Photosynthesis Research 35: 5-14, 1993. © 1993 *Kluwer Academic Publishers. Printed in the Netherlands.*

Regular paper

End product feedback effects on photosynthetic electron transport

Norman W. Pammenter¹, Francesco Loreto² & Thomas D. Sharkey^{2*}

¹Department of Biology, University of Natal, Durban, 4001 South Africa; ²Department of Botany, *University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53706, USA; *Author for correspondence and/or reprints*

Received 27 March 1992; accepted in revised form 15 June 1992

Key words: chlorophyll fluorescence, feedback limitation, malate dehydrogenase, photoinhibition, photosynthesis, xanthophyll cycle

Abstract

The inhibition of photosynthetic electron transport when starch and sucrose synthesis limit the overall rate of photosynthesis was studied in *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. and *Xanthiurn strumarium* L. The starch and sucrose limitation was established by reducing photorespiration by manipulation of the partial pressure of O_2 and CO_2 . Chlorophyll a fluorescence quenching, the redox state of Photosystem I (estimated by the redox status of NADP-dependent malate dehydrogenase), and the intermediates of the xanthophyll cycle were investigated. Non-photochemical fluorescence quenching increased, NADP-dependent malate dehydrogenase remained at 100% activity, and the amount of violaxanthin decreased when starch and sucrose synthesis limited photosynthesis. In addition, $O₂$ -induced feedback caused a decrease in photochemical quenching. These results are consistent with a downward regulation of photosynthetic electron transport during end product feedback on photosynthesis. When leaves were held in high $CO₂$ for 4 hours, the efficiency of Photosystem II was reduced when subsequently measured under low light. The results indicate that the quantum efficiency of open Photosystem II centers was reduced by the 4 hour treatment. We interpret the results to indicate that feedback from starch and sucrose synthesis on photosynthetic electron transport stimulates mechanisms for dissipating excess light energy but that these mechanisms do not completely protect leaves from long-term inhibition of photosynthetic electron transport capacity.

Abbreviations: MDH – malate dehydrogenase; $p(CO_2)$ – partial pressure of CO_2 ; $p(O_2)$ – partial pressure of O_2 ; PFD – photon flux density; PS I-Photosystem I; PS II – Photosystem II; q_N – non photochemical quenching; q_p – photochemical quenching; RuBP – ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate; rubisco – RuBP carboxylase/oxygenase; F_0 - initial fluorescence yield of dark-adapted leaves; F_m - maximal fluorescence yield of dark-adapted leaves

Introduction

Photosynthesis is a complex process which requires light-driven electron transport, carbon reduction cycle reactions, and end product (primarily starch and sucrose) synthesis in strict stoichiometries (Woodrow and Berry 1988). The exact stoichiometries vary with conditions; for example, when photorespiration decreases, relatively less photosynthetic electron transport but more end product synthesis is required. Presumably, there exist mechanisms for adjusting the rates of the component processes of photosynthesis so that electron transport, carbon fixation, and starch and sucrose synthesis occur at the required rate. In addition to changes in gene expression which undoubtably occur, some of these mechanisms for adjustment must operate

6

over short time scales. It has been hypothesized that decarbamylation of rubisco is the primary mechanism used to adjust rubisco activity when end product synthesis limits the overall rate of photosynthesis (Sharkey 1990). The mechanism which adjusts electron transport when end product synthesis limits the rate of photosynthesis is not known.

Various methods have been developed to study the interaction between carbon metabolism and photosynthetic electron transport (Schreiber et al. 1986, Weis and Berry 1987, Scheibe and Stitt 1988, Horton 1989, Krause and Weis 1991). When more light energy and electron transport capacity is available than can be used by the carbon metabolism of photosynthesis, the excess light energy is dissipated. This dissipation is associated with high levels of non-photochemical quenching of chlorophyll fluorescence, and may involve the xanthophyll cycle in which violaxanthin is converted to antheraxanthin and then zeaxanthin (Demmig-Adams 1990).

