# Pharmacological investigation of acute cellular accumulation in immunological air pouch inflammation

P. Hambleton and P. Miller

Roussel Laboratories Limited, Kingfisher Drive, Covingham, Swindon, Wilts. SN3 5BZ, UK

## Abstract

Immunologically-mediated cellular accumulation was measured 24 hours after antigenic challenge using a rat subcutaneous air pouch model. This response was inhibited by treatment with prednisolone, colchicine, anti-thymocyte serum and systemic antigen. In contrast, administration of a range of other pharmacological and clinically active agents had little effect. The profile of inhibitory activity suggested that this response was mainly due to delayed type hypersensitivity with little anaphylactic or Arthus-type component.

# Introduction

Immunologically-mediated accumulation of inflammatory cells has been demonstrated in animal models at several inflammatory sites, including the guinea pig, rat and mouse pleural cavity [1-7], rat skin [8-10], and the rat subcutaneous air pouch [11–14]. However, pharmacological investigations of this type of response have been relatively few and often limited to small numbers of agents. Furthermore, differences in methodologies, dosing schedules and end-points have made comparison of these data somewhat difficult. The present studies were intended to investigate the actions of an extensive range of pharmacological and clinically active agents on the effector arm of acute cellular accumulation in the same model, namely immunological inflammation in the rat subcutaneous air pouch [15].

## Materials and methods

## Animals

Male CFHB rats (Interfauna) weighing 130–150 g were used throughout these experiments in groups

of 5-6 and were housed on wood shavings in plastic cages with free access to food and water.

## Induction of immunological air pouch inflammation

The sensitisation and induction of immunological air pouch inflammation were carried out as described previously [15]. Methylated bovine serum albumen (MBSA, Sigma) was dissolved in saline (10 mg/ml) and emulsified with an equal volume of Freund's complete adjuvant (FCA, Difco). Rats were sensitised by subcutaneous injection of 0.4 ml emulsion in the base of tail (2 mg MBSA per rat). Eight days later, 20 ml of air was injected s.c. to form an oval air pouch on the dorsal surface which was reflated with 10 ml of air 2–4 days later. Fourteen days after sensitisation, rats were challenged into the six day old air pouch with 2 mg of heat aggregated (1 hour at 70 °C) MBSA suspended in 1 ml of saline.

# Measurement of cellular accumulation

Twenty-four hours after challenge the rats were sacrificed and the pouch contents were harvested

#### Table 1

Effect of various agents on 24 hour cellular accumulation in the immunologically inflamed rat subcutaneous air pouch.

Treatment	Dose (mg/kg)	Route	Total cells ( $\times 10^6$ ) (mean $\pm$ SEM)	n	Percentage change
Control Disodium cromoglycate (DSCG) <sup>a</sup>	10	i.v.	$39.1 \pm 5.0$ $38.6 \pm 3.7$	8 6	- 1
Control Cyproheptadine hydrochloride	2× 10	p.o.	$62.2 \pm 11.6$ 74.1 ± 12.1	8 7	+19
Control Cimetidine	$2 \times 100$	p.o.	$59.6 \pm 4.8$ $49.6 \pm 7.6$	8 6	-17
Control Bromelain	10	i.v.	$51.2 \pm 10.0$ $46.1 \pm 6.4$	7 6	-10
Control Cobra venom factor (CVF) <sup>b</sup>	_	i.p.	$46.0 \pm 10.2$ $40.7 \pm 4.5$	7 6	-12
Control Aprotinin <sup>°</sup>	-	i.v.	$65.7 \pm 9.0$ $54.5 \pm 8.6$	10 7	-17
Control Indomethacin	2× 5	p.o.	$39.6 \pm 3.6$ $29.3 \pm 3.3$	8 6	26
BW755C Prednisolone	$\begin{array}{ccc} 2 \times & 50 \\ 2 \times & 5 \end{array}$	p.o. p.o.	$32.3 \pm 6.2$ $18.9 \pm 2.5$	6 6	-18 - 52 **
Control Colchicine	1	i.v.	$51.2 \pm 10.0$ $19.0 \pm 4.1$	7 6	-63*
Control Anti-thymocyte serum (ATS) <sup>d</sup>	_	i.v.	$44.0 \pm 7.8$ $15.3 \pm 1.1$	7 7	65 **
Control MBSA	10	i.v.	$\begin{array}{rrr} 48.2 \pm & 3.5 \\ 26.7 \pm & 1.7 \end{array}$	8 5	-45**
Control Azathioprine Cyclophosphamide monohydrate	$2 \times 100$ $2 \times 50$	p.o. p.o.	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 47.8 \pm & 7.7 \\ 37.7 \pm & 4.8 \\ 37.7 \pm & 4.9 \end{array}$	9 6 6	-21 -21
Control Cyclosporine	2× 50	p.o.	$39.6 \pm 3.6$ $30.4 \pm 7.6$	8 6	-23
Control Hydroxychloroquine sulphate	$2 \times 100$	p.o.	$47.8 \pm 7.7$ $52.5 \pm 16.8$	9 6	+10
Control Levamisole hydrochloride	2× 50	p.o.	$62.2 \pm 11.6$ $78.1 \pm 12.8$	8 7	+26
Control Penicillamine	$2 \times 100$	p.o.	$\begin{array}{r} 59.6 \pm & 4.8 \\ 88.2 \pm 16.5 \end{array}$	8 6	+48

