Plant and Soil 95, 327-336 (1986). \circled{c} 1986 Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, Dordrecht. Printed in the Netherlands.

Effects of soil acidification and subsequent leaching on levels of extractable nutrients in a soil

R.J. HAYNES

Agricultural Research Division, Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries, P.O. Box 24, Lincoln, Canterbury, New Zealand

and R.S. SWIFT *Department of Soil Science, Lincoln College, Canterbury, New Zealand*

Received 9 October 1985. Revised March 1986

Key words Acidification Extractable micronutrients Fe Mn Zn Cu Extractable P Exchangeable cations Ca Mg K Na Mineral N

Summary The effects of soil acidification (pH values from 6.5 to 3.8), and subsequent leaching, on levels of extractable nutrients in a soil were studied in a laboratory experiment. Below pH 5.5, acidification resulted in large increases in the amounts of exchangeable A1 in the soil. Simultaneously, exchangeable cations were displayed from exchange sites and Ca, Mg, K and Na in soil solution increased markedly. With increasing soil acidification, increasing amounts of cations were leached; the magnitude of leaching loss was in the same order as the cations were present in the soil: $Ca^{2+} > Mg^{2+} > K^+ > Na^+.$

Soil acidification appeared to inhibit nitrification since in the unleached soils, levels of $NO₃^$ clearly declined below pH 5.5 and at the same time levels of $NH₊$ increased greatly. Significant amounts of $NH₄⁺$ and larger amounts of $NO₃⁻$, were removed from the soil during leaching. Concentrations of NaHCO₃-extractable phosphate remained unchanged between pH 4.3 and 6.0 but were raised at higher and lower pH values. No leaching losses of phosphate were detected. For the unleached soils, levels of EDTA-extractable Mn and Zn increased as the soil was acidified whilst levels of extractable Fe were first decreased and then increased greatly and those for Cu were decreased slightly between pH 6.5 and 6.0 and then unaffected by further acidification. Significant leaching losses of Mn and Zn were observed at pH values below 5.5 but losses of Fe were very small and those of Cu were not detectable.

Introduction

Slow natural acidification, as a process in soil development, is well known and documented²². Acidification of agricultural soils can also be **a problem where continual applications of NH4-fertilizers have been** made¹⁶. Renewed interest has been shown in the process and conse**quences of rapid soil acidification in recent years because of increasing concern regarding the effects of acid precipitation on the plant-soil** system^{3,23}. Furthermore, with the increasing commercial cultivation of **calcifuge (acid-loving) plants such as blueberries, cranberries, azalias, heaths and camellias, the rapid artificial acidification of soils is becoming increasingly prevalent. The major acidifying agents used are elemental S, aluminium and iron sulphates and ammonium fertilizers. Even more rapid acidification can be achieved with the direct use of sulphuric acid,**

Ms. 6590

particularly when applied through irrigation water *(e.g.* **through trickle systems). Rapid acidification results in the build-up of soluble salts in soil solution and subsequent leaching of these salts is required to maintain a fertile soil environment.**

The consequences of natural soil acidification are normally determined from natural sequences with soils of different ages. However, rapid changes in soil properties as a result of artificial acidification have received little attention and there is surprisingly little work reporting changes in levels of available nutrients as soils are acidified. This study reports the effects of soil acidification, and subsequent leaching, on concentrations of cations in soil solution, levels of exchangeable cations and aluminium, and amounts of extractable mineral N, phosphate and micronutrient cations in a soil.

Materials and methods

The soil used in this study was the A horizon of Templeton silt loam¹⁷ (Eutric Cambisol; FAO) and was taken from a site which had not been used agriculturally and never received fertilizer applications. Some relevant properties of the soil were summarized previously 12 .

The soil was air-dried and, after sieving, the less than 4 mm fraction was placed in plastic pots (1 kg air-dry soil per pot). Soils were moistened with increasing concentrations of dilute sulphuric acid to give a range of soil pH (CaCl₂) values between 6.0 and 3.5. One portion of soil was treated with $Ca(OH)$, to give a pH of 6.5 (CaCl₂). Six replicates of each pH value were prepared. Soils were thoroughly mixed and then incubated at room temperature at a moisture content of 70% of field capacity for 12 weeks. Three replicates of each pH treatment were then taken for analysis. The remainder of the samples was leached with two pore volumes of deionised water over a 24 h period in order to reduce soluble salts which had accumulated following acidification. Leachates were collected for analysis and the soils were then maintained at 70% field capacity for another four weeks after which samples were taken for analysis.

