

GENERALIZED RAMANUJAN'S SUM

by

J. CHIDAMBARASWAMY (Toledo)

Introduction

Let V_t be the set of all ordered t -tuples of integers $X = \{x_i\}_{i=1}^t$, called integral t -vectors or simply t -vectors. Two t -vectors $X = \{x_i\}_{i=1}^t$ and $Y = \{y_i\}_{i=1}^t$ are said to be congruent modulo the positive integer r if $x_i = y_i \pmod{r}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$. Any set of r^t t -vectors no two of which are congruent modulo r is called a complete residue system of t -vectors mod r . A t -vector $X = \{x_i\}_{i=1}^t$ is called k -prime to r if $((x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t), r)_k = 1$; here by $(a, b, \dots, e)_k$ we mean the largest k th power common divisor of a, b, \dots, e and $(a, b, \dots, e)_1 = (a, b, \dots, e)$ with the convention $(0, 0, \dots, 0)_k = 0$. The set of all t -vectors in a complete residue system of t -vectors mod r which are k -prime to r is called a k -reduced residue system of t -vectors mod r .

With this terminology, RAMANUJAN's sum $C(n, r)$ is (see [12])

$$(1.1) \quad C(n, r) = \sum_x e(nx, r), \quad e(a, b) = \exp 2\pi ai/b;$$

and E. COHEN's generalized Ramanujan's sum (see [3]) is

$$(1.2) \quad C^{(k)}(n, r) = \sum_x e(nx, r^k)$$

where the sum in (1.1) is extended over a 1-reduced residue system of 1-vectors, i.e., a reduced residue system mod r , while the sum in (1.2) is extended over a k -reduced residue system of 1-vectors mod r^k . In [7], he obtained another generalization

$$(1.3) \quad C_k(n, r) = \sum_X e(n(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_k), r),$$

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 10A 20.

Key words and phrases. Ramanujan's sum, multiplicative and completely multiplicative functions, Möbius function, Hölder identity, k -even function of $n \pmod{r}$, trigonometric sum.

the sum now being extended over a 1-reduced residue system of k -vectors mod r . In [14] M. SUGUNAMMA further generalized (1.2) and (1.3), by combining them, as

$$(1.4) \quad C_k^{(s)}(n, r) = \sum_X e(n(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_k), r^s)$$

where the sum is extended over a s -reduced residue system of k -vectors mod r^s .

More recently, C. S. VENKATARAMAN and R. SIVARAMAKRISHNAN [15] obtained an entirely different extension of (1.1) based on a new generalization $\mu_u(r)$ of the Möbius function $\mu(r)$, defined as

$$(1.5) \quad \mu_u(r) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } r \text{ is not square free} \\ e(w(r), 2u) & \text{if } r \text{ is square free,} \end{cases}$$

where $w(r)$ is the number of distinct prime factors of r . Clearly, $\mu_1(r) = \mu(r)$ and their extended Ramanujan's sum is (with a slight change of symbolism)

$$(1.6) \quad C^{\mu_u}(n, r) = \sum_{d|(n,r)} \mu_u \left(\frac{r}{d} \right) d.$$

The purpose of this paper is to define and study a much more general Ramanujan's sum which we denote by $C_{f,t}^{k,\eta}(n, r)$; here $f = f(x)$ is a polynomial of positive degree with integer coefficients, $\eta = \eta(r)$ is a multiplicative function of r , and k and t are positive integers. This sum includes as special cases when $f(x) = x$ and special values of k and t and special choice of $\eta(r)$ all the generalizations of Ramanujan's sum mentioned before. As are the special cases, $C_{f,t}^{k,\eta}(n, r)$ is multiplicative in both variables n and r and also as a function of r . It is a k -even function of $n \pmod{r}$ (see § 2) and the generalized Hölder identity holds (Theorem 3.1). Also it can be expressed as a trigonometric sum (Theorem 4.1). Specifically we extend all the results in [15] for $C^{\mu_u}(n, r)$ and the identities (3) through (13) and (16) of [9] involving $C^{(k)}(n, r)$ which identities are due to C. S. Venkataraman for $k = 1$, to $C_{f,t}^{k,\eta}(n, r)$. For the generalization of Ramanujan's sum to ordered structures we refer to the work of SCHEID [13] and McDONALD [11].

