

Stress studies in lentil (*Lens esculenta* Moench)

II. Sodicity-induced changes in chlorophyll, nitrate and nitrite reductase, nucleic acids, proline, yield and yield components in lentil

T. N. TEWARI and B. B. SINGH¹

Division of Plant Physiology, U.P. Council of Sugarcane Research, Shahjahanpur (UP), India and Department of Crop Physiology, N.D. University of Agriculture and Technology, Kumarganj-224 229 (UP), India. ¹Corresponding author.

Received 5 April 1990. Revised March 1991

Key words: exchangeable sodium percentage, lentil, nitrate reductase, nitrite reductase, nucleic acid, sodium bicarbonate

Abstract

The response of soil exchangeable sodium percentage levels to nitrate reductase activity, nitrite reductase activity, free proline, DNA, RNA, chlorophyll a and b contents and yield components in lentil (*Lens esculenta* Moench) cv. PL 406 was studied in a replicated pot experiment. All the biochemical observations were recorded at four growth stages i.e. 30, 60, 90 and 120 days after sowing (DAS). Germination occurred up to exchangeable sodium percentage of 30, but plants survived only up to 25. With increasing exchangeable sodium percentage, there was a continuous decrease in chlorophyll a and b content, nitrate and nitrite reductase enzyme activities and DNA and RNA content. Increasing level of sodicity enhanced the free proline content up to 60 DAS, after which values fell.

Number of pods per plant, 1000 grain weight and grain yield were significantly reduced with increasing level of sodicity, but the number of grains per pod was not affected.

Introduction

Salinity and sodicity are very important constraints in crop productivity in India. There are about 7 million hectares of salt affected soil in different parts of the country (Abrol and Bhumbla, 1971) of which 2.8 million hectares are alkaline. In the past, efforts to reclaim salt-affected soil by soil scientists and agronomists have had little success, mainly because of expensive management practices. Salt generally alters a wide array of metabolic processes culminating in stunted growth, reduced enzyme activities and photosynthetic carbon metabolism (Bajawa and Bhumbla, 1971; Gill, 1987; Hanson, 1987; Rausser and Hanson, 1966; Singh and Abrol, 1985; Singh et al., 1990).

Although much work has been done on the response of crops to salinity (Ashraf and McNeilly, 1990; Plaut et al., 1990; Singh et al., 1990; Walker et al., 1981), little information is available on sodicity (Byrne, 1988; Singh and Abrol, 1986). Therefore, the present investigation was conducted to understand the nature of impairment of physiological and biochemical processes in salt-stressed plants. The information will be of great value for breeding salt tolerant lentil cultivars.

Materials and methods

A pot experiment was conducted during 1988–89 with lentil cv. PL 406 with four replications in a

randomized design. The soil used was sandy loam with initial pH and ESP of 8.3 and 10 respectively. To create different levels of ESP, desired quantities of sodium bicarbonate (NaHCO_3) were thoroughly mixed into the soil prior to filling the pots. Ten seeds were sown in each pot and after germination, seedlings were thinned to five plants per pot. The ESP levels were checked every 15 days and adjusted if required. All the biochemical parameters were recorded at four growth stages i.e. 30, 60, 90 and 120 days after sowing (DAS). The chlorophyll a and b content was estimated following the method of Arnon (1949) and expressed as mg L^{-1} .

Enzyme assays

Nitrate reductase activity of leaves was assayed by the procedure of Jaworski (1971) and standard curve was made using NaNO_2 . The amount of NO_3^- reduced/g fresh weight/hour was calculated. Activity of nitrite reductase (NIR) was

measured according to the procedure given by Ferari and Varner (1971).

Accumulation of free proline amino acid was estimated using toluene by the standard procedure of Bates et al. (1973). The contents of DNA and RNA were estimated by the method of Neiman and Poulsen (1963) using diphenylamine (Burton, 1956) and orcinol reagent (Peach and Tracey, 1955) respectively.