Agents were administered 30 minutes before challenge or at -30 minutes and +6 hours.

<sup>a</sup> Immediately before challenge; <sup>b</sup> 100 units/kg as two doses 6 hours apart on the day before challenge; <sup>c</sup>  $2 \times 500\,000$  units/kg; <sup>d</sup> 10 ml kg as two doses 6 hours apart on the day before challenge; *n* number of rats per group.

\* p < 0.05; \*\* p < 0.005 (Student's *t*-test).

as described previously [15]. Total leukocytes were counted using an automatic cell counter and expressed as cells per rat. In some experiments, differential counts were performed microscopically using Turk's stain.

### Drug treatments

All agents were obtained from Sigma except cobra venom factor (CVF, Cordis), aprotinin (Bayer), BW775C (Roussel), prednisolone (Roussel), azathioprine (Wellcome), cyclophosphamide monohydrate (Koch Light), cyclosporine (Sandoz), hydroxychloroquine sulphate (Winthrop), levamisole hydrochloride (Aldrich), penicillamine (Dista). Rabbit anti-rat thymocyte serum (ATS) was prepared as described elsewhere [16]. Drugs were administered as indicated either p.o. dissolved or suspended in vehicle (5% acacia, 0.01% Tween 80 in tap water), or i.p. and i.v. dissolved in saline at a dose volume of 10 ml/kg. The doses are expressed as the salt.

## Statistical analysis

The mean  $\pm$ SEM was calcuated for groups of 5–10 rats and comparisons were made using Students *t*-test. Results are expressed as percentage change compared to the appropriate control group.

# Results

The results shown in Table 1 are a combination of data obtained from ten separate experiments in which the control 24 hour cell response was  $39-66 \times 10^6$  cells which were predominantly neutrophils (>90%). Negligible exudate formation was observed as reported previously [15].

The anti-allergic agent disodium cromoglycate (DSCG), and cyproheptadine and cimetidine (anti-histamine/serotonin agents) had little effect on cellular accumulation. Similarly, kininogen depletion with bromelain, decomplementation with cobra venom factor (CVF), and the protease inhibitor aprotinin were also without effect. The cyclooxygenase inhibitor indomethacin and the dual inhibitor of cyclooxygenase and lipoxygenase BW755C had little effect on the cell response whereas the corticosteroid prednisolone gave profound inhibition. Intravenous administration of colchicine, anti-rat thymocyte serum (ATS), and specific antigen also gave marked inhibition. The clinically active immunosuppressants azathioprine, cyclophosphamide and cyclosporine, and the "disease modifying" anti-arthritic agents hydroxychloroquine, levamisole and penicillamine had no significant effect, although some potentiation was observed for penicillamine.

