

# THE MYCOFLORA OF A LIGHT SOIL IN A CITRUS FERTILIZER TRIAL IN ISRAEL<sup>1)</sup>

A. Z. JOFFE

*Department of Botany, The Hebrew University, Jerusalem*

(25.I.1966)

## INTRODUCTION

The studies described here form part of a series of investigations of the mycoflora of crop soil in Israel carried out on fields and groves of the long-term trials in progress for several decades at the Soil Research Institute at Mikve Israel in the central coastal plain of Israel. Another part of these investigations, dealing with unirrigated field crops on heavy soil has been published elsewhere (JOFFE, 1963).

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### The soil

The soil of the grove of Shamouti oranges on which the manure and fertilizer trial is being carried out is of a light type. The soil has been formed as a result of weathering of calcareous sand-stone, which consisted of quartz sand and grains cemented by lime. The prevailing texture of this soil is that of sand and sandy loam, the prevalent colour red and reddish brown, as is typical for the Israel citrus belt.

### *Mechanical composition*

Soil analysis made in 1958 according to the Atterberg system, yielded the following results:

	0—15 cm depth	15—30 cm depth
Clay	5.75 %	9.50 %
silt	2.80 %	2.90 %
fine sand	38.0 %	46.4 %
coarse sand	53.45 %	41.2 %
hygroscopic moisture	0.8 %	1.2—1.4 %

The soil reaction in 1963 approximated 6.2—6.5 in plots receiving NPK as chemicals only, 5.3—6.7 in those receiving only N and P as chemicals, 6.9—7.3 in plots supplied partly with manure and partly with fertilizers, and 7.2—7.5 in the control plots.

<sup>1)</sup> Dedicated to the late Meir Winnik, Pioneer of Soil Research in Israel.

### *Chemical composition*

The soil contains only traces of calcium carbonate and has an exchange capacity of 8—10 milligram equivalents/100. The nitrogen content is 0.04—0.055 %, that of  $P_2O_5$  and  $K_2O$  (as determined by extraction with 20 % HCl) is 0.016—0.027 and 0.09—0.16 % respectively. The carbon content is 0.4—0.5 %, which corresponds to 0.68—0.80 % organic matter.

### **Description of the fertilizer trial**

The trial is being carried out in a grove of Shamouti oranges grafted on sweet lime stock and inarched 5 years later with sour orange. This grove was planted in 1929. Up to 1945 all plots in the grove were treated uniformly, received no organic manure, and were given only small quantities of NPK fertilizers. During this period the yield of each individual tree was carefully recorded so that plots with equal initial yield could be laid down when differential treatments of fertilizers and manure commenced in 1945. In that year the yield for the entire experimental grove averaged 4.5 tons per dunam (=1000 sq.m.) Of the numerous combined treatments tested as from 1945, plots receiving the following annual applications were chosen for mycoflora studies:

*Treatment 2* – NPK, applied as fertilizers only, at the rates per hectare of 180 kg N ( $2/3$  of which were applied in form of ammonium sulphate,  $1/3$  as nitrochalk), 60 kg  $P_2O_5$ , in form of superphosphate, and 75 kg  $K_2O$ , as sulphate of potash.

*Treatment 5* – N and P, but no K, applied as in treatment 2.

*Treatment 8* – Manure – NPK, receiving the following nutrients per hectare:

- 900 kg sheep manure, containing approx. 1.1 % N;
- 90 kg N, of which  $1/3$  as ammonium sulphate,  $2/3$  as nitrochalk;
- 45 kg  $P_2O_5$  in form of superphosphate;
- 30 kg  $K_2O$  in form of sulphate of potash.

*Treatment 11* – NPK with an increased dose of manure: fertilizers were applied as in treatment 8, but the dose of sheep manure (containing approx. 1.5 % N) was increased to 1800 kg per hectare.

*Treatment 12* – Control, receiving neither fertilizer nor manure after 1945.

Each treatment was applied to 3 replicate plots (Blocks A, B, C), each consisting of 12 trees.

### **Sampling**

Samples were taken on 29th November 1959 and 19th November 1960 from one replicate (Block A) of each treatment, and on 13th September 1962 and 9th September 1963 from 3 replicates (Blocks A, B, C) of treatments 2, 5, 8 and 11, but only from Block A of the control. On every occasion 3 samples were taken at each

spot, at depths of 5, 20, and 50 cm. The total number of samples amounted to 114. The technique of sampling was the same as in our earlier work (JOFFE, 1963).

### Determination of Mycoflora

Our methods of culturing in general, and those for culturing *Fusaria* in particular, have been described elsewhere (JOFFE, 1963). The composition of the substrates used is indicated below:

1. Czapek's medium, pH 4.6
2. Potato dextrose agar, pH 4.8
3. Malt extract agar, pH 4.6 (malt extract 20.0, dextrose 20.0, peptone 1.0, agar 20.0, distilled water 1000 ml).
4. Waksman's medium, pH 4.0 (JOFFE, 1963).
5. Waksman's medium modified, pH 4.5 (JOFFE, 1963).
6. Soil extract 20 ml, dextrose 10 g,  $K_2HPO_4$  0.5,  $MgSO_4$  0.25, agar 20, distilled water 800 ml, pH 4.2.
7. Soil extract 100 ml, dextrose 5 g,  $K_2HPO_4$  0.5,  $MgSO_4$  0.2, agar 20, distilled water 900 ml. pH 4.8.
8. Soil extract 200 ml, dextrose 2 g, agar 20, distilled water 800 ml. pH 4.2.
9. Starch agar, pH 7.5—8.0.  $K_2HPO_4$  0.5 g,  $MgSO_4$  0.5,  $NKO_3$  1.0, NaCl 0.5,  $FeSO_4$  0.01, soluble starch 10.0, agar 20.0, distilled water 1000 ml. This substrate served for isolation of actinomyces, yeasts and bacteria.

Diagnosis for some of the species found were in addition to GILMAN (1957) based on the following authorities:

Phycomycetes — CUTTER (1964), NAUMOV (1939), FITZPATRICK (1930).

Ascomycetes — DINGLEY (1951), RAPER & THOM (1949), THOM & RAPER (1939, 1945), SKOLKO & GROVES (1948, 1953).

Deuteromycetes — ATKINSON (1953), BILAI (1955), GORDON (1952), GROVES & SKOLKO (1944, 1945), HUGHES (1951), LINDER (1934), MOREAU (1951), OMVIK (1955), ORR *et al.* (1963), PIDOPLICHKA (1953), GORDON (1952), SAKSENA (1955), SCHOL-SCHWARZ (1959), SNYDER & HANSEN (1940, 1941).

### RESULTS

Table I presents a list of the species found and indicates the number of their colonies. The figures have been grouped in two ways: (a) For those 4 series of plots that received fertilizers and/or manure totals have been calculated for one plot each in 1959 and 1960 (Block A), and for three plots each in 1962 and 1963 (Blocks A, B, C), i.e. for grand totals of 8 plots; (b) for all fertilized and/or manured series as well as the control series, totals have been calculated separately for the plots in Block A, because control samples were examined only from this block, so that comparisons between plots receiving nutrients and those receiving none could be

TABLE I

Numbers of colonies of fungal species isolated from Citrus soil under various fertilizer and manure treatments and from control soil receiving no nutrients.

