

# Transformations of Minimal Surfaces.

(By LUTHER PFAHLER EISENHART.)

---

In a Memoir published by CALAPSO in the *Annali* (\*), the author has developed at length the theory and transformations of a class of surfaces, discovered by GUICHARD (\*\*) and characterized by the property:

Given a surface  $N$  of the class; « there exists a surface  $N'$  having the same spherical representation of its lines of curvature as the surface  $N$ , and such that if  $r_1, r_2$  are the principal radii of curvature of  $N$ , and  $r'_1, r'_2$  the corresponding radii of  $N'$ ; one has

$$r_1 r'_2 + r_2 r'_1 = \text{const.}, \quad (\text{I})$$

the constant being different from zero ».

CALAPSO has shown that, if a surface be referred to its lines of curvature, the necessary and sufficient condition that it be a surface  $N$  is that either of the following conditions be satisfied:

$$\left( \sqrt{G} \frac{D}{\sqrt{E}} - \sqrt{E} \frac{D''}{\sqrt{G}} \right)^2 = G - E, \quad (\text{II})$$

$$\left( \sqrt{G} \frac{D}{\sqrt{E}} - \sqrt{E} \frac{D''}{\sqrt{G}} \right)^2 = G + E, \quad (\text{III})$$

where the functions  $E, G, D, D''$  are the fundamental quantities of the surface. According as a surface satisfies the first or second of these conditions, it is called by CALAPSO a *surface of GUICHARD of the first kind* or of the *second kind*.

In the present Note we show that one of the surfaces parallel to a min-

---

(\*) *Alcune superficie di GUICHARD e le relative trasformazioni* [Annali, ser. III, vol. XI, p. 201-251, (1905)].

(\*\*) *Sur les Surfaces isothermiques* [Comptes Rendus, vol. 130, p. 159].

imal surface satisfies (II) and that the surface  $N'$  associated with it after the manner of the above theorem is a sphere. After deducing these results in § 1, we apply in the subsequent §§ the results of GUICHARD and CALAPSO to this group of surfaces of GUICHARD. We shall refer to these particular surfaces as *surfaces  $P$* .

GUICHARD has shown that from a surface of the first kind one can deduce an infinity of isothermic surfaces referred to their lines of curvature each of which is the locus of a point  $A$  situated on an isotropic tangent to the surface  $N$ . In § 2 it is found that these transforms of a surface  $P$  are minimal surfaces; hence we have a transformation from the minimal surface,  $S$ , which is parallel to  $P$ , to new minimal surfaces, which for convenience we shall call the surfaces  $\overline{S}$ .

In § 3 we apply to the  $P$ -surfaces parallel to the surfaces  $\overline{S}$  (call them the surfaces  $\overline{P}$ ) the GUICHARD transformations and find that the determination of all these transformations requires only quadratures. However, these new minimal surfaces are imaginary.

In § 4 we apply to the surfaces  $\overline{P}$  the transformation of GUICHARD in which  $+i$  has been replaced by  $-i$  and vice-versa. Among the infinity of transforms of a surface  $\overline{P}$  there is always one real minimal surface other than the original surface  $S$ .

In this manner we can obtain from a given minimal surface an infinity of real minimal surfaces, whose determination requires the solution of a pair of RICCATI equations. The relation between the original surface and any one of these transforms is perfectly reciprocal, so that when the transforms  $S_1$  of  $S$  have been found, one can get the transforms  $S'_1$  of the surfaces  $S_1$  by quadratures.

CALAPSO has shown (\*) that, given any isothermic surface, a surface of GUICHARD can be found by inverting the transformation of GUICHARD. In § 5 we apply this inverted transformation and also its conjugate to the surfaces  $\overline{S}$ , and in both cases we find that all the transforms are imaginary.

---

(\*) L. c., pag. 230.

§ 1. SURFACES  $P$ .