§ 1. Preliminaries

We recall that an arithmetical function $a(r)$ is called multiplicative if $a(rs) = a(r)a(s)$ whenever $(r, s) = 1$, and is called completely multiplicative if $a(rs) = a(r)a(s)$ holds for all r and s . Let $N_f(r)$ denote the number of incongruent solutions (mod r) of

$$(1.7) \quad f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{r}.$$

It is well known that $N_f(r)$ is a multiplicative function of r . We denote by $I(r)$ the function $I(r) = 1$ for all r . Given the integer coefficient polynomial $f = f(x)$ of positive degree, the multiplicative arithmetical function $\eta(r)$, and the positive integers k and t , let the functions $\mu_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(r)$ and $\varphi_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(r)$ be defined by

$$(1.8) \quad \mu_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(r) = \mu(r) \eta(r) N_f^t(r^k),$$

$$(1.9) \quad \varphi_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(r) = r^{kt} \prod_{p|r} \left\{ 1 - \frac{N_f^t(p^k) \eta(p)}{p^{kt}} \right\} = \sum_{d|r} \mu_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(d) \left(\frac{r}{d} \right)^{kt},$$

where $N_f^t(r) = (N_f(r))^t$. In fact, $\varphi_{f,i}^{k,I}(r) = \Phi_{f,i}^{(k)}(r^k)$, where $\Phi_{f,i}^{(k)}(r)$ is the generalized totient function defined in [2] as the number of vectors in a complete residue system of t -vectors mod r which are k -prime to r with respect to the polynomial f , a vector $X = \{x_i\}_{i=1}^t$ being called k -prime to r with respect to the polynomial f if $((f(x_1), f(x_2), \dots, f(x_t)), r)_k = 1$. Clearly $\mu_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(r)$ and $\varphi_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(r)$ are multiplicative functions of r . Let $M_{f,i}^{(k)}(r)$ and $M_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(r)$ be defined by

$$(1.10) \quad M_{f,i}^{(k)}(r) \begin{cases} = 1, & \text{for } r = 1, \\ = \prod_{p^\alpha || r} N_f^t(p^k), & \text{for } r > 1; \end{cases}$$

and

$$(1.11) \quad M_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(r) = M_{f,i}^{(k)}(r) \eta(r),$$

where in (1.10) the symbol $p^\alpha || r$ means that p^α is the highest power of p dividing r . It is clear that $M_{f,i}^{(k)}(r)$ is a multiplicative function of r and so is $M_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(r)$ since $\eta(r)$ is. We need also the functions

$$(1.12) \quad \eta_u(r) = \mu(r) \mu_u(r)$$

$$(1.13) \quad a_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(n, r) = \sum_{d^k | (n, r^k)_k} \mu_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(d) \left(\frac{r}{d} \right)^{k(t-1)}$$

$$(1.14) \quad A_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(r) = a_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(0, r) = \sum_{d|r} \mu_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(d) \left(\frac{r}{d} \right)^{k(t-1)}$$

It is well known that

$$(1.15) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{(i)} \quad C(n, r) = \sum_{d|(n, r)} \mu \left(\frac{r}{d} \right) d, \\ \text{(ii)} \quad C^{(k)}(n, r) = \sum_{d^k | (n, r^k)_k} \mu \left(\frac{r}{d} \right) d^k, \\ \text{(iii)} \quad C_k(n, r) = \sum_{d|(n, r)} \mu \left(\frac{r}{d} \right) d^k, \\ \text{(iv)} \quad C_k^{(s)}(n, r) = \sum_{d^s | (n, r^s)_s} \mu \left(\frac{r}{d} \right) d^{ks}. \end{array} \right.$$

We shall also need $\varphi_k(r)$ which is the number of integers in a k -reduced residue system mod r^k . It is well known that

$$(1.16) \quad \varphi_k(r) = r^k \sum_{d|r} \frac{\mu(d)}{d^k} = r^k \prod_{p|r} \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{p^k} \right\}.$$

As usual $\sigma_k(r)$ and $\tau(r)$ denote respectively the sum of the k th powers of the divisors of r and the number of divisors of r . In the following the results referred to before the statement of a theorem are the special cases of the earlier extensions mentioned before of $C(n, r)$, of part of or the whole of that theorem.

§ 2.