Fungal species	Total from blocks A.B.C.				Block A only				
	NPK	NP	NPK+	NPK+	NPK	NP	NPK+	NPK+	Control
	No. 2	No. 5	manure No. 8	incr. manure No. 11	No. 2	No. 5	manure No. 8	incr. manure No. 11	No. 12
<b>PHYCOMYCETES</b>									
<i>Aspergillus butleri</i> LENDN.	5				5				
<i>A. niger</i> HAG.			11				11		
<i>A. theimii</i> (LUCET & STATIN) LENDN.*		7	13	7		2	10		
<i>A. nidis</i> (VUILL.) HAG.		1							
<i>A. rosea</i> LENDN.	13	42	32	77	25		2	25	
<i>Chaetomium corymbosum</i> (SZ) NAUMOV*		12							
<i>Chaetomium spinosum</i> v. TIEG. MONNIER**		13	3						
<i>Chaetomium bairdii</i> MOV***	4								
<i>Chaetomium holletiae</i> STADEL**	3			22	3				22
<i>Chaetomium esleana</i> LENDN.*		2		1					1
<i>Chaetomium pulchellum</i> THAXT.	3	8	4		3	8	3		
<i>Chaetomium minus</i> LINK	9		3	12	3				9
	8	4	3		4				3
<i>Chaetomium ophora</i> sp.		1							
<i>Chaetomium bairdii</i> CONNOR	5		4		2		4		
<i>Chaetomium nicola</i> OUD.				8					8
<i>Chaetomium bellina</i> OUD.		5							
<i>Chaetomium villosum</i> OUD**		2							
* <i>Chaetomium</i> sp.	10	5	10		8	3	4		13
<i>Chaetomium circinelloides</i> v. LINDNER	8	3			8	3			
<i>Chaetomium neo-cyanum</i> HAG.**		3				3			
<i>Chaetomium osseni</i> LENDN.	11								
<i>Chaetomium cecidivora</i> (L.) BREF.**	4	5	14	14	4		7	10	14
<i>Chaetomium imberbe</i> BONORDEN		37	6	9		26	6		
<i>Chaetomium emmosus</i> FRES.**	6	8	31	25	4		21	4	31
* <i>Chaetomium</i> sp.	11	2	4			2			4
<i>Chaetomium m</i> sp.				10					3
<i>Chaetomium nigricans</i> (CEN.) MONNIER**	63	64	160	103	49	51	101	64	74
<i>Chaetomium laevigatum</i> WENT & GERSTENBERG	117	106	64	175	65	58	53	127	22
<i>Chaetomium halastrum racemosum</i> (COHN) SCHROET.	1	27	11			15	6		
<i>Chaetomium idium elegans</i> LINK**	5	15	25			4	20		
Total Phycomycetes	286	371	398	455	154	204	248	286	151
<b>ASCOMYCETES</b>									
<i>Chaetomium alliiaceum</i> THOMAS & BURCH			4						

Table I (cont.)

Fungus species	Total from blocks A.B.C.				Block A only				
	NPK	NP	NPK+	NPK+	NPK	NP	NPK+	NPK+	Control
	No. 2	No. 5	manure No. 8	manure No. 11					
<i>A. amstelodami</i> (MANG.) THOM & CHURCH*	14	16	8	30			8	30	
<i>A. candidus</i> LINK**	4		11					14	
<i>A. chevalieri</i> (MANG.) THOM & CHURCH**	18	6		11	6	6			
<i>A. echinulatus</i> (DELACR.) THOM & CHURCH*				9				5	
<i>A. effusus</i> TIRAB.	3	5		8					8
<i>A. flavipes</i> (BAIN. & SART.) THOM & CHURCH.**	6	8	5	28	6	8			13
<i>A. flavus</i> LINK**	15	3	35	6	3		12		13
<i>A. fumigatus</i> FRES.**	26	6	16	34	20		21	30	
<i>A. glaucus</i> LINK	6	4	4			4			
<i>A. granulatus</i> RAPER & THOM		4		4					
<i>A. humicola</i> CHAUDHURI & SACHAR	2								
<i>A. melleus</i> YUKAWA*.-**	4	9	2	2		9			
<i>A. nidulans</i> (EIDAM) WINT.**	38	41	133	76	15	28	61		
<i>A. niger</i> v. TIEGH.**	195	132	263	255	132	51	116	128	229
<i>A. niveus</i> BLOCH*.-**	3	3		17				24	
<i>A. ochraceus</i> WILHELM	25	6	59	5		6	46		3
<i>A. quercinus</i> (BAIN.) THOM & CHURCH*	4								4
<i>A. repens</i> (CDA.) DE BARY**	3	4	3	4	3		3		3
<i>A. rugulosus</i> THOM & RAPER	5		3		2				4
<i>A. sclerotiorum</i> HUBER*	4	21	6	7	4	21	6		4
<i>A. sulphureus</i> (FRES.) THOM & CHURCH**	84	21	14	34	60	8		27	
<i>A. sydowi</i> (BAIN. & SART.) THOM & CHURCH				5					
<i>A. tamaritii</i> KITA			7	35			7	26	9
<i>A. terreus</i> THOM**	59	83	46	58	24	44	23	4	11
<i>A. ustus</i> (BAIN.) THOM & CHURCH**	184	157	189	99	102	100	121	69	139
<i>A. variegatus</i> (BERK. & BR.) THOM & RAPER	9	13	28	4		19	28		6
<i>A. versicolor</i> (VULL.) TIRAB.**	82	68	46	52	82	47	38	30	7
<i>A. wentii</i> WEHMER**	22	23	6	23	5	18	6		19
<i>A. sp.</i>	4	4	4		4				
<i>Auxarihron conjugatum</i> (KUEHN) ORR & KUEHN*				1					
<i>Chaetomium elatum</i> KUNZE*			4						
<i>C. globosum</i> KUNZE**	4	5	5	19			5	19	
<i>C. murorum</i> CORDA**			7	5					
<i>C. spirale</i> ZOPF**	4	6			4	6			
<i>C. sp.</i>	4	3				3			

Table I (cont.)

Fungus species	Total from blocks A.B.C.				Block A only				
	NPK	NP	NPK+	NPK+	NPK	NP	NPK+	NPK+	Control
	No. 2	No. 5	manure No. 8	incr. manure No. 11	No. 2	No. 5	manure No. 8	incr. manure No. 11	No. 12
<i>Gymnoascus corniculatus</i> ORR & PLUNKETT*	3				3				
<i>G. reessii</i> BARANETZKY					8				
<i>Melanospora lagenaria</i> (PERS.) FÜCKEL	7		4					4	4
<i>M. sp.*</i>					4	4		6	
<i>Microascus trigonosporus</i> EMMONS & DODGE*		3							
<i>M. sp.*</i>		4				4			
<i>Myxotrichum sp.*</i>			4				4		
<i>Nectria hematococca</i> BERK. & BR.*	9				6				4
<i>N. sp.*</i>	30	12	17	58	12	6	4	5	11
<i>Neocosmospora vasinfecta</i> E. F. SMITH		13	11	3		8	4	3	7
<i>Penicillium atramentosum</i> THOM		6	5			6			4
<i>P. baarnense</i> VAN BEYMA**		4		7		4		7	3
<i>P. brefeldianum</i> DODGE	2				2				
<i>P. brevi-compactum</i> DIERCKX**		5	5	19		5	5	14	
<i>P. chrysogenum</i> THOM**	12			19	7			18	
<i>P. citreo-viride</i> BOURGE				2			1	1	
<i>P. citrinum</i> THOM**		17		15		12		15	6
<i>P. claviforme</i> BAINIER	7				4				6
<i>P. corylophilum</i> DIERCKX	8	10				7			
<i>P. decumbens</i> THOM	3	4							4
<i>P. expansum</i> (LINK) THOM				6				6	3
<i>P. egyptiacum</i> v. BEYMA	13	39		8		35			
<i>P. fellutanum</i> BOURGE	4	3							
<i>P. frequentans</i> WESTL.**	23	11		4	14		11		6
<i>P. frequentans series</i>				2				2	
<i>P. funiculosum</i> THOM	36		30	19	11		12		39
<i>P. fuscum</i> (SOPP) RAPER & THOM	2	6				6			
<i>P. granulatum</i> BAINIER**	6				6				3
<i>P. humuli</i> v. BEYMA	1	1	1	2	1			1	
<i>P. islandicum</i> SOPP		12				12			
<i>P. italicum</i> WEHMER		3	3						
<i>P. janthinellum</i> BOURGE**	21	14	7		10	5	7		
<i>P. jensenii</i> ZAL.**		17	9	12		17		12	4
<i>P. lanosum</i> WESTL.**	18		10	1	18		4		1
<i>P. lilacinum</i> THOM**	292	381	458	212	130	159	180	111	121
<i>P. lividum</i> WESTL.		5				5			
<i>P. luteum</i> ZUKAL**	6				6				
<i>P. martensii</i> BOURGE**	1	2	1						3
<i>P. miczynskii</i> ZAL.	5	3			3				4
<i>P. multicolor</i> GRIG. MAN. & PORAD.*			3	8			3	6	