Consider the minimal surface  $S$  with the linear element

$$ds^2 = e^{2\theta} (du^2 + dv^2), \quad (1)$$

and for which the coefficients of the second quadratic form are

$$D = -1, \quad D'' = 1. \quad (2)$$

Now the linear element of the spherical representation is

$$ds'^2 = e^{-2\theta} (du^2 + dv^2), \quad (3)$$

and the GAUSS and CODAZZI equations are satisfied, if  $\theta$  is a solution of the equation

$$\frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial u^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial v^2} = e^{-2\theta}. \quad (4)$$

If we denote by  $X_1, Y_1, Z_1; X_2, Y_2, Z_2; X, Y, Z$  the direction-cosines of the tangents to the curves  $v = \text{const.}$ ,  $u = \text{const.}$  and of the normal to the surface respectively, we have (\*)

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial x}{\partial u} = e^\theta X_1, \quad \frac{\partial X_1}{\partial u} = -\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial v} X_2 - e^{-\theta} X, \quad \frac{\partial X_2}{\partial u} = \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial v} X_1, \quad \frac{\partial X}{\partial u} = e^{-\theta} X_1, \\ \frac{\partial x}{\partial v} = e^\theta X_2, \quad \frac{\partial X_1}{\partial v} = \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial u} X_2, \quad \frac{\partial X_2}{\partial v} = -\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial u} X_1 + e^{-\theta} X, \quad \frac{\partial X}{\partial v} = -e^{-\theta} X_2, \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (5)$$

and similar equations in the  $y$ 's and  $z$ 's.

A surface parallel to  $S$  at the distance  $a$  is given by

$$\xi = x - a X, \quad \eta = y - a Y, \quad \zeta = z - a Z.$$

The fundamental quantities of this surface are found to be

$$\begin{aligned} E &= (e^\theta - a e^{-\theta})^2, & F &= 0, & G &= (e^\theta + a e^{-\theta})^2, \\ D &= -e^{-\theta} (e^\theta - a e^{-\theta}), & D' &= 0, & D'' &= e^{-\theta} (e^\theta + a e^{-\theta}). \end{aligned}$$

(\*) BIANCHI, *Lezioni*, vol. II, p. 336.

These expressions satisfy equation (II), if  $a = 1$  and only in this case. Hence the surface defined by

$$\xi = x - X, \quad \eta = y - Y, \quad \zeta = z - Z, \quad (6)$$

is a surface of GUICHARD of the first kind; we designate such a surface by  $P$ . From above it is seen that the fundamental quantities for  $P$  are

$$E = 4 \sinh^2 \theta, \quad G = 4 \cosh^2 \theta, \quad D = -2 e^{-\theta} \sinh \theta, \quad D'' = 2 e^{-\theta} \cosh \theta. \quad (7)$$

CALAPSO has shown (\*) that the necessary and sufficient condition that a surface be a surface of GUICHARD is that the linear elements of the surface and its spherical representation be reducible to the respective forms

$$\left. \begin{aligned} d\sigma^2 &= e^{2t} (\sinh^2 \Theta du^2 + \cosh^2 \Theta dv^2) \\ d\sigma'^2 &= (\cosh \Theta + H \sinh \Theta)^2 du^2 + (\sinh \Theta + H \cosh \Theta)^2 dv^2, \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (8)$$

the lines of curvature being parametric, and the functions  $t$ ,  $H$  and  $\Theta$  being solutions of the system of equations

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial H}{\partial u} &= (H + \coth \Theta) \frac{\partial t}{\partial u}, \quad \frac{\partial H}{\partial v} = (H + \tanh \Theta) \frac{\partial t}{\partial v}, \\ \frac{\partial^2 \Theta}{\partial u^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \Theta}{\partial v^2} + \coth \Theta \frac{\partial^2 t}{\partial u^2} + \tanh \Theta \frac{\partial^2 t}{\partial v^2} - \frac{1}{\sinh^2 \Theta} \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial u} \frac{\partial t}{\partial u} + \\ &+ \frac{1}{\cosh^2 \Theta} \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial v} \frac{\partial t}{\partial v} + (\cosh \Theta + H \sinh \Theta) (\sinh \Theta + H \cosh \Theta) = 0. \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (9)$$

In order that (3) may take the form of the second of (8), we must have

$$\cosh \Theta + H \sinh \Theta = e^{-\theta}, \quad \sinh \Theta + H \cosh \Theta = -e^{-\theta},$$

from which it follows that

$$\Theta = \theta, \quad H = -1. \quad (10)$$

Moreover, the first of (8) reduces to (1), if

$$e^t = 2. \quad (11)$$

In consequence of (4) equations (9) are satisfied by the above values (10), (11).