In the leachate, concentrations of Ca, Mg, K, Fe, Mn, Zn and Cu were determined by atomic absorption and Na and A1 by atomic emission spectrophotometry. Leachate samples were analyzed for chloride by the modified mercuric thiocyanide method²⁰, ammonium by the phenol hypochlorite reaction²⁴, nitrate by the copperized cadmium reduction method⁹ sulphate by the BaCl₂-Tween 80 method¹⁸ and phosphate by the molybdenum blue method¹⁴.

Soil pH was measured with a glass electrode in a 1:2.5 soil:distilled water slurry or a 1:2.5 soil:0.01 M CaCl, slurry. Exchangeable cations plus those in soil solution were extracted from moist unleached and leached soils with $1 M$ ammonium acetate (1:50 soil:solution ratio for 1h) and Ca, Mg, K and Na were analysed as outlined above. Cations in soil solution were extracted from the moist soils under suction with a Buchner funnel after overnight equilibration with distilled water (1:2 soil:water ratio) and cations in extracts were analysed as outlined above. "Exchangeable" cations in soils were calculated by subtracting concentrations of cations in soil solution from those extracted with ammonium acetate. Exchangeable Al was extracted from soils with $1 M KCl$ (1:25 soil:extractant ratio for I h) and A1 in extracts was analysed as outlined above. Effective CEC was calculated as the sum of exchangeable cations plus exchangeable A1; concentrations of exchangeble Al (and those in leachates) were calculated in terms of equivalents of Al^{3+} .

Mineral-N was extracted from soils with $2 M$ KCl and extracts were analysed for ammonium and nitrate as outlined above. Available phosphate was extracted from soils with $0.5 M$ NaHCO₃ $(1:100)$ soil: solution ratio for 16 h)⁶ and phosphate in the extract was determined by the molybdenum blue method. Micronutrient cations (Fe, Mn, Zn and Cu) were extracted from soils with $0.04 M$ EDTA (disodium salt adjusted to pH 6.0) using a 1:2.5 soil: solution ratio²⁶ and micronutrients in the extracts were determined as outlined above.

Results

Soil pH values in $CaCl₂$ were similar for the unleached and leached soils and these values are used throughout the paper. The pH values in water corresponding to 3.7 and 6.5 in CaCl₂ were: unleached 3.7, leached 3.9 and unleached 6.9, leached 7.2 respectively.

Levels of exchangeable Ca^{2+} , Mg^{2+} , K^+ , Na^+ and to a lesser extent A1 were less in leached than unleached soils (Fig. 1). Exchangeable Ca and Mg decreased greatly as the soil was acidified; the decrease was larger for the leached than unleached soil. There was a concomitant increase in exchangeable $Al³⁺$ as the soil was acidified. Levels of ex-

Fig. I. Effects of soil acidification on levels of exchangeable Ca, Mg, K, Na and AI and effective cation exchange capacity (ECEC) in unleached (\bullet) and leached (O) soils. Average 95% confidence intervals shown.

changeable K⁺ and Na⁺ decreased at a lesser rate than those of Ca and **Mg as the pH was lowered. As the soil was acidified there was a decrease in effective CEC in the leached soil (Fig. 1) but no such effect was observed in the unleached soil.**

In the unleached soil, levels of Ca and Mg in soil solution increased exponentially as the pH was lowered from 6.5 to 3.8 (Fig. 2) whilst levels

Fig. 2. **Effect of soil acidification on levels of Ca, Mg, K and Na in soil solution in unleached** (e) **and leached soils (o) soils. Average 95% confidence intervals shown.**

of K^+ in soil solution incresed at a more-or-less linear fashion and those for Na tended to increase. Chemical analysis showed that immediately after leaching, levels of cations in soil solution were all very low $(< 2m$ mol $(+)$ kg⁻¹) (Data not shown). After leaching and equilibration for a further period of four weeks, soil acidification resulted in an increse in concentrations of soluble Ca^{2+} , Mg²⁺ and K⁺ but the increase was considerably less in magnitude than that in the unleached soils (Fig. 2). Levels of Al in soil solution were very low (< 0.11 m mol Al_(0.33) kg⁻¹) even from samples of pH below 4.0. The approximate ionic strength in soil solution in leached and unleached soils was $0.003 M$ and $0.014 M$ at pH 6.5 and 0.048 M and 0.201 M at pH 3.8 respectively.

