THE DISTRIBUTION AND THE EXACT PERCENTAGE POINTS FOR WILKS' L_{mnc} CRITERION

A. M. MATHAI AND R. S. KATIYAR

(Received June 3, 1978; revised May 14, 1979)

Summary

Introduction

Consider a p-variate normal population $N_p(\mu, \Sigma)$ where $\Sigma = (\sigma_{ij})$ is positive definite. Consider the hypothesis $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \cdots = \mu_p = \mu$, $\mu' = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_p)$, $\sigma_{ii} = \sigma$, $i = 1, \dots, p$ and $\sigma_{ij} = \sigma^*$, $i \neq j = 1, \dots, p$, where μ , σ , σ^* are some unknown scalars and μ' denotes the transpose of the mean vector μ . Wilks [5] derived the likelihood ratio test statistic λ for this hypothesis which is,

(1.1)
$$U = \lambda^{2/N} = |S| / \left\{ [s + (p-1)s_1] \left[s - s_1 + (N/(p-1)) \sum_{j=1}^p (\overline{x}_j - \overline{x})^2 \right]^{p-1} \right\}$$

where

$$S = (s_{ij})$$
 , $s_{ij} = \sum\limits_{k=1}^{N} (x_{ik} - \overline{x}_i)(x_{jk} - \overline{x}_j)$, $\overline{x}_i = \sum\limits_{k=1}^{N} x_{ik}/N$, $\overline{x} = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{p} \overline{x}_i/p$, $s = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{p} s_{ii}/p$, $s_1 = \sum\limits_{i\neq j=1}^{p} s_{ij}/[p(p-1)]$,

Key words and phrases: Likelihood ratio criterion, multinormal population, exact null distribution, exact percentage points.
AMS subject classification: 62H10; 33A15.

N being the sample size and (x_{ij}) denotes the observation matrix. This test statistic λ is known as Wilks' L_{mvc} criterion. Wilks [5] also obtained the h-th null moment of U, that is, the h-th moment of U when the null hypothesis is assumed to be true. Mathai [2] has obtained the exact non-null moments, and thereby the exact null moments by using an alternate simpler approach. The non-null moments are represented in terms of a hypergeometric function of several variables in the category of Lauricella's functions.

In this article we discuss the exact null distribution in the most general case and then compute the exact percentage points by using this distribution. The h-th null moment is the following.

(1.2)
$$E(U^{n}) = \prod_{j=0}^{p-2} \left\{ \Gamma((n-1)/2 + h - j/2) \Gamma((n+1)/2 + j/(p-1)) / \right.$$

$$\left[\Gamma((n-1)/2 - j/2) \Gamma((n+1)/2 + h + j/(p-1)) \right] .$$

2. The exact null distribution

The exact null distribution, in the general case, is not known to have been worked out so far. It can be worked out by inverting the moment expression in (1.2) with the help of inverse Mellin transform and then using the techniques discussed in Mathai and Saxena [3]. In this article we will outline the main steps of the derivations and give the final results, deleting all the details of the derivations. The computations are carried out by using the general expressions given in this article. Denoting the gammas containing h by $\Phi(h)$ and the remaining part by C the moments given in (1.2) becomes,

$$(2.1) E(U^h) = C\Phi(h)$$

and the density of U, denoted by f(u), can be written as

(2.2)
$$f(u) = u^{-1}(2\pi i)^{-1} \int_{\mathcal{L}} \Phi(h) u^{-h} dh$$

where $i=(-1)^{1/2}$ and L is a suitable contour. It can be seen from Mathai and Saxena [3] that f(u) can be represented as a series with the help of calculus of residues. Since the expressions are different, the cases when p is odd and when p is even are considered separately. Since the technique is the same as the one used in Mathai and Saxena [3] only the final results are given here.

Case I. p-odd

(2.3)
$$f(u) = u^{n/2 - (p-1)/2 - 1} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} R_j + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} R'_j \right], \quad 0 < u \le 1$$

where

$$(2.4) R_{j} = \frac{u^{j-1/2}}{(a_{j}-1)!} \sum_{r=0}^{a_{j}-1} {a_{j}-1 \choose r} (-\log_{e} u)^{a_{j}-1-r} \left[\sum_{r_{1}=0}^{r-1} {r-1 \choose r_{1}} A_{j}^{(r-1-r_{1})} \cdots \right] B_{j}$$

$$a_{j} = \begin{cases} j, & j=1,\cdots, (p-1)/2 \\ (p-3)/2, & j \geq (p+1)/2; \end{cases}$$