---

(\*) L. c., p. 214.

CALAPSO has shown (\*) that the surface  $N'$ , which is related to  $N$  in the manner stated in the theorem leading to the relation (I), is defined by the forms (8), where now the functions  $t$ ,  $H$ ,  $\Theta$  relating to  $N'$  are given by

$$e^t = e^{-t} (1 - H^2), \quad \sinh \Theta = \frac{-1}{1 - H^2} \left[ \sinh \Theta (1 + H^2) + 2H \cosh \Theta \right],$$

$$\cosh \Theta = \frac{1}{1 - H^2} \left[ \cosh \Theta (1 + H^2) + 2H \sinh \Theta \right].$$

When the surface  $N$  is a surface  $P$ , the surface  $N'$  is the unit sphere upon which the Gaussian representation of  $P$  is made.

## § 2. THE TRANSFORMATION OF GUICHARD.

GUICHARD (\*\*) has announced the following theorem:

Given a surface satisfying conditions (8) and (9), a function  $\varphi$  can be determined in such a way that the surface  $\bar{S}$  defined by

$$\bar{x} = \xi + e^{\varphi} (X_1 + i X_2), \quad \bar{y} = \eta + e^{\varphi} (Y_1 + i Y_2), \quad \bar{z} = \zeta + e^{\varphi} (Z_1 + i Z_2) \quad (12)$$

is isothermic.

CALAPSO shows (\*\*\*) that the function  $\varphi$  is determined by the following pair of illimitably integrable equations:

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial u} + i \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial v} = -\sinh \Theta \cosh (\varphi - t) -$$

$$- i \tanh \Theta \frac{\partial t}{\partial v} - \frac{1}{2} e^{\varphi-t} (H^2 \sinh \Theta + 2H \cosh \Theta),$$

$$i \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial v} + \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial u} = \cosh \Theta \sinh (\varphi - t) -$$

$$- \coth \Theta \frac{\partial t}{\partial u} + \frac{1}{2} e^{\varphi-t} (H^2 \cosh \Theta + 2H \sinh \Theta).$$

(\*) L. c., p. 214.

(\*\*) L. c., p. 161.

(\*\*\*) L. c., p. 224.

When the surface of GUICHARD is a surface  $P$ , these reduce to

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial u} + i \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial v} &= \frac{1}{2} e^{\varphi-\theta} - e^{-\varphi} \sinh \theta, \\ i \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial v} + \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial u} &= \frac{1}{2} e^{\varphi-\theta} - e^{-\varphi} \cosh \theta. \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (13)$$

In consequence of (5) and (6) we get from (12) by differentiation

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial u} &= 2 \sinh \theta X_1 + e^{\varphi} (X_1 + i X_2) \left( \frac{1}{2} e^{\varphi-\theta} - e^{-\varphi} \sinh \theta \right) - e^{\varphi-\theta} X, \\ \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial v} &= 2 \cosh \theta X_2 - i e^{\varphi} (X_1 + i X_2) \left( \frac{1}{2} e^{\varphi-\theta} - e^{-\varphi} \cosh \theta \right) + i e^{\varphi-\theta} X, \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (14)$$

so that

$$\bar{E} = \Sigma \left( \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial u} \right)^2 = e^{2\varphi}, \quad \bar{F} = \Sigma \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial u} \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial v} = 0, \quad \bar{G} = \Sigma \left( \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial v} \right)^2 = e^{2\varphi}. \quad (15)$$

From (14) we find that the direction-cosines,  $\bar{X}$ ,  $\bar{Y}$ ,  $\bar{Z}$ , of the normal to the surface  $\bar{S}$  are of the form

$$\bar{X} = e^{-\varphi} (X_1 - i X_2) + X. \quad (16)$$

By differentiation we get

$$\frac{\partial \bar{X}}{\partial u} = e^{-2\varphi} \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial u}, \quad \frac{\partial \bar{X}}{\partial v} = -e^{-2\varphi} \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial v}, \quad (17)$$

so that

$$\bar{D} = -\Sigma \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial u} \frac{\partial \bar{X}}{\partial u} = -1, \quad \bar{D}' = 0, \quad \bar{D}'' = 1. \quad (18)$$