We define the generalized Ramanujan's sum by

$$(2.1) \quad C_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(n, r) = \sum_{d^k | (n, r^k)_k} d^{kt} \mu_{f,i}^{k,\eta} \left(\frac{r}{d} \right).$$

Clearly, by (1.15), (1.6), (1.12), (1.8) and (1.9)

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & C_{x,1}^{1,I}(n, r) = C(n, r), \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & C_{x,1}^{k,I}(n, r) = C^{(k)}(n, r), \\ \text{(iii)} \quad & C_{x,k}^{1,I}(n, r) = C_k(n, r), \\ \text{(iv)} \quad & C_{x,k}^{s,I}(n, r) = C_k^{(s)}(n, r), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\text{(v)} \quad C_{x,1}^{1,\eta u}(n, r) = C^{\mu u}(n, r),$$

and as in the special cases $C_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(n, r)$ is a k -even function of $n \pmod r$ [10]; i.e.,

$$(2.3) \quad C_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(n, r) = C_{f,i}^{k,\eta}((n, r^k)_k, r),$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad C_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(n, r) = \varphi_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(r), \quad \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{r^k},$$

$$(2.5) \quad C_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(1, r) = \mu_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(r).$$

We recall that an arithmetical function $S(n, r)$ of the variables n and r is called multiplicative in both n and r [1] if $(n_1, n_2) = (r_1, r_2) = (n_1, r_2) = (n_2, r_1) = 1$ implies that $S(n_1 n_2, r_1 r_2) = S(n_1, r_1) S(n_2, r_2)$, and that such a function is completely determined by the values $S(p^\alpha, p^\beta)$, p a prime and $\alpha \geq 0, \beta \geq 0$.

LEMMA 2.1. *If the arithmetical functions $g(r)$ and $h(r)$ are multiplicative, then*

$$S^{(k)}(n, r) = \sum_{d^k | (n, r)_k} g(d) h \left(\frac{r}{d} \right)$$

is

- (i) *multiplicative in both n and r ,*
- (ii) *multiplicative as a function of r .*

PROOF. If $(n_1, n_2) = (r_1, r_2) = (n_1, r_2) = (n_2, r_1) = 1$, it is easily seen that

$$(n_1 n_2, r_1^k r_2^k)_k = (n_1, r_1^k)_k (n_2, r_2^k)_k, \quad ((n_1, r_1^k)_k, (n_2, r_2^k)_k) = 1;$$

and so,

$$\begin{aligned} S^{(k)}(n_1 n_2, r_1 r_2) &= \sum_{d^k | (n_1 n_2, r_1 r_2)_k} g(d) h \left(\frac{r_1 r_2}{d} \right) = \\ &= \sum_{d_1^k | (n_1, r_1)_k; d_2^k | (n_2, r_2)_k} g(d_1) g(d_2) h \left(\frac{r_1}{d_1} \right) h \left(\frac{r_2}{d_2} \right) = \\ &= \sum_{d_1^k | (n_1, r_1)_k} g(d_1) h \left(\frac{r_1}{d_1} \right) \sum_{d_2^k | (n_2, r_2)_k} g(d_2) h \left(\frac{r_2}{d_2} \right) = S^{(k)}(n_1, r_1) S^{(k)}(n_2, r_2), \end{aligned}$$

giving (i).

If $(r_1, r_2) = 1$, $(n, r_1^k r_2^k)_k = (n, r_1^k)_k (n, r_2^k)_k$, $((n, r_1^k)_k, (n, r_2^k)_k) = 1$; using the fact that $S^{(k)}(n, r)$ is k -even mod r and (i) of this lemma,

$$\begin{aligned} S^{(k)}(n, r_1 r_2) &= S^{(k)}((n_1, r_1^k)_k (n, r_2^k)_k, r_1 r_2) = \\ &= S^{(k)}((n, r_1^k)_k, r_1) S^{(k)}((n, r_2^k)_k, r_2) = S^{(k)}(n, r_1) S^{(k)}(n, r_2). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.1 and (2.1) give

THEOREM 2.1 (Theorem 1, [3]; Theorem 3, [14]; (3.2), (3.4) of [15]).

- (i) $C_{f, i}^{k, \eta}(n, r)$ is multiplicative in both n and r .
- (ii) $C_{f, i}^{k, \eta}(n, r)$ is multiplicative as a function of r .