Table I (cont.)

Fungus species	Total from blocks A.B.C.				Block A only				
	NPK	NP	NPK+	NPK+	NPK	NP	NPK+	NPK+	Control
	No. 2	No. 5	manure No. 8	incr. manure No. 11					
<i>P. nigricans</i> (BAIN.) THOM**	17	8	15	11	17		15		6
<i>P. notatum</i> WESTL.				22				22	9
<i>P. ochro-chloron</i> BOURGE*		7				4			
<i>P. oxalicum</i> CURRIE & THOM**	3		3				3		
<i>P. palitans</i> WESTL.									3
<i>P. purpurogenum</i> STOLL**	23				15				8
<i>P. vaciborskii</i> ZAL.			4				4		2
<i>P. restrictum</i> GIL. & ABB.**	37		27	11	31		14	11	
<i>P. rubrum</i> STOLL**	27	61	9	32	10	29	5	9	5
<i>P. rugulosum</i> THOM	2			11	2				
<i>P. steckii</i> ZAL.**	26	18	4	10	11	3	4	15	
<i>P. stoloniferum</i> THOM**	4	4			4		4		3
<i>P. tardum</i> THOM	3				3				
<i>P. thomii</i> MAIRE		4		7				7	3
<i>P. urticae</i> BAIN.*	6	6			6				8
<i>P. variabile</i> SOPP		3							
<i>P. vinaceum</i> GILM. & ABBOTT		3				3			
<i>P. viridicatum</i> WESTL.	6	11				4			
<i>P. spp.</i>	18	14	14	17	8	11	5	9	
<i>Rosellinia</i> sp.*	1				1				
<i>Sordaria fimicola</i> (ROB.) CES & DE NOT.	1	1							
Total Ascomycetes	1526	1373	1558	1347	825	727	793	669	780
DEUTEROMYCETES									
<i>Acrostalagmus albus</i> PREUSS			1	6		3		6	
<i>A. sp.*</i>		3		5				1	
<i>Alternaria fasciculata</i> COOK & ELLIS		14		11				5	
<i>A. geophila</i> DASZEWSKA**	4	5				5			
<i>A. grisea</i> SZILVINYI**	1			3					
<i>A. humicola</i> OUD.**	40	26	17	15	21	8		10	
<i>A. tenuis</i> NEES**	25	12	20	51	10	4	23	25	3
<i>A. sp.</i>			7	9			3		
<i>Botrydiplodia theobromae</i> PAT.		27	11	28		5		5	
<i>Botryotrichum piluliferum</i> SACC. & MARCHAL**	3	6	3	2		3		2	4
<i>Botrytis cinerea</i> PERS.**			5				5		4
<i>B. terrestris</i> JENSEN	3				3				
<i>B. sp.</i>		20	8			15	8		2
<i>Cephalosporium acremo- nium</i> CDA.**	32	15	56	20	20		33	12	10
<i>C. asperum</i> MARCHAL									9
<i>C. curtipes</i> SACC.**	26	22	48	45	14	5	11	17	
<i>C. humicola</i> OUD.**	18	24		13	18	24		6	

Table I (cont.)

Fungus species	Total from blocks A.B.C.				Block A only				
	NPK	NP	NPK+	NPK+	NPK	NP	NPK+	NPK+	Control
	No. 2	No. 5	manure No. 8	incr. manure No. 11					
<i>C. roseo-griseum</i> SAKSENA			4				4		4
<i>C. sp.*</i>	32		16	10	21		9	6	3
<i>Chaetomella sp.*</i>		1							
<i>Cladosporium epiphyllum</i> PERS.**									2
<i>C. herbarum</i> (PERS.) LINK**	35	22	20	8	28	6	16	8	
<i>C. sp.*</i>			6	2				2	
<i>Clonostachys sp.</i>		2							
<i>Coccospora agricola</i> GOD- DARD	3			6	3				
<i>Coniothyrium fucheli</i> SACC.				3					6
<i>C. sp.*</i>									3
<i>Coremium sp.</i>			7	3			3		
<i>Curvularia lunata</i> (WAKK.) BOED.				11		5		6	
<i>C. pallescens</i> BOED.	4			14	4				
<i>Cylindrocarpon cochinchinense</i> BUGN.	1								
<i>C. curtum</i> BUGN.*				1	1				
<i>C. curvatum</i> HOCH.*-***	2	1				1			
<i>C. didymum</i> (HARTUNG) WR.	8	3	2		6	2			
<i>C. radiciola</i> WR.	52	32	18	18	12	13	6		2
<i>C. sp.*</i>	15	4	9		6				
<i>Dicoccum asperum</i> CDA.		1		1					
<i>Epicoccum nigrum</i> LINK		18	30				14		13
<i>E. purpurascens</i> EHRENB.				1					
<i>E. sp.*</i>			2						
<i>Fusarium avenaceum</i> (FR.) SACC.**			7						
<i>F. culmorum</i> (W. G. SMITH) SACC.**	3		2						
<i>F. dimerum</i> PENZIG**	3	2	6	7	1		4	4	2
<i>F. equiseti</i> (CDA). SACC.**	70	72	55	86	42	23	25	12	6
<i>F. javanicum</i> KOORDERS**	14			4	14			4	2
<i>F. lateritium</i> NEES**			5		5				2
<i>F. merismoides</i> CDA**	4		3					3	
<i>F. moniliiforme</i> SHELDON emend. SNYD. & HANS.**	6	4	11	15	6	4	11	4	4
<i>F. oxysporum</i> SCHL. emend. SNYD. & HANS.**	36	135	55	83	15	22	30	48	22
<i>F. sambucinum</i> FUECKEL**	23			2	20				1
<i>F. semitectum</i> BERK. & RAV.**	3	5	24	14		4	6	12	8
<i>F. solani</i> (MART.) APPEL & WR. emend. SNYD. & HANS.**	394	484	354	578	155	184	203	368	46
<i>Geotrichum candidum</i> LINK			1				1		6
<i>G. sp.*</i>	10				3				



Table I (cont.)

