

Regularity and Other Properties of Absolutely Continuous Invariant Measures for the Quadratic Family

Marek Rychlik^{1*} and Eugene Sorets²

¹ The Institute for Advanced Study, School of Mathematics, Princeton, NJ 08540, USA

² Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York, NY 10012, USA

Received June 1, 1989; in revised form April 16, 1992

Abstract. In the current paper we study in more detail some properties of the absolutely continuous invariant measures constructed in the course of the proof of Jakobson's Theorem. In particular, we show that the density of the invariant measure is continuous at Misiurewicz points. From this we deduce that the Lyapunov exponent is also continuous at these points (our considerations apply just to the parameters constructed in the proof of Jakobson's Theorem). Other properties, like the positivity of the Lyapunov exponent, uniqueness of the absolutely continuous invariant measure and exactness of the corresponding dynamical system, are also proved.

Table of Contents

| | |
|--|-----|
| 1. Introduction | 217 |
| 2. Mappings of Class BV | 219 |
| 3. Annihilating Segments | 222 |
| 4. Non-Peripheral Spectrum of the P–F Operator | 225 |
| 5. Continuity of the Invariant Density I | 227 |
| 6. Continuity of the Invariant Density II | 230 |
| 7. Continuity of the Lyapunov Exponent | 233 |
| 8. Appendix A: The Measure of the Central Segment | 234 |
| 9. Appendix B: Positivity of the Lyapunov Exponent | 235 |
| References | 236 |

1. Introduction

In [11] one of the authors (M.R.) presented a new proof of the following result of Jakobson [8]:

* This paper was written during the author's stay at the IAS while supported by NSF grant DMS-860 1978

Theorem 1. *Let $f_\alpha(x) = 1 - \alpha x^2, 0 \leq \alpha \leq 2$, be a one parameter family of mappings of the unit interval. There is a positive measure set of those α that f_α has an absolutely continuous invariant measure (abbreviation: a.c.i.m.).*

In the course of the proof a set A_∞ of parameters α was constructed such that for $\alpha \in A_\infty$ there is an a.c.i.m. for f_α .

When the present work was started, our main objective was to show the continuity of the metric entropy, as $\alpha \rightarrow 2$. This naturally lead to studying continuity of the invariant measures and spectrum of the Perron–Frobenius operator for maps of class BV. In the present paper we also include a number of related results:

1. A direct, simple proof that for $\alpha \in A_\infty$ the Lyapunov exponent of f_α is positive;
2. A proof that the density of the a.c.i.m. is an L^p -function for $p \in [1, 2)$.

Throughout the paper we use the results of [11] quite extensively. Also, in the part concerning BV class maps we refer the reader to [9] for an account of basic facts about these maps.

Let us recall that in [11] A_∞ was constructed through a limiting process. First, for every nonnegative integer n we constructed a family of segments \mathcal{A}_n . The segments of \mathcal{A}_{n+1} were constructed from the segments of \mathcal{A}_n by making deletions. The union of the segments of \mathcal{A}_n was denoted by A_n . The set A_∞ is simply the intersection of all A_n . Thus, for any $\alpha \in A_\infty$ a number of objects was constructed:

1. A descending sequence of segments $[-1, 1] = I_0 \supset I_1 \supset I_2 \dots \supset \{0\}$; these segments depend on α ;
2. A sequence of integers $1 = s_0 < s_1 < s_2 < \dots$ such that the mappings $T_{\alpha,k} = f_\alpha^{s_k} | I_k \setminus I_{k+1}, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ are uniformly expanding; the expansion constants $\rightarrow \infty$ exponentially with k and uniformly in α ; the numbers s_0, s_1, \dots, s_n are the same for all α in the same segment $J \in \mathcal{A}_n$.

The mapping T_α was defined as $T_{\alpha,k}$ on the domain of $T_{\alpha,k}$ (i.e. on $M_{\alpha,k} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} I_k \setminus I_{k+1}$). We proved that the mapping T_α belongs to class BV, and therefore has an a.c.i.m. (cf. [9]). In the sequel we will show that the invariant measure for T_α is unique among the absolutely continuous ones. Let us denote it by $\bar{\nu}_\alpha$. Following the original work of Jakobson, we define the a.c.i.m. ν_α for f_α via the following formula:

$$\nu_\alpha = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{s_n-1} (f_\alpha^j)^*(\bar{\nu}_\alpha | I_k \setminus I_{k+1}). \tag{1}$$

This series defines an a.c.i.m. because the measure $\bar{\nu}_\alpha$ has a bounded density and the series

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_k | I_k \setminus I_{k+1} | \tag{2}$$

converges (uniformly in α). Up to a normalizing constant, this is the only a.c.i.m. for f_α (this fact will be evident in the sequel).

In Sect. 5 we show that the density of $\bar{\nu}_\alpha$ depends continuously on α in L^p -topology for any $p \in [1, \infty)$, as α converges to the so-called Misiurewicz points. Subsequently, (in Sect. 6) we prove an analogous statement for the density of ν_α for $p \in [1, 2)$. Our results are, of course, limited to the points in A_∞ .

A parameter α is called a Misiurewicz point if the set $\{f_\alpha^n(0)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is at a positive distance from the critical point 0. It is not difficult to show that Misiurewicz points are dense in the set A_∞ . They are also Lebesgue density points of the set A_∞ .

Furthermore, we will show that the metric entropy which in this case equals the Lyapunov exponent of the dynamical system (f_α, ν_α) ($\alpha \in A_\infty$) is continuous at Misiurewicz points. We also show that the dynamical system (f_α, ν_α) is exact. From the results of Ledrappier [7] it follows that the natural extension of (f_α, ν_α) is Bernoulli. It shall be clear that the maps T_α have a uniformly exponential mixing rate for all $\alpha \in A_\infty$. An analogous statement for f_α was recently proven by L.-S. Young [12].

It is an open question whether for almost all $\alpha \in A_\infty$ the Lyapunov exponent along the orbit of 1 is positive. Again, the answer is well known to be positive for Misiurewicz points. Also, recently Carleson and Benedicks have shown that this is the case for the set of parameters constructed in their version of the proof of Jakobson's theorem. It is also known that for all $\alpha \in A_\infty$:

$$|(f_\alpha^n)'(1)| \geq \text{const. } \lambda^n \tag{3}$$

for some $\lambda > 1$, all nonnegative integers n and some positive γ . We expect that there is a nonempty set of measure 0 of these $\alpha \in A_\infty$ such that the Lyapunov exponent along the orbit of 1 is 0.

Our technique relies upon the possibility to estimate the non-peripheral spectrum of the Perron–Frobenius operator of piecewise expanding mappings of class BV. Such estimates are directly connected with estimates of the rate of mixing and continuity of the entropy, as it was explained in [10].

2. Mappings of Class BV

The class of mappings considered in [9] will be called class BV. Thus, a mapping T is of class BV if it maps $I \setminus S$ to I , where S is a closed set of measure 0; T is assumed to be differentiable and the function

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{|T'(x)|}, & \text{for } x \in I \setminus S \\ 0, & \text{for } x \in S \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

satisfies $\text{Var}(g) < \infty$ and $\|g\|_\infty < 1$. Let ξ be the measurable partition of I into the components of $I \setminus S$. By enlarging S we can achieve that T is monotonic on the components of $I \setminus S$, the iteration $T^n: I \setminus S_n \rightarrow I$, where $S_n = \bigcup_{j=0}^{n-1} T^{-j}(S)$, is well defined and the partitions

$$\xi^n = \bigvee_{j=0}^{n-1} T^{-j}(\xi) \tag{5}$$

make sense. The function

$$g_n = (g \circ T^{n-1}) \dots (g \circ T)g \tag{6}$$

plays the role of g for the iteration. As it was shown in [9], $\text{Var}(g_n) < \infty$, $\|g_n\|_\infty \leq \|g\|_\infty < 1$. So T^n is also of class BV.