Results

Biochemical parameters

Chlorophyll a and b contents decreased with increasing levels of sodicity (Table 1). The reduction in chlorophyll a and b contents with increasing soil sodicity were found at all stages of crop growth. Chlorophyll a and b contents were relatively high at 60 DAS. Increasing ESP reduced chlorophyll a and b contents most at 60 and 90 DAS, respectively. The activity of nitrate

Table 1. Influence of soil sodicity on chlorophyll content in lentil (cv. PL 406)

Treatment (ESP level)	Chlorophyll a content (mg L^{-1})				Chlorophyll b content (mg L^{-1})			
	DAS				DAS			
	30	60	90	120	30	60	90	120
10 (Control)	0.776	1.01	0.568	0.00	0.900	1.259	0.965	0.00
15	0.839	0.806	0.523	0.00	0.882	1.141	0.826	0.00
20	0.677	0.809	0.477	0.00	0.842	0.917	0.581	0.00
25	0.637	0.749	0.427	0.00	0.820	0.863	0.506	0.00
Se ($m \pm$)	0.070	0.073	0.005	0.00	0.003	0.008	0.004	0.00
*L.S.D. 5%	0.03	0.04	0.01	0.00	0.07	0.02	0.01	0.00

*L.S.D. 5%: Two means (in a column) are significantly different at the 5% level if their difference (in absolute value) exceeds the L.S.D. (least significant difference).

Table 2. Influence of soil alkalinity on nitrate and nitrite reductase activity in lentil

Treatment (ESP level)	Nitrate reductase activity				Nitrite reductase activity			
	(n mol $\text{h}^{-1} \text{g}^{-1} \text{F W}$)				(n mol $\text{h}^{-1} \text{g}^{-1} \text{F W}$)			
	DAS				DAS			
	30	60	90	120	30	60	90	120
10 (Control)	555.60	666.70	91.11	0.00	2722.22	2736.11	999.99	0.000
15	242.22	499.99	88.90	0.00	2395.83	2687.50	604.10	0.00
20	88.00	455.60	84.44	0.00	2184.50	2465.28	555.60	0.00
25	84.44	346.70	78.90	0.00	1666.70	2236.70	416.70	0.00
SE ($m \pm$)	6.56	15.92	3.13	Nil	62.42	82.36	84.74	Nil
L.S.D. 5%	20.23	49.07	9.63	Nil	192.45	253.90	261.25	Nil

*See footnote to Table 1.

reductase and nitrite reductase decreased significantly with increasing levels of soil sodicity (Table 2) at almost all stages of plant growth. The maximum reduction in nitrate reductase (NR) activity occurred at 30 DAS as compared to control. Maximum reduction in nitrite reductase (NIR) activity was observed at 90 DAS. In general, nitrate and nitrite reductase activities were maximum at 60 DAS and then decreased gradually with plant age.

Free proline accumulation (Table 3) rose with

increasing level of soil ESP upto 60 DAS after which proline contents showed no clear trend. At 60 DAS, proline was accumulated in relatively high amounts with increasing level of soil sodicity. The DNA content clearly increased with the advancement of plant age till maturity, whereas RNA content increased only up to 90 DAS and afterward decreased (Table 4). The reduction in DNA and RNA content with increasing level of soil sodicity was observed at all the stages of plant growth.

Table 3. Effect of soil sodicity on free proline content in lentil

Treatment (ESP level)	Free proline content ($\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ FW) DAS			
	30	60	90	120
10 (Control)	86.38	133.12	203.12	170.00
15	104.50	543.75	280.00	190.94
20	183.12	827.25	188.44	451.87
25	238.75	928.28	148.12	109.50
SE (mn \pm)	4.90	10.00	6.60	5.75
*L.S.D. 5%	15.08	30.86	20.32	17.72

*See footnote to Table 1.

Table 4. Effect of soil sodicity on DNA and RNA content in lentil

Treatment (ESP level)	DNA content ($\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$) DAS				RNA content ($\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$) DAS			
	30	60	90	120	30	60	90	120
10 (Control)	52.00	75.50	85.00	112.50	190.00	258.75	297.50	118.75
15	10.50	31.00	43.00	82.50	188.75	255.00	291.25	105.00
20	7.50	26.50	37.00	76.00	177.50	246.25	282.25	98.75
25	2.50	25.50	32.00	62.00	146.25	215.00	243.75	5.62
Se (m \pm)	0.60	3.98	0.68	2.22	1.84	1.70	2.80	3.00
*L.S.D. 5%	1.83	12.27	2.08	6.86	5.63	5.23	8.61	9.35

*See footnote to Table 1.

