Ms. 4207

THE DEVELOPMENT OF WATERLOGGING DAMAGE IN WHEAT SEEDLINGS (*TRITICUM AESTIVUM* L.)

II. ACCUMULATION AND REDISTRIBUTION OF NUTRIENTS BY THE SHOOT

by M. C. T. TROUGHT* and M. C. DREW

Agricultural Research Council, Letcombe Laboratory, Wantage, OX12 9JT, Great Britain

KEY WORDS

Aeration Anaerobic Flooding Inorganic nutrients Mineral nutrition Nutrient accumulation *Triticum aestivum* Urea Waterlogging

SUMMARY

Decreases in the concentrations of nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, calcium and magnesium in the shoots of wheat seedlings soon after the start of waterlogging were mainly attributed to an inhibition of ion uptake and transport by roots in the oxygen deficient soil. There was a small net accumulation of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium by the aerial tissues, principally the tillers rather than the main shoot. By contrast, calcium and magnesium accumulated in both tillers and main shoot. With waterlogging, nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium were translocated from the older leaves to the younger growing leaves, and in the case of nitrogen this was associated with the onset of premature senescence. Calcium and magnesium were not translocated from the older leaves, the younger leaves acquiring these cations from the waterlogged soil. The promotion of leaf senescence by waterlogging was counteracted by applications of nitrate or ammonium to the soil surface, or by spraying the shoots with solutions of urea, but the beneficial effects on shoot growth were small.

The role of mineral nutrition in relation to waterlogging damage to young cereal plants is discussed.

INTRODUCTION

In an earlier paper¹⁹, the responses of the shoots and roots of young wheat plants to the onset of waterlogging were compared with the changes simultaneously taking place in the soil. Early symptoms of plant damage were found to be closely associated with the rapid decrease in the concentration of oxygen in the soil-water. A much longer time was required for various solutes in the soil-water to accumulate to potentially harmful concentrations.

In the present paper, we consider whether waterlogging leads to interference in

^{*} Present address: Plant Diseases Division, D.S.I.R., Private Bag, Auckland, New Zealand.

the accumulation of inorganic nutrients by the shoot, contributing to symptoms of damage. Experiments in nutrient solution have established that the uptake and translocation of ions by roots are inhibited when oxygen supplies are inadequate for aerobic respiration^{8,9,23}. Shoot concentrations of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium decrease when soil becomes waterlogged^{4,11,12,13,15}. This suggests that ion uptake is inhibited more than dry matter accumulation by shoots, but in few experiments has any attempt been made to determine whether simultaneous changes in the concentrations of ions in the anaerobic soil-water contribute to this effect. Denitrification causes loss of nitrate, and under our experimental conditions this was accompanied by decreases in the concentrations of calcium and potassium¹⁹. We investigated in detail the nitrogen status of plants in waterlogged soil because additions of inorganic nitrogen to the soil can sometimes alleviate the adverse effects of waterlogging on shoot growth^{6,17,20,21}. Furthermore, nitrogen compounds are mobile in the plant, and are readily withdrawn from leaves during senescence^{3, 24}, a condition typically promoted in cereals by waterlogging.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L., cv. Capelle Desprez) was grown in a sandy soil, in a controlled environment cabinet at 14° C. After 11 days growth, the entire volume of soil was waterlogged to the surface and plants were sampled at various times from the start of waterlogging (0, 2, 4, 8 and 15 days). A full description of the experimental procedure is given elsewhere¹⁹.

Chlorophyll concentration was measured in a 1 cm length of leaf lamina by extracting the freshly excised tissue in 5 ml of 80% acetone¹; the absorbance was measured using a Unicam spectrophotometer at 625 nm. The remainder of the shoot was dried at 80° C, ground and used for nutrient analysis. Subsamples of the shoots were, (i) analysed for free nitrate and total nitrogen⁵; (ii) wet ashed¹⁸ and analysed for phosphorus, calcium and potassium¹⁹; (iii) dry ashed at 600° C, dissolved in hydrochloric acid and used for iron and manganese determinations¹⁹.

To examine the effect of nitrogen additions to the soil surface on plant response to waterlogging, 1.5 mg N/plant/day was supplied as calcium nitrate or ammonium salts (carbonate, sulphate or chloride) dissolved in 2 ml of water. These additions commenced at the start of the waterlogging treatments. The water-table in the waterlogged treatment was maintained either at the soil surface or 10 cm below. For foliar applications of nitrogen, a 0.1 M solution of urea was sprayed until leaf surfaces were saturated, on three consecutive days commencing either at the start of waterlogging, or when senescence of the first leaf was initially observed (after 6 days). Urea is readily absorbed through the leaves of plants⁷, but spraying the solution for more than three days caused scorch of the leaf tips.

RESULTS

Effect of waterlogging on nutrient accumulation and redistribution by the shoot

Accumulation of nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, calcium and magnesium by the shoot had already slowed 2 days after the start of waterlogging (Fig. 1).