$$b_{j} = \begin{cases} j, & j=1,\cdots, (p-3)/2 \\ (p-1)/2, & j=(p-1)/2, (p+1)/2 \\ (p-3)/2, & j \geq (p+3)/2 \end{cases}$$

and

$$(2.6) B_{j} = \left\{ \prod_{k=2}^{(p-3)/2-j} \Gamma(k) [\Gamma(1)]^{j+1} \prod_{k=1}^{(p-3)/2} \Gamma(k-j-1/2) \right\}$$

$$= \left\{ \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} (-j+k)^{k} (-j+1/2+(p-1)/2) (-j+(p-1)/2) \right\}$$

$$\cdot (-j-1/2+(p-1)/2) \right\} \gamma_{1} \text{for } j \leq (p-5)/2 ,$$

$$= \left\{ [\Gamma(1)]^{(p-3)/2} \prod_{k=1}^{(p-3)/2} \Gamma(k-j-1/2) \middle/ \left[(-j+1/2+(p-1)/2) \right] \right\}$$

$$\cdot (-j+(p-1)/2) (-j-1/2+(p-1)/2)$$

$$\cdot \prod_{k=1}^{j+1-(p-1)/2} (-k)^{(p-1)/2-1} \prod_{k=1}^{(p-1)/2-2} (-j+k)^{k} \right\} \gamma_{1}$$

$$\text{for } j \geq (p-1)/2-1$$

where

$$\begin{split} \gamma_1 &= \Gamma(1/2 + p/2) \Gamma(1 + p/2) \prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq (p-1)/2}}^{p-2} \prod_{m=1}^{j} \left(1/2 + p/2 + k/(p-1) - m\right) / \\ &\left[(2\pi)^{(p-2)/2} (p-1)^{-(p-1)(1/2 + p/2) + 1/2} \Gamma((p-1)(1/2 + p/2)) \right] \; . \end{split}$$

The following conditions are used in writing the above expressions as well as the expressions to follow. If p-2 is less than unity then $\gamma_1=1$ and $\prod_{k=a}^{b}(\)=1$ if b<a, that is, an empty product is interpreted as unity and correspondingly an empty sum is interpreted as zero. The A_j 's appearing in (2.4) are the following.

$$(2.7) A_{j}^{(0)} = A_{j} = \sum_{k=2}^{(p-3)/2-j} \Psi(k) + (j+1)\Psi(1) + \sum_{k=1}^{(p-3)/2} \Psi(k-j-1/2)$$

$$+ \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} (k/(-k+j)) + 1/(j-(p-1)/2-1/2)$$

$$+ 1/(j-(p-1)/2) + 1/(j+1/2-(p-1)/2)$$

$$\begin{split} + \Big[& \mathcal{F}(1/2 + p/2) + \mathcal{F}(1 + p/2) + \sum_{\substack{k=1 \ k \neq (p-1)/2}}^{p-2} \sum_{m=1}^{j} (1/(1/2 + p/2) \\ & + k/(p-1) - m)) + (p-1) \log_e (p-1) - (p-1) \\ & \cdot \mathcal{F}((p-1)(1/2 + p/2)) \Big] \quad \text{for } j \leq (p-1)/2 - 2 \\ = & ((p-1)/2 - 1) \mathcal{F}(1) + \sum_{k=1}^{(p-3)/2} \mathcal{F}(k - j - 1/2) \\ & + \sum_{k=1}^{j+1 - (p-1)/2} \{ ((p-1)/2 - 1)/k \} + \sum_{k=1}^{(p-1)/2 - 2} (k/(j-k)) \\ & + 1/(j-1/2 - (p-1)/2) + 1/(j-(p-1)/2) + 1/(j+1/2) \\ & - (p-1)/2) + \Big[\mathcal{F}(1 + p/2) + \sum_{k \neq (p-1)/2}^{p-2} \sum_{m=1}^{j} (1/(1/2 + p/2) \\ & + k/(p-1) - m)) + (p-1) \log_e (p-1) \\ & - \mathcal{F}((p-1)(1/2 + p/2)) + \mathcal{F}(1/2 + p/2) \Big] , \end{split}$$

The quantity in [] is to be taken as zero if p-2<1.

