

## Number of Lattice Points in the Hyperbolic Cross

N. M. Dobrovolskii and A. L. Roshchenya

UDC 511.9

**ABSTRACT.** An asymptotic formula for the number of points of an arbitrary lattice in the hyperbolic cross is obtained.

**KEY WORDS:** complete lattice, polar lattice, asymptotic formulas for the number of lattice points, hyperbolic cross.

For  $s \geq 2$ , let  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^s$  be a complete lattice. The domain  $K(T) = \{\vec{x} \mid \bar{x}_1 \cdots \bar{x}_s \leq T\}$ , where  $\bar{x} = \max(1, |x|)$  for any real  $x$ , is called a *hyperbolic cross*. Let  $D(T|\Lambda)$  be the number of nonzero points of the lattice  $\Lambda$  in the hyperbolic cross  $K(T)$ . The goal of this paper is to prove the following theorem.

**Theorem.** For any lattice  $\Lambda$  and  $T \geq 3$  the asymptotic equality

$$D(T|\Lambda) = \frac{2^s T \ln^{s-1} T}{(s-1)! \det \Lambda} + \Theta C(\Lambda) \frac{T \ln^{s-2} T}{\det \Lambda},$$

where  $C(\Lambda) = 2^s e(a_0 + 2)^s$  and  $|\Theta| \leq 1$ , holds.

### §1. Notation

Let  $\vec{\lambda}_j = (\lambda_{j1}, \dots, \lambda_{js})$  ( $j = 1, \dots, s$ ) be an arbitrary fixed basis of the lattice  $\Lambda$ , and let

$$A = A(\vec{\lambda}_1, \dots, \vec{\lambda}_s) = \max_{1 \leq j \leq s} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\nu=1}^s |\lambda_{\nu j}|. \quad (1)$$

We denote by  $\vec{\lambda}_j^* = (\lambda_{j1}^*, \dots, \lambda_{js}^*)$  ( $j = 1, \dots, s$ ) the reciprocal basis of the polar lattice of  $\Lambda^*$  (as is known [1], the reciprocal basis is defined by the relations

$$(\vec{\lambda}_i, \vec{\lambda}_j^*) = \sum_{\nu=1}^s \lambda_{i\nu} \lambda_{j\nu}^* = \delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } i = j, \\ 0 & \text{for } i \neq j, \end{cases}$$

and the polar lattice  $\Lambda^*$  is uniquely defined by the lattice  $\Lambda$ ).

We introduce the following domains:

$$\Pi(T|\Lambda) = \left\{ \vec{t} : \overline{\prod_{j=1}^s \sum_{\nu=1}^s \lambda_{\nu j} t_{\nu} + \sum_{\nu=1}^s \lambda_{\nu j} \left( \frac{1}{2} - \left\{ t_{\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \right\} \right)} \leq T \right\};$$

for an integer vector  $\vec{m}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi(\vec{m}) &= \left\{ \vec{t} : \left[ t_{\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \right] = m_{\nu}, \nu = 1, \dots, s \right\}; \\ \Pi^*(T|\Lambda) &= \left\{ \vec{y} : \overline{\prod_{j=1}^s y_j + \sum_{\nu=1}^s \lambda_{\nu j} \left( \frac{1}{2} - \left\{ \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^s y_k \lambda_{\nu k}^* \right\} \right)} \leq T \right\}; \end{aligned}$$

---

Translated from *Matematicheskie Zametki*, Vol. 63, No. 3, pp. 363–369, March, 1998.  
Original article submitted February 19, 1996.

for  $a \geq 0$ ,

$$\Pi_1(T, a) = \left\{ \vec{y} : \prod_{j=1}^s |\vec{y}_j| + a \leq T \right\}, \quad \Pi_2(T, a) = \left\{ \vec{y} : \prod_{j=1}^s u(\vec{y}_j, a) \leq T \right\},$$

where

$$u(y, a) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } |y| \leq a + 1, \\ |y| - a & \text{for } |y| \geq a + 1. \end{cases}$$

Notice that  $\Pi_1(T, 0) = \Pi_2(T, 0) = K(T)$ . For  $a \geq 0$  and  $T \geq 0$ , let

$$I_s(a, T) = \int_{\substack{\prod_{j=1}^s (y_j + a) \leq T \\ y_1, \dots, y_s \geq 0}} d\vec{y}, \quad J_s(a, T) = \int_{\substack{\prod_{j=1}^s u(y_j, a) \leq T \\ y_1, \dots, y_s \geq 0}} d\vec{y}.$$