Fungus species	Total from blocks A.B.C.				Block A only					
	NPH	NP	NPK+	NPK+	NPK	NP	NPK+	NPK+	Control	
	No. 2	No. 5	manure No. 8	incr. manure No. 11						manure No. 2
<i>Gliocladium atrum</i> GIL. & ABB.				2						
<i>G. catenulatum</i> GIL & ABB.	4				2					
<i>G. fimbriatum</i> GILL. & ABB.		3		5		3				
<i>G. penicilloides</i> CDA**.		6	56	8	9			8	4	
<i>G. roseum</i> (LINK) BAIN.	28	8	5	16	28			7		
<i>G. vermoeseni</i> (BIOURGE) THOM**		12	42	6			20	6	8	
<i>G. sp.*</i>	23	20	8	13	4	3			2	
<i>Gliomastix convoluta</i> (MARCHAL) MASON	4				4					
<i>Gonytrichum macrocladium</i> (SACC.) HUGHES	8	10	3	3	4			3		
<i>Graphium bulbicola</i> HEN- NINGS	3									
<i>G. sp.*</i>	6	10	12	4	3	4	3	4	1	
<i>Helminthosporium nodu- losum</i> (BERKELEY & CURTIS) SACC.		4	5							
<i>H. tetramerum</i> MCKINNEY		2								
<i>H. spp.*</i>	15	13	7		10	11				
<i>Heterosporium allii</i> ELL. & MART.**		10				4				
<i>H. terrestre</i> R. G. ATKIN- SON	4			3				3		
<i>Hormiscium stilbosporum</i> (CORDA) SACC.	2	1								
<i>Hormodendrum cladospo- rioides</i> (FR.) SACC.**	14	15	19	7	7	5				
<i>H. hordei</i> BRUHNE**	13	43	22	12	13	28	5	12	9	
<i>H. nigrescens</i> PAINE**	16	8	17	24	9		11	9	2	
<i>H. olivaceum</i> (CDA). BO- NORDEN	3	3		1	3	3				
<i>H. pallidum</i> OUD.		5	10	18			5	13		
<i>H. resinae</i> LINDAU			1							
<i>H. viride</i> (FRES.) SACC.**	12	7	4	20	7		4	20		
<i>H. sp.*</i>	2				2					
<i>Humicola brevis</i> (GILL. & ABB.) GILMAN**	6	3	11	4	4		11		5	
<i>H. grisea</i> TRAAEN	11		8	6						
<i>H. nigrescens</i> OMKVIG		7		2		4		2		
<i>H. sp.*</i>	9					7				
<i>Monilia acremonium</i> DELACROIX				4				4		
<i>M. grisea</i> DASZEWSKA	3				3					
<i>M. pruinosa</i> COOKE & MASSEE		7								
<i>M. sp.*</i>	13	4	17	25	7			4		
<i>Myrothecium vroidum</i> TODE			10				10		5	

Table I (cont.)

Fungus species	Total from blocks A.B.C.				Block A only				
	NPK	NP	NPK+	NPK+	NPK	NP	NPK+	NPK+	Control
	No. 2	No. 5	manure No. 8	incr. manure No. 11	No. 2	No. 5	manure No. 8	incr. manure No. 11	No. 12
<i>M. verrucaria</i> (ALB. & SCHW.) DITM.**	28	22	19		25	12	8		10
<i>M. sp.*</i>	4	3			4	3		4	4
<i>Neurospora crassa</i> SHEAR & DODGE			5				5		
<i>Nigrospora sphaerica</i> (SACC.) MASON**	12	15			12				
<i>Oospora variabilis</i> (LINDER) LINDAU	4			6	4				8
<i>O. sp.*</i>				4					
<i>Paecilomyces fimetarius</i> (MOESZ) BROWN & SMITH*	3								
<i>P. flavescens</i> BROWN & SMITH*		4						4	2
<i>P. heliothis</i> (CHARLES) BROWN & SMITH*	3								
<i>P. javanicus</i> (FRIEDERIKS & BALLY) BROWN & SMITH*			3			3			
<i>P. sp.*</i>	26	22	8	8	7	12	6	3	12
<i>Pestalotia sp.*</i>	9	5	7	4	3	3	4	4	
<i>Phoma glomerata</i> (CDA.) WR. & HOCHAPFEL	13	27	41	4	9	16	26		14
<i>P. hibernica</i> GRIM. O'CON. & CUM.			5						2
<i>P. humicola</i> GILL. & ABB.			6				6		4
<i>P. sp.*</i>	17	13	23	24	6	6	12	6	2
<i>Pyrenochaeta decipiens</i> MARCHAL	57	15	51	8	26	12	18	5	14
<i>P. sp.*</i>	2	5	14				7		3
<i>Scopulariopsis brevicaulis</i> (SACC.) BAIN.	30	12	9	9	13	5		9	6
<i>S. constantini</i> BAIN.	4			5		5			
<i>S. sp.*</i>		2		7				4	
<i>Sepedonium chrysospermum</i> (BULL.) FR.	6	6	3	10	3	4			5
<i>S. sp.*</i>	2			2			4		1
<i>Spicaria decumbens</i> OUD.			3	3					
<i>S. divaricata</i> (THOM) GIL. & ABB.**	25	16	10	9	19	6			10
<i>S. simplicissima</i> OUD.	2								
<i>S. violacea</i> ABBOTT**	21	25	17	6		6	13	6	
<i>S. sp.*</i>	4	6	6	17	2	6		9	
<i>Spondylocladella botrytioides</i> LINDN.*			1						
<i>Spondylocladium sp.*</i>		1		1		1			
<i>Sporotrichum sp.*</i>	11	11	12	6	5	7			7
<i>Stachybotrys alternans</i> BORDEN		4					3		

Table I (cont.)

Fungus species	Total from blocks A.B.C.				Block A only				
	NPK	NP	NPK+	NPK+	NPK	NP	NPK+	NPK+	Control
	No. 2	No. 5	manure No. 8	incr. manure No. 11					
<i>S. atra</i> CDA.**	3	31	21	3		31	24		6
<i>S. lobulata</i> BERKELEY		4				4			
<i>S. subsimplex</i> COOKE*					2				2
<i>S. sp.*</i>			3						
<i>Stachyliidium bicolor</i> LINK ex FR.*								1	
<i>Stemphylium consortiale</i> (THUEMEN) GROVES & SKOLKO	48	14	23		26	4	12		20
<i>S. macrosporoideum</i> (BERK. & BROOME) SACC.			4					3	2
<i>S. piriforme</i> BONORDEN	13				13				
<i>S. sp.*</i>	9	6		6	3	6		6	6
<i>Stilbella sp.*</i>		1				1			
<i>Stysanus medius</i> SACC.	4		7	4			7		
<i>S. stemonites</i> (PERS.) CDA.	56	20	24	15	30	11	13		2
<i>S. sp.*</i>			2						
<i>Thielaviopsis basicola</i> ZOPF	2	2					2		
<i>Tilachlidium humicola</i> OUD.**		3		10					15
<i>T. sp.*</i>	6		2		6		2		
<i>Torula lucifuga</i> OUD.		11		4				4	
<i>T. sp.*</i>	19	22	31	37	8	3	15		8
<i>Trichoderma glaucum</i> ABBOTT	84	53	25	8	30	37	6		10
<i>T. koningi</i> OUD.**	24	41	28	21	5	10	5	10	22
<i>T. lignorum</i> (TODE) HARZ**	72	86	131	94	45	62	54	34	27
<i>Trichurus spiralis</i> HASSEL- BRING*	14	12		44	4			38	4
<i>T. sp.*</i>	2								2
<i>Trichothecium roseum</i> LINK**			9	9			9	4	5
<i>Verticillium sp.</i>	23		10	3	14		5	3	
<i>Volutella sp.*</i>	18	6	32	7			14	5	
<i>Volutina sp.</i>	4	2	4	16				3	
<i>Zygodemus fuscum</i> CDA.*		2							
<i>Z. sp.*</i>			2	6					
<i>Unidentified</i>			3	2				2	
Total Deuteromycetes	1751	1692	1667	1717	871	696	761	833	435
MYCELIA STERILIA									
<i>Rhizoctonia sp.</i>	4	10	4		4	10	4		
<i>Sclerotium rolfsii</i> SACC.	3		5						
<i>S. sp.</i>	22	4	14		12		7		
<i>Unidentified</i>		5	12	18			4	3	
Total Mycelia sterilia	29	19	35	18	16	10	15	3	0

\*) Not listed by GILMAN (1957).