$$(2.8) \qquad (A_{j}^{(t)}, t \ge 1) = (-1)^{t+1} t! \left\{ \sum_{k=2}^{(p-3)/2-j} \zeta(t+1, k) + (j+1)\zeta(t+1, 1) + \sum_{k=1}^{(p-3)/2} \zeta(t+1, k-j-1/2) + \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} (k/(-j+k)^{t+1}) + 1/(1/2-j+(p-1)/2)^{t+1} + 1/(-j+(p-1)/2)^{t+1} + 1/(-j+(p-1)/2-1/2)^{t+1} + \beta_1 \right\}$$

$$for j \le (p-1)/2-2$$

$$= (-1)^{t+1} t! \left\{ ((p-1)/2-1)\zeta(t+1, 1) + \sum_{k=1}^{(p-3)/2} \zeta(t+1, k) + \sum_{k=1}^{(p-3)/2} \zeta(t+1, k) + \sum_{k=1}^{(p-1)/2-2} (k/(-j+k)^{t+1}) + 1/(-j+1/2 + (p-1)/2)^{t+1} + 1/(-j+(p-1)/2)^{t+1} + 1/(-j+(p-1)/2-1)^{t+1} + 1/($$

where

$$\beta_1 = \zeta(t+1, 1/2 + p/2) + \zeta(t+1, 1+p/2)$$

$$- \sum_{\substack{k=1 \ k \neq (p-1)/2}}^{p-2} \sum_{m=1}^{j} \left\{ 1/(1/2 + p/2 + k/(p-1) - m)^{t+1} \right\}$$

$$-(p-1)^{\iota+1}\zeta((p-1)(1/2+p/2))$$

with β_1 being zero whenever p-2<1 and $\mathcal{F}(z)$ and $\zeta(r,z)$ are the psi function and the generalized Riemann zeta function respectively which are the successive logarithmic derivatives of the gamma function where

(2.9)
$$\Psi(z) = -\gamma + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (z-1)/((z+n)(n+1))$$
, $z \neq 0, -1, -2, \cdots$
= 0.5772156649015329 (Euler's constant)

(2.10)
$$\zeta(s, v) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (v+n)^{-s}, \quad R(s) > 1, \ v \neq 0, -1, -2, \cdots.$$

It should be remarked that one can obtain A_j and $A_j^{(i)}$ from B_j by using the following procedure. Introduce a dummy variable y in every factor of B_j . Take the logarithm to the base e and then take the first derivative with respect to y and evaluate it at y=0 to obtain A_j . Take successive derivatives with respect to y and evaluate at y=0 to obtain $A_j^{(i)}$, $t \ge 1$. Also R_j' is available from R_j by replacing $u^{j-1/2}$ by u^{j-1} , a_j by b_j , A_j by A_j' and $A_j^{(i)}$ by $A_j'^{(i)}$. We can obtain A_j' and $A_j'^{(i)}$ from B_j' by using the procedure discussed above. Hence we give only B_j' here.

$$(2.11) \quad B'_{j} = \left\{ \prod_{k=2}^{(p-3)/2-j} \Gamma(k) [\Gamma(1)]^{j+1} \prod_{k=1}^{(p-3)/2} \Gamma(k-j+1/2) \middle/ \left[(1+j+(p-1)/2) \right] \right.$$

$$\cdot (-j+p/2) (-j+(p-1)/2) \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} (-j+k)^{k} \Big] \Big\} \delta_{1}$$

$$\quad \text{for } j \leq (p-1)/2-2$$

$$= \Big\{ [\Gamma(1)]^{(p-1)/2-1} \prod_{k=1}^{(p-3)/2} \Gamma(k-j+1/2) \middle/ \left[(1-j+(p-1)/2) \right.$$

$$\cdot (-j+p/2) (-j+(p-1)/2) \prod_{k=1}^{j+1-(p-1)/2} (-k)^{(p-1)/2-1}$$

$$\cdot \prod_{k=1}^{(p-1)/2-2} (-j+k)^{k} \Big] \Big\} \delta_{1} \quad \text{for } j \geq (p-1)/2-1$$

where

$$\begin{split} \delta_1 &= \Gamma(1+p/2)\Gamma(3/2+p/2) \prod_{\substack{k=1\\k\neq (p-1)/2}}^{p-2} \prod_{m=1}^{j} (1+p/2+k/(p-1)-m)/\\ &[(2\pi)^{(p-2)/2}(p-1)^{-(p-1)(1+p/2)+1/2}\Gamma((p-1)(1+p/2))] \end{split}$$

and δ_1 is to be interpreted as unity when p-2<1.