The restriction of photosynthetic electron transport imposed by the lack of $CO₂$ has been well studied (e.g. Dietz et al. 1985, Weis and Berry 1987). However, less is known about the limitation of photosynthetic electron transport when end product synthesis limits photosynthesis (cf. Sharkey et al. 1988). End product synthesis limitation has been induced most often by establishing a high rate of photosynthesis under high light and high $p(CO_2)$, then switching to nonphotorespiratory conditions. This is often accomplished by reducing the $p(O_2)$ but can also be accomplished by increasing the $p(CO₂)$. Often photosynthesis is not stimulated by the inhibition of photorespiration and this is interpreted as feedback on the rate of photosynthesis by end product formation, primarily starch and sucrose synthesis. This limitation has been called the feedback limitation of photosynthesis (Sharkey 1990). When leaves are switched to feedback conditions, the phosphate level in the stroma falls (Sharkey and Vanderveer 1989) and the ATP/ADP ratio drops (Sharkey et al. 1986a).

In this report we address several unanswered questions about the feedback from end product synthesis on photosynthetic electron transport. First, is feedback induced by switching to low $p(O_2)$ the same as feedback induced by switching to high $p(CO₂)$, which has the same effect of suppressing photorespiration (Sharkey 1988)? Second, what changes occur in chlorophyll fluorescence quenching upon switching to feedback induced by O_2 versus feedback induced by CO_2 ? Third, is it possible to determine the mechanism of the feedback on electron transport? Finally, does feedback for extended periods result in a long-term reduction in the electron transport capacity of a leaf. We addressed these questions with two series of experiments which we report here.

Materials and methods

Protocol

Two series of experiments were carried out. In the first series, feedback was induced by switching from 500 μ bar CO₂, 210 mbar O₂, and 1000 μ mol m⁻²s⁻¹ PFD to either 14 mbar O₂ (O₂-induced feedback) or 1600 μ bar CO₂ (CO₂induced feedback). In effect we put leaves just barely into feedback limited conditions, then reduced the rate of photorespiration by switching gas conditions to increase the degree of feedback suffered by the leaves.

Two controls were used. Non-feedback controls were switched from 350 μ bar CO₂ and 14 mbar O_2 to 350 μ bar CO_2 and 210 mbar O_2 at 1000 μ mol m⁻²s⁻¹. In effect the leaves were held in what we suspected were non-feedback conditions, then the rate of photorespiration was increased by changing gas conditions. If photosynthesis was reduced, we could be sure that photosynthesis was not feedback limited. Low light controls were held in 100 μ mol photons m^{-2} s⁻¹. Samples were taken for enzyme analysis and violaxanthin/zeaxanthin measurements 20 min after steady-state photosynthesis was established following the switch to feedback or non-feedback conditions or after 20min in low light.

In the second series of experiments single leaves were enclosed in the gas-exchange cuvette and dark-adapted for 20min. After determination of F_{o} , the PFD was increased until photosynthesis was light saturated at 350μ bar $p({\rm CO}_2)$. This occurred at different light intensities, depending upon the leaf. Maintaining light at saturating intensity, the $p(CO₂)$ in the air was increased to 500 μ bar then changed from 500 to 1200 μ bar or more. In all cases increasing the $p(CO₂)$ from 500 to 1200 μ bar did not stimulate the rate of CO₂ assimilation. Two to three data points were collected while photosynthesis was CO₂-saturated. Photosynthesis with 20 mbar $p(O₂)$ in the air was measured at ambient and at saturating $p(CO₂)$.

The treatment was carried out exposing leaves to just-saturating light intensity and 1500 μ bar $p(CO₂)$ for 4 h. Controls were maintained 4 h at the same saturating light intensity but in ambient $p(CO₂)$ (350 μ bar). After the treatment, plants were dark-adapted for 20 min and F_0 and F_m post-treatment were measured. The light response and $CO₂$ response were then remeasured. All measurements were made on at least 3 different plants.

Two leaves of *Phaseolus vulgaris* were maintained in the cuvette in ambient $p(CO₂)$ and in dark conditions for 24 h after the treatment. At the end of this recovery, photosynthesis and fluorescence parameters were measured at ambient $p(CO₂)$ and at the light intensity saturating photosynthesis.

Gas exchange measurements

Gas exchange for the first (short-term) series of experiments was measured as described in Vassey and Sharkey (1989). The rate of RuBP utilization was calculated according to yon Caemmerer and Farquhar (1981) and Sharkey (1988) using values for the ratio of photorespiration to photosynthesis given in Brooks and Farquhar (1985) and assuming the $p(CO₂)$ in the chloroplast was 60% of ambient and the rate of nonphotorespiratory respirations was 0.5μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹. The PFD was 1000 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ for all experiments except that labelled low light, which was done under 100 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ PFD.

