

On uniformly homeomorphic normed spaces II

M. Ribe

This paper continues the studies of the situation when two Banach spaces are uniformly homeomorphic (i.e., when there is a non-linear bijection f between them such that both f and f^{-1} are uniformly continuous). The question is how strongly the linear-topological structures of the two spaces must then be related to each other. Only very recently, Aharoni and Lindenstrauss [19] gave an example showing that the two spaces need not always be isomorphic. (This question of isomorphy, raised by Bessaga [3] and Lindenstrauss [7], [8], is still open in the general reflexive case and in the general separable case.)

The present author [18] has proved that for any two uniformly homeomorphic real normed spaces, the finite-dimensional subspaces are imbeddable into the other space by linear mappings T such that all the numbers $\|T\| \|T^{-1}\|$ have a common upper bound. This generalises some results of Enflo [5], [6] and Lindenstrauss [7]. Aharoni [1], [2] and Mankiewicz [13]—[16] have given nice results on some closely related problems. (For a recent survey, see Enflo [20].)

The purpose of this paper is to show how the mentioned result of [18] can be strengthened if one of the spaces is supposed to be uniformly rotund. As an application, it is proved that if $1 < p < \infty$, then among all real Banach spaces only \mathcal{L}_p -spaces are uniformly homeomorphic to \mathcal{L}_p -spaces (Sect. 5).

Theorem 1. *Assume that E and F are normed spaces over the real field, that F is uniformly rotund, and that E and F are uniformly homeomorphic. Then there is a number $C > 0$ such that for any integer $n \geq 1$ and any finite-dimensional subspace K in E , there is a linear imbedding $T: K \rightarrow F$ with the following property:*

For every n -dimensional subspace L in F there is a linear mapping $S: (T(K) + L) \rightarrow E$ such that ST is the identity mapping on K and such that $\|S\| \|T\| \leq C$.

The proof is given in Sect. 2—3.

Corollary 1. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1, there is a number $C > 0$ such that for any integer $n \geq 1$ and any finite-dimensional subspace K in E , there is a linear*

imbedding $T: K \rightarrow F$ for which $\|T\| \|T^{-1}\| \leq C$ and which has the following additional property:

Consider any continuous linear projection $P: E \rightarrow K$; then for every n -dimensional subspace L in F there is a linear projection $P_1: (T(K)+L) \rightarrow T(K)$ for which $\|P_1\| \leq C\|P\|$.

Proof. Take $P_1 = TPS$.

The proof of Theorem 1 uses a variant of the technique for handling finite point-meshes introduced in the author's previous paper [18]. Like before, the "linearization" leading to S consists in forming averages of function values on a suitably selected point-mesh. The mapping T is obtained in a more direct manner, using "approximate affinity" of the uniform homeomorphism on a suitable point-mesh.

2. Finite point-meshes

For the proof of Theorem 1 we need some lemmas. This section contains those lemmas which do not depend on the uniform rotundity assumption.

Notation. Given some points x_1, \dots, x_d in a linear space and an integer $m \geq 1$, we denote by $G(x_1, \dots, x_d | m)$ the set of all linear combinations

$$\xi_1 x_1 + \dots + \xi_d x_d \quad \text{with } \xi_i \text{ integers, } |\xi_i| \leq m.$$

For a normed space E we let $S(E)$ be the set of all d -tuples $(x_1, \dots, x_d) \subset E$ such that $\|x_i\| = \|x_1\| \geq 1$ and $\text{dist}(x_i, \text{lin}(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})) = \|x_1\|$ for all i . (This definition is somewhat wider than the one made in [18]; really, it ought to have been used there also.)

Assumptions. For this section, we assume that there are given two normed real linear spaces E and F , and a non-linear mapping $f: E \rightarrow F$ such that for some number $b > 0$ we have

$$b^{-1} \|x - y\| \leq \|f(x) - f(y)\| \leq b \|x - y\|$$

$$\text{for } x, y \text{ in } E, \quad \|x - y\| \geq 1.$$

Notation. With these assumptions, let x in E and u in F' be given points, and let $c > 0$ be a given number. (F' is the conjugate space to F .) We denote by $\mathcal{A}(x, u | c)$ the class of all sets S in E such that whenever y is a point in S and k is any positive integer such that $y + kx$ is also in S , we have

$$u(f(y + kx) - f(y)) \leq c \|u\| \|x\| k.$$

Further, we denote by $\mathcal{B}(x|c)$ the class of all sets S in E such that whenever y is a point in S and k is any positive integer such that $y+kx$ is also in S , we have

$$\|f(y+kx)-f(y)\| \leq c\|x\|k.$$

The following lemma is a slight modification of Lemma 2 in [18]. The proof carries over with obvious changes and will not be repeated here.