Hence  $\bar{S}$  is a minimal surface, and the linear element of its spherical representation is

$$d\bar{s}^2 = e^{-2\varphi} (du^2 + dv^2). \quad (19)$$

Denoting by  $\bar{X}_1$ ,  $\bar{Y}_1$ ,  $\bar{Z}_1$ ;  $\bar{X}_2$ ,  $\bar{Y}_2$ ,  $\bar{Z}_2$ , the direction-cosines of the tangents to the curves  $v = \text{const.}$ ,  $u = \text{const.}$  respectively on  $\bar{S}$ , we have

$$\bar{X}_1 = e^{-\varphi} \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial u}, \quad \bar{X}_2 = e^{-\varphi} \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial v},$$

which in consequence of (14) reduce to

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \bar{X}_1 &= \left( \frac{1}{2} e^{\varphi-\theta} + e^{-\varphi} \sinh \theta \right) X_1 + \left( \frac{1}{2} e^{\varphi-\theta} - e^{-\varphi} \sinh \theta \right) i X_2 - e^{-\theta} X, \\ i \bar{X}_2 &= \left( \frac{1}{2} e^{\varphi-\theta} - e^{-\varphi} \cosh \theta \right) X_1 + \left( \frac{1}{2} e^{\varphi-\theta} + e^{-\varphi} \cosh \theta \right) i X_2 - e^{-\theta} X. \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (20)$$

If  $e$  be replaced by  $\rho$ , equations (13) take the RICCATI form. Hence there exists a family of imaginary minimal surfaces depending upon a parameter, transforms of  $P$  and consequently of  $S$ ; and the complete determination of these surfaces requires the integration of a pair of RICCATI equations.

### § 3. GUICHARD TRANSFORMATIONS OF $\bar{S}$ .

Since  $\bar{S}$  is a minimal surface, we have a new surface  $\bar{P}$  parallel to  $\bar{S}$ . From (6), (12) and (16) it follows that this surface is defined by equations of the form

$$\bar{\xi} = \xi + e^{\varphi} (X_1 + i X_2) - e^{-\varphi} (X_1 - i X_2) - X. \quad (21)$$

We apply to  $\bar{P}$  a transformation of GUICHARD. From (12) it follows that the transform  $S_1$  is defined by equations of the form

$$x_1 = \bar{\xi} + e^{\varphi_1} (\bar{X}_1 + i \bar{X}_2), \quad (22)$$

where  $\varphi_1$  is a solution of the equations

$$\frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial u} + i \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial v} = \frac{1}{2} e^{\varphi_1-\varphi} - e^{-\varphi_1} \sinh \varphi, \quad i \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial v} + \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial u} = \frac{1}{2} e^{\varphi_1-\varphi} - e^{-\varphi_1} \cosh \varphi. \quad (23)$$

In consequence of (20) and (21) equation (22) is reducible to

$$x_1 = \xi + (1 + e^{\varphi_1-\theta}) [e^{\varphi} (X_1 + i X_2) - e^{-\varphi} (X_1 - i X_2)] - (2e^{\varphi_1-\theta} + 1) X. \quad (24)$$

On replacing  $\xi + X$  by  $x$  in this equation, we get a transformation involving two parameters, which changes the original minimal surface  $S$  into another minimal surface  $S_1$ .

If equations (23) be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial u} + i \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial v} &= -\frac{1}{2} e^{\varphi - \varphi_1} + \frac{1}{2} e^{-\varphi} \sinh \varphi_1, \\ i \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial v} + \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial u} &= -\frac{1}{2} e^{\varphi - \varphi_1} + e^{-\varphi} \cosh \varphi_1,\end{aligned}$$

and be compared with (13), it is seen that a solution of them is given by

$$e^{\varphi_1} = -e^{\theta}. \quad (25)$$

Hence, since equations (23) are of the RICCATI type, they are integrated completely by quadratures. Therefore, the complete determination of the doubly-infinite group of surfaces  $S_1$  defined by (24), requires the solution of a pair of RICCATI equations and quadratures.