THEOREM 2.2 (Theorem 3, [3]). *For the prime p*

$$C_{f, i}^{k, \eta}(p^\alpha, p^\beta) \begin{cases} = 1, & \text{if } \beta = 0, \\ = p^{\beta k t} - p^{(\beta-1)k t} \eta(p) N_f^t(p^k), & \text{if } \alpha \geq \beta k \geq k; \\ = -p^{(\beta-1)k t} \eta(p) N_f^t(p^k), & \text{if } 0 \leq (\beta - 1)k \leq \alpha < \beta k; \\ = 0, & \text{if } 0 \leq \alpha < (\beta - 1)k. \end{cases}$$

PROOF. Let $(p^\alpha, p^{\beta k})_k = p^{\gamma k}$ so that $0 \leq \gamma \leq \beta$. By (2.1) and (1.8),

$$(2.6) \quad C_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(p^\alpha, p^\beta) = \sum_{d|p^\nu} d^{kt} \mu\left(\frac{p^\beta}{d}\right) \eta\left(\frac{p^\beta}{d}\right) N_f^t\left(\frac{p^{\beta k}}{d^k}\right).$$

If $\beta = 0, \gamma = 0$ and the r.h.s. of (2.6) is 1 while if $\beta \geq 1, \gamma = \beta, \beta - 1,$ or $\leq \beta - 2$ according as $\alpha \geq \beta k, (\beta - 1)k \leq \alpha < \beta k,$ or $\alpha < (\beta - 1)k$ and the r.h.s. of (2.6) is

$$p^{(\beta-1)kt} \mu(p) \eta(p) N_f^t(p^k) + p^{\beta kt} \mu(1) \eta(1) N_f^t(1),$$

$$p^{(\beta-1)kt} \mu(p) \eta(p) N_f^t(p^k),$$

or 0 according as $\gamma = \beta, \beta - 1,$ or $\leq \beta - 2$ and Theorem 2.2 is clear.

THEOREM 2.3 (Theorem 3, [14]; (3.5) of [15]).

(i) If $(n_1, n_2) = 1,$

$$C_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(n_1, r) C_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(n_2, r) = C_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(n_1 n_2, r) C_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(1, r),$$

(ii) If $(r_1, r_2) = 1,$

$$C_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(n_1, r_1) C_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(n_2, r_2) = C_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(n_1 r_2^k + n_2 r_1^k, r_1 r_2).$$

PROOF. Let $r = \pi p^\beta$ be the canonical decomposition of r and let S_1 and S_2 denote respectively the set of all primes common to n_1 and r and n_2 and r and R the remaining prime factors of r ; i.e. prime factors of r which are neither in S_1 nor in S_2 . Since $(n_1, n_2) = 1, S_1, S_2$ and R are pairwise disjoint sets with union consisting of all prime factors of r . By (i) of Theorem 2.1,

$$C_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(n_1, r) = \left\{ \prod_{\substack{p \in S_1 \\ p^{\alpha} | n_1}} C_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(p^\alpha, p^\beta) \right\} \left\{ \prod_{p \in S_2} C_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(1, p^\beta) \right\} \left\{ \prod_{p \in R} C_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(1, p^\beta) \right\}$$

and similarly,

$$C_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(n_2, r) = \left\{ \prod_{\substack{p \in S_2 \\ p^{\alpha} | n_2}} C_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(p^\alpha, p^\beta) \right\} \left\{ \prod_{p \in S_1} C_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(1, p^\beta) \right\} \left\{ \prod_{p \in R} C_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(1, p^\beta) \right\}$$

and so

$$C_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(n_1, r) C_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(n_2, r) = \left\{ \prod_{\substack{p \in S_1 \\ p^{\alpha} | n_1}} C_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(p^\alpha, p^\beta) \prod_{\substack{p \in S_2 \\ p^{\alpha} | n_2}} C_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(p^\alpha, p^\beta) \right\} \times$$

$$\times \left\{ \prod_{p \in R} C_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(1, p^\beta) \right\} \left\{ \prod_{p \in S_1 \cup S_2 \cup R} C_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(1, p^\beta) \right\} = C_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(n_1 n_2, r) C_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(1, r),$$

giving (i) of Theorem 2.3.

If $(r_1, r_2) = 1$, then $(n_1 r_2^k + n_2 r_1^k, r_1^k)_k = (n_1, r_1^k)_k$ and $(n_1 r_2^k + n_2 r_1^k, r_2^k)_k = (n_2, r_2^k)_k$; hence by (ii) of Theorem 2.1 and (2.3)

$$C_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(n_1 r_2^k + n_2 r_1^k, r_1 r_2) = C_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(n_1, r_1) C_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(n_2, r_2),$$

giving (ii) of Theorem 2.3.