The Perron–Frobenius operator for a BV map T is an operator $P: L^1(m) \rightarrow L^1(m)$ defined as usual by the following formula:

$$P\phi(x) = \sum_{y \in T^{-1}(x)} g(y)\phi(y) . \tag{7}$$

P can also be considered as an operator on the space BV of functions of bounded variation with the norm:

$$\|\phi\|_{\text{BV}} = \max(\|\phi\|_{L^1}, \text{Var}(\phi)) . \tag{8}$$

It will also be useful to denote by BV_0 the space of those $\phi \in \text{BV}$ which have zero integral. The following fundamental theorem was proved in [9]:

Theorem 2. *There are constants $A, B, \kappa \in (0, 1)$ such that for any $\phi \in \text{BV}$:*

$$\text{Var}(P^n \phi) \leq A\kappa^n \text{Var}(\phi) + B\|\phi\|_{L^1} \tag{9}$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$

From [9] it follows that the constants A, B, κ can be obtained if a finite partition ζ and an integer n are given, so that numbers λ_ζ and D_ζ (defined below) satisfy the following inequalities:

$$\lambda_\zeta \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \|g_n\|_\infty + \max_{A \in \zeta} \text{Var}_A g_n < 1 , \tag{10}$$

$$D_\zeta \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \max_{A \in \zeta} \text{Var}_A(g_n)/|A| < \infty . \tag{11}$$

The above formulas are important to us because we will find the partition ζ independent of α such that both of the above constants are uniformly bounded for all $\alpha \in A_\infty$. As a consequence, the constants A, B, κ can also be chosen uniformly with respect to α .

Theorem 3. *There is $\rho \in (0, 1)$ and a constant C such that for any segment $A \subset I$ and $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$:*

$$\text{Var}_A(g_n) \leq C(\rho^n + |A|) , \tag{12}$$

where C and ρ do not depend on α .

Proof. This proof is in principle the proof of the existence of the a.c.i.m. for T , which was hinted at in Remark 5.1 of [11]. Therefore, we will just sketch some of the steps, referring the reader to that paper.

Let π be the partition of I into segments of equal length d . Just for this proof, the meaning of \mathcal{A}_n from [11] will be changed, to make the notation completely analogous to the proof of Theorem 5.1 from that paper. Thus, let \mathcal{A}_n denote the partition ξ^n restricted to A and let \mathcal{A}'_n be the partition generated by the following inductive definition:

$$\mathcal{A}'_n = T^{-1}(\mathcal{A}'_{n-1}) \vee (\xi \vee \pi) = \bar{\xi}^n|_A , \tag{13}$$

where $\bar{\xi} = \xi \vee \pi$.

Let us introduce two sequences:

$$\gamma_n = \sum_{J \in \mathcal{A}'_n} \sup_J \frac{1}{|\psi'_n|}, \tag{14}$$

$$\eta_n = \sum_{J \in \mathcal{A}'_n} \sup_J \left| \frac{\psi''_n}{(\psi'_n)^2} \right| |J|, \tag{15}$$

where $\psi_n = T^n$. We will derive estimates of $(\gamma_{n+1}, \eta_{n+1})$ in terms of (γ_n, η_n) in a way analogous to the proof of Theorem 5 in [11].

For any $J \in \mathcal{A}'_n, P \in \pi$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ we set $J_k(P) = T^{-1}(J) \cap M_k \cap P$. We notice that for any $J \in \mathcal{A}'_{n+1}$ we have

$$\sup_J \frac{1}{|\psi'_{n+1}|} \leq \sup |T'_{(k)}|^{-1} \sup_{J_k(P)} |\psi'_n|^{-1}. \tag{16}$$

Let $k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ be such that (cf. formula (5.10) of [11])

$$\sum_{k \geq k_1} \sup |T'_{(k)}|^{-1} \leq A_0^{-1}. \tag{17}$$

Let us choose d small enough, so that for every $P \in \pi$ one of the two cases holds: either 1) $P \subset I_{k_1}$ or 2) P intersects not more than two of the sets M_k . We obtain:

$$\sum_k \sup_{J_k(P)} \frac{1}{|\psi'_{n+1}|} \leq 2A_0^{-1} \sup_{J_k(P)} \frac{1}{|\psi'_n|}, \tag{18}$$

where $J(P) = \psi_n^{-1}(P) \cap J$. We notice that $\psi_n(J(P)) \neq P$ for at most two $P \in \pi$, namely the ones that satisfy *) $P \cap \partial\psi_n(J) \neq \emptyset$. Summing up over these P we obtain

$$\sum_{k,P}^* \sup_{J_k(P)} \frac{1}{|\psi'_{n+1}|} \leq 4A_0^{-1} \sup_J \frac{1}{|\psi'_n|}. \tag{19}$$

The other P s satisfy **) $\psi_n(J_k(P)) = P$ and allow the estimate

$$\sup_{J_k(P)} \frac{1}{|\psi'_n|} \leq \frac{1}{|\psi_n(J(P))|} \int_{\psi_n(J(P))} \frac{1}{|\psi'_n|} \circ \psi_n^{-1} + \sup_{J(P)} \left| \frac{\psi''_n}{(\psi'_n)^2} \right| |J(P)|. \tag{20}$$

So, we obtain

$$\sum_{k,P}^{**} \sup_{J_k(P)} \frac{1}{|\psi'_{n+1}|} \leq 2A_0^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{d} |J| + \sup_J \left| \frac{\psi''_n}{(\psi'_n)^2} \right| |J| \right) \tag{21}$$

and, finally

$$\gamma_{n+1} \leq 4A_0^{-1} \gamma_n + 2A_0^{-1} \eta_n + \frac{2A_0^{-1}}{d} |A|. \tag{22}$$

Next we intend to estimate η_{n+1} . We have:

$$\left| \frac{\psi''_{n+1}}{(\psi'_{n+1})^2} \right| \leq \frac{1}{|T'|} \circ \psi_n \left| \frac{\psi''_n}{(\psi'_n)^2} \right| + \left| \frac{T''}{(T')^2} \right| \leq A_{xx}(T_{(k)}) + A_0^{-1} \left| \frac{\psi''_n}{(\psi'_n)^2} \right|. \tag{23}$$

Therefore, we can write:

$$\eta_{n+1} \leq \sum_{J,k,P} \Delta_{xx}(T_{(k)})|J_k(P)| + A_0^{-1}\eta_n. \tag{24}$$

Because of the obvious inequality

$$|J_k(P)| \leq \sup_{J_k(P)} \frac{1}{|\psi'_n|} |P \cap M_k| \tag{25}$$

we obtain

$$\eta_{n+1} \leq r\gamma_n + A_0^{-1}\eta_n, \tag{26}$$

where

$$r = \sup_n \max_{P \in \pi} \sum_k \Delta_{xx}(T_{(k)})|P \cap M_k|. \tag{27}$$

Reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 5 of [11] we obtain the inequality:

$$\mathbf{v}_{n+1} \leq \mathbf{P}\mathbf{v}_n + \mathbf{c} \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots), \tag{28}$$

where $\mathbf{v}_n = (\gamma_n, \eta_n) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is a fixed vector satisfying the inequality:

$$\|\mathbf{c}\| \leq \text{const. } |A|. \tag{29}$$

The matrix \mathbf{P} is defined by the formula:

$$\mathbf{P} = \begin{bmatrix} 4A_0^{-1} & 2A_0^{-1} \\ r & A_0^{-1} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{30}$$

where

$$r = \sum_{J,k,P} \Delta_{xx}(T_{(k)})|J_k(P)|. \tag{31}$$

We need the spectral radius of \mathbf{P} to be < 1 . This can be achieved by decreasing d , if $A_0 > 4$. Otherwise we use the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 5 in [11].

We also see from the definition and the estimates in [11] that \mathbf{v}_1 is uniformly bounded in α . ■

As a corollary we obtain that if ζ is a sufficiently fine partition into segments then the numbers λ_ζ and D_ζ are uniformly bounded for all $\alpha \in A_\infty$.

3. Annihilating Segments

The purpose of this section is to introduce a condition which guarantees that the Perron–Frobenius operator of a BV map restricted to BV_0 is a contraction. From the previous work on BV maps in [9] it is clear that this condition is equivalent to the weak mixing property for the resulting dynamical system, if the invariant measure is the unique a.c.i.m. However, we will need more concrete conditions which assure that the contraction is uniform for all maps $T_\alpha, \alpha \in A_\infty$.

Let T be any BV map.