188





 Mean values ± one standard error of 6 (8 and 15 days) and 8 plants are given.

 Legend:
 Waterlogged

 Total shoot
 - - - ○ - -

 Main shoot
 - - - □ -

 The shaded area is the accumulation by the tillers.





Fig. 2. (Continued)

Fig. 2. Nutrient content of individual leaves on the main shoot of wheat seedlings growing in waterlogged or non-waterlogged soil.

Mean values \pm one standard error of 6 (8 and 15 days) and 8 plants are given. The first leaf(shaded black) is the oldest leaf on the main shoot. The younger leaves, where these have emerged, are indicated by differently shaded areas in sequence up each column. The total height of each column represents the content per main shoot.

Days from the start	Nutrient concentration (μ moles g ⁻¹ dry weight)						
of waterlogging		2 ^(a)	15 ^(b)				
Treatment	Control	Waterlogged	Control	Waterlogged			
Total nitrogen	2910	2014*	2016	621*			
Nitrate-nitrogen ^(c)	307	36*	107	21*			
Phosphorus	94	84*	52	23*			
Potassium	1339	823*	864	259*			
Calcium	118	95*	95	68*			
Manganese	0.71	0.40 ^{ns}	0.16	0.26*			
Iron	2.34	2.13 ^{ns}	0.34	0.45 ^{ns}			

 Table 1. Concentration of nutrients in the shoot of wheat seedlings growing in waterlogged and non-waterlogged soil

Mean values of 8(a), 6(b) or 3(c) plants are shown.

* Significantly different from the control, P < 0.05: ns not significant.

However there was a small but continuous accumulation of all nutrients by the aerial tissues throughout the waterlogging period. Potassium uptake initially was most severely restricted but recovered somewhat between 8 and 15 days when compared to nitrogen and phosphorus. Calcium and magnesium uptake were least affected by waterlogging. There was only a small net accumulation of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium by the main shoot during the waterlogging treatment, and any net accumulation by the aerial tissues was largely by the tillers (Fig. 1). By contrast, calcium and magnesium accumulated in both the main shoot and the tillers.

Waterlogging resulted in a rapid decline in the average concentration of most nutrients in the shoot (Table 1), because of interference in nutrient accumulation together with the initial increase in shoot dry weight above that of the controls¹⁹. The concentration of nitrate in the shoot was particularly sensitive to waterlogging and fell to about 12 per cent of the controls at 2 days. The concentrations of iron and manganese were slightly greater than in the corresponding controls after 15 days but were less than at 2 days. Since these concentrations were much lower than those associated with toxicity in cereals², it is unlikely that they contributed to waterlogging damage in our experiment.

There was a smaller content of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium in individual leaves on the *main* shoot of waterlogged plants (Fig. 2). The youngest leaves continued to accumulate these ions, apparently at the expense of the older leaves, since there was little increase in the content in the main shoot. The contents of phosphorus and potassium in the first (oldest) leaf fell at 2 days waterlogging (Fig. 2), and that of nitrogen at 4 days. The fall in nitrogen content coincided with the onset of premature leaf senescence in the tip of this first leaf (Table 2). The content of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium in the first leaf

Days from the start of waterlogging	Chl	orophyll conc fresh weight (a	entration g ⁻¹ arbitrary unit	leaf s)
	0	2	4	8
Control	27.3	26.9	25.0	20.7
Waterlogged	-	25.6 ^{ns}	18.6*	2.1*

 Table 2.
 Chlorophyll concentration in the first leaf of wheat seedlings growing in waterlogged and non-waterlogged soil

The chlorophyll concentration was measured in a 1 cm section of leaf blade from the tip of the first leaf, this being the region where leaf senescence was first observed.

Mean values of 8 (days 0-4) or 6 (day 8) plants are given.

* significantly different from the control, P < 0.05.

192

Leaf number	N	Р	ĸ	Са	Mg
1	80.2(2)	82.5(1)	76.1 ⁽¹⁾	x	x
2	70.9(2)	80.5(2)	88.1 ⁽²⁾	x	26.6(2)
3	8.3 ⁽³⁾	49.7 ⁽³⁾	52.5 ⁽³⁾	x	x

Table 3. Percent redistribution of N, P, K, Ca, and Mg from the lower leaves of the main shoot during waterlogging for 15 days

The maximum content was measured (1) at the start of waterlogging, (2) after 2 days, or (3) after 8 days. The minimum was that measured after 15 days for N, P, and K. For Ca and Mg, x indicates that maximum contents were attained on day 15.

% redistribution/plant part =

maximum nutrient content — minimum nutrient content × 100

maximum nutrient content

continued to decrease throughout the waterlogging treatment, and at 15 days about 80 per cent of its nutrients had been retranslocated to other parts of the plant (Table 3). Nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium accumulated in the second and third leaves of the main shoot with maxima at 2 and 8 days respectively (Table 3). There was little retranslocation of these nutrients from the lower leaves of the control plants (Fig. 2).