## §2. Auxiliary lemmas

**Lemma 1.**

$$\sum_{\substack{\prod_{j=1}^s \lambda_{1j} m_1 + \dots + \lambda_{sj} m_s \leq T}} \int_{\Pi(\vec{m})} d\vec{t} = \int_{\Pi(T|\Lambda)} d\vec{t}.$$

**Proof.** For any two distinct integer vectors  $\vec{n}$  and  $\vec{m}$ , the domains  $\Pi(\vec{n})$  and  $\Pi(\vec{m})$  are disjoint. On the other hand, if  $m_\nu = [t_\nu + 1/2]$  ( $\nu = 1, \dots, s$ ), then

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^s \lambda_{\nu j} t_\nu + \sum_{\nu=1}^s \lambda_{\nu j} \left( \frac{1}{2} - \left\{ t_\nu + \frac{1}{2} \right\} \right) = \sum_{\nu=1}^s \lambda_{\nu j} m_\nu,$$

and so

$$\Pi(T|\Lambda) = \bigcup_{\substack{\prod_{j=1}^s \lambda_{1j} m_1 + \dots + \lambda_{sj} m_s \leq T}} \Pi(\vec{m}).$$

Now the statement of the lemma follows from the additivity of the integral.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.**

$$\int_{\Pi(T|\Lambda)} d\vec{t} = \frac{1}{\det \Lambda} \int_{\Pi^*(T|\Lambda)} d\vec{y}, \tag{2}$$

$$\int_{\Pi_1(T, a)} d\vec{y} = 2^s I_s(a, T) \quad \text{for } a \geq 1, \tag{3}$$

$$\int_{\Pi_2(T, a)} d\vec{y} = 2^s J_s(a, T) \quad \text{for } a \geq 0. \tag{4}$$

**Proof.** Set

$$\vec{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_s) = t_1 \vec{\lambda}_1 + \dots + t_s \vec{\lambda}_s. \tag{5}$$

Then

$$y_\nu = \sum_{j=1}^s t_j \lambda_{j\nu} \quad (\nu = 1, \dots, s), \quad t_j = (\vec{y}, \vec{\lambda}_j^*) = \sum_{\nu=1}^s y_\nu \lambda_{j\nu}^*.$$

After the change of variables (5), we get  $d\vec{t} = (\det \Lambda)^{-1} d\vec{y}$  and

$$\int_{\Pi(T|\Lambda)} d\vec{t} = \frac{1}{\det \Lambda} \int_{\substack{\prod_{j=1}^s y_j + \sum_{\nu=1}^s \lambda_{\nu j} (1/2 - \{t_\nu + 1/2\}) \leq T}} d\vec{y} = \frac{1}{\det \Lambda} \int_{\Pi^*(T|\Lambda)} d\vec{y},$$

completing the proof of equation (2).

We have  $|y| + a = |\vec{y}| + a$  for any  $a \geq 1$ ; hence, by the symmetry of the domain  $\Pi_1(T, a)$ , the relation

$$\int_{\Pi_1(T, a)} d\vec{y} = 2^s \int_{\substack{\prod_{j=1}^s (y_j + a) \leq T \\ y_1, \dots, y_s \geq 0}} d\vec{y} = 2^s I_s(a, T)$$

follows, completing the proof of (3).

Finally, (4) follows from the symmetry of the domain  $\Pi_2(T, a)$  for any  $a \geq 0$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** For any  $a > 0$  and  $T \geq a^s$ ,

$$I_s(a, T) = (-1)^{s+1}(T - a^s) + T \sum_{n=1}^{s-1} \frac{(\ln T - s \ln a)^n (-1)^{s-1-n}}{n!}. \quad (6)$$

**Proof.** First of all, we establish a recurrence relation for  $I_s(a, T)$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} I_s(a, T) &= \int_0^{T/a^{s-1}-a} dy_s \int_{\substack{\prod_{j=1}^{s-1} (y_j+a) \leq \frac{T}{y_j+a} \\ y_1, \dots, y_{s-1} \geq 0}} dy_1 \cdots dy_{s-1} \\ &= \int_0^{T/a^{s-1}-a} I_{s-1}\left(a, \frac{T}{y+a}\right) dy = \int_a^{T/a^{s-1}} I_{s-1}\left(a, \frac{T}{y}\right) dy. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