Lemma 1. *With the Assumptions just made, let there be given an integer $d \geq 1$ and a real number $\theta > 1$. Then there is an integer $m_0(d, b, \theta) = m_0 \geq 3$ such that for $m \geq m_0$ there is an integer $j_0(d, m, b, \theta) = j_0 \geq 1$ such that the following implication holds:*

Let there be given a d -tuple (x_1, \dots, x_d) of $S(E)$, a real number $c, (2b)^{-1} \leq c \leq b$, and integers $i, 1 \leq i \leq d$, and $j \geq j_0$. Suppose that y^0 in $G(x_1, \dots, x_d | m^{3j/3})$ and u in F' are points, and $n, m^{3j_0} \leq n \leq m^{3j}$, an integer for which

$$u(f(y^0 + nx_i) - f(y^0)) \geq \theta cn \|u\| \|x_i\|.$$

Then the set $G(x_1, \dots, x_d | m^{3j})$ contains a subset which is of the form

$$y^- + m^{j^- - 1} G(x_1, \dots, x_d | m)$$

(where $1 \leq j^- \leq 3j - 1$), and which belongs to the class $\mathcal{A}(m^{j^- - 1} x_i, u|c)$.

Lemma 2. *With the Assumptions just made, let there be given an integer $d \geq 1$ and a real number $\theta > 1$. If (x_1, \dots, x_d) is a d -tuple of $S(E)$ and $m \geq 1$ an integer, there is a set which is of the form*

$$y + nG(x_1, \dots, x_d | m)$$

(where $n \geq 1$), and which belongs to the class

$$\bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq d} \mathcal{A}(nx_i, u_i | c_i) \cap \bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq d} \mathcal{B}(nx_i | \theta c_i)$$

for some elements $u_i \neq 0$ in F' and some real numbers $c_i > 0, 1 \leq i \leq d$.

Proof. We shall prove that if $m \geq 3$ is any given sufficiently large integer, then for all sufficiently large integers $j \geq 1$ the set $G(x_1, \dots, x_d | m^j)$ contains a set which is of the form

$$G^- = y^- + m^{j^- - 1} G(x_1, \dots, x_d | m)$$

and which is of class $\mathcal{A}(nx_1, u|c) \cap \mathcal{B}(nx_1 | \theta c)$ for some $u \neq 0$ and $c > 0$. The assertion of the lemma then follows from precisely the same iterative argument as was used to find G_n in the first half of the proof of Theorem 1A in [18].

For $k \geq i \geq 1$ let $r(k, i)$ be that integer r for which the set

$$m^i G(x_1, \dots, x_d | m^{k-i})$$

belongs to the class $\mathcal{B}(m^i x_1 | \theta^{(r+1)/2}) \setminus \mathcal{B}(m^i x_1 | \theta^{r/2})$. Let $j_0(d, m, b, \theta) = j_0$ be the integer mentioned in Lemma 1. Now, the function $r(k, i)$ has finite range, and clearly it is increasing in k and decreasing in i . It follows that there is an integer $j_1(d, m, b, \theta) = j_1 > 3j_0$ such that one can always find some integers k', j' with $3j_0 \leq 3j' < k' \leq j_1$ and with

$$r(k' - 1, 3j') = r(k', 3j' - 3j_0) = r',$$

say.