Calcium continued to accumulate in all leaves of the plants growing in waterlogged soil throughout the experiment, even where leaf growth (extension, fresh weight and dry weight) had ceased ¹⁹, and where leaves had begun to senesce prematurely. Magnesium accumulation by the first leaf ceased when plants were waterlogged, and there was little net change in content during the experiment (Fig. 2), the youngest leaves apparently acquiring magnesium from the soil.

Effect of nitrogen fertilization on the response of wheat to waterlogging

Nitrogen applications to the soil surface resulted in small and non-significant increases in shoot fresh and dry weights at all water-table depths (Table 4). The total fresh and dry weights were least when soil was waterlogged to the surface. By itself, shoot dry weight was an unreliable measure of waterlogging damage, for as reported earlier¹⁹ the dry matter increased to about 20 per cent when the soil was waterlogged to the surface or to 10 cm (Table 4). This increase was associated with an accumulation of starch in the lower leaves.

Nitrogen applications also had little effect on root growth when the soil was waterlogged to the surface. When the water-table was maintained at 10 cm, appreciable growth of seminal roots occurred in the surface layers. Nitrate

Nitrogen additions0 NO_3^- At surface10 cm below the surfaceNitrogen additions0 NO_3^- 0 NO_3^- 0 NO_3^- Shoot fresh weight (g)4.3a4.7a1.3c1.6c2.1b2.3bShoot fresh weight (mg)508 a533 a305 c4.41 b4.75 bShoot fresh weight (mg)511.1911.5a23.1c21.9b2.7bShoot dry weight (mg)214 a208 a59 c60 c85 b86 bChlorophyll concentration (g ⁻¹ shoot214 a208 a3.2c3.0cd2.6de3.4cKu, arbitrary units)214 a208 a50 c83 b86 b50 c50 cFucual shoot nitrogen (µmoles)156 d3.47 a11.9 a11.1 b21.2b86 bNotal shoot nitrogen (µmoles)156 d3.47 a200 e3.0cd2.6de3.4cFucual shoot nitrogen (µmoles)156 d3.43 d7.79 d1050 cFucual shoot nitrogen (µmoles)179 a172 a211 b89 c2.6de3.4cFucual shoot nitrogen (µmoles)179 a3.22 e3.31 d3.77 cd415 bcColar shohorus117 a125 a67 c78 b64 c84 bNorgen18 a172 a18 cd18 cd177 d415 bcColar shohorus118 a125 a67 c18 cd21 bc21 bcPointerim18 a57 a18 cd17 d21 bc21 bc				-		м	/ater-table deptl	ч	
Nitrogen additions 0 NO_3^- 0 NO_3^- 0 NO_3^- Shoot fresh weight (mg) 4.3a 4.7a 1.3c 1.6c 2.1b 2.3b Shoot dry weight (mg) 508 a 533 a 305 c 350 c 411 b 475 b Percent shoot dry weight (mg) 508 a 533 a 305 c 350 c 411 b 21.2b Root dry weight (mg) 508 a 533 a 305 c 350 c 411 b 21.2b Root dry weight (mg) 214 a 208 a 531 c 21.9b 21.1b 21.2b Root dry weight (mg) 214 a 208 a 531 c 343 d 500 c Chlorophyll concentration (g ⁻¹ shoot 11.9a 1721 a 200 c 330 d 346 d 34c Shoot nutrient concentration (jumoles g ⁻¹ shoot dry weight)* 177 a 113 b 89 c 1050 c 34c Nitrogen 1170 a 172 a 113 b 89 c 120 b 88 c 57 c 341 b 57 c 84 b 57		ľ	Non-wate conti	orlogged	At su	urface	10 c	m below the sur	face
Shoot fresh weight (g) 4.3a 4.7a 1.3c 1.6c 2.1b 2.3b Shoot dry weight (mg) 508 a 533 a 305 c 350 c 441 b 475 b Percent shoot dry weight (mg) 508 a 533 a 305 c 350 c 441 b 475 b Percent shoot dry weight (mg) 508 a 533 a 305 c 350 c 441 b 475 b Root dry weight (mg) 214 a 208 a 59 c 60 c 85 b 86 b Chlorophyll concentration (g ⁻¹ shoot 11.5a 2.1e 3.0cd 2.1e 3.4c Total shoot nutricen (µmoles) 1564 a 1721 a 200 e 330 d 343 d 500 c Shoot nutrient concentration (µmoles g ⁻¹ shoot dry weight)* 371 e 843 d 779 d 415 bc Nitrogen 179 a 172 a 113 b 89 c 120 b 84 b Nitrogen 18 d 371 d 311 d 377 cd 415 bc Plosphorus 118 a 125 a 18 cd 779 d	Nitrogen additions		0	NO ⁻ 3	0	NO ₃ -	0	NO_3^-	NH4 ⁺
Shoot dry weight (mg) 508 a 533 a 305 c 341 b 475 b Percent shoot dry watter 11.9a 11.5a 23.1c 21.9b 21.1b 21.2b Root dry weight (mg) 214 a 208 a 59 c 60 c 85 b 86 b Chlorophyll concentration (g ⁻¹ shoot 2.14 a 208 a 9.0a 2.2e 3.0cd 2.6de 3.4c fwt., arbitrary units) 8.8a 9.0a 2.2e 3.0cd 2.6de 3.4c fwt., arbitrary units) 1564 a 1721 a 2.00 e 3.0d 3.43 d 500 c Total shoot nitrogen (µmoles g ⁻¹ shoot dry weight)* 3.0cd 3.43 d 779 d 1050 c Nitrogen 1179 a 113 b 89 c 120 b 89 c 1050 c Nitrogen 118 a 125 a 6.0 c 78 bc 64 c 84 b Magnesium 118 a 125 a 6.7 g 78 bc 64 c 84 b Magnesium 48	Shoot fresh weight (g)		4.3a	4.7a	1.3c	1.6c	2.1b	2.3b	2.2b