In the unleached soil, levels of $NO₃⁻N$ declined greatly below pH 5.0 and levels of $NH₄⁺-N$ increased greatly (Fig. 3). In the leached soil levels of $NH₄⁺-N$ were less than those for the unleached soils and concentrations of $NO₃⁻-N$ were all relatively low although they declined with decreasing pH (Fig. 3). Concentrations of $NaHCO₃$ -extractable phosphate remained unchanged between pH 6.0 and 4.3 but were increased at higher and lower pH values (Fig. 3). The amounts of NaHCO 3 extractable phosphate in the soil were low since critical levels for pastures are between 22 and 48 μ g P g⁻¹¹³.

As observed in a previous study¹², soil acidification resulted in increases in levels of EDTA-extractable Fe, Mn and Zn but had little effect on levels of extractable Cu (Fig. 3). However, below pH 5.5 levels of EDTA-extractable Mn and Zn were markedly lower in leached than unleached soils but there were no significant differences for EDTAextractable Fe and Cu.

The amounts of cations and anions leached from the soils at soil pH values of 6.5, 6.0, 5.5, 4.6 and 3.8 are shown in Table 1. With increasing soil acidification increasing amounts of Ca^{2+} , Mg^{2+} and K^+ were leached along with the applied SO_4^2 -S. The magnitude of cation losses were $Ca^{2+} > Mg^{2+} > K^+ > Na^+$. At low soil pH, significant leaching losses of NH $₄$ occurred. Considerable quantities of NO₃ were leached</sub> at high soil pH. In the high pH treatments (pH 6.0 and 6.5), $NO₃$ was the major anion leached. With increasing soil acidification increasing amounts of Fe, Mn and Zn were leached. Concentrations of Cu in leachates were not detectable ($< 0.09 \,\mu\text{g}\,\text{g}^{-1}$).

Discussion

The large increases in levels of Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} , and to a lesser extent K and Na, in soil solution as the soil was acidified were the result of replacement of cations on exchange sites by added $H⁺$ and solubilized Al species $3,22$. Thus the ratio of bases in soil solution to exchangeable bases

Fig. 3. Effect of soils acidification on levels of potassium chloride-extractable NH⁺- and NO₅-N, **sodium** bicarbonate-extractable P and EDTA-extractable Fe, Mn, Zn and Cu in unleached (e) **and** leached (o) soils. Average 95% **confidence intervals shown.**

increased as levels of exchangeable A1 increased. As the soil was acidified, increasing amounts of Ca^{2+} , Mg^{2+} , K^+ and Na^+ were leached as counterions for the added $SO_4^{\prime -}$ -S. However, for the soils that were not acidified (pH 6.0 and 6.5), NO₃ was the dominant anion leached. In**deed, under normal conditions, significant leaching of cations does not**

Nutrient	Soil pH				
	3.8	4.6	5.5	6.0	6.5
Cations* m mol $(+)$ kg ⁻¹					
Ca^{2+}	24.9	9.8	6.1	3.5	3.6
$\mathbf{M} \mathbf{g}^{2+}$	10.8	7.9	3.7	1.6	1.8
K^+	3.9	2.4	1.6	1.1	1.1
Na^+	1.4	1.3	1.3	1.1	1.0
Al^{3+}	2.7	1.8			
Mn^{2+}	3.3	1.5			
$NH4+$	1.6	1.2			
\sum Cat	48.6	25.9	12.7	7.3	7.5
Anions m mol $(-)$ kg ⁻¹					
SO_{4}^{2-}	44.8	24.4	7.0	1.3	1.3
NO ₁			3.2	3.8	3.8
Cl^-	1.8	1.7	1.9	1.8	1.9
Σ An	46.6	26.1	12.1	6.9	7.0
Micronutrients μ g g ⁻¹					
Fe	1.0	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2
Mn	96	25	0.15	0.11	0.10
Zn	2.7	0.88	0.05	0.04	0.05

Table 1. Effect of soil acidification on the amounts of nutrients leached from the soils

***Quantities of exchangeable cations initially present in the soil were** Ca, 40; Mg, 24; K, 10 and Na $2 \text{ m mol } (+) \text{ kg}^{-1}$

occur unless $NO₃⁻$ accumulates and leaches through the soil²¹. The **magnitude of leaching loss of individual cations was, as expected, in the** same order as they were present in the soil: $Ca^{2+} > Me^{2+} >$ $K^+ > Na^+$. Significantly larger amounts of Ca²⁺, Mg^{2+} , K^+ and Na⁺ **were leached than were present in soil solution before leaching. Apparently, as cations in soil solution were leached from the soil, more exchangeable cations moved into solution and were also removed during leaching. At low pH values (less than 5) significant losses of A1, Mn and NH~- also occurred as A1 and Mn became solubilized and nitrification was inhibited.**

Levels of A1 in soil solution were very low even at low pH and leaching losses of A1 were very small especially in comparison with the amounts of exchangeable A1 present at low pH. This reflects the strong affinity that A1 species generally have for the cation exchange surfaces of soils and the ability of the A1 to strongly complex with organic matter.

