

THE L^p -INTEGRABILITY OF THE PARTIAL DERIVATIVES OF A QUASICONFORMAL MAPPING

BY

F. W. GEHRING

University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Mich. 48104, USA⁽¹⁾

1. Introduction

Suppose that D is a domain in euclidean n -space R^n , $n \geq 2$, and that $f: D \rightarrow R^n$ is a homeomorphism into. For each $x \in D$ we set

$$\begin{aligned} L_f(x) &= \limsup_{y \rightarrow x} \frac{|f(y) - f(x)|}{|y - x|}, \\ J_f(x) &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{m(f(B(x, r)))}{m(B(x, r))}, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $B(x, r)$ denotes the open n -ball of radius r about x and $m = m_n$ denotes Lebesgue measure in R^n . We call $L_f(x)$ and $J_f(x)$, respectively, the maximum stretching and generalized Jacobian for the homeomorphism f at the point x . These functions are nonnegative and measurable in D , and

$$J_f(x) \leq L_f(x)^n \tag{2}$$

for each $x \in D$. Moreover, Lebesgue's theorem implies that

$$\int_E J_f dm \leq m(f(E)) < \infty \tag{3}$$

for each compact $E \subset D$, and hence that J_f is locally L^1 -integrable in D .

Suppose next that the homeomorphism f is K -quasiconformal in D . Then

$$L_f(x)^n \leq K J_f(x) \tag{4}$$

a.e. in D , and thus L_f is locally L^n -integrable in D . Bojarski has shown in [1] that a little

⁽¹⁾ This research was supported in part by the U.S. National Science Foundation, Contract GP 28115, and by a Research Grant from the Institut Mittag-Leffler.

more is true in the case where $n=2$, namely that L_f is locally L^p -integrable in D for $p \in [2, 2+c)$, where c is a positive constant which depends only on K . Bojarski's proof consists of applying the Calderón-Zygmund inequality [2] to the Hilbert transform which relates the complex derivatives of a normalized plane quasiconformal mapping. Unfortunately this elegant two-dimensional argument does not suggest what the situation is when $n > 2$.

In the present paper we give a new and quite elementary proof for the Bojarski theorem which is valid for $n \geq 2$. More precisely, we show in section 5 that L_f is locally L^p -integrable in D for $p \in [n, n+c)$, where c is a positive constant which depends only on K and n . The argument depends upon an inequality in section 4, relating the L^1 - and L^n -means of L_f over small n -cubes, and upon a lemma in section 3, which derives the integrability from this inequality. We conclude in section 6 with a pair of applications.

2. An inequality

We begin with the following inequality for Stieltjes integrals.

LEMMA 1. *Suppose that $q \in (0, \infty)$ and $a \in (1, \infty)$, that $h: [1, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is nonincreasing with*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} h(t) = 0, \quad (5)$$

and that

$$-\int_t^\infty s^q dh(s) \leq a t^a h(t) \quad (6)$$

for $t \in [1, \infty)$. Then

$$-\int_1^\infty t^p dh(t) \leq \frac{q}{aq - (a-1)p} \left(-\int_1^\infty t^a dh(t) \right) \quad (7)$$

for $p \in [q, qa/(a-1))$. This inequality is sharp.

Proof. Suppose first that there exists a $j \in (1, \infty)$ such that $h(t) = 0$ for $t \in [j, \infty)$, and for each $r \in (0, \infty)$ set

$$I(r) = -\int_1^\infty t^r dh(t) = -\int_1^j t^r dh(t).$$

If $p \in (0, \infty)$, then integration by parts yields

$$I(p) = -\int_1^j t^{p-a} t^a dh(t) = I(q) + (p-q)J,$$

where

$$J = \int_1^j t^{p-a-1} \left(-\int_t^j s^a dh(s) \right) dt.$$

Next with (6) and a second integration by parts we obtain

$$J \leq a \int_1^j t^{p-1} h(t) dt \leq -\frac{1}{p} I(q) + \frac{a}{p} I(p),$$

and (7) follows whenever $p \in [q, qa/(a-1))$.