## Discussion

The present study was an attempt to elucidate some of the mechanisms of acute cellular accumulation in a model of immunological inflammation in the rat subcutaneous air pouch. The mediation of immune-based cell accumulation is complex and may represent a mixed response involving elements of mast cell-dependent anaphylaxis/latephase responses, antibody-dependent Arthus-type mechanisms, and lymphocyte-dependent delayed type hypersensitivity (DTH). Indeed, characteristics resembling all these types of reaction have been reported in different versions of rat air pouch inflammation ]12-14]. Our previous publication described the time course characteristics of the present model in detail and revealed a delayed onset inflammatory response of long duration which lead us to initially suspect a DTH-dominated aetiology [15].

Mast cell products have been reported to influence DTH [17] and in particular cell accumulation in a model of allergic air pouch inflammation [14]. The present data failed to indicate a role for mast cell products in 24 hour cellular accumulation since the anti-allergic agent DSCG and histamine/serotonin receptor antagonism with cyproheptadine and cimetidine had little effect. However, actions on earlier vascular and cell events as reported elsewhere [14] cannot be discounted in the present study. Similarly, kininogen depletion with bromelain [18] had no effect suggesting no important role for kinins in this response. Complement depletion with cobra venom factor and protease inhibition with aprotinin have been reported to inhibit the neutrophil-dependent reversed passive Arthus (RPA) reaction [10, 19] but these measures were found to be without effect in our model suggesting an absence of this component. Also, indomethacin and BW755C had little effect indicating a lack of eicosanoid involvement. These agents have previously been shown to inhibit 24 hour irritantinduced leukocyte accumulation in the rat given either as a single dose in the carrageenin pleurisy model [20], the carrageenin air pouch model [21] and in the saline-sponge model [22], or as two doses in the carrageenin-sponge model [23].

The glucocorticoid prednisolone profoundly inhibited the cell response in agreement with the literature [11], but the lack of effect of indomethacin and BW755C suggested that it probably exerts its inhibitory activity in this situation via phospholipase A2 - independent mechanisms as suggested elsewhere [24]. The inhibitory action of colchicine on most forms of acute cellular accumulation was confirmed and supports previous reports using other models [25]. The marked inhibitory activity of ATS provided direct evidence of lymphocytic mediation and supported previous observations [16]. One common criticism of the use of antisera of this type is the possibility of non-specific antiinflammatory activity via complement depletion [26]. However, the lack of effect of cobra venom factor and the reported short duration of antiserum-mediated complement depletion [26] made

this unlikely. Administration of specific antigen to systemically pre-empt immune effector mechanisms also gave profound inhibition of the cell response. This was not associated with signs of systemic toxicity as would have been expected in rats sensitised for anaphylactic or Arthus reactions and may be similar to the desensitisation response reported in guinea pigs following systemic challenge of DTH-sensitised animals [27].

The clinically active immunosuppressants, azathioprine, cyclophosphamide, and cyclosporine have been poorly studied in models of immunological cellular accumulation using dosing schedules comparable to that used in the present study. Azathioprine has been reported to have no effect on the rat pleural RPA cell response [5] and also had no effect in this study. Cyclosporine had little effect and has similarly been reported to be inactive in a mouse pleural DTH model despite inhibition of exudate formation [7].

The clinically active "disease modifying" antiarthritis agents have been the subject of a small number of relevant studies. Hydroxychloroquine had little effect on cellular accumulation in agreement with previous reports for chloroquine using the rat and guinea pig pleural cavity [2, 5]. Levamisole had little effect in the present study and has also been reported to have no effect in rat RPA antigen-induced pleurisy [4, 5] although in two studies potentiation of the cell response was observed [3, 8]. Penicillamine also had no effect in the rat RPA reaction and gave potentiation elsewhere [3, 8] and in the present report. However, striking "bell-shaped" dose response relationships have been reported for levamisole and penicillamine making comparison with the present data tentative [8].

