

## ARITHMETICAL PROGRESSIONS AND THE NUMBER OF SUMS

I. Z. RUZSA (Budapest)

### 1. Introduction

Let  $A$  be a finite set of integers,  $|A| = n$ . Freiman (1966/1973, Theorem 2.30) proved the following theorem. If  $|A + A| \leq cn$  and  $n > n_0(c)$ , then  $A$  contains a three-term arithmetical progression. We give an effective version of this result.

Let  $r_k(n)$  denote the maximal number of integers that can be selected from the interval  $[1, n]$  without including a  $k$  term arithmetical progression and write

$$\omega_k(n) = n/r_k(n).$$

We know from Szemerédi's (1975) theorem that  $\omega_k(n) \rightarrow \infty$  for every fixed  $k$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Assume that  $|A| = n$  and  $A$  does not contain any  $k$ -term arithmetical progression. We have*

$$(1.1) \quad |A + A - A - A| \geq \frac{1}{12} \omega_k(n)n,$$

$$(1.2) \quad |A + B| \geq \frac{1}{2} \omega_k(n)^{1/4} n^{1/4} |B|^{3/4}$$

for every set  $B$ ,

$$(1.3) \quad |A + B| \geq \frac{1}{2} \omega_k(n)^{1/4} n$$

for every set  $B$  such that  $|B| = n$ ,

$$(1.4) \quad |A + A| \geq \frac{1}{2} \omega_k(n)^{1/4} n,$$

$$(1.5) \quad |A - A| \geq \frac{1}{2} \omega_k(n)^{1/4} n.$$

\* Supported by Hungarian National Foundation for Scientific Research, Grant No. 1901..

*Mathematics subject classification numbers*, 1991. Primary. 11A99

*Key words and phrases*. Progressions, number of sums.

It is known that  $\omega_3(n) \gg (\log n)^c$  with a positive constant  $c$  (Heat-Brown (1987), Szemerédi (1990)). Applying this estimate we obtain the following version of Freiman's theorem.

**COROLLARY 1.1.** *Assume that  $|A| = n$  and  $A$  does not contain any 3-term arithmetical progression. With a positive absolute constant  $c$  and  $n > n_0$  we have*

$$(1.6) \quad |A + B| \geq \frac{1}{2}n(\log n)^c$$

for every set  $B$  such that  $|B| = n$ , in particular

$$(1.7) \quad |A + A| \geq \frac{1}{2}n(\log n)^c,$$

$$(1.8) \quad |A - A| \geq \frac{1}{2}n(\log n)^c.$$

Freiman's proof is based on his main theorem, which gives a covering of a set  $A$  satisfying  $|A + A| \leq \alpha|A|$  by another set isomorphic (in his sense, to be defined later) to a set of lattice points in a convex region of size  $Cn$ ,  $C = C(\alpha)$ . He gives no estimate of  $C(\alpha)$ . His results (Chapter 1, sect. 3) show that  $C(\alpha)$  must be at least an exponential function of  $\alpha$ , so in his way one cannot get a better lower estimate in (1.4) than  $\log \omega_k(n)$ . Our proof goes along completely different lines, though we also use Freiman's fundamental concept of isomorphism.

**PROBLEM.** Can the exponent  $1/4$  in (1.4-5) be improved to 1 or at least to  $1 - \varepsilon$ ?

## 2. A partial Freiman isomorphy

Let  $G_1, G_2$  be commutative groups,  $A_1 \subset G_1, A_2 \subset G_2$ . We say that a mapping  $\Phi : A_1 \rightarrow A_2$  is a *homomorphism of order  $r$  in the sense of Freiman*, or an  *$F_r$ -homomorphism* for short, if for every  $x_1, \dots, x_r, y_1, \dots, y_r \in A_1$  (not necessarily distinct) the equation

$$(2.1) \quad x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_r = y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_r$$

implies

$$(2.2) \quad \Phi(x_1) + \Phi(x_2) + \dots + \Phi(x_r) = \Phi(y_1) + \Phi(y_2) + \dots + \Phi(y_r).$$

We call  $\Phi$  an  *$F_r$ -isomorphism*, if it is (1-1) and its inverse is a homomorphism as well, that is, (2.2) holds if and only if (2.1) does.