Definition 1. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. A segment $K_0 \subset I$ is called an ε -annihilating segment if and for sufficiently large $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ there are $C > 0, \delta > 0$ such that for any segment K of length $> \varepsilon$ there is $B \in \xi^l$ and a subsegment $L \subset B$ such that:

1. $T^l(L) = K_0$ and $L \subset B \subset K$;
2. $|L| \geq \delta$;
3. $\frac{\sup_L g_l}{\inf_L g_l} \leq C$.

In the next section we will show that the existence of an ε -annihilating segment for sufficiently small ε implies that the operator $P: BV_0 \rightarrow BV_0$ is a contraction. Moreover, the contraction constant can be estimated in terms of the constants that have already been introduced.

In this section we will concentrate on the proof of the following result:

Theorem 4. For every $\varepsilon > 0$ and for sufficiently small $\sigma > 0$ the map $T = T_2$ (i.e. for $\alpha = 2$) the segment $K_0 = [-\sigma, \sigma]$ is ε -annihilating.

Proof. Step 1. We change the coordinates, using the map $\Phi: x \mapsto \frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin(x)$. It is well known that the map $h = \Phi \circ f_2 \circ \Phi^{-1}$ is actually the map $h: x \mapsto 1 - 2|x|$. For $\alpha = 2$ the sequence of segments I_n becomes $[-3^{-n}, 3^{-n}]$. Let us consider the sequence $I'_n = \Phi^{-1}(I_n) = \left[-\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2} 3^{-n}\right), \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2} 3^{-n}\right) \right]$. Let U be the map defined to be h^{n+1} , on $M'_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} I'_n \setminus I'_{n+1} (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots)$. It is not difficult to see that

1. $U|_{M'_n}$ has slope $\pm 2^{n+1}$;
2. $|I'_n| \sim \text{const} \cdot 3^{-n}$ for large n ;
3. $U = \Phi \circ T \circ \Phi^{-1}$.

Step 2. Let ξ be the partition into the components of all the sets M'_k . We shall prove that for sufficiently large n there is $L \subset K_0, L \subset B \in \xi^n$, such that $K_0 = U^n(L)$. Moreover, there is m_0 such that for every $m \geq m_0$ there is a segment $L \subset M'_m$ and $n \sim \text{const} \cdot m$ such that $U^n(L) = K_0$. Indeed, there is a sequence of preimages K_l of K_0 under h monotonically converging to -1 , all contained in M'_0 (where $U = h$), of length $\sim K_0/2^l$ and distant from -1 by $\sim 1/2^l$. The image $U(M'_m)$ is a segment of length $\sim 2^m \text{const} \cdot 3^{-m}$ and its distance from -1 is $\sim (2/3)^m$. It is clear now that for sufficiently large l there is m such that $U^{l+1}(M'_m) = h^l U(M'_m) \supset K_0$ provided $K_0 = [-\sigma, \sigma]$ is short enough.

To prove the first of our claims it is sufficient to choose l_1 and l_2 so that $l_1 + 1$ and $l_2 + 1$ are relatively prime and the corresponding $I_{m_j} \subset K_0 (j = 1, 2)$. Every sufficiently large n admits a representation $n = p_1(l_1 + 1) + p_2(l_2 + 1)$, where p_1, p_2 are positive integers. Now using the representation $U^n = (U^{l_1+1})^{p_1} \circ (U^{l_2+1})^{p_2}$ we can easily find the desired segment L .

Step 3. Suppose that K is a segment of length $> \varepsilon$. Let $K' = \Phi(K)$. There is $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ independent of K such that $|K'| > \varepsilon_1$. We inductively define a sequence of segments W_n by requiring that $W_0 \subset K', |W_0| > \varepsilon_1/4$ and $\text{dist}(W_0, 0) \geq \varepsilon_1/4$. For $n > 0$ we define W_n to be the longest of the segments $U(M'_k \cap W_{n-1})$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots$. We claim that for some $n < \text{const} \cdot \log(1/\varepsilon_1)$ the segment W_n contains a component of M'_m , where m is sufficiently large and fixed. Indeed, if W_n does not contain

a component of M'_m , then W_n is either contained in $I \setminus I'_{m+1}$ or in I'_m . Let m be a number so that

$$\theta = \max \left(\sum_{k=1}^{m+1} \frac{1}{2^k}, \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \right) \tag{32}$$

is strictly less than 1. It is not difficult to prove by induction that for each n we have $|W_{n+1}| \geq \theta^{-1}|W_n|$. Indeed, suppose that, on the contrary, for each k the length of $U(M'_k \cap W_n)$ has length $< \theta^{-1}|W_n|$. We can write the following sequence of inequalities:

$$|M'_k \cap W_n| < \frac{1}{2^{k+1}} \theta^{-1} |W_n| \quad (k = 0, 1, \dots). \tag{33}$$

In the case when $W_n \subset I \setminus I'_{m+1}$ we sum up these inequalities for $k = 0, 1, \dots, m$ and get a contradiction $|W_n| < |W_n|$. In the case when $W_n \subset I'_m$ we sum up these inequalities for $k = m, m + 1, \dots$ and get the same contradiction. The inductive proof has been completed.

The inequality $|W_{n+1}| \geq \theta^{-1}|W_n|$ persists as long as W_n does not contain a component of M'_m . Therefore, $n < \text{const.} \log(1/\varepsilon_1)$ and this proves the claim.

For sufficiently large m the argument in Step 2 yields a subsegment L' and an iteration number l such that $U^l(L') = K_0$. Let $L = \Phi(L')$. It is easy to see that this segment has the desired properties. Bounded distortion (condition 3 of Definition 1) follows from the fact that none of the segments W_n can be too close to 0 and the fact that $T_{(k)}$ has bounded distortion (uniformly with respect to α). ■

Remark 1.

1. It is clear from the construction and the properties of $T_{(k)}$ that K_0 is an ε -annihilating segment for all $\alpha \in A_\infty \cap [2 - \omega, 2]$ for sufficiently small ω . Moreover, for fixed ε and sufficiently large l the choice of the constants δ and C can be uniform for all parameters from $[2 - \omega, 2]$.

2. The density of v_α is bounded away from 0 on $[f_\alpha(1), 1] = [1 - \alpha, 1]$. To see that, let K be an ε -annihilating segment of T_α and $S \subset K$ be an arbitrary non-empty subinterval. From uniqueness of a.c.i.m. for T_α it follows that

$$\bar{v}_\alpha(S) = \sum_{n \geq 0} m(T_\alpha^{-n} S) \geq m(T_\alpha^{-l} S) \geq \delta C^{-1} \frac{m(S)}{m(K)} \geq \text{const.} m(S),$$

where const. is positive and independent of S . It follows that the density of \bar{v}_α is bounded away from 0 on K . The f_α forward images of the annihilating segment cover $[f_\alpha(1), 1]$ by the proof of the last theorem.

3. The condition of exactness for f (or any piecewise monotonic mappings of an interval preserving an absolutely continuous invariant measure) is equivalent to the following: For every non-empty interval B

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v(f^n(B)) = 1. \tag{34}$$

It is clear that if $A = [-\sigma, \sigma]$ then $f^n(A)$ covers the set $[f(1), 1]$ (the support of v) in a finite number of steps (if $f = f_\alpha$ and $\alpha \in A_\infty$). Thus (34) is true for A . Now, the general statement follows from Theorem 4 and Definition 1.

Indeed, let B be an arbitrary segment of positive length and let σ be such that the segment A is $|B|/2$ -annihilating. Then for sufficiently large l there is a segment $B' \subset B$ such that $T^l(B') = A$ and T^l is monotonic on B' . Also, there exists m such that $T^l(B') = f^m(B')$. Let r be such that $f^r(A) = [f(1), 1]$. Thus, $f^{(m+r)}(B') = [f(1), 1]$, which means that $v(f^{(m+r)}(B)) = 1$.

4. Non-Peripheral Spectrum of the P-F Operator

This section is crucial for the entire paper. Its goal is to estimate the spectral radius of the P-F operator $P: BV_0 \rightarrow BV_0$ of a BV class mapping T . It was known (cf. [9, 6]) that this operator is a contraction, provided that the system possesses mixing property. However, the work prior to this paper does not provide an estimate for the contraction coefficient. It is apparent from the sequel that the need for such an estimate appears naturally in the problem of continuity of the metric entropy. A similar, simpler method was used in [10] to show continuity of the metric entropy for expanding mappings with respect to the unique absolutely continuous invariant measure.

