/H)^+ \wedge Y) \rightarrow P(Q(Y)). \quad (13.4)$$

By the remarks of the preceding paragraph, the relative homotopy groups of the pair $(P(Q(Y)), Q((G/H)^+ \wedge Y))$ are trivial.

Now let (X, A) be a free G -pair and set $Y = X/A/H$. Define

$$\varphi: (X, A) \rightarrow (P(Y), +) \tag{13.5}$$

by $\varphi(x)(wH) = [w^{-1}x]$. Then φ is a G -map. We will also let φ denote the map $(X, A) \rightarrow (P(Q(Y)), +)$ obtained by composing with the canonical inclusion $P(Y) \subset P(Q(Y))$. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (X, A) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & (P(Q(Y)), +) \\ & \searrow \varphi' & \uparrow i \\ & & (Q((G/H)^+ \wedge Y), +) \xrightarrow{Q(\lambda)} Q(Y), \end{array}$$

where λ is the ‘folding map’ $(G/H)^+ \wedge Y \rightarrow Y$ defined by $\lambda(wH \wedge y) = y$. There are no obstructions to equivariantly deforming φ relative to A into $Q((G/H)^+ \wedge Y)$. The end of such a homotopy is denoted by φ' in the diagram. Upon taking quotients $Q(\lambda)$ yields a map

$$\tau': X/A/G \rightarrow Q(Y) = Q(X/A/H). \tag{13.7}$$

Now the transfer τ is the map in the stable homotopy category which is the adjoint of τ' . It is easy to check that τ is well defined and meets the requirements of definition (13.1).

To obtain a transfer on the category of n -fold coverings let $G = \mathcal{S}_n$, the symmetric group on n letters, and let $H = \mathcal{S}_{n-1}$. If $p: (E, E') \rightarrow (B, B')$ is an n -fold covering pair let X denote the total space of the associated principal G -bundle. Precisely, X is the space of maps $\sigma: \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow E$ such that σ is fiber preserving and one-one. Let A be the subspace of maps whose image lies in E' . If G acts on X by $\sigma \rightarrow \sigma\psi^{-1}$, $\psi \in G$, we have a free G -pair (X, A) and the assignment which sends the covering pair to (X, A) is clearly functorial. Moreover $p: (X/H, A/H) \rightarrow (X/G, A/G)$ is naturally equivalent to the original covering pair. The identifications $X/H \rightarrow E$ and $X/G \rightarrow B$ are given by $\sigma \rightarrow \sigma(n)$ and $\sigma \rightarrow p\sigma(n)$ respectively. Hence the H - G transfer yields a transfer for n -fold coverings.

Now let $p: M \rightarrow N$ be a finite covering of index n where M and N are smooth manifolds. By the preceding remarks, we may write it in the form $p: X/H \rightarrow X/G$ where $G = \mathcal{S}_n$, $H = \mathcal{S}_{n-1}$, and X is a smooth manifold. To define the umkehr map we will construct a particular embedding

$$\hat{p}: X/H \rightarrow X/G \times R^s \tag{13.8}$$

Let V denote the G -module consisting of R^n plus the action of $G = \mathcal{S}_n$ on R^n through permutations. There is an embedding $X/H \rightarrow X \times V/G$ by $[x]_H \rightarrow [x, e_n]_G$. Now for the vector bundle $\pi: X \times V/G \rightarrow X/G$ there is, for large, s , a map

$$\sigma: X \times V/G \rightarrow R^s \tag{13.9}$$

which is a monomorphism on each fiber. Let \hat{p} be the composite embedding

$$X/H \rightarrow X \times V/G \xrightarrow{(\pi, \sigma)} X/G \times R^s.$$

Explicitly, $\hat{p}([x]) = ([x], \sigma([x, e_n]))$. The embedding \hat{p} has trivial normal bundle and for ε sufficiently small we have a tubular neighborhood map

$$\hat{p}: X/H \times R^s \rightarrow X/G \times R^s \quad (13.10)$$

by $\hat{p}([x], v) = ([x], \varrho(x, v))$, where

$$\varrho: X \times R^s \rightarrow R^s \quad (13.11)$$

is defined by $\varrho(x, v) = \sigma([x, e_m]) + \varepsilon v/1 + |v|$.

Let β be a sectioned bundle over X/G and α its pullback over X . Then $\beta = \alpha/G$ and $p^*(\beta) = \alpha/H$. Using the above tubular neighborhood embedding, the umkehr map

$$t: T(\alpha/G) \wedge S^s \rightarrow T(\alpha/H) \wedge S^s \quad (13.12)$$

is given by

$$t([a] \wedge v) = \begin{cases} [g^{-1}a] \wedge v', & \text{if } v = \varrho(g^{-1}p_\alpha(a), v') \\ +, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

On the other hand there is the transfer

$$\tau: T(\alpha/G) \wedge S^s \rightarrow T(\alpha/H) \wedge S^s \quad (13.13)$$

associated with the free G -pair (E_α, X) .