In the general case, (5) implies that

$$j^a h(j) \leq - \int_j^\infty t^a dh(t)$$

when $j \in (1, \infty)$. For each such j set

$$h_j(t) = \begin{cases} h(t) & \text{if } t \in [1, j), \\ 0 & \text{if } t \in [j, \infty). \end{cases}$$

Then $h_j: [1, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is nonincreasing and

$$- \int_t^\infty s^a dh_j(s) \leq a t^a h_j(t)$$

for $t \in [1, \infty)$. Hence by what was proved above,

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_1^j t^p dh(t) &\leq - \int_1^j t^p dh_j(t) \leq \frac{q}{aq - (a-1)p} \left(- \int_1^j t^a dh_j(t) \right) \\ &\leq \frac{q}{aq - (a-1)p} \left(- \int_1^\infty t^a dh(t) \right), \end{aligned}$$

and we obtain (7) by letting $j \rightarrow \infty$.

The function
$$h(t) = t^{-qa/(a-1)}$$

satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 1, (7) holds with equality, and hence inequality (7) is sharp.

3. Maximal functions, means, and integrability

Suppose that $q \in (1, \infty)$, that $E \subset R^n$ has finite positive measure, and that $g: E \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is L^q -integrable. Then Hölder's inequality implies that the L^1 -mean of g over E is dominated by the corresponding L^q -mean of g , with equality if and only if g is a.e. constant, and hence a.e. bounded. We show here that g is L^p -integrable for some $p > q$ if the L^q -mean of g over certain subsets of E do not exceed the corresponding L^1 -means of g by more than a fixed factor.

We shall base the proof of this fact on a similar result for maximal functions which may be of independent interest. Suppose that $g: R^n \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is locally L^1 -integrable. The maximal function $M(g): R^n \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ for g is defined by

$$M(g)(x) = \sup \frac{1}{m(B)} \int_B g \, dm$$

for each $x \in R^n$, where the supremum is taken over all n -balls B with center at x . Next if $q \in (1, \infty)$ and g is locally L^q -integrable, then Hölder's inequality implies that

$$M(g)^q \leq M(g^q)$$

in R^n .

LEMMA 2. Suppose that $q, b \in (1, \infty)$, that Q is an n -cube in R^n , that $g: R^n \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is locally L^q -integrable in R^n , and that

$$M(g^q) \leq b M(g)^q \quad (8)$$

a.e. in Q . Then g is L^p -integrable in Q with

$$\frac{1}{m(Q)} \int_Q g^p \, dm \leq \frac{c}{q+c-p} \left(\frac{1}{m(Q)} \int_Q g^q \, dm \right)^{p/q} \quad (9)$$

for $p \in [q, q+c)$, where c is a positive constant which depends only on q, b and n .

Proof. Inequality (9) is trivial if $g=0$ a.e. in Q . Hence by replacing g by dg , where d is a suitably chosen constant, we may assume without loss of generality that

$$\int_Q g^q \, dm = m(Q). \quad (10)$$

Next for each $t \in (0, \infty)$ let

$$E(t) = \{x \in Q: g(x) > t\}. \quad (11)$$

We begin by showing that

$$\int_{E(t)} g^q \, dm \leq a t^{q-1} \int_{E(t)} g \, dm \quad (12)$$

for $t \in [1, \infty)$, where a is a constant which depends only on q, b and n .

Fix $t \in [1, \infty)$ and choose $s \in (t, \infty)$ so that

$$s^q = a_n b \left(\frac{q}{q-1} t \right)^q, \quad a_n = \Omega_n n^{n/2},$$

where $\Omega_n = m(B(0, 1))$. Since

$$\frac{1}{m(Q)} \int_Q g^q \, dm \leq s^q,$$

we can employ a well known subdivision argument due to Calderón and Zygmund [2] to obtain a disjoint sequence of parallel n -cubes $Q_j \subset Q$ such that

$$s^q < \frac{1}{m(Q_j)} \int_{Q_j} g^q dm \leq 2^n s^q \tag{13}$$

for all j , and such that $g \leq s$ a.e. in $Q \sim G$, where $G = \bigcup_j Q_j$. (See page 418 of [7] or page 18 of [9].) Then $m(E(s) \sim G) = 0$ and with (13) we have

$$\int_{E(s)} g^q dm \leq \sum_j \int_{Q_j} g^q dm \leq 2^n s^q m(G). \tag{14}$$

Next if $B = B(x, r)$ where $x \in Q_j$ and $r = \text{dia}(Q_j)$, then (13) implies that

$$M(g^q)(x) \geq \frac{1}{m(B)} \int_B g^q dm > \frac{s^q}{a_n},$$

and with (8) we obtain $M(g)(x) > \frac{q}{q-1} t$

for $x \in F \subset G$, where $m(G \sim F) = 0$.

