Worker's Genetic Diversity Has No Relation to Pollen Diversity in a Honey Bee Colony (*Apis mellifera* L.)

Michał WOYCIECHOWSKI¹ and Zofia WARAKOMSKA² ¹Bee Research Department, Agricultural University Al. 29 Listopada 52, 31-425 Kraków, Poland ²Department of Botany, Agricultural University Akademicka 15, 20-050 Lublin, Poland

Abstract – No differences were observed between the species diversity of pollen gathered by colonies with artificially reduced worker genetic diversity and those derived from naturally mated queens. Such a result does not support the hypothesis that polyandry increases colony fitness in which a genetic basis is created for using a wider range of food sources.

In the honey bee, a strongly polyandrous species (queens usually mate with 6-17 drones; Woyke 1964; Adams et al. 1977), there is much evidence for the functional significance of a genetic basis for the division of labor (cf. Oldroyd et al. 1992a). Within a colony, patrilines may differ in their foraging behaviour (Calderone et al. 1989; Robinson & Page 1989; Oldroyd et al. 1992a), guarding of the hive entrance or remove all of dead bees (Robinson & Page 1988). These factors and others determine colony performance (Calderone & Page 1991; Oldroyd et al. 1992b), and suggest that genetic diversity of workers may significantly influence colony fitness. However, a genetical basis for the division of labor has been reported mostly in colonies with artificially small genetic diversity and visible mutations of the workers, which could influence the results obtained (Frumhoff 1991; Moritz & Heisler 1992). So far, there has been no convincing evidence that polyandry increases colony fitness in natural conditions.

The influence of workers' genetic diversity on species diversity of pollen gathered was checked, by comparing the efficiency of workers derived from a naturally mated queen with those with an artificially small number of patrilines. It has been suggested that the use of a wider range of food sources is a result of "colony genetic structure in the division of labor" (Robinson & Page 1989), and/or a tendency for preferential communication in the patrilines, i.e. by "dances with super-sisters" (Oldroyd et al. 1991).

Methods

Three groups of honey bee colonies (5 in each group) were used: group A, with the lowest worker genetic diversity (each queen artificially inseminated by only 1 unrelated drone); group B, with medium worker genetic diversity (each queen inseminated by 6 brother drones unrelated to the queen); and group X, with the highest worker genetic diversity expected, since the queens were naturally inseminated.

Pollen loads were collected by forcing the foragers to return to the hive through 5.1 mm (dia.) slots. This was done in June and in the beginning of July 1988 (7 days), between 0900 and 1400, in an apiary 17 km NWW of Kraków (southern Poland). The duration of pollen collection (3.5 h on average) depended on how quickly a minimum of 200 pollen loads could be collected from a particular colony. Pollen from each of the colonies was powdered, and 249 to

491 pollen grains were identified by microscope. The proportions of the various pollens were used to calculate the pollen diversity (H) from the Shannon-Weaver function:

$$H = -\sum_{i=1}^{S} P_i \ln P_i$$

where P_i is proportion of the i-th species and S is number of species in a total sample.

Results

We identified a total of 55 types of pollen (single species, e.g. *Polygonum bistorta, Trifolium repens*; or groups of unidentifiable species, e.g. *Helianthus* typ., *Majorana* typ., *Begonia, Campanula*). From 2 to 15 (mean 8.18) types of pollen were found in individual colonies each day. Then, various H-values of pollen diversity were obtained and are shown in Fig. 1 (cf.



Fig. 1. Shannon-Weaver measure of diversity (H) of pollen collected by colonies with low A (\bigcirc), medium B (\bigcirc) and high X (\times) worker genetic diversity.

Table 1).

No differences in H-values, however, were detected between groups of colonies with different worker genetic diversities. Instead, differences between particular days of the experiment were highly significant (Table 1). Differences in the diversity of pollen gathered by workers during the experiment (which lasted over a month) were probably a result of the variation of sources of pollen during the season and at the times of pollen collection on particular days.

Discussion

The number of inseminations of the queen has a significant effect on the genetic diversity of the workers in a colony. At the same time, each successive mating prolongs the duration of the nuptial flight or gives the queen extra reason to leave the nest (Woyke 1964). In spite of the dangers associated with multiple mating, this strategy is widespread in eusocial Hymenoptera (Page & Metcalf 1982). Consequently it is believed that polyandry must have a compensatory adaptive value (Laidlaw & Page 1984; Oldroyd et al. 1992b), an argument that may be somewhat circular.