Percent shoot dry matter11.9a11.5a23.1c21.9b21.1b21.2bRoot dry weight (mg)214 a208 a59 c60 c85 b86 bChlorophyll concentration (g ⁻¹ shoot214 a208 a59 c60 c85 b86 bChlorophyll concentration (g ⁻¹ shoot8.8a9.0a2.2e3.0cd2.6de3.4cf.wt., arbitrary units)8.8a9.0a2.2e3.0cd2.6de3.4cf.wt. arbitrary units)8.8a9.0a2.2e3.0cd2.6de3.4cf.wt. arbitrary units)8.8a9.0a2.2e3.0cd2.6de3.4cf.wt. arbitrary units)8.8a9.0a2.22e3.0cd2.6de3.4cf.wt. arbitrary units)8.8a9.0a2.22e3.0d2.6de3.4cf.wt. arbitrary units)8.8a9.0a2.22e3.0cd2.6de3.4cf.wt. arbitrary units)8.9a172 i113 b89 c1050 cf.mogen179 a172 a113 b89 c120 b89 cf.mtogen118 a125 a62 c78 bc64 c84 bMagnesium118 a125 a62 c78 bc64 c84 bMagnesium138 cd18	Shoot dry weight (mg)		508 a	533 a	305 c	350 c	441 b	475 b	440 b
Root dry weight (mg)214 a208 a59 c60 c85 b86 bChlorophyll concentration (g ⁻¹ shoot8.8a9.0a2.2e3.0cd2.6de3.4cf.wt., arbitrary units)8.8a9.0a2.2e3.0cd2.6de3.4cf.wt., arbitrary units)8.8a9.0a2.2e3.0cd2.6de3.4cTotal shoot nitrogen (µmoles)1564 a1721 a200 e330 d343 d500 cShoot nutrient concentration (µmoles g ⁻¹ shoot dry weight)*3079 a3229 a571 e843 d779 d1050 cNitrogen1779 a113 b89 c120 b89 c1050 c88 c21 bcPlosphorus1495 a1636 a272 e331 d377 cd415 bcCalcium118 a125 a62 c78 bc64 c84 bMagnesium48 a571 a18 cd18 cd21 bcPlants were grown for 11 days, and then the soil was waterlogged to surface, or to 10 cm below the surface for 14 days. Nitrogen additions were made daily to the waterlogging period, either as calcium nitrate, or as ammonium salts (carbonate, sulphate or chloride); 0.1 mmole nitrogen per plant per day, added in 2 m	Percent shoot dry matter		11.9a	11.5a	23.1c	21.9b	21.1b	21.2b	20.5b
Chlorophyll concentration (g ⁻¹ shootf.wt., arbitrary units)8.8a9.0a2.2e3.0cd2.6de3.4cf.wt., arbitrary units)1564 a1721 a2.00 e330 d343 d500 cShoot nutrient concentration (µmoles g ⁻¹ shoot dry weight)*3079 a3229 a571 e843 d779 d1050 cNitrogen1779 a113 b89 c120 b89 c377 cd415 bcPhosphorus1495 a1636 a272 e331 d377 cd415 bcRassium118 a125 a62 c78 bc64 c84 bMagnesium18 a125 a62 c78 bc64 c84 bPlotspin118 a125 a62 c78 bc64 c84 bMagnesium118 a57 a18 cd18 cd17 d21 bcMagnesium118 a57 a62 c78 bc64 c84 bMagnesium118 a51 a51 a51 bc51 bcPlants were grown for 11 d	Root dry weight (mg)		214 a	208 a	59 c	60 c	85 b	86 b	87 b
f.wt., arbitrary units) 8.8a 9.0a 2.2e 3.0cd 2.6de 3.4c Total shoot nitrogen (µmoles) 1564 a 1721 a 200 e 330 d 343 d 500 c Shoot nutrient concentration (µmoles g^{-1} shoot dry weight)* $$771 e 843 d 779 d 1050 c Nitrogen $179 a 172 a 113 b 89 c 120 b 89 c Phosphorus 179 a 172 a 113 b 89 c 120 b 89 c Potassium 1495 a 1636 a 272 e 331 d 377 cd 415 bc Calcium 118 a 125 a 62 c 78 bc 64 c 84 b Magnesium 48 a 57 a 18 cd 18 cd 21 bc $	Chlorophyll concentration	(g ⁻¹ shoot							
Total shoot nitrogen (µmoles)1564 a1721 a200 e330 d343 d500 cShoot nutrient concentration (µmoles g^{-1} shoot dry weight)* 377 e 313 d 779 d 1050 cNitrogen 3079 a 3229 a 571 e 843 d 779 d 1050 cPhosphorus 179 a 113 b 89 c 120 b 89 cPotassium 1495 a 1636 a 272 e 331 d 377 cd 415 bcCalcium 118 a 125 a 62 c 78 bc 64 c 84 bMagnesium 48 a 57 a 18 cd 18 cd 17 d 21 bcPlants were grown for 11 days, and then the soil was waterlogged to surface, or to 10 cm below the surface for 14 days. Nitrogen additions were made daily to the waterlogging period, either as calcium nitrate, or as ammonium salts (carbonate, sulphate or chloride); 0.1 mmole nitrogen per plant per day, added in 2 m	f.wt., arbitrary units)		8.8a	9.0a	2.2e	3.0cd	2.6de	3.4c	5.3b