In general, drug studies on acute immunological cellular accumulation have often provided inconsistent data for numerous possible reasons. These include the obvious methodological differences in species, drug dose levels and dosing schedules, plus other considerations such as the influence of nonspecific and mixed immune reactions [3, 8] antigen/ antibody ratios [6] and method of assessment of the cell response. This latter point may be of particular importance since at pleural and air pouch sites only extravascular cells are harvested, whereas at dermal sites using radiolabelled cell [8] or marker enzyme methods [9, 10] cells at several stages of the extravasation process may be count-

ed. One additional consideration involves the use of animals sensitised using FCA since we and others [15, 28, 29] have observed an apparent FCA-dependent increased inflammatory potential and thus it is possible that some modification of expected drug actions may also occur.

In conclusion, the profile of drug actions reported in the present study suggests that DTH forms the major mechanism of cellular accumulation in this model and supports our previous observations [15]. However other putative mediators of cellular accumulation such as platelet activating factor and interleukin-1 were not investigated in the present study due to the lack of availability of suitable manipulative agents. Indeed, these mediators have been detected in models of this type and their possible role can thus not be discounted [30, 31].

Received 24 August 1988; accepted 2 November 1988

## References

- S. Leibowitz, L. Kennedy and M. H. Lessoff, The tuberculin reaction in the pleural cavity and its suppression by antilymphocyte serum. Br. J. exp. Path. 54, 152-162 (1973).
- [2] A. Blackham and A. M. Woods, The effect of some antirheumatic agents on tuberculin pleurisy in the guinea pig. Br. J. Pharmacol. 66, 447P (1979).
- [3] P. A. Dieppe, D. A. Willoughby, E. C. Huskisson and E. Arrigoni-Martelli, *Pertussis vaccine pleurisy: a model of delayed hypersensitivity*. Agents and Actions 6, 618-621 (1976).
- [4] H. Satoh, K. Shimomura, S. Mukumoto, K. Ohara and J. Mori, *Effects of anti-inflammatory drugs in triple vaccine-induced pleurisy in rats.* Jap. J. Pharmacol. 32, 909-919 (1982).
- [5] R. J. Smith, S. S. Iden and N. A. Rohloff, A model of Arthus pleurisy: Modulation by various pharmacologic and therapeutic agents. Clin. Immunol. Immunopath. 26, 24-34 (1983).
- [6] J. W. Berkenkopf and B. M. Wekhman, Differential effects of anti-inflammatory drugs on fluid accumulation and cellular infiltration in reverse passive Arthus pleurisy and carrageenan pleurisy in rats. Pharmacology 34, 309-325 (1987).
- [7] C. J. Dunn and S. K. Miller, The effects of cyclosporin A on leukocyte infiltration and procoagulant activity in the mouse delayed hypersensitivity response in vivo. Int. J. Immunopharm. 8, 635-643 (1986).
- [8] E. Bramm, L. Binderup and E. Arrigoni-Martelli, Delayed Hypersensitivity to Tuberculin in Rats: Effects of Antirheumatic Drugs. Acta pharmacol et toxicol. 44, 75-80 (1979).
- [9] P. J. Bailey and A. Sturm, *Immune complexes and inflamma*tion. Biochem. Pharmacol. 32, 475–481 (1983).
- [10] D. S. Fletcher, D. Osinga and R. J. Bonney. Role of polymorphonuclear leukocytes in connective tissue breakdown during the reverse passive Arthus reaction. Biochem. Pharmacol. 35, 2601-2606 (1986).

Agents and Actions, vol. 28, 1/2 (1989)