Table 5 Effect of soil sodicity on some yield components in lentil

Treatment (ESP level)	At harvesting			
	Number of pods per plant	Number of grains per pod	1000 grain weight (g)	Grain yield per plant (g)
10 (Control)	63.75	2.00	20.17	2.57
15	30.25	1.75	16.93	0.896
20	28.50	1.50	15.77	0.674
25	8.50	1.25	15.73	0.167
SE (m \pm)	0.70	0.10	0.27	0.03
L.S.D. 5%	2.158	0.313	0.822	0.098

*See footnote to Table 1.

Yield components

Number of pods per plant was significantly reduced by increasing sodicity directly affecting the grain yield (Table 5). Grain number and 1000 grain weight were also affected by ESP level but the differences were not statistically significant. Nevertheless, grain number and 1000 grain weight were positively correlated with yield. The percentage reduction in grain yield over control was 65, 74 and 94 at 15, 20 and 25 ESP level, respectively.

Discussion

An increasing level of soil sodicity reduces chlorophyll a and b contents, which is in agreement with the findings of El-Sharkawi et al. (1986) in Cotton and Millet. This reduction was related to the enhanced activity of chlorophyllase (Reddy and Vora, 1986). According to Lapina and Popov (1970) saline conditions lead to disruption of the fine structure of chlorophyll and instability of the pigment protein complex which may also be the cause of the reduced chlorophyll content.

Nitrate and nitrite reductase enzymes are sensitive to alkalinity and their activities decreased with increasing ESP levels of the soil. Similar observations have also been reported by Abdul Kadir and Paulsen (1982), Blom-Zandstra and Lampe (1983), Heimer (1973), Helal and Mengel (1979), Kozlowski (1972), Singh et al. (1990) and Smith and Middleton (1980).

The effect of salt stress on nitrate reductase activity may be attributed to inhibition of enzyme induction. It has been reported that stress causes a shift of ribosomes from the polymeric to the monomeric form in maize seedlings (Hsiao, 1970). Another reason may be the enhanced degradation of nitrate reductase by an inactivating system which may lead to a decrease in enzyme activity (Plaut, 1974). The presence of such inactivating system for the control of NRA has already been reported by Tragis et al. (1969). Although it is not yet confirmed whether nitrate reductase is an adoptive enzyme with synthesis being induced by NO_3^- via gene activation (Bresteles et al., 1978), there is general agreement that nitrate enhances NRA (Benzioni

et al., 1971). In most cases NH_4^+ and amino acids have the reverse effect. Radin (1975) reported that at lower pH (5) the inhibiting effect of NH_4^+ on NRA was less than at pH 7. In the present investigation also we have observed more reduction in NRA and NIR activities with increasing sodicity levels i.e. increasing pH. It has also been reported by Lycklama (1963) that the rate of uptake of $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$ is much higher in the alkaline pH range than in the slightly acidic range. Therefore, it is possible that the observed effect of ESP levels on NRA activity in the present investigation could result mainly from different rates of $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$ uptake.

Another possibility for decreased enzymatic activities under salt stress may be because of limited substrate availability in the leaves, resulting from inhibition of NO_3^- uptake (Lacuesta et al., 1990; Trogisch et al., 1989). Although Martinez and Cuder (1989) could not find any relationship between NO_3^- content of the leaves and enzyme activity, several investigators have emphasized the importance of efflux of vacuolar NO_3^- ions and movement of NO_3^- ions from the roots to the shoots under the influence of salt stress (Aslam et al., 1984; Bloom-Zandstra and Lampe, 1983; Shaner and Boyer, 1976).

Although Na^+ and K^+ ions are essential for the synthesis and activity of nitrate reductase, their salts are strong inhibitors (Hewitt, 1975), which is one of the reasons for lower activity of NR and NIR under salt stress.

The accumulation of free proline increased with increasing level of soil ESP up to 60 DAS. After 60 DAS, the accumulated proline due to salt stress might have substituted for sugars as a respiratory substrate leading to a reduction in proline content at later stages (90 and 120 DAS) of crop growth. The increase in proline content under salt stress is mainly due to the break-down of proline-rich protein and fresh synthesis of proline amino acid.

The reduction in DNA and RNA content with increasing soil sodicity was observed at all stages of growth. This reduction might be due to increased activities of DNAase and RNAase. Similar responses in relation to soil sodicity have also been reported by Gill (1987) for Cowpea.