Case II. p-even

The expression for the density f(u) remains the same as in (2.3) with R_j defined as in (2.4) and R'_j obtained in a similar way as in the case of p-odd and

(2.12)
$$a_{j} = \begin{cases} j, & j = 1, \dots, p/2 - 2 \\ p/2 - 1, & j = p/2 - 1, p/2, \dots; \end{cases}$$

$$b_{j} = \begin{cases} j, & j = 1, \dots, p/2 \\ p/2 - 1, & j = p/2 + 1, p/2 + 2, \dots \end{cases}$$

Here we will give the expressions for B_j and B'_j since A_j , A'_j , A'_j , A'_j are all available from B_j and B'_j by using the techniques discussed in the case p-odd. After some simplifications it can be seen that B_j and B'_j in the case p-even are given by the following expressions.

where

$$\eta_{1} = \Gamma(1/2 + p/2) \prod_{k=1}^{p-2} \prod_{m=1}^{j} (1/2 + p/2 + k/(p-1) - m)/[(2\pi)^{(p-2)/2} \cdot (p-1)^{-(p-1)(1/2 + p/2) + 1/2} \Gamma((p-1)(1/2 + p/2))]$$

and

$$\eta_2 = \Gamma(1+p/2) \prod_{k=1}^{p-2} \prod_{m=1}^{j} (1+p/2+k/(p-1)-m)/[(2\pi)^{(p-2)/2} \cdot (p-1)^{-(p-1)(1+p/2)+1/2} \Gamma((p-1)(1+p/2))].$$

As before, η_1 and η_2 are to be interpreted as unities when p-2<1. Both in the p-odd and p-even cases whenever a denominator factor in B_j or B'_j becomes zero it is to be taken as unity. Such factors get cancelled in the evaluations but in the simplified notations given above such factors appear to be present.

3. Computations

The computations of the exact percentage points are carried out by using $F(u) = \int_0^u f(t)dt$ where f(t) is given in (2.3). In (2.3) the only factors containing u are of the form $u^{(\cdot)}(-\log u)^{(\cdot)}$. Hence F(u) is available by term by term integration.

The computation is carried out by using the series representation available from (2.3). First F(u) is computed for various values of u. It is checked for monotonicity and for the conditions $F(u) \rightarrow 0$ as $u \rightarrow 0$ and $F(u) \rightarrow 1$ as $u \rightarrow 1$. Also particular cases are numerically checked. Then u is computed for various values of p, n and F(u). These are given in the following tables. A seven place accuracy is kept through-

n	p				
	2	3	4	5	
2	0.0001000				
3	0.0100000	0.041118572			
4	0.0464159	0.001763885	0.05 2202921		
5	0.1000000	0.01115978	0.0 ³ 4415756	0.065358574	
6	0.1584893	0.02995321	0.003385223	0.031266084	
7	0.2154435	0.05584883	0.01055743	0.001120148	
8	0.2682696	0.08598411	0.02215824	0.003933051	
9	0.3162278	0.1181197	0.03751544	0.009099945	
10	0.3593814	0.1507436	0.05570045	0.01670364	
11	0.3981072	0.1829078	0.07582779	0.02654009	
12	0.4328761	0.2140516	0.09715967	0.03827068	
13	0.4641589	0.2438674	0.1191214	0.05152025	
14	0.4923883	0.2722082	0.1412833	0.06592995	
15	0.5179475	0.2990271	0.1633328	0.08118129	
	p				
n	6	7	8	9	
6	0.061452619				
7	0.043895182	0.074202690			
8	0.033871240	0.041250161	0.071269454		
9	0.001502049	0.0³ 1371644	0.054125409	0.083953076	
10	0.003781937	0.035807160	0.044935747	0.051388016	
11	0.007458511	0.001576760	0.03 2259046	0.041794217	
12	0.01260072	0.003319102	0.036569022	0.048813789	
13	0.01915808	0.005934021	0.001468426	0.03 2729742	
14	0.02700670	0.009479613	0.002767693	0.036452932	
15	0.03598557	0.01395776	0.004632544	0.001278165	

Table 1. (Values of u for F(u)=0.01)