Any affine linear function is an  $F_r$ -isomorphism for every  $r$ , and the nondegenerate ones are  $F_r$ -isomorphisms.

For iterated additions of a set to itself we introduce the following notation:

$$Ak = A + A + \dots + A, \quad k \text{ summands.}$$

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $A$  be a set of integers,  $|A| = n, r \geq 2$  an integer and  $D = Ar - Ar$ . Write  $|D| = N$ .*

- (a) *For every  $m > 2r(N - 1)$  there exists a set  $A' \subset A, |A'| \geq n/r$  which is  $F_r$ -isomorphic to a set  $T'$  of residues mod  $m$ .*
- (b) *There is a set  $A^* \subset A, |A^*| \geq n/r^2$  which is  $F_r$ -isomorphic to a set  $T^*$  of integers,*

$$T^* \subset [1, 2N].$$

**PROOF.** (a) Select a prime  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ ,

$$(2.3) \quad p > 4r \max_{a \in A} |a|$$

The isomorphism will be given by

$$\Phi(a) = ((aq) \bmod p) \bmod m$$

with a suitable  $1 \leq q \leq p - 1$ ; here we used  $x \bmod y$  to denote the least nonnegative residue of  $x$  modulo  $y$ .

We consider  $\Phi$  as a composition of four maps:

$$\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\psi_1} \mathbb{Z}_p \xrightarrow{\psi_2} \mathbb{Z}_p \xrightarrow{\psi_3} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\psi_4} \mathbb{Z}_m.$$

Here  $\psi_1$  maps every integer to its residue class modulo  $p$ ,  $\psi_2$  is a multiplication by  $q$ ,  $\psi_3$  maps a residue class into its representant in  $[0, p - 1]$  and  $\psi_4$  is the residue class modulo  $m$ .

Here  $\psi_1$  is an  $F_r$ -isomorphism on  $A$  by (2.3), and  $\psi_2$  is one obviously. The critical point is  $\psi_4$ ; we shall show that it is an isomorphism for a suitable choice of  $q$ , and we return to  $\psi_3$  afterwards.

The composition of  $\psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_3$  is the function

$$\vartheta(a) = (qa) \bmod p = qa - p \left\lfloor \frac{qa}{p} \right\rfloor.$$

Let  $U = \vartheta(A)$  be the image of  $A$ . We show that  $\psi_4$  is an  $F_r$ -isomorphism between  $U$  and  $\psi_4(U)$  for a suitable  $q$ . This means that

$$\psi_4(u_1) + \psi_4(u_2) + \dots + \psi_4(u_r) = \psi_4(v_1) + \psi_4(v_2) + \dots + \psi_4(v_r)$$

is possible only if  $u_1 + \dots + u_r = v_1 + \dots + v_r$ , in other words,

$$(2.4) \quad m|u_1 + \dots + u_r - (v_1 + \dots + v_r) = z$$

with  $u_i, v_j \in U$  can hold only if  $z = 0$ .

Let  $u_i = \vartheta(a_i), v_j = \vartheta(b_j), w = a_1 + \dots + a_k - (b_1 + \dots + b_k)$ . We have  $w \in D$ , and by definition we know that

$$z \equiv qw \pmod{p},$$

and also that  $|z| \leq r(p-1)$ , since  $u_i, v_j \in [0, p-1]$ . Hence

$$z = (qw) \bmod p + xp, \quad -r \leq x \leq r-1.$$

Thus to avoid (2.4) it is sufficient to exclude

$$(2.5) \quad m|(qw) \bmod p + xp, \quad w \in D, \quad w \neq 0, \quad -r \leq x \leq r-1.$$

We count the number of those triplets  $(q, x, w)$  for which (2.5) holds. For a fixed  $w \neq 0$ , the value of  $(qw) \bmod p$  runs over all numbers  $1, 2, \dots, p-1$ , of which  $\frac{p-1}{m}$  fall in each residue class modulo  $m$ , hence  $\frac{p-1}{m}$  satisfy (2.5). Taking into account the  $N-1$  possible values of  $w \neq 0$  and the  $2r$  values of  $x$ , (2.5) has altogether at most

$$2r(N-1)\frac{p-1}{m}$$

solutions. If

$$(2.6) \quad 2r(N-1)\frac{p-1}{m} < p-1,$$

then there is at least one choice of  $q$  without a solution. (2.6) is equivalent to the condition  $m > 2r(N-1)$  of the theorem.