In eusocial Hymenoptera, the benefits derived from multiple mating are usually thought to be an increase in overall colony efficiency (see Moritz & Southwick 1992). It is argued that genetically diverse colonies have a higher number of individuals (Cole 1983), more resistance to parasites and pathogens (Hamilton 1987; Sherman et al. 1988), and a genetic predisposition to the division of labor (Robinson & Page 1989). In the honey bee, polyandry also increases reproductive success by reducing the variance of diploid male production (Page 1980). Another group of hypotheses, based on kin-selection theory, explains multiple mating in terms of benefits to the queen herself. It is thought that the queen's fitness is increased, either by limiting queen-worker conflict over her offspring's sex ratio (Moritz 1985), or by inducing the workers to cease production of maledetermined eggs (Woyciechowski & Lomnicki 1987).

However, we assumed that genetic diversity

Table 1. worker ge	Shann netic di	on-Weav versity, (er measi on seven	ure of p differen	ollen div t occasio	ersity (F ns.	I) and n	umber o	f types e	of pollen	ı collecte	id (P) bi	y colonie	s with l	ow (A),	medium (B) and high (X)
Data	Hive	A1	A2	A3	A4	A5	B1	B2	B3	B 4	B5	XI	X2	X3	X4	X5	Mean H SD
5 June	H	1.69 13	1.08 12	1.30 15	0.82 9	1.10	1.09	1.56 12	2.01 14	1.69 14	1.92	1.53	1.08 12	1.03 8	1.51 9	1.10_{9}	1.37 0.36
10	Η c	1.60	1.19	00. °	0.26	• 1.13 • 0	1.12	1.00	0.99 7	0.70	66'0	0.64	0.57	0.16 6	0.81 6	0.78	0.86
15	ЧН	。 1.33	$^{\prime}_{0.98}$	。 0.94	, 0.69	。 0.95	0.84	$^{\prime}_{1.38}$	$^{\prime}_{0.93}$	0.23	0.68	$^{'}_{1.02}$	ج 0.93	0.60	0.87	0 1.07	06.0
	Р	9	5	7	7	9	5	7	9	4	7	7	9	9	9	8	0.28
18	H	0.23	0.75	0.67	0.83	0.62	1.05	0.56	0.53	0.10	0.43	0.82	0.83	0.51	0.87	0.6	0.63
	Ч	S	c,	7	7	4	ŝ	4	4	4	9	ŝ	2	0	4	-	0.25
24	Н	1.66	0.82	1.17	1.17	1.02	0.64	0.05	1.76	1.64	1.34	1.09	1.29	1.30	1.22	0.65	1.12
	Ч	10	9	8	7	9	×	7	10	10	9	9	2	8	ŝ	7	0.45
28	Η	1.26	1.35	1.19	1.33	0.84	1.71	1.74	2.11	1.72	1.80	1.86	1.69	1.83	0.80	0.82	1.47
	Ч	11	11	×	10	10	11	6	15	11	14	13	11	10	10	8	0.42
5 July	H	1.73	1.37	1.35	1.41	1.64	1.24	1.07	1.82	1.19	1.56	1.50	1.60	1.35	1.01	1.31	1.41
	Ρ	14	7	10	9	11	12	8	13	×	14	6	13	11	10	6	0.23
Mean H		1.36	1.08	1.09	0.93	1.05	1.10	1.05	1.45	1.04	1.25	1.21	1.14	0.97	1.02	0.91	
SD		0.53	0.25	0.24	0.40	0.32	0.34	0.59	0.62	0.70	0.57	0.43	0.41	0.58	0.26	0.25	P < 0.0001, F = 14.02,
Mean H∃ (each gen	ESE etic pro	(an	Ť.	$.10\pm0.0$	6			1.	18 ± 0.10	-			1.	05 ± 0.07		ns, F=1.32. df	df=6
	0																

Results of 2-way ANOVA are indicated in marginal columns.

in such colonies, a result of the natural tendency of the queen towards polyandry, was significantly higher than in those where queens were artificially inseminated by 1 drone or by 6 brothers. We realize, however, that our data can be interpreted in several different ways, as we did not assess the relatedness of workers derived from naturally mated queens. Our results give us no reason to suppose that the diversity of the collected pollen (a part of the colony's behavioural phenotype) has some relationship to the genotypic diversity of workers in the colony, and do not support hypotheses that attempt to explain polyandry by a genetical basis for intra-colonial foraging specialism.