We will show now that $t = \tau$. To this end let $Y = T(\alpha/H)$ and define

$$\theta: (E_\alpha, X) \times (S^s, +) \rightarrow (G/H)^+ \wedge Y \wedge S^s$$

by

$$\theta(a, v) = \begin{cases} gH \wedge [g^{-1}a] \wedge v', & v = \varrho(g^{-1}p_\alpha(a), v') \\ +, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (E_\alpha, X) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & (P(Y \wedge S^s), +) \\ & \searrow \theta & \uparrow i \\ & & ((G/H)^+ \wedge Y \wedge S^s, +) \xrightarrow{\lambda} (Y \wedge S^s, +) \end{array}$$

Since the umkehr map t is the quotient of $\lambda\theta$, we will have $\pi = t$ provided $i\theta$ is equivariantly homotopic to φ . The required homotopy F_t is given by

$$F_t(a, v)(gH) = \begin{cases} [g^{-1}a] \wedge v', \\ \text{if } v = t\varrho(g^{-1}p_\alpha(a), v') + (1-t)v'. \\ +, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

REFERENCES

- [1] ADAMS, J. F. *On the groups $J(X)$ – IV*, *Topology* 5 (1966), 21–80.
- [2] ATIYAH, M. F., *Thom complexes*, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 11 (1961), 291–310.
- [3] —, *K-theory*, Benjamin, New York, 1967.
- [4] BECKER, J. C., *On the existence of A_k -structures on stable vector bundles*, *Topology* 9 (1970), 367–384.
- [5] —, *Vector fields on quotient manifolds of spheres*, *Indiana Univ. Math. Jour.* 22 (1973), 859–871.
- [6] BOARDMAN, J. M., *Stable homotopy theory*, Chapter V – *Duality and Thom Spectra*, mimeographed. University of Warwick, 1966.
- [7] BOTT, R., *The stable homotopy of the classical groups*, *Ann. of Math.* 70 (1959), 313–337.
- [8] BROWDER, W., *Surgery and the theory of differentiable transformation groups*, *Proc. of the Conf. of Transformation Groups* (New Orleans, 1967), 1–46. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1968.
- [9] CONNER, P. and FLOYD, E., *The relation of cobordism to K-theories*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* 28, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1966.
- [10] DOLD, A. and LASHOF, R., *Quasifibrations and fibre homotopy equivalence of bundles*, *Ill. J. Math.* 3 (1959), 285–305.
- [11] GLEASON, A., *Spaces with a compact lie group of transformations*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 1 (1950), 35–43.
- [12] JAMES, I. M. *Spaces associated with Stiefel manifolds*, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 9 (1959), 115–140.
- [13] —, *The space of bundle maps*, *Topology* 2 (1963), 45–59.
- [14] —, *Bundles with special structure – I*, *Ann. Math.* 89 (1969), 359–390.
- [15] —, *Ex-homotopy theory – I*, *Ill. Jour. Math.* 15 (1971), 324–337.
- [16] KAHN, D. S. and PRIDY, S. B., *Applications of the transfer to stable homotopy theory*, to appear.
- [17] LEE, R., *The spherical space form problem*, *Topology* 12 (1973).
- [18] MAY, J. P., *The geometry of iterated loop spaces*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* 271, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972.
- [19] MILNOR, J. *On spaces having the homotopy type of a CW-complex*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 90 (1959), 272–280.
- [20] —, *Lectures on characteristic classes*, mimeographed, Princeton University, 1957.
- [21] MOSHER, R. and TANGORA, M., *Cohomology operations and applications in homotopy theory*, Harper and Row, New York, 1968.
- [22] PETRIE, T., *Free metacyclic group actions on spheres*, *Ann. of Math.* 94 (1971), 108–124.
- [23] ROUSH, F. W., *Transfer in generalized cohomology theories*, Thesis, Princeton University, 1971.
- [24] SCHULTZ, R. E., *Homotopy decompositions of equivariant function spaces – I*, *Math. Zeitschrift* 131 (1973), 49–75; *ibid.* II, 132 (1973), 69–90.
- [25] SEGAL, G., *Equivariant stable homotopy theory*, *Actes, Congrès internat. math. (Nice, 1970) T. 2*, 59–63. Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1971.
- [26] SPANIER, E. H., *Algebraic topology*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966.
- [27] STASHEFF, J., *A classification theorem for fibre spaces*, *Topology* 2 (1963), 239–246.
- [28] SZCZARBA, R., *On tangent bundles of fibre spaces and quotient spaces*, *Amer. J. Math.* 86 (1964), 685–697.
- [29] TOM DIECK, TAMMO, *Faserbündel mit Gruppenoperation*, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* 20 (1969), 136–143.
- [30] TSUCHIYA, A., *Characteristic classes for spherical fiber spaces*, *Nagoya Math. J.* 43 (1971), 1–39.
- [31] VOGT, R., *Boardman's stable homotopy category*, mimeographed, Aarhus University, 1970.
- [32] WHITEHEAD, G. W., *On products in homotopy groups*, *Ann. of Math.* 47 (1946), 460–475.
- [33] WU WEN-TSÜN, *On Pontrjagin classes – II* (Chinese), *Acta Math. Sinica* 4 (1954), 171–199. English translation, *Amer. Math. Soc. Translations* (2) 92 (1970), 63–92.
- [34] YANG, C. T., *The triangulability of the orbit space of a differentiable transformation group*, *Bull., Amer. Math. Soc.* 69 (1963) 405–408.

- [35] SCHULTZ, R., *Homotopy sphere pairs admitting semifree differentiable actions*, Amer. J. Math., to appear.
- [36] ———, *Homotopy-theoretic restrictions for smooth actions on exotic spheres*, to appear.

Received February 13/August 15, 1973.

*Purdue University
Division of Math. Sci.
Lafayette, Ind.*