For the second series (long-term) of experiments we used the system described by Loreto and Sharkey (1990) with the following exceptions. The aluminum cuvette in which leaves were enclosed had a 130 cm^2 glass window in the top side. The cuvette was ventilated by two fans

to reduce boundary layer resistances. The $p(CO₂)$ before and after the cuvette was measured with a LiCor 6262 infrared gas analyzer. Leaf temperature was maintained at 23° C \pm 0.5 °C. A 2.5-kW xenon-arc lamp was used as the light source. Light intensity at the leaf surface was varied by interposing neutral density screens. The apparent quantum yield of photosynthesis was calculated by dividing the photosynthetic rate by the light intensity at which it was obtained as in Cornic and Briantais (1991) or in Sharkey et al. (1988). The equations of von Caemmerer and Farquhar (1981) were used to calculate the photosynthetic parameters.

Fluorescence measurements

In the description of the fluorescence measurements, we adopted the nomenclature proposed by van Kooten and Snel (1990). Chlorophyll fluorescence was measured with a Heinz Walz PAM 101 fluorometer equipped with a polyfurcated light guide (Schreiber et al. 1986). Leaves were maintained in total darkness for 20 min before the initial fluorescence $(F_)$ was measured. The leaves were then illuminated for 1 s with a flash of 3500 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ using a Schott LK1500 light source. After the fluorescence had recovered to the original level, a second flash of 5000 μ mol m⁻²s⁻¹ was given. The maximum fluorescence is a function of the inverse of the flash intensity and so extrapolation of the peak height versus the inverse of the flash intensity to 0 gives the peak height at infinite flash intensity (Markgraf and Berry 1990). The value recorded was considered to be the fluorescence intensity with all the PS II centers closed and in the non-energized state (F_m) . The leaves were then illuminated with an actinic light source supplying 1000 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹. After determining the fluorescence intensity at steadystate (F_s) , two flashes were again given and the peak height at infinite PFD was estimated (the actinic light source was kept on during these high intensity pulses). Under these conditions, the fluorescence observed was that with all the PS II reaction centers closed and in an energized state (F'_m) . After measuring F'_m , all lights were switched off and replaced by a 3 s illumination with a KL1500 light source with an RG9 filter

(passing > 740 nm) in place. This caused PS I to oxidize all PS II and was necessary to obtain an accurate measurement of fluorescence intensity with the reaction centers open in the energized state (F_0') . The empirical fluorescence quenching parameters q_p and q_N were calculated as described by Weis and Berry (1987). Considering the new nomenclature, these calculations were as follows:

$$
q_P = 1 - (F_s - F'_0)/(F'_0)
$$
 and
 $q_N = 1 - (F'_m - F'_0)$.

These parameters may not correspond exactly to photochemical and non-photochemical processes (Havaux et al. 1991) but are still useful indicators for comparing photochemical and nonphotochemical deactivation of PS II.

The quantum yield of electron transport was determined as in Genty et al. (1989). Electron transport rate was calculated from $\Delta F/F$ ($\Delta F/F$) $=(F_m-F_s)/F_m$) and gas-exchange measurements as in Harley et al. (1992).

PSI redox state

PSI redox state was estimated by measuring the activation of NADP-dependent malate dehydrogenase (NADP-MDH) (Scheibe and Stitt 1988). The activity of NADP-MDH was measured in a crude extract of freeze-clamped leaves, the extract was then reduced by bringing the concentration of dithiothreitol (DTT) to 130 mM for 1 h the activity of the reduced enzyme assayed. Measured rates of NADP-MDH activity were corrected for the limited ability of cytosolic NAD-MDH to utilize NADP (Scheibe and Stitt 1988). The extraction medium was 50mM Bicine pH 7.8, 5 mM MgCl₂, 5 mM DTT, 1 mM EDTA, and 1.5% polyvinylpolypyrollidone. One ml extraction medium was used for 5.5 cm^2 leaf material. The assay was conducted in one ml of 100mM tris-HC1 pH8, 2mM oxaloacetate, 1 mM EDTA, 0.2 mM NADPH, and 50 μ l extract. The change in absorbance was followed in a dual wavelength filter photometer (Sigma ZFP 22) at 334-405 nm. For NAD-MDH activity, the NADPH was replaced by NADH.