Permanently changed clay minerals predominated in the soil used in this study⁵ and organic colloids are likely to have been the dominant **variably charged surfaces contributing to the small decrease in effective CEC in the leached soil as it was acidified 5. In the unleached soil, effective CEC was unaffected by pH and this was probably the result of the** increasing ionic strength in soil solution caused by acidification counteracting the effect of decreasing pH. The net result was that the charge on the variably charged soil surfaces remained relatively unchanged.

The large decrease in NO_3^- -N which occurred concomitantly with $NH₄⁺-N$ accumulation, as the unleached soil was acidified, was presumably the result of inhibition of autotrophic nitrification which is characteristically impeded at low $pH^{7,8,19}$. Nonetheless, such an effect may only be short-term in nature since in the long-term acid-tolerant nitrifiers may develop and/or slow heterotrophic nitrification may prevail^{$7,19$}. The higher concentrations of $NH₄⁺-N$ present at low pH (3.8 to 4.7) compared to those of NO_3^- -N at high pH (5.5-6.5) suggest that greater net mineralization occurred at low pH. Decreased immobilization of N at low pH or even losses of $NO₃⁻$ at higher pH values through denitrification are possible explanations for such a phenomenon. Certainly, the distribution of species within the diverse microbial population involved in decomposition of soil organic nitrogen will be altered by acidification since the population characteristically shifts from bacteria to actinomycetes to fungi as the pH declines although acid tolerance of individual species varies widely¹. Results of this study are in some respects similar to those of Strayer *et a[. 19* since they observed that acidification of soils of pH above 5.5 either stimulated or did not affect net mineralization but strongly inhibited nitrification of added $NH₄⁺$.

As often occurs over the normal pH range of soils *(i.e.* 4.3-6.0), pH had little effect on levels of extractable phosphate¹⁰. Increases in phosphate extractability at high and low pH values have also been observed by some other workers^{2, 25}. The increase in extractable phosphate at very low pH (4.0 and 3.7) was probably caused by dissolution of amorphous A1 and Fe adsorption surfaces (as indicated by the rapid increase in exchangeable A1 and extractable Fe) causing the release of previously adsorbed phosphate. Although precipitation of A1 phosphate can occur at low pH values (thus decresing phosphate availability) such an effect was probably not important in this soil since levels of extractable phosphate were initially low. The higher levels of extractable phosphate at pH 6.5, compared to those between pH 4.3 and 6.0, were probably due to desorption of adsorbed phosphate which characteristically occurs at high $pH⁴$.

Significant leaching losses of Mn and Zn occurred, particularly at low pH, and these losses were reflected in differences in levels of EDTAextractable Mn and Zn in the leached and unleached soils. Indeed, at low pH, Mn and Zn were relatively mobile in the soil whilst Fe and Cu were not. It seems probable that the ability of Fe and Cu (like A1) to complex strongly with soil organic matter^{11, 15} tended to greatly reduce leaching losses of these elements at low pH relative to those of Mn and Zn.

It is evident that the overall result of acidification and subsequent leaching was a soil low in exchangeable bases, high in exchangeable A1 and, at least in the short-term, with a predominance of NH₄⁺- rather than **NO3-N. Whilst calcifuge plants have adapted to grow well under such conditions, most plant species will find the conditions detrimented to their growth.**

Conclusions

This study provides an example of the changes in soil properties that can occur when a soil is rapidly acidified and subsequently leached. The increases in concentrations of cations in soil solution, large increases in levels of exchangeable A1 and decreases in levels of exchangeable Ca^{2+} , Mg^{2+} , K^+ and Na^+ as the soil was acidified were all reasonably predictable. Nonetheless, the large decrease in $NO₃⁻$ concentrations and the correspondingly even larger increase in concentrations of $NH₄⁺$ as the **soil was acidified were surprisingly marked whilst the increases in extractable phosphate at both high and low pH values were unexpected. The significant leaching losses of Mn and Zn at low pH were also surprising whilst in contrast, losses of Fe and Cu were very small or undetectable presumably because of their ability to complex strongly with organic matter.**

Acknowledgements We thank Mr P L Carey for **skilled technical assistance and Miss M McCloy** for analytical work.