Evidently the transformation determined by (25) leads to  $S$  itself. We inquire whether any of the other surfaces  $S_1$  are real, when  $S$  is real.

If we put

$$\varphi = \alpha + i\beta,$$

where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are real, equations (13) are replaced by

$$\left. \begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial u} &= \left( \frac{1}{2} e^{\alpha - \theta} - e^{-\alpha} \sinh \theta \right) \cos \beta, & \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial v} &= \left( \frac{1}{2} e^{\alpha - \theta} + e^{-\alpha} \cosh \theta \right) \sin \beta, \\ \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial u} + \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial v} &= \left( \frac{1}{2} e^{\alpha - \theta} + e^{-\alpha} \sinh \theta \right) \sin \beta, \\ \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial v} - \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial u} &= - \left( \frac{1}{2} e^{\alpha - \theta} - e^{-\alpha} \cosh \theta \right) \cos \beta.\end{aligned} \right\} \quad (26)$$

In like manner, if  $\varphi_1$  is real, equations (23) can be written

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial u} &= \left( \frac{1}{2} e^{\varphi_1 - \alpha} - e^{-\varphi_1} \cosh \alpha \right) \cos \beta, & \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial v} &= - \left( \frac{1}{2} e^{\varphi_1 - \alpha} + e^{-\varphi_1} \cosh \alpha \right) \sin \beta, \\ \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial u} - \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial v} &= \left( \frac{1}{2} e^{\varphi_1 - \alpha} - e^{-\varphi_1} \sinh \alpha \right) \cos \beta, \\ \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial v} + \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial u} &= - \left( \frac{1}{2} e^{\varphi_1 - \alpha} + e^{-\varphi_1} \sinh \alpha \right) \sin \beta.\end{aligned}$$

In order that the first two equations of these two sets be consistent,



we must have

$$\frac{1}{2} e^{\varphi_1 - \alpha} - e^{-\varphi_1} \cosh \alpha - \frac{1}{2} e^{\alpha - \theta} + e^{-\alpha} \sinh \theta = 0,$$

$$\frac{1}{2} e^{\varphi_1 - \alpha} + e^{-\varphi_1} \cosh \alpha + \frac{1}{2} e^{\alpha - \theta} + e^{-\alpha} \cosh \theta = 0,$$

from which by addition we get (25). Hence of all the surfaces defined by (24)  $S$  is the only real one.

#### § 4. CONJUGATE GUICHARD TRANSFORMATIONS OF $\overline{S}$ .

We apply now to the surface  $\overline{P}$ , defined by (21), the conjugate transformation of GUICHARD, that is, the transformation with  $+i$  replaced by  $-i$ .

Now the equations analogous to (23) are

$$\frac{\partial \theta_1}{\partial u} - i \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial v} = \frac{1}{2} e^{\theta_1 - \varphi} - e^{-\theta_1} \sinh \varphi, \quad i \frac{\partial \theta_1}{\partial v} - \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial u} = -\frac{1}{2} e^{\theta_1 - \varphi} + e^{-\theta_1} \cosh \varphi, \quad (27)$$

and the coordinates of the transform  $S_1$  are of the form

$$x_1 = \overline{\xi} + e^{\theta_1} (\overline{X}_1 - i \overline{X}_2),$$

which reduces to

$$x_1 = \xi + e^{\varphi} (X_1 + i X_2) + (e^{\theta_1 + \theta} - 1) e^{-\varphi} (X_1 - i X_2) - X. \quad (28)$$

As in the preceding case, if  $\xi + X$  be replaced by  $x$ , this gives a transformation from one minimal surface,  $S$ , to a double-infinite family of surfaces,  $S_1$ . Later we shall find a particular solution of equations (27); hence the complete determination of all the above transforms of a surface  $S$  requires the solution of an equation of RICCATI and quadratures.