Shoot mutrient concentration (µmoles g^{-1} shoot dry weight)*Nitrogen3079 a3229 a571 e843 d779 d1050 cPhosphorus179 a172 a113 b89 c120 b89 cPotassium1495 a1636 a272 e331 d377 cd415 bcCalcium118 a125 a62 c78 bc64 c84 bMagnesium48 a57 a18 cd18 cd21 d21 bcPatra were grown for 11 days, and then the soil was waterlogged to surface, or to 10 cm below the surface for 14 days. Nitrogen additions were made daily to the waterlogging period, either as calcium nitrate, or as ammonium salts (carbonate, sulphate or chloride); 0.1 mmole nitrogen per plant per day, added in 2 m	Total shoot nitrogen (µmol	es)	1564 a	1721 a	200 e	330 d	343 d	500 c	700 b
Nitrogen 3079 a 3229 a 571 e 843 d 779 d 1050 c Phosphorus 179 a 172 a 113 b 89 c 120 b 89 c Potsphorus 1495 a 1636 a 272 e 331 d 377 cd 415 bc Calcium 118 a 125 a 62 c 78 bc 64 c 84 b Magnesium 48 a 57 a 18 cd 17 d 21 bc Plants were grown for 11 days, and then the soil was waterlogged to surface, or to 10 cm below the surface for 14 days. Nitrogen additions were made daily to the waterlogging period, either as calcium nitrate, or as ammonium salts (carbonate, sulphate or chloride); 0.1 mmole nitrogen per plant per day, added in 2 m	Shoot nutrient concentration	$(\mu moles g^{-1})$	shoot dry we	ight)*					
Phosphorus179 a172 a113 b89 c120 b89 cPotassium1495 a1636 a272 e331 d377 cd415 bcPotassium118 a125 a62 c78 bc64 c84 bMagnesium48 a57 a18 cd18 cd17 d21 bcPlants were grown for 11 days, and then the soil was waterlogged to surface, or to 10 cm below the surface for 14 days. Nitrogen additions were made daily to the waterlogging period, either as calcium nitrate, or as ammonium salts (carbonate, sulphate or chloride); 0.1 mmole nitrogen per plant per day, added in 2 m	Nitrogen		3079 a	3229 a	571 e	843 d	P 611	1050 c	1621 b
Potassium1495a1636a272e331d377cd415bcCalcium118a125a62c78bc64c84bMagnesium48a57a18cd17d21bcPlants were grown for 11 days, and then the soil was waterlogged to surface, or to 10 cm below the surface for 14 days. Nitrogen additions were made daily to the waterlogging period, either as calcium nitrate, or as ammonium salts (carbonate, sulphate or chloride); 0.1 mmole nitrogen per plant per day, added in 2 m	Phosphorus		179 a	172 a	113 b	89 c	120 b	89 c	117 b
Calcium 118 a 125 a 62 c 78 bc 64 c 84 b Magnesium 48 a 57 a 18 cd 18 cd 17 d 21 bc Plants were grown for 11 days, and then the soil was waterlogged to surface, or to 10 cm below the surface for 14 days. Nitrogen additions were made daily to the waterlogging period, either as calcium nitrate, or as ammonium salts (carbonate, sulphate or chloride); 0.1 mmole nitrogen per plant per day, added in 2 m	Potassium		1495 a	1636 a	272 e	331 d	377 cd	415 bc	467 b
Magnesium 48 a 57 a 18 cd 18 cd 17 d 21 bc 21 bc 21 bc 21 bc 21 antiset were grown for 11 days, and then the soil was waterlogged to surface, or to 10 cm below the surface for 14 days. Nitrogen additions were made daily to the waterlogging period, either as calcium nitrate, or as ammonium salts (carbonate, sulphate or chloride); 0.1 mmole nitrogen per plant per day, added in 2 m	Calcium		118 a	125 a	62 c	78 bc	64 c	84 b	80 b
Plants were grown for 11 days, and then the soil was waterlogged to surface, or to 10 cm below the surface for 14 days. Nitrogen additions were made daily to the waterlogging period, either as calcium nitrate, or as ammonium salts (carbonate, sulphate or chloride); 0.1 mmole nitrogen per plant per day, added in 2 m	Magnesium		48 a	57 a	18 cd	18 cd	17 d	21 bc	23 b
waterlogging period, either as calcium nitrate, or as ammonium salts (carbonate, sulphate or chloride); 0.1 mmole nitrogen per plant per day, added in 2 m	Plants were grown for 11 days, an	d then the soil	was waterlogged	l to surface, or to 1	0 cm below the sur	face for 14 days. Nit	rogen additions we	ere made daily to t	he soil during t
chloronhvll concentrations were measured in a 1 cm section of leaf blade from the base of the second leaf Mean values of 6 or 3(*) plants are given Values in ro	waterlogging period, either as ca	llcium nitrate, measured in a	or as ammoniut 1 cm section of le	n salts (carbonate asf blade from the	e, sulphate or chlor base of the second	ide); 0.1 mmole nit leaf Mean values o	rogen per plant per	er day, added in 2 re given Values in	ml of water. The