References

- 1 Alexander M 1980 Effects of acidity on micro-organisms and microbial processes in soil. *In* Effects of Acid Precipitation on Terrestrial Ecosystems. Eds. T C **Hutchinson and M Havas.** pp 363-380. Plenum Press, New York.
- 2 Amarasiri S L **and Olsen** S R 1973 Liming **as related to solubility** of P **and plant growth in an** acid tropical soil. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. Proc. 37, 716-721.
- 3 Bache B W 1980 The acidification of soils. *In* Effects of Acid Precipitation on **Terrestrial** Ecosystems. Eds. T C **Hutchinson and M Havas.** pp 183-202. Plenum Press, New York.
- 4 Barrow N J 1984 **Modelling the effects** of pH on phosphate sorption by soils. J. Soil Sci. 35, 283-297.
- 5 Black A S and Campbell A S 1982 Ionic strength of soil **solution and its** effect on **charge** properties of some **New Zealand** soils. J. Soil Sci. 33, 249--262.
- 6 Colwell J D 1963 **The estimation of the** phosphorus fertilizer requirements of wheat in **southern New South Wales** by soil **analysis. Aust.** J. Agric. Anim. Husb. 3, 190--197.
- 7 Focht D D **and Verstraete** W 1977 Biochemical ecology of nitrification and denitrification. Adv. Microb. Ecol. 1, 135-214.
- 8 Francis A J 1982 Effects of acidic precipitation and acidity on soil microbial processes. Water, Air and Soil Pollut. 18, 375-394.
- 9 Grasshoff K 1970 A **simultaneous multiple channel system for nutrient analysis in seawater** with **analog and digital analog and** record. *In* Advances in **Automated Analyses,** Technicon **International** Conference, Vol. II. pp 135-145. Thurman Associated, Florida.
- 10 Haynes R J 1984 Lime and phosphate in the plant-soil system. Adv. Agron. 37, 249-315.
- 11 Haynes R J and Swift R S 1983 An evaluation of the use of DTPA and EDTA as extractants for micronutrients in moderately acid soils. Plant and Soil 74, **111-122.**
- 12 Haynes R J and Swift R S 1985 Effects of soil acidification on the chemical extractability of Fe, Mn, Zn and Cu and the growth and micronutrient uptake of highbush blueberry plants. Plant and Soil 84, 201-212.
- 13 Helyar K R and Spencer K 1977 Sodium bicarbonate soil test values and the phosphate buffering capacity of soils. Aust. J. Soil Res. 15, 263-273.
- 14 John M K 1970 Colorimetric determination of phosphorus in soil and plant materials with ascorbic acid. Soil Sci. 109, 214-220.
- 15 Knezek B D and Ellis B G 1980 Essential micronutrients IV: copper, iron, manganese and zinc. *In* Applied Trace Elements. Ed. B E Davies. pp 259-286. John Wiley, Chichester.
- 16 Mahler R L and Harder R W 1984 The influence of tillage methods, cropping sequence, and N rates on the acidification of a northern Idaho soil. Soil Sci. 137, 52-60.
- 17 Raeside J D and Rennie W F 1974 Soils of Christchurch region New Zealand: the soil factor in regional planning. N.Z. Soil Surv. Rep. No. 16. N.Z. Soil Bureau, Wellington.
- 18 Sinclair A G 1973 An autoanalyzer method for determination of extractable sulphate in soil N.Z.J. Agric. Res. 16, 289-292.
- 19 Strayer R F, Lin C J and Alexander M 1981 Effect of simulated acid rain on nitrification and nitrogen mineralization in forest soils. J. Environ. Qual. 10, 547-551.
- 20 Technicon Autoanalyzer Methodology 1970 Chloride. Technicon laboratory method file N I/II. Technicon Corporation, New York.
- 21 Terman G L 1977 Quantitative relationships among nutrients leached from soils. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 41, 935-940.
- 22 Ulrich B 1980 Production and consumption of hydrogen ions in the ecosphere. *In* Effects of Acid Precipitation on Terrestrial Ecosystems. Eds. T C Hutchinson and M Havas. pp 255-282. Plenum Press, New York.
- 23 Van Breemen N, Driscoll C T and Mulder J 1984 Acidic deposition and internal proton sources in acidification of soils and waters. Nature 307, 599-6504.
- 24 Weatherburn M W 1967 Phenol hypochloride reaction for determination of ammonia. Anal. Chem. 39, 971-974.
- 25 Welp G, Herms U and Brummer G 1983 Einflu β von Bodenreaktion, Redoxbedingungen und organiscber Substanz auf die Phosphatgehalte der Bodenl6sung. Z. Pflanzenernaehr, Bodenk. 146, 38-52.
- 26 Williams J G and McLaren R G 1981 Effects of dry and moist incubation of soils on the extractability of native and applied soil copper. Plant and Soil 64, 215-225.