Pigment extraction and separation

After reaching steady-state photosynthesis under the initial gas conditions, some leaves were freeze-clamped for assays of NADP-dependent malate dehydrogenase activity. A similar set of leaves was treated in the same manner 20min after reaching steady-state photosynthesis under the new gas compositions. Freeze-clamped leaves were extracted in acetone $(5.5 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ per})$ 2 ml). A 10 μ l aliquot was chromatographed on a C18 reversed phase HPLC column (5 μ m Ultrasphere 4.6×250 mm). The separation was accomplished with a two-solvent system, solvent A was acetonitrile : MeOH $(3:1)$, solvent B was water (Humbeck et al. 1989). Initial flow rate was 0.9 ml min⁻¹, the initial composition was 10% B which was reduced to 3.5% over 25 min. The flow rate was then changed to 2 ml min^{-1} and the composition changed to 100% A over 7min. Elution was then isocratic for 5 min to clean the column. Peaks were identified by retention times and absorption spectra (Humbeck et al. 1989). Satisfactory separation of lutein and zeaxanthin could not be achieved and so we tried not to separate them and report only lutein plus zeaxanthin and assume that the amount of lutein remained constant (Demmig et al. 1987).

Plant material

Plants of *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. var. Linden were grown in a growth chamber in 4 liter pots containing a soil : peat : perlite : rice hull $(3:3:3:2)$ mix. Plants were grown under a 12h photoperiod with 24/17°C day/night temperature, 60% RH with a photon flux density of 500 μ mol m^{-2} s⁻¹. The plants were fertilized 5 times per week with Hoagland's solution B (Hoagland and Arnon 1939). The plants were four to six weeks old at the time of the measurements. For the long-term measurements we used *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. var. Linden and *Xanthium strumarium* L. planted in a commercial potting mixture (Metro-mix 350 W.R. Grace Co.). Plants were grown in two growth chambers (Conviron El5) under 16-h daylength and air temperature of $23/16$ °C (day/night). Light intensity at the canopy level varied with plant age and height between 700 and 1100 μ mol m⁻²s⁻¹. Plants were watered daily with half strength Hoagland's solution. Terminal, fully mature, light exposed leaves only were used during the experiments.

Results

Short-term experiments

When $p(CO_2)$ was 500 μ bar and $p(O_2)$ was 210 mbar, neither reducing the $p(O_2)$ to 14 mbar nor increasing the $p(CO₂)$ to 1600 μ bar substantially increased the rate of assimilation, even though photorespiration would have been suppressed in both cases (Table 1). The reduction in the rate of RuBP use upon changing the gas composition to feedback conditions was greater for the O_2 induced feedback than for the $CO₂$ -induced feedback (Table 1).

Under all conditions, quenching of chlorophyll a fluorescence was high, ranging from 0.88 at a $p(CO_2)$ of 500 μ bar and $p(O_2)$ of 210 mbar to 0.95 under low light. At high light, q_p ranged between 0.41 and 0.59 and q_N varied from 0.72 to 0.85. At low light q_N was zero. There was no distinct pattern among the absolute values of fluorescence quenching and the composition of the air to which the leaves were exposed. However, there were consistent changes in the components of chlorophyll fluorescence quenching upon changing the $p(O_2)$ or $p(CO_2)$ (Table 2). On switching from non-photorespiratory to photorespiratory conditions (non-feedback) the decline in assimilation was accompanied by a decrease in q_N and an increase in q_P , consistent

Table 2. Changes (Δ) in chlorophyll fluorescence quenching parameters in response to the changes in gas composition given in Table 1. All non-zero numbers are significantly different from zero at the 5% level of confidence except Δq_N of the CO₂-induced feedback which is significant at the 7% level. The quenching parameters are not additive so that $\Delta q_{\rm p} + \Delta q_{\rm N} \neq \Delta q$

	Δa	$\Delta q_{\rm p}$	Δq_N
Non-feedback	0.00	0.14	-0.06
O ₂ -induced feedback	0.01	-0.14	0.08
CO ₂ -induced feedback	0.01	0.01	0.03

with the increase in the use of RuBP. Feedback induced by low $p(O_2)$ resulted in a decline in q_P as RuBP use declined, and an increase in q_N . Feedback induced by very high $p(CO₂)$ was similarly accompanied by an increase in q_N but there was almost no effect on q_p .