We inquire whether any of these transforms are real. On the assumption that  $\theta_1$  is real equations (27) can be written

$$\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial u} = \left( \frac{1}{2} e^{\theta_1 - \alpha} - e^{-\theta_1} \cosh \alpha \right) \cos \beta, \quad \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial v} = \left( \frac{1}{2} e^{\theta_1 - \alpha} + e^{-\theta_1} \cosh \alpha \right) \sin \beta, \quad (29)$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \theta_1}{\partial u} + \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial v} &= \left( \frac{1}{2} e^{\theta_1 - \alpha} - e^{-\theta_1} \sinh \alpha \right) \cos \beta, \\ \frac{\partial \theta_1}{\partial v} - \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial u} &= \left( \frac{1}{2} e^{\theta_1 - \alpha} + e^{-\theta_1} \sinh \alpha \right) \sin \beta. \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (30)$$

In order that equations (29) be consistent with the first two of (26), we must have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} e^{\theta_1 - \alpha} - e^{-\theta_1} \cosh \alpha - \frac{1}{2} e^{\alpha - \theta} + e^{-\alpha} \sinh \theta &= 0, \\ \frac{1}{2} e^{\theta_1 - \alpha} + e^{-\theta_1} \cosh \alpha - \frac{1}{2} e^{\alpha - \theta} - e^{-\alpha} \cosh \theta &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

which reduce to the single equation

$$e^{\theta_1} = 2 \cosh \alpha \cdot e^{\alpha - \theta}. \quad (31)$$

It is readily found that this value of  $\theta_1$  satisfies equations (30).

Substituting in (28) and replacing  $\xi$  by  $x - X$  we get the equation

$$x_1 = x + 2 e^{\alpha} (\cos \beta X_1 - \sin \beta X_2) - 2 X, \quad (32)$$

and similar expressions for  $y_1$  and  $z_1$  which define a real transform,  $S_1$ , of a given surface,  $S$ .

By differentiation we get

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial u} &= (e^{2\alpha - \theta} \cos 2\beta - e^{-\theta}) X_1 - e^{2\alpha - \theta} \sin 2\beta X_2 - 2 e^{\alpha - \theta} \cos \beta X, \\ \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial v} &= e^{2\alpha - \theta} \sin 2\beta X_1 + (e^{2\alpha - \theta} \cos 2\beta + e^{-\theta}) X_2 - 2 e^{\alpha - \theta} \sin \beta X. \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (33)$$

From these and similar expressions in  $y_1$  and  $z_1$  we derive the following values for the direction-cosines of the normal to  $S_1$

$$X' = \tanh \alpha X + \frac{1}{\cosh \alpha} (\cos \beta X_1 - \sin \beta X_2). \quad (34)$$

And the direction-cosines of the tangents to the curves  $v = \text{const.}$ ,  $u = \text{const.}$  on  $S_1$  are of the respective forms

$$\left. \begin{aligned} X'_1 &= \frac{1}{2 \cosh \alpha} \left[ (e^{\alpha} \cos 2\beta - e^{-\alpha}) X_1 - e^{\alpha} \sin 2\beta X_2 - 2 \cos \beta X \right], \\ X'_2 &= \frac{1}{2 \cosh \alpha} \left[ e^{\alpha} \sin 2\beta X_1 + (e^{\alpha} \cos 2\beta + e^{-\alpha}) X_2 - 2 \sin \beta X \right]. \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (35)$$

From (32) and (34) we get

$$\Sigma X(x_1 - x) = -2, \quad \Sigma X'(x_1 - x) = 2, \quad (36)$$

and

$$\Sigma (x_1 - x)^2 = 4(e^{2\alpha} + 1).$$

Hence the line joining corresponding points on two surfaces  $S$  and  $S_1$  makes equal angles with the normals to the surfaces at these points. As this angle is not a right angle, the transformation is different from the one due to THYBAUT (\*).

From (34) it follows that the direction-cosines of the line of intersection of the tangent planes to  $S$  and  $S_1$  at corresponding points are

$$\sin \beta X_1 + \cos \beta X_2, \quad \sin \beta Y_1 + \cos \beta Y_2, \quad \sin \beta Z_1 + \cos \beta Z_2.$$

Hence this line is perpendicular to the projection upon the tangent plane to  $S$  of the line joining the points of tangency on  $S$  and  $S_1$ .