194

M. C. T. TROUGHT AND M. C. DREW

applications encouraged root growth in the zone 2-4 cm below the soil surface, while ammonium applications caused surface rooting, the roots showing negative geotropism, and emerging from the soil. The form of the ammonia addition $(CO_3^{2-}, SO_4^{2-}, Cl^-)$ had little effect on the plant response. The nitrogen applications had no significant effect on the depth reached by nodal roots in the soil. When the water-table was maintained at the soil surface, or at 10 cm, nodal root depth was restricted to 20 cm and 22 cm respectively, compared to 37 cm for the non-waterlogged control plants.

The nitrogen additions influenced the nutrient accumulation and senescence of leaves (Table 4). The final nitrogen concentrations and contents were greater in shoots where nitrogen had been added to the soil surface, and this was reflected in an increase in the chlorophyll concentrations. Nitrogen additions also increased the concentrations in shoots of all nutrients, except for phosphorus which was usually depressed.

Foliar sprays of urea-N (Table 5) had a similar effect on the response of plants to waterlogging as had additions of nitrate and ammonium to the soil surface. The urea sprays slightly increased the fresh weight of the shoot and the chlorophyll concentration, when compared to the unsprayed plant. The earlier spraying (from the start of waterlogging) proved to be most beneficial, but again the effect of the nitrogen addition was small when compared to the effect of waterlogging.

DISCUSSION

Only 2 days waterlogging inhibited markedly the uptake and translocation to shoots of nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium and to a lesser extent magnesium and

Waterlogged Timing of urea spray Control (days from the start of waterlogging) None 0-3 6-9 None 0-3 6-9 2.76ab 3.07a 1.62d 2.20bc 1.89cd Shoot fresh weight (g) 3.21a Chlorophyll concentration 0.54a 0.18b $(g^{-1}$ fresh wt. arbitrary units) 0.54a 0.58a 0.13c 0.21b

Table 5. Effect of urea sprays on shoot fresh weight and chlorophyll levels of wheat plants grown in waterlogged soil

Plants were grown for 11 days and then the soil was waterlogged to the surface. The shoots of some plants were then sprayed with a 0.1 M solution of urea for the first 3 days of waterlogging, or when visible signs of yellowing were first observed (6–9 days). After 14 days waterlogging the plants were harvested and chlorophyll was extracted from the entire shoot in 50 ml 80% acetone.

The mean values of 6 plants were shown. Values in rows followed by different letter are statistically significantly different (P < 0.05).

calcium (Fig. 1). As there were only slow changes in the concentration of these nutrients in the soil solution during the experiment¹⁹, the results indicate that interference in ion transport by roots under oxygen deficient conditions was a major factor. The concomitant redistribution of mobile nutrients within the shoot, from the older to the younger leaves (Fig. 2), may partially alleviate the development of nutrient stress at the shoot apex once ion uptake by the roots was arrested. We suggest that this retranslocation from older leaves was an important contributory factor in promoting their premature senescence.