NADP-MDH was fully activated under all conditions at 1000 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ (Table 3), indicating that feedback-limited photosynthesis did not change the degree of reduction of PS I. At 100 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹, NADP-MDH was largely inactivated indicating that the

Table 3. NADP-dependent malate dehydrogenase activation following non-feedback, feedback, and low light treatments. Activation was calculated as initial activity divided by activity in DTT treated extract multiplied by 100. Values followed by different letters are significantly different at the 5% level of confidence as tested by the Tukey multiple range test

	Activation
Condition	%
Non-feedback	99ª
O ₂ -induced feedback	101 ^a
CO ₂ -induced feedback	105 ^a
Low light	22^b

Table 1. Gas partial pressures used in experiments reported here and photosynthetic CO, assimilation (A). The PFD was 1000 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ and leaf temperature was 25 °C. The CO₂ values are the partial pressures in the air outside the leaves. Initial conditions were maintained for 20 min or longer and final conditions were maintained for an additional 20 min. Each value is the average of 5 leaves. The rate of RuBP usage, R, was calculated from the rate of photosynthesis using equations in Sharkey (1988) assuming that the $p(CO_2)$ in the chloroplast was 60% of ambient and that the rate of mitochondrial respiration was 1 μ mol m^{-2} s⁻¹. The CO₂ and O₂ experiments were done different weeks and the plants had different rates of photosynthesis

method does detect when PSI is not fully reduced. Light intensity appeared to be the major factor affecting the redox state of PS I.

Twenty minutes after leaves had reached steady-state photosynthesis under the final conditions given in Table 1, they were freeze-clamped and assayed for pigment composition. The status of the xanthophyll cycle was estimated as the ratio of lutein + zeaxanthin to violaxanthin (Table 4). High light induced the conversion of violaxanthin to zeaxanthin, and this conversion was enhanced under feedback-limited conditions. The increase in q_N under these conditions may be related to the increase in zeaxanthin.

Long-term experiments

After leaves had been held in 1500 μ bar CO₂ and saturating light for 4 h, the rate of photo-

Table 4. Lutein (L) + zeaxanthin (Z) as a proportion of violaxanthin (V). Values followed by different letters are significantly different at the 5% level of confidence as tested by the Tukey multiple range test

	$(L+Z)/V$
Condition	
Non-feedback	7.2°
O ₂ induced feedback	11.7^{b}
CO ₂ -induced feedback	9.8 ^b
Low light	3.6°

synthesis was reduced at nearly all light levels (Fig. 1). Control plants maintained for 4h in saturating light but at ambient $p(CO₂)$ did not show any change of photosynthesis as a result of the treatment (Fig. 1). Plants maintained for 4 h in 1500 μ bar CO₂ but low light also showed no effect (data not shown).

The response of photosynthesis to CO, was

Fig. I. Light response of photosynthesis (A) of *Phaseolus vulgaris* and *Xanthium strumarium.* Open circles = light response before the treatment; closed circles = light response after the treatment. During the treatment, leaves were maintained 4 h at 1500 μ bar CO₂ partial pressure and at saturating light intensity. Other conditions are described in the text. The top 2 panels show data from plants held at 1500 μ bar and just saturating PFD. While the lower panels show data from leaves held at the same light but only 350 μ bar CO,.

Fig. 2. Photosynthesis (A) and photosynthetic electron transport (ET) rates as a function of intercellular $p(CO_2)$ (C_i). Open circles $=$ CO₂ response before the treatment. Closed circles = light response after the treatment. The squares are data obtained at low $p(O_2)$. During the treatment, leaves were maintained 4 h at 1500 μ bar CO, partial pressure and at saturating light intensity. Electron transport rates were calculated from chlorophyll fluorescence data.

only slightly modified by the treatment in high $p(CO₂)$ (Fig. 2). Photosynthesis was insensitive to $p(O_2)$ before the treatment but, when assayed at 300 μ bar, some O₂ sensitivity was observed following 4 h at high $p(CO₂)$ (Fig. 2). The electron transport rate, calculated by fluorescence and gas-exchange parameters, slowly declined at $p(CO₂)$ higher than ambient before treatment while it was lower and steady over the range of $p(CO₂)$ higher than ambient after the treatment (Fig. 2).

The initial fluorescence, F_{o} , increased after the treatment (P < 0.05), while F_m decreased (data not shown). As a consequence, a strong reduction of the ratio between variable fluorescence and F_m was observed following the treatment. Similarly, the quantum yield of electron transport, $\Delta F/F$, and the apparent quantum yield of

Fig. 3. Non-photochemical, q_N , and photochemical, q_P quenching of chlorophyll fluorescence in a leaf of *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. Before (open circles) and after (closed circles) the treatment.