Consider the set

$$G' = m^{3j' - 3j_0} G(x_1, \dots, x_d | m^{k' - 3j' + 3j_0}).$$

This set, and thus every subset of it, belongs to the class $\mathcal{B}(m^{3j' - 3j_0} x_1 | \theta^{(r'+1)/2})$. But the relation enjoyed by r' also implies that in the set

$$m^{3j'} G(x_1, \dots, x_d | m^{k' - 3j' - 1})$$

there is a point y^0 such that

$$\|f(y^0 + m^{3j_0}(m^{3j' - 3j_0} x_1)) - f(y^0)\| \cong \theta^{r'} m^{3j_0} m^{3j' - 3j_0} \|x_1\|.$$

In view of this we can apply Lemma 1, which yields that in G' there is a subset G^- having the properties claimed above (with $c = \theta^{(r'-1)/2}$).

Notation. We denote by $W(E)$ the set of all d -tuples (x_1, \dots, x_d) of points in E such that

$$\|x_1\| \cong 2b^2,$$

$$\|x_i\| \cong 2b^2 \|x_1\|,$$

and

$$\text{dist}(x_i, \text{lin}(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})) \cong (b^{-2}/2) \|x_1\|$$

for all i . (Remark: The choice of the constants here is motivated by our actual need in the next section.)

Lemma 3. *With the Assumptions made at the beginning of this section, for all integers $d, n \geq 1$ there is an integer $M \geq 1$ and a positive number δ such that the following implication holds:*

Let (x_1, \dots, x_d) be a given d -tuple of $W(E)$. Suppose that there is an affine mapping

$$a: G(x_1, \dots, x_d | M) \rightarrow F$$

such that

$$\|f(x) - a(x)\| \cong \delta \|x_1\| \quad \text{for } x \text{ in } G(x_1, \dots, x_d | M).$$

Then if K is any $(d+n)$ -dimensional subspace in E which contains $G(x_1, \dots, x_d | M)$, there is a linear mapping $S: K \rightarrow F$ such that

$$1^\circ S(x) = a(x) - a(0) \quad \text{for } x \text{ in } G(x_1, \dots, x_d | M).$$

$$2^\circ \|S\| \cong 2b.$$

Proof. The proof is analogous to the last half of the proof of Theorem 1A in [18]. Let M and δ be fixed, but suitably large resp. small to meet later requirements. Given K , we let x_{d+1}, \dots, x_{d+n} be such that (x_1, \dots, x_{d+n}) becomes a $(d+n)$ -tuple of $W(E)$ spanning K . Also, assume that $f(0)=a(0)=0$.

Let $m \leq M$ be a fixed positive integer, to be specified later. Given an $\varepsilon > 0$ (specified later), and then supposing that M and δ were suitably chosen, we can construct a mapping $h: G(x_1, \dots, x_{d+n}|m) \rightarrow F$ fulfilling the conditions

- (i) $\|h(x) + h(y) - h(x+y)\| \leq \varepsilon \|x_1\|$
- (ii) $\|a(x) - h(x)\| \leq \varepsilon \|x_1\|$ when x is in $G(x_1, \dots, x_d|m)$
- (iii) $\|h(x)\| \leq b \|x\|$

for all x and y . Namely, let $N \leq M$ be a fixed suitable positive integer and put

$$G' = G(x_1, \dots, x_d|M) + G(x_{d+1}, \dots, x_{d+n}|N).$$

Then we define the h -values as averages of differences of f -values in this way:

$$h(x) = (2M+1)^{-d} (2N+1)^{-n} \sum_{x'} (f(x'+x) - f(x')),$$

where the summation index x' runs through G' .

Conditions (i)–(iii) are quickly verified. For (i), use the assumptions for f and $W(E)$, and note that if the defining sums for the h -values are written out, then a very large portion of all terms in the expression for $h(x)+h(y)-h(x+y)$ will cancel, if M/m and N/m were taken large enough. The assumptions for f and $W(E)$ clearly also imply (ii) if M/N is large and $\delta > 0$ is small enough. It is easily seen that these requirements on M , N , and δ do not depend on the choice of (x_1, \dots, x_{d+n}) , except on d and n .

We now define the linear mapping $S: K \rightarrow F$ by putting

$$S(\xi_1 x_1 + \dots + \xi_{d+n} x_{d+n}) = \xi_1 a(x_1) + \dots + \xi_d a(x_d) + \xi_{d+1} h(x_{d+1}) + \dots + \xi_{d+n} h(x_{d+n})$$

for all reals ξ_i . Then S clearly coincides with a on the domain of a , as claimed. Further, it can be seen that conditions (i)–(iii) imply that $\|S\| \leq 2b$, if m was chosen sufficiently large and ε sufficiently small, in view of the assumptions for $W(E)$; and these requirements on m and ε do not depend on (x_1, \dots, x_{d+n}) , except on d and n .