THEOREM 2.4 ((4) and (6) of [9]; Theorems 5.3 and 5.4 of [15]).

$$(2.7) \quad \sum_{d|(n,r)} C_{f,i}^{k,\eta} \left(\left(\frac{n}{d} \right)^k, \frac{r}{d} \right) = \sum_{d|(n,r)} \mu_{f,i}^{k,\eta} \left(\frac{r}{d} \right) \sigma_{kt}(d);$$

$$(2.8) \quad \sum_{a|n} C_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(d^k, r) = \sum_{d|(n,r)} \mu_{f,i}^{k,\eta} \left(\frac{r}{d} \right) \tau \left(\frac{n}{d} \right) d^{kt}.$$

PROOF. It is easy to see that both sides of (2.7) and (2.8) are multiplicative in both n and r and so we need only verify them when $n = p^\alpha, r = p^\beta, p$ a prime, $\alpha \geq 0, \beta \geq 0$. We need to consider the cases $\alpha \geq \beta, \alpha = \beta - 1$, and $\alpha < \beta - 1$. If $\alpha \geq \beta$, the l.h.s. of (2.7) is, by Theorem 2.2,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^{\beta} C_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(p^{(\alpha-j)k}, p^{\beta-j}) &= \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{\beta-1} p^{(\beta-j)kt} - p^{(\beta-j-1)kt} \eta(p) N_f^t(p^k) \right\} + 1 = \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\beta} p^{jkt} - \eta(p) N_f^t(p^k) \sum_{j=0}^{\beta-1} p^{jkt} = \sigma_{kt}(p^\beta) - \eta(p) N_f^t(p^k) \sigma_{kt}(p^{\beta-1}), \end{aligned}$$

and the r.h.s. of (2.7) is

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\beta} \mu_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(p^{\beta-j}) \sigma_{kt}(p^j) = \sigma_{kt}(p^\beta) - \sigma_{kt}(p^{\beta-1}) \eta(p) N_f^t(p^k)$$

which is the same as the l.h.s. of (2.7); the verification when $\alpha = \beta - 1$ and $\alpha < \beta - 1$ is done similarly and (2.7) follows.

Similarly, when $n = p^\alpha, r = p^\beta$, the l.h.s. of (2.8) is $\sum_{j=0}^{\alpha} C_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(p^{jk}, p^\beta)$ and this, by Theorem 2.2, is easily seen to be

$$(\alpha - \beta + 1) p^{\beta kt} - (\alpha - \beta + 2) \eta(p) N_f^t(p^k) p^{(\beta-1)kt}, - p^{(\beta-1)kt} \eta(p) N_f^t(p^k)$$

or 0 according as $\alpha \geq \beta, \alpha + 1 = \beta$, or $\alpha + 1 < \beta$, and the r.h.s. of (2.8) is

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\min\{\alpha, \beta\}} \mu_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(p^{\beta-j}) \tau(p^{\beta-j}) p^{jkt}$$

which is

$$\tau(p^{\alpha-\beta}) p^{\beta kt} - \eta(p) N_f^t(p^k) \tau(p^{\alpha-\beta+1}) p^{(\beta-1)kt}, - p^{(\beta-1)kt} \eta(p) N_f^t(p^k)$$

or 0 according as $\alpha \geq \beta, \alpha + 1 = \beta$ or $\alpha + 1 < \beta$ and (2.8) is clear.

THEOREM 2.5 ((5) of [9]). *If $\eta(r)$ is completely multiplicative, then*

$$(2.9) \quad \sum_{d|r} \mu_{f,t}^{k,\eta}(d) M_{f,t}^{k,\eta} \left(\frac{r}{d} \right) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } r = 1 \\ 0, & \text{if } r > 1. \end{cases}$$

$$(2.10) \quad \sum_{d|r} \sum_{e|n} C_{f,t}^{k,\eta}(e^k, d) M_{f,t}^{k,\eta} \left(\frac{r}{d} \right) = \begin{cases} \tau \left(\frac{n}{r} \right) r^{kt}, & \text{if } r|n; \\ 0, & \text{if } r \nmid n. \end{cases}$$

PROOF. We need only to verify (2.9) when r is a prime power p^α , since both sides are multiplicative functions of r . If $\alpha = 0$ both sides are 1 and if $\alpha > 0$, by (1.8), (1.10), and (1.11) we have

$$\sum_{d|p^\alpha} \mu_{f,t}^{k,\eta}(d) M_{f,t}^{k,\eta} \left(\frac{p^\alpha}{d} \right) = N_f^{kt}(p^k) \eta(p^\alpha) - N_f^{kt}(p^k) \eta(p) N_f^{(\alpha-1)t}(p^k) \eta(p^{\alpha-1}) = 0$$

and (2.9) follows.