\*\*) Listed by JOFFE (1963)

TABLE II  
*Numbers of colonies and of species isolated from the various fertilizer and manure treatments*

Fungi	Total from blocks A,B,C.				Block A only					
	NPK	NP	NPK+ manure	NPK+ incr. manure	Total	NPK	NP	NPK+ manure	NPK+ incr. manure	Control
<b>I. NUMBERS OF COLONIES</b>										
Phycomycetes	286	371	398	455		154	204	248	286	151
Ascomycetes	1526	1373	1558	1347		825	727	793	669	780
Deuteromycetes	1751	1692	1667	1687		871	696	761	832	435
Mycelia Sterilia	29	19	35	18		16	10	15	3	0
All fungi	3592	3455	3658	3507		1866	1637	1817	1790	1366
Numbers Percent*	100	96.2	101.8	96.8		100	87.7	97.4	95.9	73.2
<b>II. NUMBERS OF SPECIES</b>										
Phycomycetes	18	22	17	12	32	11	13	13	11	7
Ascomycetes	66	61	48	51	97	43	38	34	29	44
Deuteromycetes	88	86	83	89	150	66	56	50	56	59
Mycelia Sterilia	3	3	3	1	4	2	1	3	1	0
All fungi	175	172	152	153	283	122	108	100	97	110
Numbers Percent*	100	98.0	86.8	87.0		100	88.5	82.0	79.5	90.0

\*) Percentage calculated in relation to NPK plots.

made for this block alone. Table II shows summaries of the figures contained in table I. The figures for NPK plots have throughout been taken as 100 %.

The species determined have been arbitrarily divided into two groups: 1) dominant species of which 50—80 or more colonies were counted, and in relation to which the effect of nutritional treatments might be assessed; and 2) rare species, which are specifically discussed only where their occurrence is of special interest.

### All fungi

Taking the total of 283 species isolated and of 15,578 colonies grown as 100 %, the relative preponderance of the various fungal groups was as follows: Phycomycetes 11.1 % of species and 10.7 % of colonies; Ascomycetes 34.8 and 42.2 %; Deuteromycetes 53.1 and 46.5 %, and Mycelia Sterilia only 1.0 % and 0.6 %.

The number of species isolated was lowest on the plots supplied with organic manure and fertilizers, about equal on NP and control plots, and highest on the NPK plots. But the number of colonies was markedly lower in the controls than in any of the plots receiving nutrients. Differences between the latter were slight, with the number of colonies tending to be somewhat lower on the NP plots than on those with NPK treatment with or without manure (Table II).

### Phycomycetes

The number of species and of colonies of this group was lowest in the control plots. As regards the number of colonies, the difference between controls and NP as well as fertilizer-manure plots was very considerable, but in the NPK plots colonies of Phycomycetes were hardly more numerous than in the control.

#### *Dominant species*

Colonies of the two species of *Rhizopus* were the most numerous. *Rhizopus oryzae* was by far the most frequent on plots receiving NPK and increased doses of manure, and by far the least frequent on the control plots. *R. nigricans*, on the other hand, was definitely most frequent on plots receiving NPK and the lower dose of manure, moderately frequent in those with NPK and increased doses of manure and in the control, and least frequent in NPK and NP plots. This contrasts with our findings on unirrigated, heavy soil under field crops, where *R. nigricans* was much rarer on unfertilized than on fertilized plots (JOFFE, 1963) and *R. oryzae* was absent altogether. Nor is the latter species mentioned among the soil fungi listed by GILMAN (1957), except in one case from India.

*Absidia spinosa* was fairly common, especially on plots with increased doses of manure, but this as well as the other 4 species of

*Absidia* were entirely absent from the controls. It may be worth noting that our isolates of *A. spinosa* abundantly produced zygospores.

*Mucor racemosus* was more frequent on control than on treated plots, again in contrast to our earlier work (JOFFE, 1963).

#### *Rare species*

*Absidia butleri* has been found in many of the southern states of the U.S. (GILMAN, 1957), and especially on forest soils (HODGES, 1962; MILLER *et al.*, 1957). *A. orchidis* has so far been recorded chiefly from temperate climates (GILMAN, 1957).

*Actinomucor corymbosus* has not been recorded previously as a soil fungus except in Israel (RAYSS & BORUT, 1958).

*Cunninghamella bainieri* has previously been noted as a soil fungus only from Israel (RAYSS & BORUT, 1958; JOFFE, 1963), and *C. blakesleeana* from Somali (SAPPA & MOSCA, 1954a).

*Dicranophora* sp. is here for the first time recorded from Israel. It has not been mentioned in literature as a soil fungus.

*Mortierella isobellina* is known chiefly from the forest soils in the southern U.S. (HODGES, 1962; MILLER *et al.*, 1957). *M. pusilla* has been described only from Europe and Canada (GILMAN, 1957) and in our earlier paper (JOFFE, 1963).

### Ascomycetes

The number of Ascomycetes species in the NPK and control plots (43—44) slightly exceeded that in the NP plots (38), but was markedly higher than that in plots receiving manure and fertilizers (29—34). The number of colonies of this group was appreciably smaller in the plots receiving increased doses of manure than in all other plots.

#### *Dominant species*

*Aspergillus niger* developed far more colonies in the controls than in the NPK and manure-fertilizer plots and this species was particularly infrequent in the NP plots. The preponderance of *A. niger* in the control plots is in complete contrast with our earlier finding (loc. cit.), as on unirrigated crop land we found only a fourth as many isolates of this fungus in control, as compared with manured plots.

As regards *A. ustus*, the differences between control and treatment plots were considerable, especially with regard to the plots with NPK and increased manure, where this species was less frequent. *A. nidulans* was prominent in the plots receiving NPK with the lower dose of manure, but was entirely absent from the controls and this agrees with our earlier work. *A. sulphureus*, on the other hand, was by far the most frequent in the NPK plots, and this was also true for *A. versicolor*. Both these species were rare in,

or absent from, the control plots. The only species of *Aspergillus* markedly more frequent on NP plots than on any of the others was *A. terreus*.

Among the 48 species of *Penicillium*, *P. lilacinum* was by far the most numerous; there was little difference in its frequency in the controls and most of the treated plots, but the highest number of colonies was obtained from the plots receiving NPK with the lower dose of manure. *P. restrictum* was entirely absent from control and NP plots while fairly frequent on others whereas *P. rubrum* was most prominent on the NP plots.