3. Uniform rotundity

First notice that the definition of uniform rotundity (cf. Day [4], Sect. VII. 2, Definition 2) can be rephrased thus: A space E is uniformly rotund if and only if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a $\delta > 0$ such that if u is any element in E' with $\|u\|=1$, then the set of all points x in E with $\|x\| \leq 1$ and $u(x) \geq 1 - \delta$ has diameter at most ε . In view of that, the following lemma is almost immediately obtained:

Lemma 4. *With the notations of the preceding section, suppose that F is uniformly rotund. For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a $\theta > 1$ such that the following implication holds:*

Let $(x_1, \dots, x_d) \subset E$ be any linearly independent d -tuple and m any positive integer. Suppose that the set $G(x_1, \dots, x_d|m)$ belongs to the class

$$\bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq d} \mathcal{A}(x_i, u_i|c_i) \cap \bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq d} \mathcal{B}(x_i, u_i|\theta c_i)$$

for some elements $u_i \neq 0$ in F' and some real numbers $c_i > 0$, $1 \leq i \leq d$. Then there is an affine mapping $a: G(x_1, \dots, x_d|m) \rightarrow F$ such that

$$\|f(x) - a(x)\| \leq \varepsilon dm \max \|x_i\|$$

for all x in $G(x_1, \dots, x_d|m)$.

Proof. Define a putting $a(0) = f(0)$ and $a(x_i) = f(0) + y_i$, where y_i is the unique solution to the equation $u_i(y_i) = \|u_i\| \|y_i\|$ with $\|y_i\| = c_i \|x_i\|$.

Proof of Theorem 1. Clearly, the uniform homeomorphism f (say) fulfils the Assumptions at the beginning of Sect. 2 for some b . Let (x_1, \dots, x_d) be a d -tuple of $S(E)$ spanning K and with $\|x_1\| \geq 3b^3$. Let m be a large positive integer, and let ε be a small positive number. Combining Lemmas 2 and 4, we find a set

$$G^- = y^- + rG(x_1, \dots, x_d|m)$$

(where $r \geq 1$) and an affine mapping $a: G^- \rightarrow F$ such that $\|f(x) - a(x)\| \leq \varepsilon r \|x_1\|$ for x in G^- .

Let the integer $n \geq 1$ be given; then if m and ε were chosen suitably, we can define the desired linear mapping $T: K \rightarrow F$ as the linear extension of the 0-preserving affine mapping $x \rightarrow a(x + y^-) - a(y^-)$. Namely, first note that if ε is small enough, then

$$(rT(x_1), \dots, rT(x_d))$$

is necessarily a d -tuple of $W(F)$. Now suppose that m was taken suitably large and ε suitably small; and let L be an arbitrary n -dimensional subspace in F . We can then apply Lemma 3, with f^{-1} in the place of f and a^{-1} in the place of a , to obtain a linear mapping $S: (T(K) + L) \rightarrow E$ with ST being the identity mapping on K and with $\|S\| \leq 2b$. For m large and ε small we also have $\|T\| \leq 2b$, which completes the proof.

4. Further observations

Corollary 1 can sometimes be given a stronger and more polished formulation with the aid of a recently studied notion, i.e., the uniform approximation property (u. a. p.). A space E has the u. a. p. if there is a number $C > 0$ so that for every $d \geq 1$ there is an $n \geq 1$ such that for every d -dimensional subspace K in E , there

is a linear mapping $T: E \rightarrow E$ with $T(x) = x$ for x in K , with $\dim T(E) \leq n$, and with $\|T\| \leq C$. The $L^p(\mu)$ -spaces have the u. a. p., by Pelczynski and Rosenthal [17]; so do the reflexive Orlicz spaces, by Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri [12]. From Corollary 1, we immediately get:

Corollary 2. *With the assumptions of Theorem 1, also assume that F has the uniform approximation property. There is a number $C > 0$ such that for every finite-dimensional subspace K in E , there is a linear imbedding $T: K \rightarrow F$ for which $\|T\| \|T^{-1}\| \leq C$ and which has this property: If $P: E \rightarrow K$ is a linear projection, there is a linear projection $P_1: F \rightarrow T(K)$ with $\|P_1\| \leq C \|P\|$.*

Let us notice that a sort of "approximate affinity" is generally possessed by uniformly continuous mappings into uniformly rotund spaces. For by Lemma 4 and an obvious modification of Lemma 2, we can obtain:

Corollary 3. *Let $f: E \rightarrow F$ be a uniformly continuous mapping from a real normed linear space into a uniformly rotund real normed linear space F . Let (x_1, \dots, x_d) be a linearly independent d -tuple of elements in E and let m be a positive integer. For every number $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a set of the form*

$$G = y + nG(x_1, \dots, x_d | m)$$

(where $n \geq 1$) and an affine mapping $a: G \rightarrow F$ such that $\|f(x) - a(x)\| < \varepsilon n$ for all x in G .

(Of course, this "approximate affinity" can be trivial, so that $a=0$ always suffices for n large.)

5. Application to \mathcal{L}_p

Concerning the \mathcal{L}_p -spaces, which were introduced by Lindenstrauss and Pelczynski [9], see Lindenstrauss and Rosenthal [10], or Lindenstrauss' and Tzafriri's book [11].

Theorem 2. *Let $1 < p < \infty$. Then if a real Banach space is uniformly homeomorphic to an \mathcal{L}_p -space, it is an \mathcal{L}_p -space itself.*

Proof. Let E be a Banach space which is uniformly homeomorphic to an $\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda}$ -space F . It is known that every \mathcal{L}_p -space is isomorphic to a subspace of an L^p -space; so since $1 < p < \infty$, F can be given an equivalent norm which is uniformly rotund.

According to a recent theorem of Pelczynski and Rosenthal [17], for $d \geq 1$ there is an $n(d) \geq 1$ such that every d -dimensional subspace in l^p is contained in an $n(d)$ -dimensional subspace $N \subset l^p$ with $d(N, l_{n(d)}^p) \leq 2$. Now apply Theorem 1 above, taking the finite-dimensional $K \subset E$ arbitrary and taking $n = n(d) - d$,

where d is the dimension of K . It follows that there always are linear mappings $U: K \rightarrow l_n^p$ and $V: l_n^p \rightarrow E$ with $VU = \text{id}_K$ and $\|V\| \|U\| \leq 2C\lambda$. But the latter statement means precisely that E fulfils the hypothesis of Theorem 4.3 of Lindenstrauss and Rosenthal [10], whence E is an \mathcal{L}_p -space of an \mathcal{L}_2 -space.

Now, the alternative of E being an \mathcal{L}_2 -space can be ruled out when $p \neq 2$, by an application of the above argument with the roles of E resp. F interchanged (or by Theorem 6.3.1 of Enflo [6]).

Remark. In the separable case Theorem 2 can be restated thus (see [10] or [11]): *For $1 < p < \infty$, the class of all isomorphy types of complemented closed subspaces in $L^p(0, 1)$ is closed under uniform homeomorphy.* It might be pointed out that a corresponding statement holds for the class of isomorphy types of *all* closed subspaces in $L^p(0, 1)$. (Of course, the latter statement is of a "less precise" kind, since the latter class is so much wider than the former when $p \neq 2$.) Thus:

Let $1 < p < \infty$. If a real Banach space is uniformly homeomorphic to a subspace in $L^p(0, 1)$, it is isomorphic to a subspace in $L^p(0, 1)$.

Namely, this follows from the result of [18] cited at the beginning of this paper, combined with a known fact, which can be proved by a suitable diagonalisation: $L^p(0, 1)$ is a universal imbedding space for those separable Banach spaces all finite-dimensional subspaces of which can be imbedded into l^p by linear mappings T with $\|T\| \|T^{-1}\|$ having a common upper bound. (Alternative approach: It is possible to show that a uniform imbedding onto a subspace in $L^p(0, 1)$ can be "Lipschitzified", and hence a linear-topological imbedding then exists by a general theorem of Maniewicz [13].)