Acknowledgements – We thank G.W. Elmes, H. Galuszka, M. Jacobs, J. Kozlowski, E. Król, A. Lomnicki, J. Radwan and J. Rybicki for their help and comments. The paper was supported by the Committee for Scientific Research grant KBN 4-1609-91-01.

References

- Adams, J., E.D. Rothman, W.E. Kerr, & Z.L. Paulino 1977 Estimation of the number of sex alleles and queen matings from diploid male frequencies in a population of *Apis mellifera*. *Genetics* 86 : 583-596.
- Calderone, N.W. & R.E. Page 1991 Evolutionary genetics of division of labor in colonies of the honey bee (*Apis mellifera*). *Am. Nat. 138* : 69-92.
- Calderone, N.W., G.E. Robinson, & R.E. Page 1989 Genetic structure an division of labor in honeybee societies. *Experientia* 45: 765-767.
- Cole, B.J. 1983 Multiple mating and the evolution of social behavior in the Hymenoptera. *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* 12: 191-201.
- Frumhoff, P.C. 1991 The effects of the cordovan marker on apparent kin discrimination among nestmate honey bees. *Anim. Behav.* 42:854-856.
- Hamilton, W.D. 1987 Kinship, recognition, disease, and intelligence: constraints of social evolution. In: Y. Itô, J.L. Brown & J. Kikkawa (eds.) Animal societies: theory and facts.

pp. 81-102. Japanese Scientific Society Press, Tokyo.

- Laidlaw, H.H. & R.E. Page 1984 Polyandry in honey bees (*Apis mellifera* L): sperm utilization and intracolony genetic relationships. *Genetics 108*: 985-997.
- Moritz, R.F.A. 1985 The effects of multiple mating on the worker-queen conflict in *Apis mellifera*. *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* 16:375-377.
- Moritz, R.F.A. & T. Heisler 1992 Super and half-sister discrimination by honey bee workers (*Apis mellifera* L.) in a trophallactic bioassay. *Ins. Soc.* 39: 365-372.
- Moritz, R.F.A. & E.E. Southwick 1992 Bees as superorganisms, an evolutionary reality. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, London, Tokyo, Hong Kong, Barcelona, Budapest. 395 pp.
- Oldroyd, B.P., T.E. Rinderer & S.M. Buco 1991 Honey bees dance with their super-sisters. *Anim. Behav.* 42:121-129.
- Oldroyd, B.P., T.E. Rinderer & S.M. Buco 1992a Intra-colonial foraging specialism by honey bees (*Apis mellifera*) (Hymenoptera: Apidae). *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* 30:291-295.
- Oldroyd, B.P., T.E. Rinderer, J.R. Harbo & S.M. Buco 1992b Effects of intracolonial genetic diversity on honey bee (Hymenoptera: Apidae) colony performance. *Ann. entomol. Soc. Am.* 85: 335-343.
- Page, R.E. 1980 The evolution of multiple mating behavior by honey bee queens (*Apis mellifera* L.). *Genetics* 96 : 263-273.
- Page R.E. & R.A. Metcalf 1982 Multiple mating, sperm utilization, and social evolution. *Am. Ant.* 119:263-281.
- Robinson, G.E. & R.E. Page 1988 Genetic determination of guarding and undertaking in honey-bee colonies. *Nature 333*: 356-358.
- Robinson, G.E. & R.E. Page 1989 Genetic determination of nectar foraging, pollen foraging, and nest-site scouting in honey bee colonies. *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* 24: 317-323.
- Sherman, P.W., T.D. Seeley & H.K. Reeve 1988 Parasites, pathogens, and polyandry in social Hymenoptera. *Am. Nat.* 131: 602-610.
- Woyke, J. 1964 Causes of repeated mating flights by queen honeybees. J. Apic. Res. 3: 17-23.

Woyciechowski, M. & A. Lomnicki 1987 Multiple mating of queens and the sterility of workers among eusocial Hymenoptera. J. theor. Biol. 128: 317-327.

(Received 2 November 1993; Accepted 24 March 1994)

Published by Japan Ethological Society, Department of Zoology, Kyoto University, Sakyo, Kyoto, 606 Japan