For mappings of class BV the most important assumption we had to make is that the map has an annihilating segment (cf. Sect. 3). One can view the existence of an ε -annihilating segment as a way to quantitatively express the fact that the system has topological mixing. It is described quantitatively as a choice of constants δ, l and C for an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$.

Another ingredient we need in this section is the basic inequality:

$$\text{Var}(P^n \phi) \leq A\kappa^n \text{Var}(\phi) + B \|\phi\|_{L^1}. \tag{35}$$

The way to compute the constants A, B and κ for any BV class map has been described in Sect. 2.

Theorem 5. *The operator $P: BV_0 \rightarrow BV_0$ is a contraction in a suitable norm equivalent to the norm of BV_0 . Moreover, there are constants $D < 1$ and $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\|P^l\|_{BV_0} \leq D$. These constants admit upper bounds depending on A, B, C, δ computed for some fixed ε , which will be described later.*

Proof. Let us fix $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ as in the annihilating segment condition for some sufficiently small ε (described later) and $\gamma > 0, R > 0$. Let us consider a new norm on BV_0 described by the following equation:

$$\|\phi\| = \max(\text{Var}(\phi), R \|\phi\|_{L^1}). \tag{36}$$

For any $\phi \in BV_0$ let us consider the following two cases:

Case 1. $\text{Var}(\phi) \geq \gamma \|\phi\|_{L^1}$. In this case the basic inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(P^l \phi) &\leq (A\kappa^l + B/\gamma) \text{Var}(\phi), \\ R \|P^l \phi\|_{L^1} &\leq R \|\phi\|_{L^1} \leq (R/\gamma) \text{Var}(\phi). \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

So,

$$\|P^l \phi\| \leq \max(A\kappa^l + B/\gamma, R/\gamma) \|\phi\|. \tag{38}$$

If $B/\gamma < 1, R/\gamma < 1$ and l is sufficiently large, the norm of ϕ is contracted.

Case 2. $\text{Var}(\phi) \leq \gamma \|\phi\|_{L^1}$. Let K_0 be an annihilating segment. We may assume that $\|\phi\|_{L^1} = 1$ and obviously $\int \phi_+ dm = \int \phi_- dm = 1/2$ ($\phi \in \text{BV}_0$).

Let $\Sigma_1 = \{x \in I: \phi_+(x) \geq 1/4\}$. We claim that $m(\Sigma_1) \geq 1/(4\gamma)$. Indeed,

$$\frac{1}{2} = \int \phi_+ dm = \int_{\Sigma_1} \phi_+ dm + \int_{I \setminus \Sigma_1} \phi_+ dm \leq \gamma m(\Sigma_1) + \frac{1}{4} m(I \setminus \Sigma_1). \tag{39}$$

Hence, we can write

$$\gamma m(\Sigma_1) \geq \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4} m(I \setminus \Sigma_1) \geq \frac{1}{4}. \tag{40}$$

This implies that $m(\Sigma_1) \geq 1/(4\gamma)$.

Our next claim is that there are at least $1/(4\gamma\varepsilon)$ disjoint segments of length ε which contain a point of Σ_1 . The proof is obvious.

We also observe that there are at most 8γ disjoint segments such that on each of these segments the variation of ϕ exceeds $1/8$. Suppose that $\varepsilon < 1/(32\gamma^2)$. This implies that $8\gamma < 1/(4\gamma\varepsilon)$ and there is a segment K of length ε such that $\inf_K \phi_+ \geq 1/8$. Consequently, there is a subsegment $L_+ \subset K, L_+ \subset B \in \xi^l$, such that:

1. $T^l(L_+) = K_0$;
2. $\sup_{L_+} g_l / \inf_{L_+} g_l \leq C$;
3. $\inf_{L_+} \phi_+ \geq 1/8$;
4. $|L_+| \geq \delta$.

In a similar way we define a segment L_- . It is not difficult to notice that $\|P^l\|_{L^1} < 1$, due to the fact that the segments L_+ and L_- meet after l iterations and some part of the measure ϕm will be “annihilated.” A more precise estimate yields

$$\|P^l\phi\|_{L^1} \leq 1 - \frac{1}{4} C^{-1} \delta. \tag{41}$$

Indeed, we always have $|P^l\phi| \leq P^l|\phi|$ and because of cancellations, on K_0 we have:

$$|P^l\phi| \leq P^l|\phi| - 2 \min\left(\inf_{L_+} g_l \phi_+, \inf_{L_-} g_l \phi_-\right) \leq P^l|\phi| - \frac{1}{4} \min\left(\inf_{L_+} g_l, \inf_{L_-} g_l\right). \tag{42}$$

Integrating these inequalities we obtain:

$$\|P^l\phi\|_{L^1} \leq \|\phi\|_{L^1} - \frac{1}{4} m(K_0) \min\left(\inf_{L_+} g_l, \inf_{L_-} g_l\right), \tag{43}$$

and since

$$\inf_{L_\pm} g_l \geq C^{-1} \sup_{L_\pm} g_l \geq C^{-1} \frac{m(L_\pm)}{m(K_0)} \geq C^{-1} \frac{\delta}{m(K_0)}, \tag{44}$$

(41) follows. The basic inequality yields

$$\text{Var}(P^l\phi) \leq (A\kappa^l\gamma + B) \|\phi\|_{L^1} \leq ((A\kappa^l\gamma + B)/R) \|\phi\|, \tag{45}$$

and by (41) we get:

$$\|P^l\phi\| \leq \max\left(1 - \frac{C^{-1}\delta}{4}, \frac{A\kappa^l\gamma + B}{R}\right) \|\phi\|. \tag{46}$$

The conclusion for Cases 1 and 2 is that if $B/\gamma < 1$, $R/\gamma < 1$, $B/R < 1$, and l is sufficiently large then P^l is a contraction with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|$. All other claims follow easily, and the details are left to the reader. ■

5. Continuity of the Invariant Density I

Let us recall that P_α (the Perron–Frobenius operator of T_α) is a bounded operator either in L^1 -topology or BV-topology. But it is not true that P_α depends continuously on α in either topology. The density ρ_α of the a.c.i.m. in an eigenvector of P_α corresponding to the eigenvalue 1. We are going to show that ρ_α varies continuously in L^p -topology for any $p \in [1, \infty)$.

Proposition 1. *As $\alpha \rightarrow 2$, we have $P_\alpha \rightarrow P_2$ in $L(\text{BV}_0, L^p)$ -topology for any $p \in [1, \infty)$. More precisely, if $\alpha, \beta \in J \in \mathcal{A}_n$ then*

$$\|P_\beta \phi - P_\alpha \phi\|_{L^p} \leq \text{const.} (|S'_n|^{\omega_0} |\beta - \alpha|^{1/p} + |S'_n|^{-\omega_1}) \text{Var}(\phi), \tag{47}$$

where ω_0, ω_1 are positive constants.

Proof. We can write:

$$P_\alpha \phi = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_{\alpha,k}(\phi), \tag{48}$$

where

$$P_{\alpha,k} \phi = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{outside of } T_{\alpha,k}(M_{\alpha,k}) \\ (\phi g_{\alpha,k}) \circ T_{\alpha,k}^{-1} & \text{on } T_{\alpha,k}(M_{\alpha,k}). \end{cases} \tag{49}$$

We have an obvious estimate

$$\|P_{\alpha,k} \phi\|_p \leq \sup g_{\alpha,k} \sup |\phi| \leq \text{const.} A_0^{-k} \text{Var}(\phi). \tag{50}$$

So the norms in $L(\text{BV}_0, L^p)$ -topology decay exponentially, uniformly in $\alpha \in A_\infty$. To show continuity we need to prove that for each k “the difference” $P_{\alpha,k} - P_{\beta,k}$ is small in $L(\text{BV}_0, L^p)$ -topology, when $|\alpha - \beta|$ is small and $\alpha, \beta \in J \in \mathcal{A}_n$ for sufficiently large n .