Denote by  $\xi$ ,  $\eta$ ,  $\zeta$  the coordinates of the point of intersection of these two lines. Since we must have

$$\Sigma X(\xi - x) = 0, \quad \Sigma X'(\xi - x) = 0,$$

if we put

$$\xi = x + \lambda (\cos \beta X_1 - \sin \beta X_2),$$

it is found that

$$\lambda = 2 \cosh \alpha.$$

From the foregoing discussion it follows that each pair of solutions of equations (26) gives a real transform of  $S$ . The form of these equations is such that the arbitrary constant entering in the complete solution appears in both  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . From (32) it is seen that the points, on all the transforms, corresponding to a point on  $S$  lie in the plane parallel to the tangent plane to  $S$  and at the distance 2 from it.

We inquire whether the normals to the surfaces  $S$  and  $S_1$  at corresponding points meet. In order that this may happen two functions  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  must exist which are such that

$$x_0 = x + \lambda X = x_1 + \mu X'.$$

and similar equations in  $y$ 's and  $z$ 's.

(\*) BIANCHI, *Lezioni*, vol. II, p. 334.

*Annali di Matematica*, Serie III, Tomo XIII.

If the above values be substituted, it is found that these equations are satisfied when

$$\lambda = \mu = -2e^\alpha \cosh \alpha.$$

This result suggests that this transformation which we have found coincides with one previously discovered by BIANCHI (\*) as an outcome of a theorem of GUICHARD. It is readily found that the two transformations are the same (\*\*). From the investigation of BIANCHI we know that the locus of the point  $(x_0, y_0, z_0)$  is a surface applicable to a paraboloid of revolution.

### § 5. INVERSE TRANSFORMATIONS OF GUICHARD.

CALAPSO has shown (\*\*\*) that the transformation of GUICHARD can be inverted, that is, every isothermic surface can be considered as derived from a GUICHARD surface by means of the construction indicated in the theorem of GUICHARD. We shall apply this inverse transformation to the surfaces  $\bar{S}$ .

In the first place we solve equations (16) and (20) for  $X, X_1, X_2$ , getting

$$\left. \begin{aligned} X_1 &= \left( \frac{1}{2} e^{\varphi-\theta} + e^{-\varphi} \sinh \theta \right) \bar{X}_1 - \left( \frac{1}{2} e^{\varphi-\theta} - e^{-\varphi} \cosh \theta \right) i \bar{X}_2 + e^{-\varphi} \bar{X}, \\ i X_2 &= - \left( \frac{1}{2} e^{\varphi-\theta} - e^{-\varphi} \sinh \theta \right) \bar{X}_1 + \left( \frac{1}{2} e^{\varphi-\theta} + e^{-\varphi} \cosh \theta \right) i \bar{X}_2 + e^{-\varphi} \bar{X}, \\ X &= -e^{-\theta} (\bar{X}_1 - i \bar{X}_2) + \bar{X}. \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (37)$$

In consequence of these relations equation (12) can be written

$$\bar{x} = \xi + 2 (\sinh \theta \bar{X}_1 + \cosh \theta \cdot i \bar{X}_2 + \bar{X}). \quad (38)$$

If  $\bar{S}$  is any minimal surface and the linear element of its representation is written in the form (19), the function  $\varphi$  must satisfy equation (4). But

(\*) *Lezioni*, vol. II, p. 333.

(\*\*) The formulae of comparison are

$$\frac{\Phi}{W} = 2e^\alpha \cosh \alpha, \quad \frac{\cos \beta}{\cosh \alpha} = -\frac{2e^{-\theta}}{\Phi} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial u}, \quad \frac{\sin \beta}{\cosh \alpha} = 2 \frac{e^{-\theta}}{\Phi} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial v}.$$

(\*\*\*) L. c., p. 230.