#### *Rare species*

Of the 30 species of *Aspergillus*, *A. echinulatus* and *A. effusus* do not appear in literature as soil fungi; and the following have been recorded only in rare instances:

*A. alliaceus* from India and Texas (GILMAN, 1957) and from Israel (RAYSS & BORUT, 1958); *A. humicola* from India (GILMAN, 1957; DUTTA & GHOSH, 1965); *A. melleus* from Israel (RAYSS & BORUT, 1958; JOFFE 1963); *A. niveus* and *A. sclerotiorum* from Israel (loc. cit.) and India (DUTTA & GHOSH, 1965); *A. quercinus* from Somali (SAPPA & MOSCA, 1954a) and Israel (RAYSS & BORUT, 1958).

*Auxarthron conjugatum* has been mentioned by ORR *et al.* (1963a) from soil in southern U.S.A. The same authors (1963b) record *Gymnoascus corniculatus* from soil in California. *Chaetomium elatum* has not previously been referred to as soil fungus.

*Microascus trigonosporus* has been recorded in Israel (RAYSS & BORUT, 1958); and *Nectria hematococca* in Honduras (GOOS, 1963).

Of the 49 species of *Penicillium*, the following have been recorded rarely: *P. brefeldianum* from Egypt and *P. humuli* from Panama (GILMAN, 1957); *P. citreo-viride* from Georgia (MILLER *et al.*, 1957) and Austria (GILMAN, 1957); *P. lividum* from North America, England and the U.S.S.R. (GILMAN, 1957) and from Australia (McLENNAN & DUCKER, 1952); *P. martensi* from Poland, Wisconsin (GILMAN, 1957), Georgia (MILLER *et al.*, 1957) and Israel (JOFFE, 1963); *P. multicolor* from the southern U.S. (HODGES, 1962), Somali (SAPPA & MOSCA, 1954b) and Israel (RAYSS & BORUT, 1958); *P. ochro-chloron* from Israel (RAYSS & BORUT, 1958); *P. tardum* from Canada (GILMAN, 1957) and India (DUTTA & GHOSH, 1965); and *P. urticae* from Somali (SAPPA & MOSCA, 1954b) and Australia (McLENNAN & DUCKER, 1952).

*Sordaria fimicola* has been recorded in Georgia (MILLER *et al.*, 1957) and Honduras (GOOS, 1963).

#### **Deuteromycetes**

The number of Deuteromycetes species did not differ greatly in the various series of plots, but the number of colonies was much lower in the control than in the plots receiving nutrients. This was due largely to the low number of colonies of *Fusaria* in the controls.

*Dominant species*

By far the most frequent species of the group was *Fusarium solani*; its colonies were more numerous in the plots receiving increased doses of manure than in other treated plots, but fewer in the controls. This prevalence of *F. solani* in irrigated, light citrus soil is in complete contrast with the low numbers of this species found in unirrigated, heavy soil under field crops in Israel (JOFFE, 1963). Other species of *Fusarium* of common occurrence in our samples were *F. equiseti* and *F. oxysporum*, but the effect of nutrient treatments on their frequency was not clear cut.

Of the species of *Trichoderma*, *T. glaucum* was more frequent on NPK and NP plots than on those receiving manure and on the controls. *T. koningi* was most frequent in the controls, while *T. lignorum* was somewhat more frequent in plots receiving NPK with small doses of manure than in all other series. (These plots exhibited the lowest incidence of *F. solani*.)

*Cylindrocarpon radicolola* was considerably more frequent in NPK and NP plots than in those receiving manure or in the control. *Pyrenochaeta decipiens*, previously described from citrus soils in Egypt (SABET, 1935) and another as yet unidentified species of this genus, were most common in plots given NPK and NPK with manure. *Stemphylium consortiale* and *Stysanus stemonites* were both at least twice as frequent in the NPK plots than in any of the others.

*Rare species*

The following species have only rarely been recorded as soil fungi: *Alternaria grisea* in Israel (RAYSS & BORUT, 1958) and Sumatra (GILMAN, 1957).

*Botrytis terrestris* in North America and China (GILMAN, 1957).

*Cylindrocarpon cochinchinense* nowhere as soil fungus, but in Indochina on a plant host (BUGNICOURT, 1939); and *C. curtum* in Israel in the soil (JOFFE, 1963) and in Indochina on another host (BUGNICOURT, 1939).

*Dicoccum asperum* in Canada, Colorado, New Jersey and the U.S.S.R. (GILMAN, 1957) and in India (DUTTA & GHOSH, 1965).

*Epicoccum purpurescens* in Egypt (GILMAN, 1957), Somali (SAPPA & MOSCA, 1954a) and France (MOREAU, 1951).

*Gliocladium atrum* in Canada, Louisiana (GILMAN, 1957) and India (DUTTA & GHOSH, 1965); and *G. fimbriatum* in China and the southern U.S. (GILMAN, 1957).

*Glomastix convoluta* in Canada (GILMAN, 1957), Central America (GOOS, 1960 & 1963), and Georgia (MILLER *et al.*, 1957).

*Gonytrichum macrocladium* in Central America (GOOS, 1963) and Canada (GILMAN, 1957).

*Helminthosporium tetramerum* in Canada and India (GILMAN, 1957).

*Hormiscium stilbosporum* in the northern U.S.S.R. (GILMAN, 1957).



*Hormodendrum resinae* in Austria (GILMAN, 1957) and Israel (RAYSS & BORUT, 1958).

*Monilia grisea* in Switzerland (GILMAN, 1957).

*Neurospora crassa* in Central America (GOOS, 1960).

*Paecilomyces*: three of the four species in our list have nowhere been recorded as soil fungi; *P. flavescens* has been found in forest nursery soil in England (BROWN & SMITH, 1957) and other species of this genus have been isolated from soil by HODGES (1962), MILLER *et al.* (1957), and by KAUFMAN & WILLIAMS (1965).

*Phoma hibernica* in Canada (GILMAN, 1957) and Israel (RAYSS & BORUT, 1958).

*Spicaria simplicissima* in Holland and the U.S. (GILMAN, 1957).

*Stachyobotrys alternans* in Porto Rico (GILMAN, 1957) and the U.S.S.R. (PODOPLICHKA, 1953); *S. lobulata* in Costa Rica, Iowa, Egypt, (GILMAN, 1957) and the U.S.S.R. (PODOPLICHKA, 1953); and *S. subsimplex* in the Ivory Coast (MOREAU, 1951).

Neither *Spondylocladella botrytioides* nor *Stachylidium bicolor* have been recorded as soil fungi.

### Actinomycetes, yeasts and bacteria

In the samples taken in winter (1959, 1960), actinomycetes, yeasts and bacteria were common at 20 cm depth, less so at 50 cm and least common at 5 cm. However, in the samples taken in autumn, no such distinction between samples taken at various depths was apparent, and the organisms occurred at all depths with much greater frequency.

## DISCUSSION

### Species rare or new as soil fungi

Of the 283 species listed in Table I, 75 species (marked in the table) are not listed by GILMAN (1957). These include 6 species of Phycomyces, 21 of Ascomycetes, and 48 of Deuteromycetes. Of the species new or rare as soil fungi, it is of special interest to note that *Rhizopus oryzae* was abundant, and that species of *Paecilomyces* were not only numerous (at least 5) but also fairly common. A fuller description of the new species will be given elsewhere.

### Relationship between species of *Fusarium* and *Trichoderma*

As pointed out in detail elsewhere (JOFFE, 1966), a neat inverse relation is apparent in our results between the numbers of colonies of *Fusarium solani* and *Trichoderma lignorum* present in any one sample. This relation does not apply to other species of *Fusarium* (*F. oxysporum*, *F. equiseti*) and of *Trichoderma* (*T. koningi*, *T. glaucum*).