n	p				
	2	3	4	5	
2	0.00040000				
3	0.02000000	0.044504573			
4	0.07368063	0.003616813	0.058903738		
5	0.1414214	0.01829720	0.039195076	0.052171395	
6	0.2091279	0.04382327	0.005669217	0.032666515	
7	0.2714418	0.07619017	0.01580695	0.001906283	
8	0.3270243	0.1118416	0.03094026	0.005995122	
9	0.3760603	0.1484000	0.04991765	0.01294247	
10	0.4192288	0.1844399	0.07152412	0.02263478	
11	0.4573051	0.2191682	0.09473376	0.03469096	
12	0.4910168	0.2521834	0.1187587	0.04864097	
13	0.5210007	0.2833185	0.1430242	0.06402267	
14	0.5477974	0.3125432	0.1671258	0.08042526	
15	0.5718604	0.3399049	0.1907873	0.09750324	
n	p				
	6	7	8	9	
6	0.06 5898295				
7	0.048279095	0.061709419			
8	0.036675150	0.042677844	$0.0^75171193$		
9	0.002323793	0.032391721	0.058896586	0.0 ⁻ 1612478	
10	0.005462578	$0.0^39099562$	0.048691138	0.053011398	
11	0.01026455	0.002308175	0.033579858	0.043187090	
12	0.01672347	0.004630121	0.039731165	$0.0^31410842$	
13	0.02471361	0.007982048	0.002073363	$0.0^34087261$	
14	0.03404687	0.01239079	0.003768095	0.039211545	
15	0,04451207	0.01782389	0.006127669	0.001759358	

Table 2. (Values of u for F(u)=0.02)

out. For higher values of p it is seen that the accuracy is being lost. Hence the tables are given only for values of p from 2 to 9. If any experimenter desires to get the tables for higher values of p and n then a Box's type approximation can be used for the computations. This is available in any standard text book on multivariate analysis, see for example Anderson [1]. In order to avoid overflow and underflow in the computer the psi, zeta and gamma functions appearing in B_j , B'_j , A_j etc. are all simplified by using the properties of these functions and then they are programmed for computations.

Acknowledgement

Thanks to the referee for pointing out the work by Nagarsenker

n	p				
	2	3	4	5	
2	0.0025000				
3	0.0500000	0.03 2873848			
4	0.1357209	0.009527998	0.045742281		
5	0.2236068	0.03581760	0.002498649	0.041410921	
6	0.3017088	0.07362451	0.01153078	0.037409645	
7	0.3684031	0.1164594	0.02763251	0.003989206	
8	0.4248906	0.1602486	0.04917261	0.01080303	
9	0.4728708	0.2028226	0.07424717	0.02120394	
10	0.5139043	0.2431463	0.1012903	0.03468052	
11	0.5492803	0.2808080	0.1291631	0.05055390	
12	0.5800282	0.3157255	0.1570866	0.06816257	
13	0.6069622	0.3479837	0.1845508	0.08693557	
14	0.6307272	0.3777458	0.2112354	0.1064101	
15	0.6518363	0.4052064	0.2369509	0.1262248	
n	p				
	6	7	8	9	
6	0.053855067				
7	0.032341692	0.051122720			
8	0.001429330	0.047687131	0.063410719		
9	0.004292873	0.03 5221565	0.042586781	0.061067517	
10	0.009178630	0.001717085	0.03 1929666	0.058855519	
11	0.01612501	0.003963855	0.036881935	0.047182631	
12	0.02497112	0.007433530	0.001703605	0.03 2757524	
13	0.03545759	0.01217595	0.003393672	0.037279932	
14	0.04729342	0.01815145	0.005858787	0.001534242	
15	0.06019423	0.02526353	0.009146180	0.002783310	

Table 3. (Values of u for F(u)=0.05)

[4] on Wilks' L_{vc} criterion. The statistics L_{vc} and L_{mvc} are structurally different and also it is easy to notice that the method of Nagarsenker [4] is more involved. But from the moment structures it is evident that his method is also readily applicable here. Since his computational procedure involves Box's type approximations if his procedure is used to compute the percentage points for Wilks' L_{mvc} one can expect the points to agree with those in our table for the first 4 or 5 decimal places except for small values of p and n. For small values of p and p his points are likely to be slightly away from the exact points given in this table.

McGill University and University of Campinas Universidade Estadual de Campinas

REFERENCES

- [1] Anderson, T. W. (1958). An Introduction to Multivariate Statistical Analysis, Wiley, New York.
- [2] Mathai, A. M. (1977). On the non-null distribution of Wilks' L_{mvc}, Sankhyā, B, 39, Part 4.
- [3] Mathai, A. M. and Saxena, R. K. (1973). Generalized Hypergeometric Functions with Applications in Statistics and Physical Sciences, (Lecture Notes Series 348) Springer-Verlag, Hidelberg and New York.
- [4] Nagarsenker, B. N. (1975). Percentage points of Wilks' L_{vc} criterion, Commun. Statist., 4, 629-641.
- [5] Wilks, S. S. (1946). Sample criteria for testing equality of means, equality of variances and equality of covariances in a normal multivariate distribution, Ann. Math. Statist., 17, 257-281.