Now we return to  $\psi_3$ . We need to select an  $A' \subset A$  such that  $\psi_3$  is an isomorphism on  $V' = \psi_2(\psi_1(A'))$ . We split  $V = \psi_2(\psi_1(A)) \subset [0, p-1]$  into  $r$  parts,

$$V_i = V \cap \left[ \frac{i-1}{r}(p-1), \frac{i}{r}(p-1) \right], \quad i = 1, \dots, r.$$

We show that  $\psi_3$  is an isomorphism on each  $V_i$ . Indeed, if  $u_1, \dots, u_r \in U_i$ , then

$$u_1 + \dots + u_r \in [(i-1)(p-1), i(p-1)],$$

an interval of length  $p-1$ , thus two such sums can be congruent modulo  $p$  only if they are equal.

At least one part satisfies  $|V_i| \geq n/r$ . We put  $V' = V_i$ , and this concludes the proof of part (a).

To prove part (b), we add another map to our diagram,

$$\mathbf{Z}_m \xrightarrow{\psi_5} \mathbf{Z},$$

where  $\psi_5$  is again the smallest nonnegative representation of a residue class. We put  $m = 2rN$  and repeat the last argument. We split the integers of the interval  $[0, m - 1]$  into  $r$  equal subintervals of type  $[2(i - 1)N, 2iN - 1]$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . The  $r$ -fold sums from a fixed interval lie in an interval of length  $< m$ , thus they are incongruent modulo  $m$  unless they are equal. In this way we can achieve

$$|A^*| \geq |A'|/r \geq n/r^2.$$

The isomorphic image of  $A^*$  lies in an interval of type  $[2(i - 1)N, 2iN - 1]$ , and a shift takes it into  $[1, 2N]$ . ■

### 3. On the size of double and multiple sums

To apply the previous results for sets where only an estimate of  $|A + A|$  is known, we connect this quantity to  $|Ak - Al|$ .

LEMMA 3.1. *Let  $1 \leq j \leq k$  be integers,  $A, B$  subsets of an arbitrary Abelian group. Write  $|B| = n$ ,  $|B + Aj| = \alpha n$ . There is a nonempty  $B' \subset B$  such that*

$$(3.1) \quad |B' + Ak| \leq \alpha^{k/j} |B'|.$$

This can be proved by applying Plünnecke's (1970) method, developed to study the Schnirelman density of sumsets. (3.1) was deduced and a simplified proof of Plünnecke's theorem was given in Ruzsa (1989).

LEMMA 3.2. *For arbitrary sets  $U, V, W$  (in an Abelian group) we have*

$$(3.2) \quad |U||V - W| \leq |U - V||U - W|.$$

See Ruzsa (1978).

LEMMA 3.3. *Let  $A, B$  be subsets of an arbitrary Abelian group. Write  $|B| = n$ ,  $|B + A| = \alpha n$ . For arbitrary positive integers  $k, l$  we have*

$$(3.3) \quad |Ak - Al| \leq \alpha^{k+1} n.$$

PROOF. Without restricting generality we may assume  $k \leq l$ . We apply Lemma 3.1 with  $j = 1$  to find a set  $\emptyset \neq B' \subset B$  such that

$$(3.4) \quad |B' + Ak| \leq \alpha^k |A'|.$$

Next we apply Lemma 3.1 with  $A', k, l$  in the place of  $A, j, k$  to get a set  $\emptyset \neq B'' \subset B'$  such that

$$(3.5) \quad |B'' + Al| \leq \alpha^l |B''|.$$

Substituting  $U = -B'', V = Ak, W = Al$  into (3.2) and applying (3.5) we obtain

$$|B''||Ak - Al| \leq |B'' + Al||B'' + Al| \leq \alpha^l |B'' + Ak|.$$

Now we can divide by  $|B''|$  and use (3.4) to deduce

$$|Ak - Al| \leq \alpha^l |B'' + Ak| \leq \alpha^l |B' + Ak| \leq \alpha^l \alpha^k |A'| \leq \alpha^{k+l} n.$$