For each $x \in F$ there exists an n -ball B about x such that

$$\frac{1}{m(B)} \int_B g dm \geq \frac{q}{q-1} t.$$

Since F is bounded, we can apply a familiar covering theorem to find a disjoint sequence of such balls B_j such that

$$m(G) = m(F) \leq 5^n \sum_j m(B_j). \tag{15}$$

(See, for example, page 9 of [9].) For each j ,

$$\frac{q}{q-1} t m(B_j) \leq \int_{B_j} g dm \leq \int_{B_j \cap E(t)} g dm + t m(B_j)$$

whence

$$m(B_j) \leq \frac{q-1}{t} \int_{B_j \cap E(t)} g dm,$$

and combining this inequality with (14) and (15) yields

$$\int_{E(s)} g^q dm \leq 10^n s^q \frac{q-1}{t} \int_{E(t)} g dm. \tag{16}$$

Obviously

$$\int_{E(t) \sim E(s)} g^q dm \leq s^{q-1} \int_{E(t)} g dm,$$

and we obtain (12) with

$$a = 10^n \left(\frac{s}{t}\right)^q (q-1) + \left(\frac{s}{t}\right)^{q-1} < 50^n qb.$$

Now for each $t \in [1, \infty)$ set

$$h(t) = \int_{E(t)} g dm.$$

Then $h: [1, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is nonincreasing,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} h(t) = 0,$$

and it is easy to verify that

$$\int_{E(t)} g^r dm = - \int_t^\infty s^{r-1} dh(s)$$

for all $r, t \in [1, \infty)$. Thus inequality (12) implies that h satisfies the remaining hypothesis (6) of Lemma 1, and we can apply (7) to conclude that

$$\int_{E(1)} g^p dm \leq \frac{c}{q+c-p} \int_{E(1)} g^q dm$$

for $p \in [q, q+c)$, where

$$c = \frac{q-1}{a-1} > \frac{q-1}{50^n qb}.$$

Since $g^p \leq g^q$ in $Q \sim E(1)$,

$$\int_Q g^p dm \leq \frac{c}{q+c-p} \int_Q g^q dm$$

for $p \in [q, q+c)$, and this together with (10) yields (9).

LEMMA 3. *Suppose that $q, b \in (1, \infty)$, that Q is an n -cube in R^n , that $g: Q \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is L^q -integrable in Q , and that*

$$\frac{1}{m(Q')} \int_{Q'} g^q dm \leq b \left(\frac{1}{m(Q')} \int_{Q'} g dm \right)^q \quad (17)$$

for each parallel n -cube $Q' \subset Q$. Then g is L^p -integrable in Q with

$$\frac{1}{m(Q)} \int_Q g^p dm \leq \frac{c}{q+c-p} \left(\frac{1}{m(Q)} \int_Q g^q dm \right)^{p/q} \quad (18)$$

for $p \in [q, q+c)$, where c is a positive constant which depends only on q, b and n .

Proof. Assume that (10) holds and define $E(t)$ as in (11). Next for $t \in [1, \infty)$ pick $s \in [1, \infty)$ so that

$$s^q = b \left(\frac{q}{q-1} t \right)^q,$$

and choose a disjoint sequence of parallel n -cubes $Q_j \subset Q$ for which (13) and (14) hold. Then (13) and (17) imply that

$$s^q < \frac{1}{m(Q_j)} \int_{Q_j} g^q dm \leq b \left(\frac{1}{m(Q_j)} \int_{Q_j} g dm \right)^q$$

and hence that

$$m(Q_j) \leq \frac{q-1}{t} \int_{Q_j \cap E(t)} g dm$$

for each j . Combining this inequality with (14) yields (16) with 2^n in place of 10^n , and we obtain (12) with

$$a = 2^n \left(\frac{s}{t} \right)^q (q-1) + \left(\frac{s}{t} \right)^{q-1} < 2^{n+2} qb.$$

This then yields (18) with

$$c = \frac{q-1}{a-1} > \frac{q-1}{2^{n+2} qb}.$$

If $g = 0$ in $R^n \sim Q$, then inequality (17) implies that

$$M(g^q) \leq dM(g)^q$$

in Q , where d is a constant which depends only on q , b and n . Hence Lemma 3 is a direct consequence of Lemma 2. However, the direct argument sketched above yields a substantially better estimate for the constant c .

4. An inequality for quasiconformal mappings

We show next that for a quasiconformal mapping f , the L^n -mean of L_f over a small n -cube is dominated by a fixed factor times the corresponding L^1 -mean of L_f .