Let K be a maximal subsegment of $M_{\alpha,k}$ contained in the domain of the map $H_{\alpha\beta'} = T_{\beta'}^{-1} \circ T_\alpha$ and $M_{\beta',k}$ for all $\beta' \in [\alpha, \beta]$. Since $\text{Supp}(|P_{\alpha,k} \phi - P_{\beta,k} \phi|) = T_{\alpha,k}(M_{\alpha,k}) \cup T_{\beta,k}(M_{\beta,k})$ for $p \in [1, 2)$ we can write:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_I |P_{\alpha,k} \phi - P_{\beta,k} \phi|^p &= \int_{S_1} |P_{\alpha,k} \phi|^p + \int_{S_2} |P_{\beta,k} \phi|^p + \int_{S_3} |P_{\alpha,k} \phi - P_{\beta,k} \phi|^p \\ &+ \int_{T_\alpha(K)} |P_{\alpha,k} \phi - P_{\beta,k} \phi|^p, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= T_{\alpha,k}(M_{\alpha,k}) \setminus (T_{\beta,k}(M_{\beta,k}) \cup T_\alpha(K)), \\ S_2 &= T_{\beta,k}(M_{\beta,k}) \setminus (T_{\alpha,k}(M_{\alpha,k}) \cup T_\alpha(K)), \quad \text{and} \\ S_3 &= (T_{\alpha,k}(M_{\alpha,k}) \cap T_{\beta,k}(M_{\beta,k})) \setminus T_\alpha(K). \end{aligned}$$

Noting that $|P_{\alpha,k}\phi - P_{\beta,k}\phi|^p \leq 2^{p-1}(|P_{\alpha,k}\phi|^p + |P_{\beta,k}\phi|^p)$ on S_3 and changing variables of integration, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_I |P_{\alpha,k}\phi - P_{\beta,k}\phi|^p &\leq 2^{p-1} \sup |\phi|^p (|M_{\alpha,k} \setminus K| + |M_{\beta,k} \setminus H_{\alpha\beta}(K)|) \\ &\quad + \sup |\phi|^p (|M_{\alpha,k} \setminus H_{\beta\alpha}(M_{\beta,k})| + |M_{\beta,k} \setminus H_{\alpha\beta}(M_{\alpha,k})|) \\ &\quad + \int_{T_\alpha(K)} |g_{\alpha,k}\phi - (g_{\beta,k}\phi) \circ H_{\alpha\beta}|^p \circ T_\alpha^{-1} \\ &\leq 4 \sup |\phi|^p (|M_{\alpha,k} \setminus K| + |M_{\beta,k} \setminus H_{\alpha\beta}(K)|) \\ &\quad + \int_K |\phi - (\phi \circ H_{\alpha\beta}) H'_{\alpha\beta}|^p |g_\alpha|^{p-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

The last term of this inequality can be estimated using the following

Lemma 1. *Suppose that $H: [a, b] \rightarrow [c, d]$ is a homeomorphism and $\phi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function with bounded variation on a segment U containing both $[a, b]$ and $[c, d]$. Then*

$$\int_{[a, b]} |\phi - \phi \circ H|^p \leq \text{dist}(\text{id}, H^{-1}) \text{Var}_U^p(\phi). \tag{52}$$

Proof. Just in this proof let μ be a measure on U such that $\phi(x) - \phi(y) = \int_x^y d\mu(t)$ for any $x, y \in U, x \leq y$.

First, in view of the fact that $p \geq 1$ we have the following obvious inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi(x) - \phi(H(x))|^p &\leq |\phi(x) - \phi(H(x))| \cdot \text{Var}_U^{p-1}(\phi) \\ &\leq \text{Var}_U^{p-1}(\phi) \int_{[x, H(x)]} d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Integration of this inequality over $[a, b]$ (with respect to the Lebesgue measure and change of variables yield the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[a, b]} |\phi(x) - \phi(H(x))|^p dx &\leq \text{Var}_U^{p-1}(\phi) \int_{[a, b]} \int_{[x, H(x)]} d\mu dx \\ &\leq \text{Var}_U^{p-1}(\phi) \int_U \int_{[t, H^{-1}(t)]} dx d\mu \\ &\leq \text{Var}_U^{p-1}(\phi) \int_U \text{dist}(\text{id}, H^{-1}) d\mu \\ &= \text{dist}(\text{id}, H^{-1}) \text{Var}_U^p(\phi). \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof. ■

Therefore the last term of the inequality (51) admits the estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_K |\phi - (\phi \circ H_{\alpha\beta}) H'_{\alpha\beta}|^p &\leq 2^{p-1} \text{Var}^p(\phi) \text{dist}(H_{\beta\alpha}, \text{id}) \\ &\quad + 2^{p-1} \sup |\phi|^p \text{dist}^p(H'_{\alpha\beta}, 1) \end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

for $p \in [1, 2)$.

Note that the ends of $M_{\alpha,k}$ are Lipschitz with respect to α and let L be the Lipschitz constant. Since $\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}(T_{\alpha,k}^{-1}) = -\delta(T_{\alpha,k})$ the ends of $H_{\alpha\beta'}(K)$ move with speed not exceeding $\sup_{\beta' \in [\alpha, \beta]} |\delta(T_{\beta',k})|$. It follows that $\text{dist}(\partial K, \partial M_{\alpha,k}) \leq (L + \sup |\delta(T_{\beta',k})|) |\beta - \alpha|$.

It is also easy to see that $\text{dist}(H_{\alpha\beta}, \text{id}) \leq \text{const.} \sup |\delta(T_{\beta',k})| |\beta - \alpha|$. Moreover,

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \log |H'_{\alpha\beta}| \right| = (|T'_\beta| |A_{\beta x}(T_{\beta,k}) + A_{xx}(T_{\beta,k}) \delta(T_{\beta,k})|) \circ H_{\alpha\beta}. \tag{54}$$

Combining these estimates with inequalities (3.18) in [11] we can easily complete the proof. Indeed,

$$\|P_{\alpha,k}\phi - P_{\beta,k}\phi\|_{L^p} \leq \text{const.} |S'_k|^{\omega_0} |\alpha - \beta|^{1/p} \text{Var}(\phi), \tag{55}$$

where $\omega_0 > 0$ is some constant. So, we can write:

$$\|P_\alpha - P_\beta\|_{L(BV_0, L^p)} \leq \text{const.} |\alpha - \beta|^{1/p} \sum_{k \leq n} |S'_k|^{\omega_0} + \text{const.} \sum_{k > n} |S'_k|^{-\omega_1}, \tag{56}$$

where $\omega_1 > 0$ is determined by (50), and, using the fact that $|S'_k| \leq A_0^{-(n-k)} |S'_n|$ for $k \leq n$ and $|S'_k| \geq A_0^{k-n} |S'_n|$ for $k > n$, bring the last expression to the form in the statement of the proposition. ■

Theorem 6. *If α is a Misiurewicz point then there exists $\omega_2 > 0$ such that for any $\phi \in BV_0$ and $\beta \in A_\infty$ we have:*

$$\|P_\alpha\phi - P_\beta\phi\|_{L^p} \leq \text{const.} |\alpha - \beta|^{\omega_2} \text{Var}(\phi). \tag{57}$$

Proof. From construction of A_n in [11] it follows that for a Misiurewicz point α there is $J \in A_n$ which contains α and β for any $n \leq \text{const.} \log(1/|\alpha - \beta|^{\omega_3})$. We can also prove that $|S'_n| \geq \lambda^n$, where $\lambda > 1$ (for Misiurewicz points the sequence s_n grows linearly). Therefore, we can pick n in such a fashion that $|S'_n| \leq \text{const.} |\alpha - \beta|^{-\omega_3} \leq |S'_{n+1}|$. We immediately notice that $|S'_n| \geq \text{const.} |\alpha - \beta|^{-\omega_3}$. Plugging these inequalities into the inequality from the last proposition, one can easily show the desired inequality. ■

We will need the following well-known fact:

Lemma 2. *The norm of P in L^p -topology does not exceed $\|P^1\|_\infty^{1-1/p}$.*

Proof. The proof is based on Jensen’s inequality and we leave it to the reader. ■

Corollary 1. *If $\sup_n \|P^n\|_\infty < \infty$ then the operators P^n have uniformly bounded norms in L^p topology for $p \in [1, \infty]$.*

Let ρ_α be the density of $\bar{\nu}_\alpha$ (the unique a.c.i.m. for T_α). We set out now to prove the following

Theorem 7. *The function $A_\infty \ni \alpha \mapsto \rho_\alpha \in L^p(m)$ is continuous at Misiurewicz points. Moreover, for every $p \in [1, \infty)$ there is a constant $\omega_4 > 0$ such that if $\alpha \in A_\infty$ is a Misiurewicz point then:*

$$\|\rho_\alpha - \rho_\beta\|_{L^p} \leq \text{const.} |\alpha - \beta|^{\omega_4} \tag{58}$$

for all $\beta \in A_\infty$.