■

By substituting  $\alpha = |B + A|/|B|$ , Lemma 3.3 can be rewritten in the following way:

$$|Ak - Al| \leq |B + A|^{k+l} |B|^{1-k-l}$$

or

$$(3.6) \quad |A + B| \geq |B|^{1-\frac{1}{k+l}} |Ak - Al|^{\frac{1}{k+l}}.$$

#### 4. Estimates on arithmetical progressions

We prove Theorem 1.

LEMMA 4.1. *If one of two  $F_2$ -isomorphic sets contains a  $k$ -term arithmetical progression, then so does the other.*

PROOF. The numbers  $x_1, \dots, x_k$  form an arithmetical progression if and only if they satisfy the equations

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 + x_3 &= 2x_2, \\ x_2 + x_4 &= 2x_3, \\ &\dots \\ x_{k-2} + x_k &= 2x_{k-1}, \end{aligned}$$

which are preserved by an  $F_2$ -isomorphism. ■

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Write  $|A| = n$  and  $|A2 - A2| = \beta n$ . We apply the case  $r = 2$  of Theorem 2, part (b). We get a set  $A^* \subset A$ ,  $|A^*| \geq n/4$  which is

isomorphic to a set  $T \subset [1, 2\beta n]$ . By the previous lemma,  $T$  contains no  $k$ -term arithmetical progression.

Since in an interval of length  $n$  there can be at most  $r_k(n)$  integers without  $k$ -term arithmetical progression and the interval  $[1, 2\beta n]$  can be covered by  $[1 + 2\beta]$  such intervals, we have

$$n/4 \leq |T| \leq [1 + 2\beta]r_k(n) \leq 3\beta r_k(n),$$

therefore

$$\beta \geq \frac{1}{12} \frac{n}{r_k(n)},$$

which is equivalent to (1.1).

To obtain (1.2) we apply (3.6) with  $k = l = 2$  and (1.1) as follows:

$$|A + B| \geq |B|^{3/4} |A_2 - A_2|^{1/4} \geq \frac{1}{2} |B|^{3/4} \omega_k(n)^{1/4} n^{1/4}.$$

(1.3) is the case  $|B| = n$  of (1.2), while (1.4–5) are the cases  $B = A$  and  $B = -A$  of (1.3). ■

#### REFERENCES

- G. A. FREIMAN, (1966), *Foundations of a Structural Theory of Set Addition* (in Russian), Kazan Gos. Ped. Inst., Kazan.
- G. A. FREIMAN, (1973), *Foundations of a Structural Theory of Set Addition*, Translation of Mathematical Monographs Vol. 37, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., USA.
- D. R. HEATH-BROWN, (1987), Integer sets containing no arithmetic progressions, *J. London Math. Soc.* **35**, 385–394.
- H. PLÜNNECKE, (1970), Eine zahlentheoretische Anwendung der Graphtheorie, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **243** 171–183.
- I. Z. RUZSA, (1978), On the cardinality of  $A + A$  and  $A - A$ , in: *Coll. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai 18, Combinatorics, Keszthely 1976*, North-Holland - Bolyai Társulat, Budapest (1978), 933–938.
- I. Z. RUZSA, (1989), An application of graph theory to additive number theory, *Scientia, Ser. A* **3** 97–109.
- E. SZEMERÉDI, (1975), On sets of integers containing no  $k$ -elements in arithmetic progression, *Acta Arithmetica* **27**, 299–345.
- E. SZEMERÉDI, (1990), Integer sets containing no arithmetic progressions, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* **56**, 155–158.

(Received November 20, 1991)