LEMMA 4. *Suppose that D is a domain in R^n , that $f: D \rightarrow R^n$ is a K -quasiconformal mapping, and that Q is an n -cube in D with*

$$\text{dia } f(Q) < \text{dist}(f(Q), \partial f(D)). \tag{19}$$

Then
$$\frac{1}{m(Q)} \int_Q L_f^n dm \leq b \left(\frac{1}{m(Q)} \int_Q L_f dm \right)^n, \tag{20}$$

where b is a constant which depends only on K and n .

Proof. We begin with some notation. We denote by e_1, \dots, e_n the basis vectors in R^n , and by \bar{R}^n the one point compactification $R^n \cup \{\infty\}$ of R^n . Next for $t \in (0, \infty)$ we let $R_T(t)$ denote the ring with

$$\{x = se_1: s \in [-1, 0]\}, \quad \{x = se_1: s \in [t, \infty]\}$$

as its complementary components in \bar{R}^n . Then

$$\text{mod } R_T(t) \leq \log \lambda^2(t+1), \quad (21)$$

where λ is a constant which depends only on n ,

$$\lambda \leq 4 \exp \left(\int_1^\infty \left(\frac{s^2+1}{s^2-1} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}} - 1 \right) \frac{ds}{s}.$$

(See, for example, [3] or [4].) In particular, it is easy to verify that

$$4 \leq \lambda \leq 4 \left(\frac{e^n}{2} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}}.$$

By performing preliminary isometries, we may assume that Q is the closed n -cube

$$Q = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n): |x_i| \leq s, i=1, \dots, n\}, \quad s \in (0, \infty),$$

and that $f(0) = 0$. Let

$$r = \frac{s}{3^{\frac{1}{n}} \lambda^{2\frac{n-2}{n-1}}},$$

and let R_1 be the ring with

$$C_1 = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n): |x_i| \leq r, i=1, \dots, n\}, \quad C_2 = \bar{R}^n \sim \text{int } Q$$

as its complementary components. Since C_1 and C_2 are separated by the spherical annulus

$$R = \{x \in R^n: n^{\frac{1}{2}}r < |x| < s\},$$

we have $\text{mod } R_1 \geq \text{mod } R = K \log 3\lambda^2$. (22)

Next let $r' = \max_{x \in \partial C_1} |f(x)|$, $s' = \min_{x \in \partial C_2} |f(x)|$, $t' = \max_{x \in \partial C_2} |f(x)|$,

and choose points $x \in \partial C_1$ and $y \in \partial C_2$ such that $|f(x)| = r'$ and $|f(y)| = s'$. The ring $f(R_1)$ then separates $f(x)$ and 0 from $f(y)$ and ∞ , and hence

$$\text{mod } f(R_1) \leq \text{mod } R_T \left(\frac{|f(y)|}{|f(x)|} \right) = \text{mod } R_T \left(\frac{s'}{r'} \right). \quad (23)$$

(See, for example, [3], [4], or [8].) Thus (21), (22), (23) and the fact that f is K -quasiconformal imply that

$$K \log 3 \lambda^2 \leq K^{1/(n-1)} \operatorname{mod} f(R_1) \leq K \log \lambda^2 \left(\frac{s'}{r'} + 1 \right)$$

or simply that

$$s' \geq 2r'. \tag{24}$$

Let $P: R^n \rightarrow R^{n-1}$ denote the projection

$$P(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}),$$

and for each $y \in P(C_1)$ let $\gamma = \gamma(y)$ denote the closed segment joining $y + re_n$ to $y + se_n$. Since f is quasiconformal, there exists a Borel set $E \subset P(C_1)$ such that

$$m_{n-1}(E) = m_{n-1}(P(C_1)) = (2r)^{n-1}$$

and such that f is absolutely continuous on γ whenever $y \in E$. By Fubini's theorem, we can choose a $y \in E$ such that

$$\int_{\gamma} L_f ds \leq \frac{1}{m_{n-1}(E)} \int_Q L_f dm = \frac{1}{(2r)^{n-1}} \int_Q L_f dm. \tag{25}$$

Then since $y + re_n \in \partial C_1$ and $y + se_n \in \partial C_2$,

$$s' - r' \leq |f(y + se_n)| - |f(y + re_n)| \leq \int_{\gamma} L_f ds,$$

and we obtain

$$s' \leq \frac{2}{(2r)^{n-1}} \int_Q L_f dm \tag{26}$$

from (24) and (25).