We shall use (7) and proceed by induction on  $s$ . For  $s = 1$  we have

$$I_1(a, T) = \int_0^{T-a} dy = T - a$$

and (6) is valid. Eq. (7) and the induction conjecture for  $s - 1$  yield

$$\begin{aligned} I_s(a, T) &= \int_a^{T/a^{s-1}} \left( (-1)^s \left( \frac{T}{y} - a^{s-1} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{T}{y} \sum_{n=1}^{s-2} \frac{(\ln(T/y) - (s-1)\ln a)^n (-1)^{s-2-n}}{n!} \right) dy \\ &= (-1)^s T (\ln T - (s-1)\ln a - \ln a) + (-1)^{s+1} a^{s-1} \left( \frac{T}{a^{s-1}} - a \right) \\ &\quad + T \int_{\ln a}^{\ln T - (s-1)\ln a} \sum_{n=1}^{s-2} \frac{(\ln T - u - (s-1)\ln a)^n (-1)^{s-2-n}}{n!} du \\ &= (-1)^{s+1} (T - a^s) + (-1)^s T (\ln T - s \ln a) + T \sum_{n=1}^{s-2} \frac{(-1)^{s-2-n}}{n!} \int_0^{\ln T - s \ln a} t^n dt \\ &= (-1)^{s+1} (T - a^s) + T \sum_{n=1}^{s-2} \frac{(-1)^{s-2-n} (\ln T - s \ln a)^{n+1}}{n! (n+1)} + (-1)^s T (\ln T - s \ln a) \\ &= (-1)^{s+1} (T - a^s) + T \sum_{n=1}^{s-1} \frac{(-1)^{s-1-n} (\ln T - s \ln a)^n}{n!}, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.** For any  $a \geq 0$ ,  $T \geq 1$ , and  $s \geq 1$ ,

$$J_s(a, T) = a^s + \sum_{n=0}^{s-1} \frac{T \ln^n T}{n!} \sum_{k=0}^{s-1-n} C_s^k C_{s-k-1}^n a^k. \quad (8)$$

**Proof.** By the definition of  $J_s(a, T)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_s(a, T) &= \int_0^{a+1} dy_s \int_{\substack{y_1, \dots, y_{s-1} \geq 0 \\ u(y_1, a) \cdots u(y_{s-1}, a) \leq T}} dy_1 \cdots dy_{s-1} \\ &\quad + \int_{a+1}^{T+a} dy_s \int_{\substack{y_1, \dots, y_{s-1} \geq 0 \\ u(y_1, a) \cdots u(y_{s-1}, a) \leq T/(y-a)}} dy_1 \cdots dy_{s-1} \\ &= (a+1) J_{s-1}(a, T) + \int_1^T J_{s-1}\left(a, \frac{T}{y}\right) dy. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

We use the recurrence relation (9) and proceed by induction on  $s$ .

For  $s = 1$  we have

$$J_1(a, T) = \int_{\substack{y \geq 0 \\ u(y, a) \leq T}} dy = \int_0^{a+1} dy + \int_{a+1}^{a+T} dy = a+1+T-1 = a+T,$$

which agrees with equality (8).

Set

$$Q_{s,n}(a) = \sum_{k=0}^{s-1-n} C_s^k C_{s-k-1}^n a^k, \quad J_s(a, T) = a^s + \sum_{n=0}^{s-1} \frac{T \ln^n T}{n!} Q_{s,n}(a).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} J_{s+1}(a, T) &= (a+1)J_s(a, T) + \int_1^T J_s\left(a, \frac{T}{y}\right) dy \\ &= (a+1)a^s + \sum_{n=0}^{s-1} \frac{T \ln^n T}{n!} Q_{s,n}(a)(a+1) + \int_1^T \left(a^s + \sum_{n=0}^{s-1} \frac{T/y \cdot \ln^n(T/y)}{n!} Q_{s,n}(a)\right) dy \\ &= a^{s+1} + a^s + Ta^s - a^s + \sum_{n=0}^{s-1} \frac{T \ln^n T}{n!} Q_{s,n}(a)(a+1) + \sum_{n=0}^{s-1} \frac{T}{n!} Q_{s,n}(a) \frac{\ln^{n+1} T}{n+1} \\ &= a^{s+1} + T(a^s + Q_{s,0}(a)(a+1)) + \sum_{n=1}^{s-1} \frac{T \ln^n T}{n!} (Q_{s,n}(a)(a+1) + Q_{s,n-1}(a)) + \frac{T \ln^s T}{s!} Q_{s,s-1}(a) \\ &= a^{s+1} + \sum_{n=0}^s \frac{T \ln^n T}{n!} Q_{s+1,n}(a), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{s+1,0}(a) &= a^s + (a+1) \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} C_s^k a^k = \sum_{k=1}^s C_s^{k-1} a^k + \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} C_s^k a^k + a^s \\ &= sa^s + \sum_{k=1}^{s-1} (C_s^{k-1} + C_s^k) a^k + 1 = \sum_{k=0}^s C_{s+1}^k a^k = \sum_{k=0}^{s-1-0} C_{s+1}^k C_{s+1-k-1}^0 a^k, \\ Q_{s+1,s}(a) &= Q_{s,s-1}(a) = 1 = \sum_{k=0}^{(s+1)-1-s} C_{s+1}^k C_{s+1-k-1}^s a^k, \end{aligned}$$