Table 5. Changes in photosynthetic rate (A) and fluorescence parameters following a 4-h treatment at 15 μ bar of CO, partial pressure and at a light intensity saturating photosynthesis (1000 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹). Recovery from the treatment occurred in the dark and at ambient CO₂

Parameter	Before	1 h recovery	24 h recovery
$A(\mu \text{mol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1})$	13.5	10.4	13.8
F_a (arbitrary units)		13	12
F_m (arbitrary units)	54	40	47
F_v/F_m (unitless)	0.78	0.67	0.74
q_N (unitless)	0.59	0.77	0.64

photosynthesis were reduced following the treatment (data not shown).

The non-photochemical quenching of fluorescence, q_N , dramatically increased after the treatment. The increase was especially noticeable at low light intensity (Fig. 3). On the other hand, q_p was similar before and after the treatment (Fig. 3). No changes were observed in any of the chlorophyll fluorescence parameters in control plants (data not shown).

After 24-h recovery, the photosynthetic rate and F_o also recovered to the prestress levels. However, F_m , the ratio of F_v/F_m , and the nonphotochemical quenching of fluorescence did not fully recover (Table 5).

Discussion

At moderately high $p(CO_2)$ (500 μ bar), both a decrease in $p(O_2)$ and an increase in $p(CO_2)$ had little stimulatory effect on net $CO₂$ assimilation, even though these conditions reduce photorespiration. The lack of response of photosynthesis to these two gases has been interpreted to indicate that photosynthesis is limited by the rate of triose phosphate utilization (Sharkey 1990). The rate of carbon fixation and reduction, and of photosynthetic electron transport, must be regulated to keep pace with end-product utilization. Decarbamylation of ribulose-l,5-bisphosphate carboxylase has been demonstrated under both $O₂$ - and CO₂-induced feedback (Sharkey et al. 1986a and Sage et al. 1988, respectively). Electron transport has been shown to be lower under low $p(O_2)$ than under normal $p(O_2)$, and this was associated with a higher q_N under reduced oxygen (Sharkey et al. 1988). The results presented here confirm that under O_2 -induced feedback there is an increase in non-radiative energy dissipation, in keeping with a down-regulation of photosynthetic electron transport under these conditions. A similar reduction in the rate of RuBP utilization and an increase in q_N during CO₂-induced feedback suggest a similar downregulation of electron transport under these conditions as well.

In these experiments q_N increased regardless of the mechanism by which feedback was imposed; however, photochemical quenching decreased only when feedback was induced by switching to low $p(O_2)$, not when feedback was induced by high $p(CO_2)$. The difference between $CO₂$ - and $O₂$ -induced feedback could be simply a difference in the degree of feedback induced (Table 1), or could reflect a direct effect of $O₂$ on chlorophyll fluorescence-quenching mechanisms (Schreiber and Neubauer 1990). The increase in non-photochemical quenching is generally regarded as a regulatory change (Horton 1989, Schreiber and Neubauer 1990).

Two possible mechanisms of the feedback imposed in these experiments on electron transport have been proposed. First, low stromal phosphate levels during feedback-limited photosynthesis (Sharkey and Vanderveer 1989) could inhibit the coupling factor, leading to large transmembrane pHgradients which restrict electron flow (Kobayashi et al. 1979). This type of regulation has been reviewed by Horton (1989) and Schreiber and Neubauer (1990). Second, limited ATP supply under feedback limitation (Sharkey et al. 1986b) could reduce the availability of the electron acceptor in the photosynthetic carbon reduction cycle (Rao et al. 1986, Furbank et al. 1987, Laisk et al. 1991). Under both $O₂$ - and CO₂-induced feedback conditions, NADPdependent malate dehydrogenase remained fully reduced. In this case the photosynthetic control was not great enough to cause PS I to become oxidized as has been seen in other experiments (Quick et al. 1989). Since the enzyme was fully reduced as far as we could measure before switching to feedback conditions, it was not possible to determine if reduction of PSI contributed to the inhibition of electron transport under feedback conditions.

One of the mechanisms contributing to nonphotochemical dissipation of light energy involves the formation of zeaxanthin from violaxanthin (Demmig et al. 1987, Demmig-Adams, 1990). In the experiments reported here there were higher levels of zeaxanthin under high than low light. On switching to feedback limited conditions where there was a reduction in electron flow and an increase in q_N , there was a further conversion of violaxanthin to zeaxanthin indicating a regulatory response to the feedback resulting in enhanced non-photochemical dissipation of light energy (Noetor et al. 1991).