Proof. This proof incorporates the same ideas as a corresponding proof in [10]. Let $h = \rho_\alpha - \rho_\beta$, so that $\rho_\alpha = \rho_\beta + h$. Rewriting the equation $P_\alpha(\rho_\beta + h) = \rho_\beta + h$, we obtain:

$$(I - P_\alpha)h = u \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (P_\alpha - P_\beta)\rho_\beta . \tag{59}$$

One can easily see that $u \in \text{BV}_0$ and is uniformly bounded (i.e. $\text{Var}(u)$ is uniformly bounded with respect to $\alpha \in A_\infty$). This follows from the uniform basic inequality (see Sect. 2).

Therefore, we can write the von Neumann series expressing h in terms of u :

$$h = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_\alpha^n u . \tag{60}$$

We can estimate the L^p -norm of h by splitting the series into a finite part and the tail:

$$\begin{aligned} \|h\|_{L^p} &\leq \sum_{n=0}^N \|P_\alpha^n\|_{L^p} + \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \|P_\alpha^n\|_{L^p} \\ &\leq \text{const.} N \|u\|_{L^p} + \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \text{const.} \lambda^n \|u\|_{\text{BV}_0} \\ &\leq \text{const.} N \|u\|_{L^p} + \text{const.} \lambda^N . \end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

From the definition and the previous theorem we know that $\|u\|_{L^p} \leq \text{const.} |\alpha - \beta|^{\omega_2}$. Using an optimal choice of N , we arrive at the desired inequality. ■

6. Continuity of the Invariant Density II

Let $\hat{\rho}_\alpha$ be the density of the invariant measure ν_α (f_α -invariant). It is not difficult to show that this density admits the following representation in terms of the invariant density ρ_α , which has been proved to be continuous at Misiurewicz points:

$$\hat{\rho}_\alpha = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{s_n-1} \rho_{\alpha,n,j} , \tag{62}$$

where

$$\rho_{\alpha,n,j} = \begin{cases} \frac{\rho_\alpha}{(f^j)' \circ (f^j|_{M_{\alpha,n}})^{-1}} & \text{on } f^j(M_{\alpha,n}) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} . \tag{63}$$

The first result we intend to prove in this section was announced in [11] and is analogous to a result proved in [1].

Proposition 2. *The series (62) converges in L^p -topology uniformly with respect to $\alpha \in A_\infty$ for all $p \in [1, 2)$.*

Proof. We may assume that $j \geq 1$; the case $j = 0$ is easy. By changing coordinates we can easily show that

$$\int_{f^j(M_{\alpha,n})} \left| \frac{\rho_\alpha}{(f^j)'} \right|^p \circ (f^j|_{M_{\alpha,n}})^{-1} dm = \int_{M_{\alpha,n}} \frac{|\rho_\alpha|^p}{|(f^j)'|^{p-1}} dm. \tag{64}$$

Let $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that $s_l > j \geq s_{l-1}$. Let $i = s_l - j$. From our definitions it follows that $S_l = f^i \circ f^{j-1}$, $l \leq n$ and $i \leq s_{k_l}$. Therefore the chain rule yields:

$$\inf_{v_n} |(f^{j-1})'| \geq \frac{\inf |S'_l|}{(\max |f'|)^i} \geq \frac{\inf |S'_l|}{4^\beta} \geq \left(\frac{A_0}{4^\beta} \right)^l. \tag{65}$$

In particular, $\inf_{v_n} |(f^{j-1})'| \geq \text{const.}$ (we recall that β was a small constant, so that $A_0 > 4^\beta$). Therefore, on $M_{\alpha,n}$ we have $|(f^j)'| \geq \text{const.}|x|$. This implies that

$$\int_{M_{\alpha,n}} \frac{|\rho_\alpha|^p}{|(f^j)'|^{p-1}} dm \leq \text{const.} \int_{M_{\alpha,n}} \frac{dm}{|x|^{p-1}} \leq \text{const.} |I_n|^{2-p}. \tag{66}$$

The last number converges to 0 exponentially uniformly in $\alpha \in A_\infty$, as was shown in [11]. Therefore, the infinite series (62) can be majorized in L^p -topology by the exponentially convergent series:

$$\sum_{n=0}^\infty s_n |I_n|^{2/p-1}. \tag{67}$$

(We recall that $s_n \leq \beta n^2/2$). ■

Our next objective is to show that $\hat{\rho}_\alpha$ is continuous at Misiurewicz points. It is clear that in order to prove this it suffices to show that each term of the series (62) is continuous in L^p -topology for $p \in [1, 2)$.

For this part of the proof it will be convenient to fix n and j and introduce the following notation: $T_\alpha = f^j|_{M_{\alpha,n}}$, $\mathcal{D}_\alpha = M_{\alpha,n}$ for domain of T_α , $\mathcal{R}_\alpha = T_\alpha(\mathcal{D}_\alpha)$, and $H_{\alpha\beta} = T_\beta^{-1} \circ T_\alpha$. Let us also denote $\rho_{\alpha,n,j}$ by σ_α . In this simplified notation we can write:

$$\sigma_\alpha = \begin{cases} \frac{\rho_\alpha}{|T'_\alpha|} \circ T_\alpha^{-1} & \text{on } \mathcal{R}_\alpha \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}. \tag{68}$$

Our goal is to estimate $\|\sigma_\alpha - \sigma_\beta\|_{L^p}$ for two close parameters α and β and $p \in [1, 2)$. This estimate is somewhat similar to the estimate of the previous section.

Let K be the maximal segment contained in all the segments $\mathcal{D}_\alpha \cap \mathcal{D}_\beta \cap H_{\alpha\beta'}(\mathcal{D}_\alpha)$ for every $\beta' \in [\alpha, \beta]$. We can write

$$\int_I |\sigma_\alpha - \sigma_\beta|^p dm = \int_{(\mathcal{R}_\alpha \cup \mathcal{R}_\beta) \setminus T_\alpha(K)} |\sigma_\alpha - \sigma_\beta|^p dm + \int_{T_\alpha(K)} |\sigma_\alpha - \sigma_\beta|^p dm. \tag{69}$$

We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{(\mathcal{R}_\alpha \cup \mathcal{R}_\beta) \setminus T_\alpha(K)} |\sigma_\alpha - \sigma_\beta|^p dm &= \int_{\mathcal{R}_\alpha \setminus (\mathcal{R}_\beta \cup T_\alpha(K))} |\sigma_\alpha|^p dm \\ &+ \int_{\mathcal{R}_\beta \setminus (\mathcal{R}_\alpha \cup T_\alpha(K))} |\sigma_\beta|^p dm + \int_{(\mathcal{R}_\alpha \cap \mathcal{R}_\beta) \setminus T_\alpha(K)} |\sigma_\alpha - \sigma_\beta|^p \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \sup |\rho_\alpha|^p \int_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha \setminus K} \frac{1}{|T'_\alpha|^{p-1}} dm + \sup |\rho_\beta|^p \int_{\mathcal{D}_\beta \setminus K} \frac{1}{|T'_\beta|^{p-1}} dm \\
 &\quad + 2^{p-1} \left(\int_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha \setminus K} \frac{|\rho_\alpha|^p}{|T'_\alpha|^{p-1}} dm + \int_{\mathcal{D}_\beta \setminus K} \frac{|\rho_\beta|^p}{|T'_\alpha|^{p-1}} dm \right) \\
 &\leq \text{const. } 2^p \left(\sup |\rho_\alpha|^p \int_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha \setminus K} \frac{1}{|x|^{p-1}} dm + \sup |\rho_\beta|^p \int_{\mathcal{D}_\beta \setminus K} \frac{1}{|x|^{p-1}} dm \right) \\
 &\leq \text{const. } (\sup |\rho_\alpha|^p |\mathcal{D}_\alpha \setminus K|^{2-p} + \sup |\rho_\beta|^p |\mathcal{D}_\beta \setminus K|^{2-p}). \tag{70}
 \end{aligned}$$