In view of the large total number of colonies of *T. lignorum* apparent in our list, it may surprise to find that the number of *F.*

*solani* colonies is even much larger. This can be explained on the basis of figures presented in Table III which show that in the absence of *F. solani* the number of colonies of *T. lignorum* averaged less than half as much as the number of colonies of *F. solani* obtained in the absence of *T. lignorum* and that moreover *T. lignorum* was absent in far more samples than *F. solani*.

TABLE III

Quantitative relation between the occurrence of colonies of *Fusarium solani* and *Trichoderma lignorum* in soil samples from a citrus grove in Israel

No. of samples	Mean No. of colonies of	
	<i>F. solani</i>	<i>T. lignorum</i>
54	32.2	0
22	0	14.8

### Effects of fertilizers and manure on some soil fungi

In a limited number of cases the effect of the various fertilizer and manure treatments, or of the lack of nutrients, has been clear-cut.

#### *No nutrients*

In the absence of any fertilization or manuring only two fungi, both species of *Aspergillus*, occurred more commonly in the control plots than in any of the others. This was most evident in the case of *A. niger*, with 229 colonies in the controls as against 51—132 in the treated plots, while with *A. ustus* the differences were smaller. On the other hand, the lack of nutrients had a marked depressing effect on *Rhizopus oryzae* (22 v. 53—127), total *Fusaria* (93 v. 237—455), and *F. solani* (46 v. 155—368), and on *Aspergillus versicolor* (7 v. 30—82).

*NPK with higher dose of manure* yielded markedly higher numbers of colonies than any other treatment in the cases of *Fusarium solani* (368 v. 46—203) and of *Rhizopus oryzae* (127 v. 22—65).

*NP*. In the absence of potassium an increase was apparent in the number of colonies of the three species of *Trichoderma* taken together (109 v. 44—80), and of *Aspergillus terreus* (44 v. 4—24). However, growth of *A. niger* was depressed (51 v. 116—229).

### Fungi associated with citrus and citrus soils in California and Israel

In his study on the fungus flora of some California soils in relation to slow decline of citrus trees, MARTIN (1947) states that the most important groups of fungi from the point of view of numbers and frequency of occurrence were the species of *Penicillium*, *Fusa-*

*rium* and *Aspergillus*, while forms of *Trichoderma*, *Mucor* and *Rhizopus* were less frequently encountered. Our results are very much alike, except for the comparative frequency of colonies of *Trichoderma* and *Rhizopus*, due mainly to the common occurrence of *T. lignorum* and *R. oryzae*, - MARTIN (1947) further mentions as consistently isolated from old citrus soils, a species of *Pyrenochaeta* as well as *Stemphylium piriforme*. The latter was only occasionally found by us, but we found two species of *Pyrenochaeta*, of which *P. decipiens* was fairly common.

In another study, MARTIN (1950) found *Cylindrocarpon radiculicola* to be the cause of citrus seed decay and to infect seedling roots. *Fusarium solani* and *Pyrenochaeta* sp., together, inhibited germination and caused seed decay, and *Aspergillus ochraceus*, *Stemphylium piriforme* and *Thielaviopsis basicola* tended to reduce root growth. This adds significance to the frequency with which we found the first three fungi in our citrus soil. *A. ochraceus* was less common, *S. piriforme* and *Th. basicola* infrequent.

### Comparison of mycoflora in irrigated grove and unirrigated field

A comparison may be of interest between the mycoflora outlined above in an old established, irrigated citrus grove on light soil and that determined in an unirrigated field under a rotation of field crops on heavy soil (JOFFE, 1963). The grove and the field are only a few hundred metres apart at Miqve Israel, the techniques of study were identical, and the number of samples taken was very similar (114 in the grove, 110 in the field). The comparison may therefore bear quantitative as well as qualitative aspects.

As regards the number of fungal species, the grove was found much richer (283 v. 147), containing at least twice as many species of Phycmycetes and Deuteromycetes than the field, and 60 % more Ascomycetes (97 v. 60). However, a different relationship was obtained with regard to certain important genera, with the species of *Penicillium* only slightly more (48 v. 36) and those of *Fusarium* only half as numerous (12 v. 23) in the grove as in the field. All fungal species found in the field as well as in the grove have been marked by an asterisk in Table I. Ten species of Phycmycetes, 39 of Ascomycetes and 41 of Deuteromycetes were found both in the grove and the field.

From a qualitative aspect, the following species that were common in the grove (at least 70 colonies) were entirely absent from the field: *Rhizopus oryzae*, *Cylindrocarpon radiculicola*, *Pyrenochaeta decipiens*, *Stysanus stemonites*, and *Trichoderma glaucum* and 5 species of *Paecilomyces*. Species very rare (1-4 colonies) in the field but common in the grove were *Cephalosporium curtipes*, *C. humicola*, *Hormodendron hordei*, *Myrothecium verrucaria*, *Spicaria violacea*. Of the fungi extremely common (more than 300 colonies) in the grove the following comparative figures with the field may be given:

*Aspergillus niger* 850 vs. 129, *A. ustus* 630 vs. 20, *Penicillium lilacinum* 1340 vs. 72, *Fusarium solani* 1800 vs. 26, *F. oxysporum* 306 vs. 107, *Trichoderma lignorum* 403 vs. 17.

### Summary

A qualitative and quantitative study of the mycoflora has been carried out over 4 years in the central coastal plain of Israel in a grove of Shamouti oranges on plots receiving applications of NPK or NP fertilizers or NPK amended by various amounts of sheep manure. These differential nutrient treatments have been applied to the grove as from 1945.

Of the total of 283 fungal species and 15,578 colonies isolated, Phycomycetes represented 11.1 % of species and 10.7 % of colonies, Ascomycetes 34.8 and 42.2, Deuteromycetes 53.1 and 46.5 and Mycelia sterilia only 1.0 and 0.6.

The dominant species found were *Rhizopus oryzae* (not hitherto known as a prominent soil fungus), *Aspergillus niger*, *A. ustus*, *A. nidulans*, *A. sulphureus*, *A. versicolor*, and *A. terreus*; *Penicillium lilacinum*, *Fusarium solani*, *F. oxysporum* and *F. equiseti*; and *Trichoderma lignorum*, *T. glaucum* and *T. koningi*. The fairly common occurrence of *Cylindrocarpon radicum* and *Pyrenochaeta decipiens* may be of significance in view of the pathogenic potentialities of these species.

The fungi less commonly or rarely recorded in this study include 12 species not previously mentioned in literature as soil fungi, 5 species previously recorded only from Israeli soils, and at least 25 further species of rare occurrence in the soil flora.

An inverse relation has been found to exist between the numbers of colonies of *Fusarium solani* and of *Trichoderma lignorum*.

Lack of nutrients in the soil increased prevalence of *Aspergillus niger* and *A. ustus*, but depressed that of *A. versicolor*, *Rhizopus oryzae* and *Fusarium solani*. The latter two species developed most abundantly on plots supplied with NPK and large doses of sheep manure. Lack of K increased prevalence of three species of *Trichoderma* but depressed that of *A. niger*.

Comparisons are made between the mycoflora of the Israeli grove studied and of citrus groves in California, and between that of the grove and a nearby field of unirrigated field crops.

### Acknowledgements

The writer wishes to express his sincere gratitude to the late Mr. M. WINNIK, founder and long-time director of the Soil Research Institute at Mikve Israel and to Mr. I. YOFFE, manager of the Citrus groves there, for their generous help in the execution of this work.