Despite the regulatory changes reducing PS II activity, 4 h of feedback conditions caused a persistent reduction in the capacity of the leaves for photosynthesis (Fig. 1). After 24 h nearly all of the effects of feedback conditions were alleviated. It is difficult to interpret this persistent reduction in capacity as either adaptive or maladaptive for the plant.

The rate of electron transport in leaves before the 4-h treatment was highest at 300 μ bar C_i and declined with increasing C_i . Following the 4-h treatment at higher $CO₂$, the rate of electron transport was constant with increasing C_i above 300 μ bar (at the level which had occurred at the highest measured C_i before the treatment). We interpret these results to indicate that the photosynthetic electron transport capacity, unused during feedback, was lost over 4 h. This interpretation is consistent with the low $O₂$ results as well (Fig. 2). The interpretation that electron transport capacity in excess of the needs of carbon metabolism is lost through light-dependent mechanisms is not new (Horton 1989). However, this phenomenon has never before been demonstrated by *increasing* the CO₂ level around a leaf.

The results in Fig. 2 indicate that feedbacklimited photosynthesis will rarely be found under natural conditions. Whenever feedback might occur, the capacity for electron transport will be reduced in a relatively short time so that electron transport capacity will appear to be limiting even though the capacity for starch and sucrose synthesis originally set the maximum rate of photosynthesis.

In conclusion, we believe these results indicate that feedback from starch and sucrose synthesis on photosynthetic electron transport increases non-photochemical quenching, in part by causing violaxanthin conversion to zeaxanthin. Following 4h of feedback, a persistent reduction in the capacity for electron transport can be demonstrated.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by DOE grant DE-FG02-87ER13785 to T.D.S. and a Foundation for Research Development and University of Natal Research Fund sabbatical leave grant to N.W.P. Dr Giorgio Di Marco is gratefully acknowledged for his collaboration and critical reading of an early version of the manuscript.

References

- Brooks A and Farquhar GD (1985) Effects of temperature on the O_1/CO_2 specificity of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase and the rate of respiration in the light. Estimates from gas exchange measurements on spinach. Planta 165:397-406
- Cornic G and Briantais J-M (1991) Partitioning of photosynthetic electron flow between CO , and O , reduction in a C₃ leaf (Phaseolus vulgaris L.) at different CO₂ concentrations and during drought stress. Planta 183: 178-184
- Demmig B, Winter K, Kruger A and Czygan F-C (1987) Photoinhibition and zeaxanthin formation in intact leaves. A possible role of the xanthophyll cycle in the dissipation of excess light. Plant Physiol 84:218-224
- Demmig-Adams B (1990) Carotenoids and photoprotection in plants: A role for the xanthophyll zeaxanthin. Biochim Biophys Acta 1020:1-24
- Dietz K-J, Schreiber U and Heber U (1985) The relationship between the redox state of Q_A and photosynthesis in leaves at various carbon-dioxide, oxygen and light regimes. Planta 166:219-226
- Furbank RT, Foyer CH and Walker DA (1987) Regulation of photosynthesis in isolated spinach chloroplasts during orthophosphate limitation. Biochim Biophys Acta 894: 552-561
- Genty B, Briantais J-M and Baker NR (1989) The relationship between the quantum yield of photosynthetic electron transport and quenching of chlorophyll fluorescence. Biochim Biophys Acta 990:87-92
- Harley PC, Loreto F, Di Marco G and Sharkey TD (1991) Theoretical considerations when estimating mesophyll conductance to $CO₂$ flux by analysis of the response of photosynthesis to $CO₂$. Plant Physiol 98: 1429-1436
- Havaux M, Strasser RJ and Greppin H (1991) A theoretical and experimental analysis of the q_p and q_N coefficients of chlorophyll fluorescence quenching and their relation to photochemical and non-photochemical events. Photosynth Res 27:41-55
- Hoagland DR and Arnon DI (1938) The water culture method for growing plants without soil, Berkeley: UC Agric Exp Sta Circular 347
- Horton P (1989) Interactions between electron transport and carbon assimilation: Regulation of light-harvesting and photochemistry. In: Briggs WR (ed) Photosynthesis, pp 393-406. Alan R. Liss, Inc, New York
- Humbeck K, Roemer S and Senger H (1989) Evidence for an essential role of carotenoids in the assembly of an active Photosystem II. Planta 179: 242-250
- Kobayashi Y, Inoue Y, Shibata K and Heber U (1979) Control of electron flow in intact chloroplasts by the