Although the young leaves were able to appropriate nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium at the expense of the older leaves, the amounts accumulated in these young leaves were 2 to 3 times less than in the controls. The calcium content of the older leaves continued to increase throughout the waterlogging treatment, and despite the onset of premature leaf senescence, previously accumulated calcium and magnesium were not retranslocated to the younger leaves. In general, previously absorbed calcium is not retranslocated within the shoot, in contrast to nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium²². Magnesium is known to be mobile in the phloem¹⁶ and to be intermediate between potassium and calcium in the extent to which it is redistributed within the plant¹⁰. It is interesting to note that the patterns of retranslocation of all nutrients out of the lower leaves of our wheat plants in waterlogged soil were similar to those observed in nutrient stressed maize¹⁰. Because of the immobility of calcium once it has been accumulated in the shoot, the young leaves and the apical meristem require a continuous supply of calcium from the roots, regardless of the average shoot concentration¹⁴. However it is not known whether the interference in calcium and magnesium accumulation caused by waterlogging in our experiments led to a nutrient stress at the apex that disrupted growth.

Differences between nutrients in the pattern of translocation out of individual leaves may also be influenced by the 'demand' of the younger leaves and the shoot apex, but this does not explain readily why nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium accumulated in the tillers, but not in the main shoot, in contrast to calcium and magnesium. These differences are not a reflection of changes in the nutrient concentration in the soil solution during waterlogging¹⁹. One possibility is that calcium transport into the main shoot and tillers was largely by passive processes through the dead or injured roots, calcium moving by mass flow in the xylem stream into the most rapidly transpiring aerial tissues. The small amounts of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium accumulating in the tillers may have originated mainly from uptake by the emerging nodal roots that were adapted to oxygen deficiency and preferentially supplied the tillers. Measurements made of transpiration¹⁹, and the concentration of ions in the soil water suggest, nonethe-

Days fr of wa	Days from the start of waterlogging		Nutrient concentration in the xylem sap (mM)		
		0-4	4–8	8-15	
Nitrogen	Control Waterlogged	17.5 5.3 [8.7]	13.0 3.9 [6.6]	12.2 1.5 [3.6]	
Phosphorus	Control Waterlogged	0.57 0.20 [0.004]	0.34 0.10 [0.004]	0.29 0.10 [0.004]	
Potassium	Control Waterlogged	8.51 0.66 [1.11]	5.72 0.97 [1.07]	5.10 1.62 [1.02]	
Calcium	Control Waterlogged	0.87 0.38 [4.03]	0.62 0.60 [3.43]	0.75 0.75 [2.61]	

Table 6.	Calculated nutrient concentration in the xylem sap of wheat seedings growing in waterlog-
	ged and non-waterlogged soil

Xylem sap concentration estimated by dividing the net nutrient accumulation by the shoot by the weight of water transpired during the same period. Values in parenthesis are the average nutrient concentration in the soil solution (waterlogged treatment) for the time periods given, as described earlier¹⁹. The nitrogen concentration in the soil solution was entirely in the form of nitrate.

less, that the amounts of nitrogen, potassium and calcium (but not phosphorus) accumulated by the shoots *could* have been transported passively through damaged roots in the transpiration stream (Table 6).

Nitrogen compounds in leaves are mobile, and readily withdrawn during leaf senescence ^{3, 24}. The onset of premature senescence of the first leaf was associated with a net movement of nitrogen out of that leaf. Additions of nitrate or ammonium to the soil surface delayed the onset of premature senescence, and there was a correlation between the concentrations in the shoot of nitrogen and chlorophyll. However these higher concentrations of chlorophyll and nitrogen were not accompanied by marked improvements in shoot growth. Foliar sprays of urea had effects similar to those from additions of nitrate or ammonium.

Our results differ from those of experiments where additions of nitrogen fertilizer to waterlogged soil led to an appreciable increase in plant growth^{6,17,20,21}. The reason for this difference is a matter for speculation. In some earlier experiments^{17,20,21} the additional nitrogen fertilizer was added at sowing, so that the nitrogen status of the seedlings at the start of waterlogging may have been high, perhaps conferring a greater tolerance to any nutrient stress induced at a later stage. Alternatively, growth could have been limited by the

198 M. C. T. TROUGHT AND M. C. DREW

availability of another nutrient in the soil, *e.g.* phosphorus, particularly in the narrow zone near the soil surface. In the present work the concentration of phosphorus was consistently smaller in the shoot in the fertilized treatments than in the non-fertilized treatments (Table 4), and phosphorus was translocated out of the third leaf between 8 and 15 days (Table 3). This suggests that there was a large demand for this nutrient in other parts of the plant. Effects of the concentration of nutrients in the rootingmedium on the response of plants to waterlogging were investigated in experiments to be reported elsewhere.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

One of us (MCTT) held an Agricultural Research Council Postgraduate Studentship. We thank the Analytical Chemistry Section for chemical analyses.