Remark. As in [18] (cf. Sect. 5 there), it is a straightforward matter to get sharp quantitative forms of the results in this paper. E.g., to state such a form of Theorem 2, let E be a real Banach space which can be mapped onto an $\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda}$ -space by a bijection fulfilling the Assumptions stated at the beginning of Sect. 2 above; then E is an $\mathcal{L}_{p,b^2\lambda+\varepsilon}$ -space for every $\varepsilon > 0$.

6. Locally bounded spaces

For E in Theorem 1, for the given space in Theorem 2, and for one of the two spaces in Theorem 1 of [18], it actually suffices to assume that it is a real locally bounded space. Namely, the proof of Theorem 1A in [18] clearly carries through if E is endowed with the Minkowski functional of a bounded 0-neighbourhood, and not necessarily with a norm. This generalization of Theorem 1A immediately implies the following (which is a generalization of Theorem 6.2 of Enflo [6]):

If a locally bounded space is uniformly homeomorphic to a normed space, it is normable.

The author is thankful to Prof. P. Enflo and to Prof. T. Figiel for stimulating conversations.

References

1. AHARONI, I., Every separable metric space is Lipschitz equivalent to a subset of c_0 , *Israel J. Math.* **19** (1974), 284—291.
2. AHARONI, I., Uniform embeddings of Banach spaces, To appear.
3. BESSAGA, C., On topological classification of linear metric spaces, *Fund. Math.* **56** (1965), 251—288.
4. DAY, M. M., *Normed Linear Spaces*, Third Edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin—Heidelberg—New York, 1973, ISBN 3—540—06 148—7.
5. ENFLO, P., On the nonexistence of uniform homeomorphisms between L_p -spaces, *Ark. Mat.* **8** (1969), 103—105.
6. ENFLO, P., Uniform structure and square roots in topological groups, II, *Israel J. Math.* **8** (1970), 253—272.
7. LINDENSTRAUSS, J., On non-linear projections in Banach spaces, *Michigan Math. J.* **11** (1964), 263—287.
8. LINDENSTRAUSS, J., Some aspects of the theory of Banach spaces, *Advances in Math.* **5** (1970), 159—180.
9. LINDENSTRAUSS, J. and PELCZYNSKI, A., Absolutely summing operators in L_p -spaces and their applications, *Studia Math.* **29** (1968), 275—326.
10. LINDENSTRAUSS, J. and ROSENTHAL, H. P., The \mathcal{L}_p -spaces, *Israel J. Math.* **7** (1969), 325—349.
11. LINDENSTRAUSS, J. and TZAFRIRI, L., *Classical Banach Spaces*, Lecture Notes in Math. **338**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin—Heidelberg—New York, 1973, ISBN 3—540—06 408—7.
12. LINDENSTRAUSS, J. and TZAFRIRI, L., The uniform approximation property in Orlicz spaces, *Israel J. Math.* **23** (1976), 142—155.
13. MANKIEWICZ, P., On Lipschitz mappings between Fréchet spaces, *Studia Math.* **41** (1972), 225—241.
14. MANKIEWICZ, P., On the differentiability of Lipschitz mappings in Fréchet spaces, *Studia Math.* **45** (1973), 15—29.
15. MANKIEWICZ, P., On Fréchet spaces uniformly homeomorphic to $H \times s$, *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys.* **22** (1974), 521—527.
16. MANKIEWICZ, P., On spaces uniformly homeomorphic to Hilbertian Fréchet spaces, *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys.* **22** (1974), 529—531.
17. PELCZYNSKI, A. and ROSENTHAL, H. P., Localization techniques in L^p -spaces, *Studia Math.* **52** (1975), 263—289.
18. RIBE, M., On uniformly homeomorphic normed spaces, *Ark. Mat.* **14** (1976), 237—244.
19. AHARONI, I. and LINDENSTRAUSS, J., Uniform equivalence between Banach spaces, To appear.
20. ENFLO, P., *Uniform homeomorphisms between Banach spaces*, Séminaire Maurey—Schwartz 1975—1976, Exposé 18.

Received March 29, 1977

M. Ribe
 Lostigen 1
 S—17 171 Solna, Sweden