intrathylakoid pH, not by the phosphorylation potential. Planta 146: 481-486

- Krause GH and Weis E (1991) Chlorophyll fluorescence and photosynthesis: The basics. Annu Rev Plant Physiol Plant Mol Biol 42: 313-349
- Laisk A, Siebke K, Gerst U, Eichelmann H, Oja V and Heber U (1991) Oscillations in photosynthesis are initiated and supported by imbalances in the supply of ATP and NADPH to the Calvin cycle. Planta 185: 554-562
- Loreto F and Sharkey TD (1990) A gas-exchange study of photosynthesis and isoprene emission in *Quercus rubra L.* Planta 182: 523-531
- Markgraf T and Berry J (1990) Measurement of photochemical and non-photochemical quenching: Correction for turnover of PS 2 during steady-state photosynthesis. Cur Res Photosynth IV: 279-282
- Noctor G, Rees D, Young A and Horton P (1991) The relationship between zeaxanthin, energy-dependent quenching of chlorophyll fluorescence, and trans-thylakoid pH gradient in isolated chloroplasts. Biochim Biophys Acta 1057:320-330
- Quick P, Scheibe R and Stitt M (1989) Use of tentoxin and nigericin to investigate the possible contribution of delta pH to energy dissipation ant the control of electron transport in spinach leaves. Biochim Biophys Acta 974:282-288
- Rao IM, Abadia J and Terry N (1986) Leaf phosphate status and photosynthesis in vivo: Changes in light scattering and chlorophyll fluorescence during photosynthetic induction in sugar beet leaves. Plant Science 44: 133-137
- Sage RF, Sharkey TD and Seemann JR (1988) The in vivo response of ribulose-l,5-bisphosphate carboxylase activation state and pool sizes of photosynthetic metabolites to elevated CO₂ in *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. Planta 174: 407-416
- Scheibe R and Stitt M (1988) Comparison of NADP-Malate dehydrogenase activation, Q_A reduction and Q_2 evolution in spinach leaves. Plant Physiol Biochem 26:473-481
- Schreiber U and Neubauer C (1990) O_2 -dependent electron flow, membrane energization and the mechanism of nonphotochemical quenching of chlorophyll fluorescence. Photosynth Res 25:279-293
- Schreiber U, Schliwa U and Bilger W (1986) Continuous recording of photochemical and non-photocemical chloro-

phyll fluorescence quenching with a new type of modulation fluorometer. Photosynth Res 10: 51-62

- Sharkey TD (1988) Estimating the rate of photorespiration in leaves. Physiol Plant 73:147-152
- Sharkey TD (1990) Feedback limitation of photosynthesis and the physiological role of ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase carbamylation. Bot Mag Tokyo special issue 2: 87- 105
- Sharkey TD and Vanderveer PJ (1989) Stromal phosphate concentration is low during feedback limited photosynthesis. Plant Physiol 91: 679-684
- Sharkey TD, Seemann JR and Berry JA (1986a) Regulation of ribulose-l,5-bisphosphate carboxylase activity in response to changing partial pressure of $O₂$ and light in *Phaseolus vulgaris.* Plant Physiol 81:788-791
- Sharkey TD, Stitt M, Heineke D, Gerhardt R, Raschke K and Heldt HW (1986b) Limitation of photosynthesis by carbon metabolism. II O_2 insensitive CO_2 uptake results from limitation of triose phosphate utilization. Plant Physiol 81:1123-1129
- Sharkey TD, Berry JA and Sage RF (1988) Regulation of photosynthetic electron-transport as determined by roomtemperature chlorophyll a fluorescence in *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. Planta 176:415-424
- van Kooten O and Snel JFH (1990) The use of chlorophyll fluorescence nomenclature in plant stress physiology. Photosynth Res 25:147-150
- Vassey TL and Sharkey TD (1989) Mild water stress of *Phaseolus vulgaris* plants leads to reduced starch synthesis and extractable sucrose phosphate synthase activity. Plant Physiol 89: 1066-1070
- von Caemmerer S and Farquhar GD (1981) Some relationships between the biochemistry of photosynthesis and the gas exchange of leaves. Planta 153: 376-387
- Weis E and Berry JA (1987) Quantum efficiency of Photosystem II in relation to 'energy' dependent quenching of chlorophyll fluorescence. Biochim Biophys Acta 894: 198- 2O8
- Woodrow IE and Berry JA (1988) Enzymatic regulation of photosynthetic $CO₂$ fixation in $C₃$ plants. Annu Rev Plant Physiol Plant Mol Biol 39: 533-594

14