Received 17 September 1979

REFERENCES

- 1 Arnon, D. I. 1949 Copper enzymes in isolated chloroplasts. Polyphenoloxidases in *Beta* vulgaris. Plant Physiol. 24, 1-15.
- 2 Beauchamp, E. G. and Rossi, N. 1972 Effects of Mn and Fe supply on the growth of barley in nutrient solutions. Can. J. Plant Sci. 52, 575–581.
- 3 Carr, D. J. and Pate, J. S. 1967 Ageing in the whole plant. *In* Aspects of the Biology of Ageing. Symp. Soc. Exp. Biol. **21**, pp. 559–599. Cambridge University Press.
- 4 Devitt, A. C. and Francis, C. M. 1972 Effect of waterlogging on the mineral nutrient content of *Trifolium subterraneum*. Aust. J. Exp. Agric Anim. Husb. 12, 614-617.
- 5 Drew, M. C. and Saker, L. R. 1975 Nutrient supply and the growth of the seminal root system in barley. J. Exp. Bot. 26, 79–90.
- 6 Drew, M. C., Sisworo, E. J. and Saker, L. R. 1979 Alleviation of waterlogging damage to young barley plants by application of nitrate and a synthetic cytokinin, and comparison between the effects of waterlogging, nitrogen deficiency and root excision. New Phytol. 82, 315-329.
- 7 Franke, W. 1967 Mechanisms of foliar penetration of solutions. Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. 18, 281–300.
- 8 Hammond, L. C., Allaway, W. H. and Loomis, W. E. 1955 Effects of oxygen and carbon dioxide levels upon absorption of potassium by plants. Plant Physiol. 30, 155-161.
- 9 Hopkins, H. T., Specht, A. W. and Hendricks, S. B. 1950 Growth and nutrient accumulation as controlled by oxygen supply to plant roots. Plant Physiol. 25, 193–209.
- Kissel, D. E. and Ragland, J. L. 1967 Redistribution of nutrient elements in corn (Zea mays L.): I. N, PK, Ca and Mg redistribution in the absence of nutrient accumulation after silking. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. Proc. 31, 227-230.
- 11 Lal, R. and Taylor, G. S. 1970 Drainage and nutrient effects in a field lysimeter study. II. Mineral uptake by corn. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. Proc. 34, 245-248.
- 12 Lawton, K. 1945 The influence of soil aeration on the growth and absorption of nutrients by corn plants. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. Proc. 10, 263–268.
- 13 Leyshon, A. J. and Sheard, R. W. 1974 Influence of short-term flooding on the growth and plant nutrient composition of barley. Can. J. Soil Sci. 54, 463–473.

- 14 Loneragan, J. F. and Snowball, K. 1969 Calcium requirement of plants. Aust. J. Agric. Res. 20, 465–478.
- 15 Rogers, J. A. and Davies, G. E. 1973 The growth and chemical composition of four grass species in relation to soil moisture and aeration factors. J. Ecol. **61**, 455–472.
- 16 Schimansky, V. C. 1973 Untersuchungen zur translokation von magnesium (²⁸Mg) in sonnenblumenpflanzen. Z. Pflanzenernaehr. Bodenkd. 136, 68–82.
- 17 Shalhevet, J. and Zwerman, P. J. 1962 Nitrogen response of corn under variable conditions of drainage a lysimeter study. Soil Sci. 93, 172–182.
- 18 Smith, G. F. 1953 The wet ashing of organic matter employing hot concentrated perchloric acid. The liquid fire reaction. Anal. Chim. Acta 8, 397–421.
- 19 Trought, M. C. T. and Drew, M. C. 1980 The development of waterlogging damage in wheat seedlings (*Triticum aestivum* L.). I. Shoot and root growth in relation to changes in the concentrations of dissolved gases and solutes in the soil solution. Plant and Soil 54, 77–94.
- 20 Watson, E. R. Lapins, P and Barron, R. J. W. 1976 Effects of waterlogging on the growth, grain and straw yield of wheat, barley and oats. Aust. J. Exp. Agric. Anim Husb. 16, 114–122.
- 21 Whillhite, F. M., Grable, A. R. and Rouse, H. K. 1965 Interaction of nitrogen and soil moisture on the production and persistance of Timothy in lysimeters. Agron. J. 57, 479–481.
- 22 Wittwer, S. H. and Teubner, F. G. 1959 Foliar absorption of mineral nutrients. Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. 10, 13–32.
- 23 Woodford, E. K. and Gregory, F. G. 1948 Preliminary results obtained with an apparatus for the study of salt uptake and root respiration of whole plants. Ann. Bot. **12**, 335–370.
- 24 Woolhouse, H. W. 1967 The nature of senescence in plants. In: Aspects of the biology of ageing. Symp. Soc. Exp. Biol. 21, pp 179–213. Cambridge University Press.