Now suppose that $s' < t'$ and let

$$R'_2 = \{x \in R^n: s' < |x| < t'\}.$$

Then (19) implies that $R'_2 \subset f(D)$, and hence $R_2 = f^{-1}(R'_2)$ is a ring which separates x and 0 from y and ∞ , where $x, y \in \partial C_2$. Thus

$$\operatorname{mod} R_2 \leq \operatorname{mod} R_T \left(\frac{|y|}{|x|} \right) \leq \operatorname{mod} R_T(n^{\frac{1}{2}}),$$

and we obtain

$$\log \frac{t'}{s'} = \operatorname{mod} R'_2 \leq K^{1/(n-1)} \operatorname{mod} R_2 \leq K \log \lambda^2 (n^{\frac{1}{2}} + 1),$$

or simply

$$t' \leq a s', \quad a = \lambda^{2K} (n^{\frac{1}{2}} + 1)^K, \tag{27}$$

from (21) and the fact that f is K -quasiconformal. (See also Lemma 3 in [6].) Since $a > 1$, (27) also holds if $t' = s'$.

Finally $f(Q)$ obviously lies inside the closed ball $\bar{B}(0, t')$. Hence if we combine (3), (4), (26) and (27), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{m(Q)} \int_Q L_f^n dm &\leq K \frac{m(f(Q))}{m(Q)} \leq K \Omega_n \left(\frac{as'}{2s} \right)^n \\ &\leq K \Omega_n \left(2a \left(\frac{s}{r} \right)^{n-1} \frac{1}{m(Q)} \int_Q L_f dm \right)^n \\ &= b \left(\frac{1}{m(Q)} \int_Q L_f dm \right)^n, \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where} \quad b = K \Omega_n (2a)^n (3^\kappa \lambda^{2\kappa} n^{\frac{1}{2}})^{n(n-1)}. \quad (28)$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 4.

5. Main result

We now apply Lemmas 3 and 4 to obtain the following n -dimensional version of Bojarski's theorem.

THEOREM 1. *Suppose that D is a domain in R^n and that $f: D \rightarrow R^n$ is a K -quasiconformal mapping. Then L_f is locally L^p -integrable in D for $p \in [n, n+c)$, where c is a positive constant which depends only on K and n .*

Proof. Choose an n -cube $Q \subset D$ such that

$$\text{dia}(f(Q)) < \text{dist}(f(Q), \partial f(D)). \quad (29)$$

Then L_f is L^n -integrable in Q . If $Q' \subset Q$ is an n -cube, then (29) implies that

$$\text{dia}(f(Q')) < \text{dist}(f(Q'), \partial f(D))$$

and hence, with Lemma 4, that

$$\frac{1}{m(Q')} \int_{Q'} L_f^n dm \leq b \left(\frac{1}{m(Q')} \int_{Q'} L_f dm \right)^n,$$

where b depends only on K and n . Thus by (3), (4) and Lemma 3, L_f is L^p -integrable in Q with

$$\frac{1}{m(Q)} \int_Q L_f^p dm \leq \frac{c}{n+c-p} \left(K \frac{m(f(Q))}{m(Q)} \right)^{p/n} < \infty$$

for $p \in [n, n+c)$, where c is a positive constant which depends only on K and n ,

$$c > \frac{n-1}{2^{n+2}nb}. \tag{30}$$

Since each compact $E \subset D$ can be covered by a finite number of n -cubes Q satisfying (29), it follows that L_f is locally L^p -integrable in D for $p \in [n, n+c)$, where c is as above. This completes the proof.

Inequalities (27), (28) and (30) yield an explicit positive lower bound for the constant c in Theorem 1. However, this estimate is undoubtedly far from best possible since we have made no attempt to obtain sharp bounds in Lemmas 3 and 4.

To obtain an upper bound for the constant c in Theorem 1, set

$$f(x) = |x|^{a-1}x, \quad a = K^{1/(1-n)}.$$

Then $f: R^n \rightarrow R^n$ is a K -quasiconformal mapping with

$$L_f(x) = |x|^{a-1}.$$

Since L_f is not L^p -integrable near the origin whenever $p(a-1) \leq -n$, we see that

$$c \leq \frac{n}{K^{1/(n-1)} - 1}.$$

It seems probable that this upper bound for c is sharp.

6. Final remarks

We conclude this paper with two applications of Theorem 1. The first of these sharpens the well known result that a quasiconformal mapping is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure.