Meanwhile,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{T_\alpha(K)} |\sigma_\alpha - \sigma_\beta|^p &= \int_K \frac{|\rho_\alpha - \rho_\beta \circ H_{\alpha\beta} H'_{\alpha\beta}|^p}{|T'_\alpha|^{p-1}} dm \\
 &\leq 3^{p-1} \left(\int_K \frac{|\rho_\alpha - \rho_\beta|^p}{|T'_\alpha|^{p-1}} dm + \int_K \frac{|\rho_\beta - \rho_\beta \circ H_{\alpha\beta}|^p}{|T'_\alpha|^{p-1}} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \sup |\rho_\beta|^p \int_K \frac{|1 - H'_{\alpha\beta}|^p}{|T'_\alpha|^{p-1}} dm \right) \\
 &\leq \text{const. } 3^{p-1} \left(\int_K \frac{|\rho_\alpha - \rho_\beta|^p}{|x|^{p-1}} dm + \int_K \frac{|\rho_\beta - \rho_\beta \circ H_{\alpha\beta}|^p}{|x|^{p-1}} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \sup |\rho_\beta|^p \int_K \frac{|1 - H'_{\alpha\beta}|^p}{|x|^{p-1}} dm \right). \tag{71}
 \end{aligned}$$

The terms of the last formula can be estimated as follows. Let us pick positive numbers q and r such that $1/q + 1/r = 1$ and $(p - 1)r < 1$. Then by Hölder's inequality

$$\int_K |\rho_\alpha - \rho_\beta|^p |x|^{1-p} dm \leq \left(\int_K |\rho_\alpha - \rho_\beta|^{pq} \right)^{1/q} \left(\int_K |x|^{(1-p)r} \right)^{1/r}, \tag{72}$$

$$\int_K |\rho_\alpha - \rho_\beta \circ H_{\alpha\beta}|^p |x|^{1-p} dm \leq \left(\int_K |\rho_\alpha - \rho_\beta \circ H_{\alpha\beta}|^{pq} \right)^{1/q} \left(\int_K |x|^{(1-p)r} \right)^{1/r}, \tag{73}$$

$$\int_K |1 - H'_{\alpha\beta}|^p |x|^{1-p} dm \leq \left(\int_K |1 - H'_{\alpha\beta}|^{pq} \right)^{1/q} \left(\int_K |x|^{(1-p)r} \right)^{1/r}, \tag{74}$$

and

$$\left(\int_K |x|^{(1-p)r} \right)^{1/r} \leq \frac{|K|^{1/r - (p-1)}}{(1 - r(p-1))^{1/r}}. \tag{75}$$

We notice that if p is close to 2 then r has to be close to 1 and q has to be very large. Therefore, we need the L^p estimates of the previous section with large p .

Lemma 3. *The following inequalities are true:*

$$\int_K |\rho_\beta - \rho_\beta \circ H_{\alpha\beta}|^p dm \leq \text{dist}(\text{id}, H_{\beta\alpha}) \|_{BV}^p$$

$$\int_K \frac{|1 - H'_{\alpha\beta}|^p}{|T'_\alpha|^{p-1}} \leq \sup_{\beta' \in [\alpha, \beta]} |T'|^{2-p} (\Delta_{\beta',x}(T) + \Delta_{xx}(T)) |\delta(T)|^p |\alpha - \beta|^p$$

$$\text{dist}(\text{id}, H_{\beta\alpha}) \leq \sup |\delta(T)| |\alpha - \beta| . \tag{76}$$

It is clear now that we need estimates for $\delta(T)$, $\Delta_{xx}(T)$, and $\Delta_{xx}(T)$.

Lemma 4. *For any $p \in [1, \infty)$ there is $\omega > 0$ such that*

$$\delta(T), \Delta_{xx}(T), \Delta_{xx}(T) \leq \text{const.} |S'_n|^\omega . \tag{77}$$

Proof. The proof follows from the possibility to represent $f^j|_{M_{\alpha,n}}$ for $j \leq s_n$ ($j \geq 1$) as a composition:

$$f^j|_{M_{\alpha,n}} = T_{I_s, \alpha} \circ \dots \circ T_{I_2, \alpha} \circ S_{I_1, \alpha} \circ (f|_{M_{\alpha,n}}) , \tag{78}$$

where the first s expanding maps form a β -homogeneous sequence in the terminology of [11]. The proof of this lemma is then completely similar to the proof of Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 3.4 of [11]. ■

Combining all the estimates of this section we obtain

Theorem 8. *For every $p \in [1, 2)$ there are positive constants ω_4, ω_5 such that for any Misiurewicz point α and $\beta \in A_\infty$ we have*

$$\|\rho_{\alpha,n,j} - \rho_{\beta,n,j}\|_{L^p} \leq \text{const.} |\alpha - \beta|^{1/p} |S'_n|^{\omega_4} , \tag{79}$$

$$\|\hat{\rho}_\alpha - \hat{\rho}_\beta\|_{L^p} \leq \text{const.} |\alpha - \beta|^{\omega_5} . \tag{80}$$

Remark 2. Our estimates allow us to prove continuity (but not Hölder continuity) of $\hat{\rho}_\alpha$ at the points $\alpha \in \tilde{A}_\infty \subset A_\infty$. Construction of \tilde{A}_∞ is very similar to that of A_∞ ; at the n^{th} stage, instead of deleting only $\psi_n^{-1}([- \lambda^{-\sqrt{n}}, \lambda^{-\sqrt{n}}])$ we also delete arbitrarily small neighborhoods (e.g. $\sim \lambda^{-\sqrt{n}}$) of the endpoints of $I_{\alpha,k}$. It is easy to see that $m(\tilde{A}_\infty) > 0$, and continuity follows from the fact that $|\alpha - \beta| < \varepsilon$ implies that $\alpha, \beta \in J \in \mathcal{A}_n$ for some $n = n(\varepsilon)$, which tends to ∞ when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. As in the case of A_∞ , Misiurewicz points are dense and they are Lebesgue density points of \tilde{A}_∞ .

Remark 3. The proofs presented here apply to A_∞ built near the special Misiurewicz point $\alpha = 2$. A complete proof for other Misiurewicz points requires additional constructions.

7. Continuity of the Lyapunov Exponent

Let us recall the Rokhlin formula for the Lyapunov exponent,

$$\text{Lyapunov exponent of } f_\alpha = h_{v_\alpha} = \int_I \log |f'_\alpha| dv_\alpha \tag{81}$$

converges uniformly. As a consequence of our estimates of the continuity of the invariant density the Lyapunov exponent is continuous at the Misiurewicz points α close to 2.

Theorem 9. *The Lyapunov exponent of f_α , as $\alpha \rightarrow 2$ and $\alpha \in A_\infty$, tends to $\log 2$. Moreover, there is a constant $\omega > 0$ such that if Γ_α is the Lyapunov exponent computed for the parameter $\alpha \in A_\infty$ and β is a Misiurewicz point sufficiently close to 2 then*

$$|\Gamma_\alpha - \Gamma_\beta| \leq \text{const.} |\alpha - \beta|^\omega. \tag{82}$$

Proof. The formula (81) yields an explicit expression for the Lyapunov exponent of f_α in terms of the invariant measure.

A simple calculation shows that

$$\Gamma_\alpha - \Gamma_\beta = \int_I \log |x| (\hat{\rho}_\alpha - \hat{\rho}_\beta) dm + \log \frac{\alpha}{\beta}. \tag{83}$$

By the Hölder inequality for $p = 3/2$ we obtain the following inequality:

$$\left| \int_I \log |x| (\hat{\rho}_\alpha - \hat{\rho}_\beta) dm(x) \right| \leq \left(\int_I (\log |x|)^3 dx \right)^{1/3} \|\hat{\rho}_\alpha - \hat{\rho}_\beta\|_{L^{3/2}}. \tag{84}$$

As a result, if β is a Misiurewicz point near 2 then we can apply the results of Sect. 6 to obtain the last statement of our theorem. ■

8. Appendix A: The Measure of the Central Segment

The objective of this appendix is to estimate $v(C)$, where $C = (-\gamma, \gamma)$.