this is the condition that equations (13) be consistent in  $\theta$ . Hence (38) is the transformation from a surface  $\bar{S}$  to  $P$  as well as equations (12) define the transformation from  $P$  to  $\bar{S}$ . But since equations (13) are completely integrable in  $\theta$  for a particular  $\varphi$ , it follows that each of the surfaces defined by

$$\xi_1 = \bar{x} - 2 (\sinh \theta_1 \bar{X}_1 + \cosh \theta_1 i \bar{X}_2 + \bar{X}), \quad (39)$$

where  $\theta_1$  is any solution of

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial u} + i \frac{\partial \theta_1}{\partial v} = \frac{1}{2} e^{\varphi - \theta_1} - e^{-\varphi} \sinh \theta_1, \quad i \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial v} + \frac{\partial \theta_1}{\partial u} = \frac{1}{2} e^{\varphi - \theta_1} - e^{-\varphi} \cosh \theta_1, \quad (40)$$

is a surface of GUICHARD,  $P_1$ , which is an inverse of the surface  $\bar{S}$ , determined by the function  $\varphi$ .

From (37) it is seen that the direction-cosines of the normal to  $P_1$  are of the form

$$X' = -e^{-\theta_1} \bar{X}_1 + e^{-\theta_1} i \bar{X}_2 + \bar{X}. \quad (41)$$

Denoting by  $S_1$  the minimal surface parallel to  $P_1$ , we have

$$x_1 = \xi_1 + X', \quad y_1 = \eta_1 + Y', \quad z_1 = \zeta_1 + Z',$$

which by means of (39), (41), (12) and (20) reduce to

$$x_1 = x + (1 - e^{\theta_1 - \theta}) [e^{\varphi} (X_1 + i X_2) - e^{-\varphi} (X_1 - i X_2) - 2 X], \quad (42)$$

and similar expressions for  $y_1$  and  $z_1$ . Since  $\theta$  is a solution of (40), the complete determination of the doubly-infinite family of transforms of  $S$  defined by (42) requires the solution of one pair of RICCATI equations (13) and quadratures.

If the differential quotients of  $\varphi$  be eliminated from equations (13) and (40), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \theta_1}{\partial u} - \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial u} &= \left[ \frac{1}{2} e^{\alpha} (e^{-\theta_1} - e^{-\theta}) - e^{-\alpha} (\cosh \theta_1 - \cosh \theta) \right] \cos \beta + \\ &\quad + i \left[ \frac{1}{2} e^{\alpha} (e^{-\theta_1} - e^{-\theta}) + e^{-\alpha} (\cosh \theta_1 - \cosh \theta) \right] \sin \beta, \\ i \left( \frac{\partial \theta_1}{\partial v} - \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial v} \right) &= \left[ \frac{1}{2} e^{\alpha} (e^{-\theta_1} - e^{-\theta}) - e^{-\alpha} (\sinh \theta_1 - \sinh \theta) \right] \sin \beta + \\ &\quad + i \left[ \frac{1}{2} e^{\alpha} (e^{-\theta_1} - e^{-\theta}) + e^{-\alpha} (\sinh \theta_1 - \sinh \theta) \right] \sin \beta. \end{aligned}$$

From this it follows that for  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta$  to be real we must have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} e^\alpha (e^{-\theta_1} - e^{-\theta}) + e^{-\alpha} (\cosh \theta_1 - \cosh \theta) &= 0, \\ \frac{1}{2} e^\alpha (e^{-\theta_1} - e^{-\theta}) - e^{-\alpha} (\sinh \theta_1 - \sinh \theta) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

which reduces to  $\theta_1 = \theta$ . Hence all the minimal surface transforms (42) of  $S$  are imaginary.

We pass finally to the case in which we apply to the surfaces  $\bar{S}$  the conjugate inverse transformation. In place of equations (40) we have

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial u} - i \frac{\partial \theta_1}{\partial v} = \frac{1}{2} e^{\gamma-\theta_1} - e^{-\gamma} \sinh \theta_1, \quad i \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial v} - \frac{\partial \theta_1}{\partial u} = -\frac{1}{2} e^{\gamma-\theta_1} + e^{-\gamma} \cosh \theta_1,$$

and the equations of transformation are of the form

$$x_1 = x + e^\gamma (X_1 + i X_2) - e^{-\gamma} (e^{\theta_1+\theta} + 1) (X_1 - i X_2) - 2X.$$

Proceeding as in the former case, we find that the condition that  $\theta_1$  be real is

$$e^{\theta_1} = -e^{\alpha-\theta} (e^\alpha + e^{-\alpha}),$$

which evidently is impossible.