THEOREM 2. *Suppose that D is a domain in R^n , that $f: D \rightarrow R^n$ is a K -quasiconformal mapping, and that c is the constant in Theorem 1. For each $a \in (0, c/(n+c))$ and each compact $F \subset D$ there exists a constant b such that*

$$m(f(E)) \leq b m(E)^a$$

for each measurable $E \subset F$.

Proof. Choose $a \in \left(0, \frac{c}{n+c}\right)$ and set

$$q = \frac{1}{1-a} \in \left(1, 1 + \frac{c}{n}\right).$$

Then Theorem 1 and (2) imply that J_f is locally L^q -integrable in D ,

$$b = \left(\int_F J_f^q dm \right)^{1/q} < \infty,$$

and with Hölder's inequality we obtain

$$m(f(E)) = \int_E J_f dm \leq b m(E)^a$$

for each measurable $E \subset F$.

The second application is concerned with Hausdorff dimension. Suppose that $E \subset R^n$. For $a \in (0, \infty)$ the Hausdorff a -dimensional outer measure of E is defined as

$$H_a(E) = \lim_{d \rightarrow 0} \left(\inf \sum_j \text{dia}(E_j)^a \right),$$

where the infimum is taken over all countable coverings of E by sets E_j with $\text{dia}(E_j) < d$. The Hausdorff dimension of E is then given by

$$H\text{-dim } E = \inf \{a: H_a(E) = 0\}.$$

Obviously $0 \leq H\text{-dim } E \leq n$.

The following result describes what happens to the Hausdorff dimension of a set under a quasiconformal mapping. (See Theorems 8 and 12 in [6].)

THEOREM 3. *Suppose that D is a domain in R^n , that $f: D \rightarrow R^n$ is a K -quasiconformal mapping, and that c is the constant in Theorem 1. Then*

$$\frac{c\alpha}{c+n-\alpha} \leq H\text{-dim } f(E) \leq \frac{(c+n)\alpha}{c+\alpha} \quad (31)$$

for each $E \subset D$ with $H\text{-dim } E = \alpha$.

Proof. A simple limiting argument shows we may assume that E is contained in an open set with compact closure $F \subset D$. Next for each $a \in (\alpha, \infty)$ and each $\gamma \in (0, c)$ set

$$b = \frac{(\gamma+n)a}{\gamma+a}, \quad q = 1 + \frac{\gamma}{n}.$$

Then $H_a(E) = 0$, J_f is L^q -integrable in F , and we obtain

$$H_b(f(E)) = 0$$

from the proof of Theorem 12 in [6] with 2 replaced by n . Letting $a \rightarrow \alpha$ and $\gamma \rightarrow c$ then yields the right-hand side of (31). The left-hand side of (31) follows from applying what was proved above to f^{-1} .

Theorem 3 shows that sets of Hausdorff dimension 0 and n are preserved under n -dimensional quasiconformal mappings, thus completing the proof of Conjecture 15 in [6]. Theorem 5 in [6] shows, on the other hand, that no such statement is true for sets of Hausdorff dimension α when $\alpha \in (0, n)$.

References

- [1]. BOJARSKI, B. V., Homeomorphic solutions of Beltrami systems. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 102 (1955), 661–664. (Russian)
- [2]. CALDERÓN, A. P. & ZYGMUND, A., On the existence of certain singular integrals. *Acta Math.*, 88 (1952), 85–139.
- [3]. CARAMAN, P., *Homeomorfisme quasiconforme n -dimensionale*. Bucharest, 1968.
- [4]. GEHRING, F. W., Symmetrization of rings in space. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 101 (1961), 499–519.
- [5]. — Rings and quasiconformal mappings in space. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 103 (1962), 353–393.
- [6]. GEHRING, F. W. & VÄISÄLÄ, J., Hausdorff dimension and quasiconformal mappings. *J. London Math. Soc.*, 6 (1973), to appear.
- [7]. JOHN, F. & NIRENBERG, L., On functions of bounded mean oscillation. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 14 (1961), 415–426.
- [8]. MOSTOW, G. D., Quasi-conformal mappings in n -space and the rigidity of hyperbolic space forms. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, 34 (1968), 53–104.
- [9]. STEIN, E. M., *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions*. Princeton Univ. Press, 1970.
- [10]. VÄISÄLÄ, J., *Lectures on n -dimensional quasiconformal mappings*. Lecture notes in mathematics 229, Springer Verlag, 1971.

Received September 25, 1972