Lemma 5. *The density of $f_*^j(\bar{v}|M_{\alpha,n})$, which we called $\rho_{\alpha,n,j}$, for $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, s_n - 1\}$ admits the estimate*

$$|\rho_{\alpha,n,j}(x)| \leq \text{const.} \frac{|x - f^{j-1}(1)|^{-1/2}}{|(f^{j-1})'(1)|^{1/2}}. \tag{85}$$

Proof. As we have shown already, the map $f^{j-1}|M_{\alpha,n}$ has bounded distortion. Moreover, the density of the measure $f_*^j(\bar{v}|M_{\alpha,n})$ is bounded by $\text{const.} |x - 1|^{-1/2}$. From the formula (62) for $\hat{\rho}_\alpha$ we know that the density $\rho_{\alpha,n,j}$ can be bounded by

$$\frac{\text{const.} |1 - f^{-(j-1)}(x)|^{-1/2}}{|(f^{j-1})'(1)|}. \tag{86}$$

Also, because of the bounded distortion,

$$|1 - f^{-(j-1)}(x)| \approx |x - f^{j-1}(1)| |(f^{j-1})'(1)|^{-1}.$$

This yields the desired estimate. ■

Proposition 3. *Let $C = (-\gamma, \gamma)$. Then:*

$$|v(C) - \bar{v}(C)| \leq \text{const.} \gamma^{\log 40/\beta}, \tag{87}$$

where β has the same meaning as in (78).

Proof. From the definitions and the previous lemma it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} v(C) - \bar{v}(C) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{s_n-1} \int_{C \cap f^j(M_{\alpha,n})} \rho_{\alpha,n,j} dm \\ &\leq \text{const.} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{s_n-1} \frac{|C \cap f^j(M_{\alpha,n})|^{1/2}}{|(f^{j-1})'(1)|^{1/2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{88}$$

It is easy to see from the definitions of [11] that for $j \leq s_n - 1$ we have $f^j(M_{\alpha,n}) \cap I_l = \emptyset$, where $l = \max_{m \leq n} k(m)$. Also we have

$$|I_l| = \text{const.} |S_l'(1)|^{-\tau} \geq 4^{-\tau \deg S_l} \geq 4^{-\tau \beta n}. \tag{89}$$

Therefore, for $n < (1/2\tau\beta) \log(1/\gamma)$ we have $f^j(M_{\alpha,n}) \cap C = \emptyset$. Hence, for sufficiently small γ we have the following estimate:

$$|v(C) - \bar{v}(C)| \leq \text{const.} \sum_{n \geq (1/2\tau\beta) \log(1/\gamma)} \sum_{j=1}^{s_n-1} \frac{|f^j(I_{\alpha,n})|^{1/2}}{|(f^{j-1})'(1)|^{1/2}}. \tag{90}$$

We have an easy estimate $|f^j(I_{\alpha,n})| \leq \text{const.} |(f^{j-1})'(1)| |I_{\alpha,n}|^2$. Combining this with previous inequality, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} |v(C) - \bar{v}(C)| &\leq \text{const.} \sum_{n \geq (1/2\tau\beta) \log(1/\gamma)} \beta n^2 |I_{\alpha,n}| \\ &\leq \text{const.} A_0^{-(1/\beta) \log(1/\gamma)} \\ &= \text{const.} \frac{\log A_0}{\beta}. \end{aligned} \tag{91}$$

This concludes the proof. \blacksquare

9. Appendix B: Positivity of the Lyapunov Exponent

There is a very short self-contained proof of the fact that for the parameters $\alpha \in A_\infty$ the Lyapunov exponent is positive for $f_\alpha(x) = 1 - \alpha x^2$. One has to assume that the measure ν is obtained from $\bar{\nu}$, as described above.

The properties below which follow from the construction will be useful:

1. $s_k \leq \text{const.} k^2$ ($k \geq 1$).
2. $|I_k| \leq \text{const.} \lambda^k$ for some $\lambda \in (0, 1)$.
3. $|T^l| \geq A > 1$.

We define functions $\phi(x) = \log |T'(x)|$ and $n(x) = s_k$, as $x \in I_k \setminus I_{k+1}$ ($k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$). To compute the Lyapunov exponent for f_α we consider:

$$\frac{\phi(x) + \phi(Tx) + \dots + \phi(T^{l-1}x)}{n(x) + n(Tx) + \dots + n(T^{l-1}x)}. \tag{92}$$

This number is

$$\frac{1}{N} \log |(f_\alpha^N)'(x)|, \tag{93}$$

where N is the denominator of Eq. (92). Hence taking $l \rightarrow \infty$ in (92) yields the Lyapunov exponent of f_α for ν -almost every x . We can rewrite (92) as follows:

$$\frac{\frac{1}{l} \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} \phi(T^i x)}{\frac{1}{l} \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} n(T^i x)} . \quad (94)$$

The last limit exists for $\bar{\nu}$ -almost every x by the Ergodic Theorem, applied to $(T, \bar{\nu})$. The limit is positive, provided that the denominator does not blow up to ∞ . This is true if $\int_I n(x) d\bar{\nu}(x) < \infty$. But using Properties 1–3 we can see that

$$\int_I n(x) d\bar{\nu}(x) \leq \text{const.} \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^2 \lambda^k \right) < \infty . \quad (95)$$

More precisely, Lyapunov exponent $\geq \log \lambda / \int_I n(x) d\bar{\nu}(x)$. We would like to emphasize that this inequality holds for the Lyapunov exponent computed at $\bar{\nu}$ -almost every point. It is also true for almost every point with respect to ν , since the support of ν is the union of f_α -forward images of the support of $\bar{\nu}$.

From the previous sections it follows that the measure ν is unique (up to a constant) and that the support of ν is the segment $[f_\alpha(1), 1]$. Each point of I or its image belongs to this interval, so the Lyapunov exponent exists for almost every point in I . Because ν is unique, it is ergodic. This implies that the Lyapunov exponent is a well-defined constant, depending on $\alpha \in A_\infty$ only.

Acknowledgements. We would like to express our gratitude to T. Spencer for suggesting the problem of continuity of entropy as a research topic. We would also like to thank the Institute for Advanced Study, Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, and the National Science Foundation for funding this research.

References

1. Benedicks, M., Carleson, L.: On iterations of $1-ax^2$ on $(-1, 1)$. *Ann. Math. (2)* **122** (1), 1–25 (1985)
2. Bowen, R.: *Equilibrium States and the Ergodic Theory of Anosov Diffeomorphisms*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. **470**, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York: Springer-Verlag 1975
3. Hofbauer, F., Keller, G.: Ergodic properties of invariant measures for piecewise monotonic transformations. *Math. Z.* **180**, 119–140 (1982)
4. Krzyżewski, K., Szlenk, W.: On invariant measures for expanding differentiable mappings. *Studia Math.* **23**, 83–92 (1969)
5. Krzyżewski, K.: On connection between expanding mappings and Markov chains. *Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci. Ser. Math.*, vol. **19**, 291–293 (1971)
6. Keller, G.: On the Rate of Convergence to Equilibrium in One-Dimensional Systems. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **96**, 181–193 (1984)
7. Ledrappier, F.: Some properties of absolutely continuous measures on an interval. *Ergodic Theory Dyn. Syst.* **1**, 77–93 (1981)
8. Jakobson, M.V.: Absolutely continuous invariant measures for one-parameter families of one-dimensional maps. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **81**, 39–88 (1981)
9. Rychlik, M.: Bounded variation and invariant measures. *Studia Math.* t. **76**, 69–80 (1983)
10. Rychlik, M.: Regularity of the Metric Entropy for Expanding Maps. Preprint, IAS, 1988
11. Rychlik, M.: Another proof of Jakobson's theorem and related results. *Ergodic Theory Dyn. Syst.* **8**, 93–109 (1988)
12. Young, L.-S.: Decay of Correlations for Certain Quadratic Maps. Preprint, UCLA, 1991
13. Ziemian, K.: Almost sure invariance principle for some maps of an interval. *Ergodic Theory Dyn. Syst.* **5**, 625–640 (1985)