Dr. H. H. KUEHN kindly identified the species *Gymnoascus corniculatus*, and Dr. S. BORUT identified *Penicillium humuli* and *P. variabile*.

## Literature

- ATKINSON, R. G. 1952. A new species of *Heterosporium* from soil. *Mycologia* **44**: 813—822.
- BLAI, B. J. 1955. The *Fusaria*. Publ. Acad. Sci. Ukr. SSR. Kiev. 320 pp. (in Russian).
- BROWN, A. H. S. & SMITH, G. 1957. The genus *Paecilomyces* Bainier and its perfect stage *Byssochlamys* Westling. *Trans. Brit. Mycol. Soc.* **40**: 17—89.
- BUGNICOURT, F. 1939. Les *Fusarium* et *Cylindrocarpon* de l'Indochine. *Encycl. Mycol.* **XI**, 206 p.
- CUTTER, V. M. 1946. The genus *Cunninghamella* (Mucorales). *Farlowia* **2**: 321—343.
- DINGLEY, J. M. 1951. The Hypocreales of New Zealand. II. The genus *Nectria*. *Trans. Roy. Soc. New Zeal.* **79**, 2: 177—202.
- DUTTA, B. G. & GHOSH, G. R. 1965. Soil from Orissa (India). IV. Soil fungi of paddy fields. *Mycopathol. et Mycol. appl.* **25**, 316—322.
- FITZPATRICK, H. M. 1930. The lower Fungi Phycomycetes. McGraw Hill Co. 331 p.
- GILMAN, J. C. 1957. A manual of the soil fungi. 2nd ed. The Iowa State Coll. Press, Ames, Iowa, 450 p.
- GOOS, R. D. 1960. Soil fungi from Costa Rica and Panama. *Mycologia* **52**: 877—883.
- GOOS, R. D. 1963. Further observations on soil fungi in Honduras. *Mycologia* **55**: 142—150.
- GORDON, W. L. 1952. The occurrence of *Fusarium* species in Canada. II. Prevalence and taxonomy of *Fusarium* species in cereal seed. *Canad. J. Bot.* **38**: 209—251.
- GROVES, J. W. & SKOLKO, A. J. 1944. Notes on seed-borne fungi. I. *Stemphylium*. *Canad. J. Res., C.* **22**: 190—199.
- GROVES, J. W. & SKOLKO, A. J. 1945. Notes on seed-borne fungi II. *Curvularia*. *Canad. J. Res., C.* **23**: 94—104.
- HODGES, CH. S. 1962. Fungus isolated from southern forest tree nursery soils. *Mycologia* **54**: 221—229.
- HUGHES, S. J. 1951. *Stachylidium*, *Gonytrichum*, *Mesobotrya*, *Chaetopsis* and *Chaetopsella*. *Trans. Brit. mycol. Soc.* **34**: 551—576.
- JOFFE, A. Z. 1963. The mycoflora of a continuously cropped soil in Israel, with special reference to effects of manuring and fertilizing. *Mycologia* **55**: 271—282.
- JOFFE, A. Z. 1966. Quantitative relations between some species of *Fusarium* and *Trichoderma* in a citrus grove in Israel. *Soil Science* **102**: 240—243.
- KAUFMAN, D. D. & WILLIAMS, L. E. 1965. Effect of mineral fertilization and soil reaction on soil fungi. *Phytopath.* **54**: 134—139.
- LINDER, D. H. 1934. North American Hyphomycetes. II. New species and a new genus. *Mycologia* **26**: 436—440.
- MARTIN, J. P. 1947. Fungus flora of some California soils in relation to slow decline of citrus trees. *Soil Sci. Soc. of America Proc.* **1947**, **12**: 209—214.
- MARTIN, J. P. 1950. Effect of soil fungi on germination of sweet orange seeds and development of the young seedlings. *Soil Sci. Soc. of America Proc.* **1949**, **14**: 184—188.
- MCLENNAN, E. I. & DUCKER, C. 1952. The description and distribution of the species of *Penicillium* Link in some Victorian soils. *Proc Roy. Soc. Victoria* **64**: 1—71.
- MILLER, J. H., GIDDENS, J. E. & FOSTER, A. A. 1957. A survey of the fungi of forest and cultivated soils of Georgia. *Mycologia* **49**: 779—808.
- MOREAU, CL. & M. 1951. Sur quelques Hyphomycètes. *Bull. Soc. Linn. Normand.*, 9 sér., **6**: 71—82.
- NAUMOV, N. A. 1939. Clés des Mucorinées. Paul Lechevalier, Paris. 137 p.
- OMVIK, AAASA. 1955. Two new species of *Chaetomium* and one new *Humicola* species. *Mycologia* **47**: 748—757.
- ORR, G. F., KUEHN, H. E. & PLUNKETT, O. A. 1963a. A new genus of the Gymnoascaceae with swollen peridial septa. *Canad. J. Bot.* **41**: 1439—1456.
- ORR, G. F., KUEHN, H. H. & PLUNKETT, O. A. 1963b. The genus *Gymnoascus* Baranetzky. *Mycopathol. et Mycol. appl.* **21**: 1—18.
- PIDOPLICHKA, N. M. 1953. The mycoflora of rough fodder. Publ. Acad. Sci. Ukr. USSR, 486 p. (in Russian).
- RAPER, K. B. & C. THOM. 1949. Manual of the *Penicillia*. The Williams and Wilkins

- Company, Baltimore, 875 pp.
- RAYSS, T. & BORUT, S. 1958. Contribution to the knowledge of soil fungi in Israel. *Mycopathol. et Mycol. appl.* **10**: 142—174.
- SABET, Y. S. 1935. A preliminary study of the Egyptian soil fungi. *Egypt. Univ. Bull. Fac. Sci.*, **5**: 1—29.
- SAKSENA, S. B. 1955. A new species of *Cephalosporium*. *Mycologia* **47**: 895—898.
- SAPPA, F. & MOSCA, A. M. 1954a. Ricerche sulla micoflora dei terreni forestali Somali. *Allonia* **2**: 145—153.
- SAPPA, F. & MOSCA, A. M. 1954b. Ricerche sulla micoflora dei terreni della savanna spinosa Somala. *Allonia* **2**: 195—238.
- SCHOL-SCHWARZ, M. B. 1959. The genus *Epicoccum* Link. *Trans. Brit. mycol. Soc.* **42**: 149—173.
- SKOLKO, A. J. & GROVES, J. W. 1948. Notes on Seed-borne Fungi. V. *Chaetomium* species with dichotomously branched hairs. *Canad. J. Res. C.* **26**: 269—280.
- SKOLKO, A. J. & GROVES, J. W. 1953. Notes on Seed-borne Fungi. VII. *Chaetomium*. *Canad. J. Bot.* **31**: 779—809.
- SNYDER, W. C. & H. W. HANSEN 1940. The species concept in *Fusarium*. *Amer. J. Bot.* **27**: 64—67.
- SNYDER, W. C. & HANSEN, H. W. 1941. The species concept in *Fusarium* with reference to Section *Martiella*. *Amer. J. Bot.* **28**: 738—742.
- THOM, C. & RAPER, K. B. 1939. The *Aspergillus nidulans* group. *Mycologia* **31**: 653—669.
- THOM, C. & RAPER, K. B. 1945. *A Manual of the Aspergilli*. The Williams and Wilkins Co., Baltimore, 373 pp.