The number of pods per plant, grain number and 1000 grain weight were significantly reduced

with increasing level of soil sodicity leading to poor crop yields. Singh and Singh (1989) have also found reductions in number of capsules per plant, number of seeds per capsule and 1000 grain weight in different varieties of linseed. Under non-saline conditions the flow of sodium into barley grain was very low and it accumulated at a very slow and uniform rate throughout the entire grain filling period (Dutt, 1988). Under saline conditions, however, sodium suddenly accumulated in the grain between 10th day and 20th day from flowering, after which there was again a negligible flow of sodium into the maturing grains (Dutt, 1988). Similar responses to sodicity have also been reported for groundnut and soybean (Singh and Abrol, 1985, 1986).

Acknowledgements

Authors are thankful to Dr A K Singh, Head, Department of Languages, N.D. University of Agriculture and Technology, Kumarganj, Faizabad for correcting the english text of the manuscript.

References

- Abdul-Kadir S M and Paulsen G M 1982 Effect of salinity on nitrogen metabolism in wheat. *J. Plant Nutr.* 5, 1141–1151.
- Abrol I P and Bhumbra D R 1971 Saline and alkali soils in India – their occurrence and managements. *In* Report of an Original Seminar on Soil Survey and Soil Fertility Research in Asia and Far East. pp. 42–51. FAO, Rome.
- Ashraf M and McNeilly T 1990 Improvement of salt tolerance in maize by selection and breeding. *Plant Breeding* 104, 101–107.
- Aslam M, Huffakar R C and Rains D W 1984 Early effects of salinity on nitrate assimilation in barley seedlings. *Plant Physiol.* 76, 321–335.
- Arnon D I 1949 Copper enzymes in isolated chloroplast, polyphenol oxidase in *Beta vulgaris*. *Plant Physiol.* 24, 1–15.
- Bajawa M S and Bhumbra D R 1971 Relationship between root cation exchange capacity and sodium tolerance of different crops. *Plant and Soil* 34, 51–63.
- Bates I S, Waldren R P and Teare I D 1973 Rapid determination of free proline for water stress studies. *Plant and Soil* 39, 205–208.
- Benzioni A, Vaadia Y and Lips S H 1971 Nitrate uptake by roots as regulated by nitrate reduction products in the shoot. *Physiol. Plant.* 24, 288–290.
- Bloom-Zandstra G and Lampe J E M 1983 The effect of chloride and sulphate salt on nitrate contents in lettuce plants (*Lactuca sativa* L.). *J. Plant Nutr.* 6, 611–628.
- Breteles H, Hamish C H and Cate T 1978 Ionic balance of root shoot nitrate transfer in dwarf bean. *Physiol. Plant.* 42, 53–56.
- Burton K 1956 Study of the condition and mechanism of disphenylamine reaction for colorimetric estimation of DNA. *Biochem. J.* 62, 1315–1323.
- Byrne D H 1988 Comparative growth of two peach seedling root-stocks under alkaline soil conditions. *J. Plant Nutr.* 11, 1663–1669.
- Dutt S K 1988 Soil salinity effects on the process of grain filling in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) varieties. *Indian J. Plant Physiol.* 31, 222–227.
- El-Sharkawi H M, Salame F M and Mazen A A 1986 Chlorophyll responses to salinity, sodicity and heat stresses in cotton, rama and millet. *Photosynthetica* 20, 204–211.
- Ferari T E and Varner J E 1971 Intact tissue assay for nitrate reductase in barley aleurone layers. *Plant Physiol.* 47, 790–794.
- Gill K S 1987 Effect of soil alkalinity on growth, yield and some biochemical parameters at grain filling stages in cowpea and *Sesbania cannabina*. *Indian J. Plant Physiol.* 30, 38–41.
- Heimer Y M 1973 The effect of sodium chloride and glycerol on the activity of nitrate reductase of a salt-tolerant and two non-tolerant plants. *Planta* 113, 229–281.
- Helal H M and Mengel K 1979 Nitrogen metabolism of young barley plants as affected by NaCl salinity and potassium. *Plant and Soil* 51, 457–462.
- Hewitt J 1975 Regulation of nitrate reductase activity by the presence of cations. *Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol.* 26, 73.
- Hsiao T C 1970 Rapid changes in levels of polyribosomes in *Zea mays* in response to water stress. *Plant Physiol.* 46, 281–285.
- Jaworski E 1971 Nitrate reductase assay in intact plant tissues. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Comm.* 43, 1274–1279.
- Kozlowski T T 1972 *Water Deficit and Plant Growth*. Academic Press, New York.
- Lacuesta M, Gonzalez-Moro B, Gonzale-Murua C and Muñoz-Rueda A 1990 Temporal study of the effect of phosphinothricin on the activity of glutamine synthetase, glutamate dehydrogenase and nitrate reductase in *Medicago sativa* L. *J. Plant Physiol.* 136, 410–414.
- Lapina I P and Popov 1970 Effect of sodium chloride on the photosynthetic apparatus of tomatoes. *Fiziol. Rast.* 17, 580–585.
- Lycklama J C 1963 The absorption of ammonium and nitrate by perennial rye grass. *Acta Bot. Neerl.* 12, 361–423.
- Martinez V and Cuder A 1989 Nitrate reductase activity in tomato and cucumber leaves as influenced by NaCl and N sources. *J. Plant Nutr.* 12, 1325–1350.
- Neiman R H and Poulsen L L 1963 Spectrophotometric estimation of nucleic acid of plant leaves. *Plant Physiol.* 38, 31–35.
- Peach K and Tracey M V 1955 *Modern Methods of Plant Analysis*. Springer Verlag, Berlin.
- Plaut Z, Grieve M and Maas E V 1990 Salinity effects on CO₂ assimilation and diffusive conductance of cowpea leaves. *Physiol Plant.* 79, 31–38.