and for  $1 \leq n \leq s-1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{s+1,n}(a) &= Q_{s,n}(a)(a+1) + Q_{s,n-1}(a) \\ &= (a+1) \sum_{k=0}^{s-1-n} C_s^k C_{s-k-1}^n a^k + \sum_{k=0}^{s-1-(n-1)} C_s^k C_{s-k-1}^{n-1} a^k \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{s-n} C_s^{k-1} C_{s-(k-1)-1}^n a^k + \sum_{k=0}^{s-1-n} C_s^k (C_{s-k-1}^n + C_{s-k-1}^{n-1}) a^k + C_s^{s-n} C_{n-1}^{n-1} a^{s-n} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{s-n} C_s^{k-1} C_{s-k}^n a^k + \sum_{k=0}^{s-n} C_s^k C_{s-k}^n a^k = \sum_{k=1}^{s-n} (C_s^{k-1} + C_s^k) C_{s-k}^n a^k + C_s^0 C_{s-0}^n a^0 \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{s-n} (C_{s+1}^k C_{(s+1)-1-k}^n) a^k. \end{aligned}$$

This means that  $J_{s+1}(a, T)$  obeys (8), completing the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** For any  $a > 1$  and  $T \geq 3$ , we have

$$I_s(a, T) \geq \frac{T \ln^{s-1} T}{(s-1)!} - ea^s T \ln^{s-2} T - a^s. \quad (10)$$

**Proof.** Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_s(a, T) &= (-1)^{s+1}(T - a^s) + T \sum_{n=1}^{s-1} \frac{(\ln T - s \ln a)^n (-1)^{s-1-n}}{n!} \\ &= T \sum_{n=1}^{s-1} \frac{(-1)^{s-1-n}}{n!} \sum_{k=0}^n C_n^k \ln^k T (-1)^{n-k} (s \ln a)^{n-k} + (-1)^{s+1} T + (-1)^s a^s \\ &= (-1)^s a^s + T \sum_{k=1}^{s-1} \ln^k T \sum_{n=k}^{s-1} \frac{(-1)^{s-1-n} (-1)^{n-k}}{n!} C_n^k (s \ln a)^{n-k} \\ &\quad + T \left( (-1)^{s+1} + \sum_{n=1}^{s-1} \frac{(-1)^{s-1-n}}{n!} (-1)^n (s \ln a)^n \right) \\ &= (-1)^s a^s + (-1)^{s+1} T \sum_{n=0}^{s-1} \frac{(s \ln a)^n}{n!} + T \sum_{k=1}^{s-1} \ln^k T (-1)^{s-1-k} \sum_{n=0}^{s-k-1} \frac{(s \ln a)^n}{k! n!} \\ &= \frac{T \ln^{s-1} T}{(s-1)!} + T \sum_{k=0}^{s-2} \frac{\ln^k T (-1)^{s-1-k}}{k!} \sum_{n=0}^{s-k-1} \frac{(s \ln a)^n}{n!} + (-1)^s a^s \\ &\geq \frac{T \ln^{s-1} T}{(s-1)!} - T \ln^{s-2} T \sum_{k=0}^{s-2} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{n=0}^{s-k-1} \frac{(s \ln a)^n}{n!} - a^s \\ &\geq \frac{T \ln^{s-1} T}{(s-1)!} - T \ln^{s-2} T \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} \frac{1}{k!} a^s - a^s \geq \frac{T \ln^{s-1} T}{(s-1)!} - ea^s T \ln^{s-2} T - a^s, \end{aligned}$$

and the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.**

$$J_s(a, T) \leq \frac{T \ln^{s-1} T}{(s-1)!} + (a+2)^s T \ln^{s-2} T + a^s. \quad (11)$$