- [11] K. Ohuchi, M. Watanabe and L. Levine, Arachidonate metabolites in acute and chronic allergic air pouch inflammation in rats and the anti-inflammatory effects of indomethacin and dexamethasone. Int. Archs. Allergy appl. Immunol. 75, 157-163 (1984).
- [12] Y. M. Sin, A. D. Sedgwick and D. A. Willoughby, *Immune inflammation in newly developing facsimile synovia*. Int. Archs Allergy appl. Immunol. 73, 286-287 (1984).
- [13] A. D. Sedgwick, A. R. Moore, A. Y. Al-Duaij, J. C. W. Edwards and D. A. Willoughby, *The immune response to pertussis in the 6 day air pouch: a model of chronic synovitis.* Br. J. exp. Path. 66, 455-464 (1985).
- [14] N. Hirasawa, K. Ohuchi, M. Watanabe and S. Tsurufuji, Role of endogenous histamine in postanaphylactic phase of allergic inflammation in rats. J. Pharm. Exp. Ther. 241, 967-973 (1987).
- [15] P. Hambleton and P. Miller, Studies on immunological air pouch inflammation in the rat. Int. Archs Allergy appl. Immunol., 87, 70-75 (1988).
- [16] L. Mjornstedt, M. Olaussen, L. Hedman, L. Lindholm and H. Brynger, *Induction of long-term heart allograft survival in the rat by rabbit ATG*. Int. Archs Allergy appl. immunol. 74, 193–199 (1984).
- [17] S. J. Galli and A. M. Dvorak, What do mast cells have to do with delayed hypersensity? Lab. Invest. 50, 365-368 (1984).
- [18] M. Katori, K. Ikeda, Y. Harada, Y. Uchida, K. Tanaka and S. Ohichi, A possible role of prostaglandins and bradykinin as a trigger of exudation in carrageenin-induced rat pleurisy. Agents and Actions 8, 108-112 (1978).
- [19] C. G. Cochrane, The role of complement in experimental disease models. Springer Semin. Immunopathol. 7, 263-270 (1984).
- [20] K. Miyasaka and T. Mikami, Comparison of the antiinflammatory effects of dexamethasone, indomethacin and BW 755C on carrageenin-induced pleurisy in rats. Biochem. Pharmacol. 77, 229-236 (1982).
- [21] E. McCall and L. J. F. Youlten, The effect of indomethacin and depletion of complement on cell migration and prostaglan-

din levels in carrageenin-induced air bleb inflammation. Br. J. Pharmacol. 52, 452P (1974).

- [22] A. B. Doyle, P. Hambleton, P. Miller and F. Parker, Modulation of cell accumulation and inflammatory enzyme release in implanted sponges. Br. J. Pharmacol. 79, 350P (1983).
- [23] G. A. Higgs, K. G. Mugridge, S. Moncada and J. R. Vane, Inhibition of tissue damage by the arachidonate lipoxygenase inhibitor BW755C. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 81, 2890-2892 (1984).
- [24] M. DiRosa, A. Calignano, R. Carnuccio, A. Ialenti and L. Sautebin, *Multiple control of inflammation by glucocorticoids*. Agents and Actions 17, 284-289 (1985).
- [25] G. A. Higgs, S. Moncada, J. A. Salmon and K. Seager, *The source of thromboxane and prostaglandins in experimental inflammation*. Br. J. Pharmacol. 39, 863-868 (1983).
- [26] D. A. Willoughby, E. Coote and J. L. Turk, Complement in acute inflammation. J. Path. 97, 295-305 (1969).
- [27] T. Yoshida, Lymphokines mediate and regulate in vivo delayed hypersensitivity reactions. Adv. Immunopharmacol. 2, 609-614 (1983).
- [28] F. B. de Brito, A. R. Moore, S. Adhya, A. Y. Al-Duaij and D. A. Willoughby, *Adjuvant polyarthritis and the response of air pouch lining cells*. Int. J. Tiss. React. 8, 289-294 (1986).
- [29] W. J. Johnson, K. A. Muirhead, P. C. Meunier, B. J. Votta, T. C. Schmidt, M. J. DiMartino and N. Hanna, *Macrophage* activation in rat models of inflammation and arthritis. Arthritis Rheum. 28, 1122-1130 (1986).
- [30] M. Watanabe, K. Ohuchi, B. Sugidachi, N. Hirasawa, Y. Hayashi and S. Tsurufuji, *Platelet-activating factor in the inflammatory exudate in the anaphylactic phase of allergic inflammation in rats.* Int. Archs Allergy appl. Immun. 84, 396-403 (1987).
- [31] A. D. Sedgwick, R. E. Hooke, P. Lees and S. A. May, Modulation of lymphocyte activating factor activity (interleukin 1 like activity) and acute phase proteins in pertussisinduced air pouch inflammation by muramyl dipeptide. Agents and Actions 21, 113-120 (1987).