- Plaut Z 1974 Nitrate reductase activity of wheat seedlings during exposure to and recovery from water stress and salinity. *Physiol. Plant.* 30, 212–217.
- Radin J W 1975 Differential regulation of nitrate reductase induction in roots and shoots of cotton plants. *Plant Physiol.* 55, 178–182.
- Rausser W E and Hanson J B 1966 The metabolic status of RNA in soybean roots exposed to saline media. *Can. J. Bot.* 44, 759–776.
- Reddy M P and Vora A B 1986 Changes in pigment composition, hill reaction activity and saccharides metabolism in Bajra (*Pennisetum typhoides* H) leaves under NaCl salinity. *Photosynthetica* 20, 50–55.
- Shaner D L and Boyer J S 1976 Nitrate reductase activity in maize (*Zea mays* L.) leaves. II. Regulation by nitrate flux at low water potential. *Plant Physiol.* 58, 499–504.
- Singh A K and Singh B B 1989 Effect of sodicity on dry matter partitioning and yield components in linseed cultivars. *In Proceedings of National Seminar on Strategies in Physiological Regulation of Plant Productivity.* 27–29 Dec. Bombay, India.
- Singh Maharaj, Singh B B and Ram P C 1990 Effect of iso-osmotic levels of salt and PEG-6000 on sugars, free proline and nitrogen content during early seedling growth of pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). *Biol. Plant.* 32, 232–237.
- Singh Maharaj, Singh B B and Ram P C 1990 Effect of iso-osmotic levels of salt and PEG-6000 on germination and early seedling growth of pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). *Biol. Plant.* 32, 226–231.
- Singh M P, Pandey S K, Singh Maharaj, Ram P C and Singh B B 1990 Mustard Physiology Under Stress I. Photosynthesis, transpiration, stomatal conductance and leaf chlorophyll content of Brassica genotypes grown under sodic conditions. *Photosynthetica* 24 (*In press.*).
- Singh S B and Abrol I P 1985 Effect of soil sodicity on growth, yield and chemical composition of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* linn.). *Plant and Soil* 84, 123–127.
- Singh S B and Abrol I P 1986 Effect of soil sodicity on growth, yield and chemical composition of soybean. *J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci.* 34, 568–571.
- Smith G R and Middleton K R 1980 Sodium nutrition of pasture plants. II. Effect of sodium chloride on growth, chemical composition and the reduction of nitrate nitrogen. *New Phytol.* 84, 613–622.
- Tragis R L, Jordan W R and Huffaker R C 1969 Evidence for an inactivating system of nitrate reductase in *Hordeum vulgare* L. during darkness that requires protein synthesis. *Plant Physiol.* 44, 1150–1156.
- Trogisch G D, Kocher H and Ullrich W R 1989 Effect of glufosinate on anion uptake in *Lemna gibba* G 1. *Naturforsch* 44, 33–38.
- Walker R R, Torokfalvy E, Scott N S and Kriedemann P E 1981 An analysis of photosynthetic response to salt treatment in *Vitis vinifera*. *Aust. J. Plant Physiol.* 8, 359–374.