**Proof.** Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_s(a, T) &= \frac{T \ln^{s-1} T}{(s-1)!} + \sum_{n=0}^{s-2} \frac{T \ln^n T}{n!} \sum_{k=0}^{s-1-n} \frac{s!(s-k-1)!}{k!(s-k)!n!(s-k-n-1)!} a^k + a^s \\ &= \frac{T \ln^{s-1} T}{(s-1)!} + T \sum_{n=0}^{s-2} \frac{\ln^n T}{n!} C_s^n \sum_{k=0}^{s-1-n} \frac{s-n}{s-k} C_{s-n-1}^k a^k + a^s \\ &\leq \frac{T \ln^{s-1} T}{(s-1)!} + T \sum_{n=0}^{s-2} \frac{\ln^n T}{n!} C_s^n \frac{s-n}{n+1} (a+1)^{s-n-1} + a^s \\ &\leq \frac{T \ln^{s-1} T}{(s-1)!} + (a+2)^s T \ln^{s-2} T + a^s, \end{aligned}$$

and the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Proof of the theorem.** From the definition of  $D(T | \Lambda)$  and Lemma 1, it follows that

$$D(T | \Lambda) + 1 = \sum_{\substack{\vec{z} \in \Lambda \\ \vec{z}_1 \cdots \vec{z}_s \leq T}} 1 = \sum_{\prod_{j=1}^s \lambda_{1,j} m_1 + \cdots + \lambda_{s,j} m_s \leq T} 1 = \int_{\Pi(T | \Lambda)} d\vec{t}.$$

Applying Lemma 2, we obtain

$$D(T | \Lambda) + 1 = \frac{1}{\det \Lambda} \int_{\Pi^*(T | \Lambda)} d\vec{t}.$$

Let  $a = \overline{A}$ , where  $A$  is defined by (1). Then the inclusions  $\Pi_1(T, a) \subseteq \Pi^*(T | \Lambda) \subseteq \Pi_2(T, a)$  hold, because

$$\prod_{j=1}^s u(|y_j|, a) \leq \prod_{j=1}^s \overline{y_j} + \sum_{\nu=1}^s \lambda_{\nu j} \left( \frac{1}{2} - \left\{ \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^s y_k \lambda_{\nu k}^* \right\} \right) \leq \prod_{j=1}^s (|y_j| + a).$$

These inclusions and Lemma 2 imply the inequalities

$$\frac{2^s I_s(a, T)}{\det \Lambda} \leq D(T | \Lambda) + 1 \leq \frac{2^s J_s(a, T)}{\det \Lambda}.$$

Inequalities (10) and (11) yield

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2^s}{\det \Lambda} \left( \frac{T \ln^{s-1} T}{(s-1)!} - e a^s T \ln^{s-2} T - a^s \right) &\leq D(T | \Lambda) + 1 \\ &\leq \frac{2^s}{\det \Lambda} \left( \frac{T \ln^{s-1} T}{(s-1)!} + T \ln^{s-2} T (a+2)^s + a^s \right). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$D(T | \Lambda) = \frac{2^s T \ln^{s-1} T}{(s-1)! \det \Lambda} + C(\Lambda) \Theta \frac{T \ln^{s-2} T}{\det \Lambda}$$

with  $C(\Lambda) = 2^s e (a_0 + 2)^s$  and  $a_0 = \min \overline{A}(\vec{\lambda}_1, \dots, \vec{\lambda}_s)$ , where the minimum is taken over all the bases of lattice  $\Lambda$ .

This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

The main result of this work was announced in the summary [2].

The authors wish to thank Professors N. M. Korobov and V. I. Nechaev for their attention and useful discussions.

## References

1. E. Titchmarsh, *The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-Function*, 2nd Edition, Oxford (1986).
2. N. M. Dobrovols'kii and A. L. Roshchenya, "Number of lattice points in the hyperbolic cross," in: *The II International Conference "Algebraic, Probabilistic, Geometric, Combinatorial, and Functional Methods in Number Theory"* [in Russian], Summaries of reports, Voronezh State University, Voronezh (1995), p. 53.

L. N. TOLSTOY TULA STATE PEDAGOGICAL UNIVERSITY

Translated by V. N. Dubrovsky