Now, by (2.8) the l.h.s. of (2.10) is

$$\sum_{d|r} M_{f,t}^{k,\eta} \left(\frac{r}{d} \right) \sum_{e|(n,d)} \mu_{f,t}^{k,\eta} \left(\frac{d}{e} \right) \tau \left(\frac{n}{e} \right) e^{kt} = \sum_{e|(n,r)} \tau \left(\frac{n}{e} \right) e^{kt} \sum_{D \delta = r/e} \mu_{f,t}^{k,\eta}(\delta) M_{f,t}^{k,\eta}(D)$$

and this is by (2.9) $\tau \left(\frac{n}{r} \right) r^{kt}$ or 0 according as $r|n$ or $r \nmid n$ and (2.10) follows.

THEOREM 2.6 ((3) of [9]; (2.11) of [14]). *If $\eta(r)$ is completely multiplicative,*

$$(2.11) \quad \sum_{d|r} C_{f,t}^{k,\eta} \left(d^k, \frac{r}{d} \right) M_{f,t}^{k,\eta}(d) = \begin{cases} (\sqrt{r})^{kt} M_{f,t}^{k,\eta}(\sqrt{r}), & \text{if } r \text{ is a square,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

PROOF. The multiplicativity of $C_{f,t}^{k,\eta}(n, r)$ in both n and r and that of $M_{f,t}^{k,\eta}(r)$ as a function of r imply the multiplicativity of the l.h.s. of (2.11) and clearly the r.h.s. of (2.11) is multiplicative. We need to verify (2.11) only when r is a prime power p^α . If $\alpha = 0$, both sides are 1. Let $\alpha > 0$. Then, by Theorem 2.2, (1.10), and (1.11), if $\alpha = 2u + 1$, $u \geq 0$, the l.h.s. of (2.11) is

$$\begin{aligned} C_{f,t}^{k,\eta}(p^{u^k}, p^{u+1}) M_{f,t}^{k,\eta}(p^u) + \sum_{j=u+1}^{\alpha-1} C_{f,t}^{k,\eta}(p^{jk}, p^{\alpha-j}) M_{f,t}^{k,\eta}(p^j) + M_{f,t}^{k,\eta}(p^\alpha) = \\ = -p^{ukt} \eta(p^{u+1}) N_f^{t(u+1)}(p^k) + \\ + \sum_{j=u+1}^{\alpha-1} \{ p^{(\alpha-j)kt} \eta(p^j) N_f^{jt}(p^k) - p^{(\alpha-j-1)kt} \eta(p^{j+1}) N_f^{t(j+1)}(p^k) \} + \eta(p^\alpha) N_f^{t\alpha}(p^k) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

while if $\alpha = 2u, u > 0$, it is

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=u}^{\alpha-1} C_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(p^{jk}, p^{\alpha-j}) M_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(p^j) + M_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(p^\alpha) = \\ & = \sum_{j=u}^{\alpha-1} \{p^{(\alpha-j)kt} \eta(p^j) N_f^j(p^k) - p^{(\alpha-j-1)kt} \eta(p^{j+1}) N_f^{(j+1)}(p^k)\} + \\ & + \eta(p^\alpha) N_f^\alpha(p^k) = p^{ukt} \eta(p^u) N_f^u(p^k), \end{aligned}$$

and (2.11) follows.

THEOREM 2.7 ((11) and (12) of [9]; Theorems 5.8 and 5.9 of [15]).

(a) If $r^k \mid n$,

(i)
$$\sum_{a=1}^{r^k} C_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(na, r) = r^k \varphi_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(r),$$

(ii)
$$\sum_{(a,r^k)_k=1} C_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(na, r) = \varphi_k(r) \varphi_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(r).$$

(b) (i)
$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq r^k \\ (a,r^k)_k = g^k}} C_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(a, r) = C_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(g^k, r) \varphi_k \left(\frac{r}{g} \right)$$

(ii)
$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq r^k \\ (a,r^k)_k = g^k}} C_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(a, r) a = C_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(g^k, r) \frac{r^k}{2} \varphi_k \left(\frac{r}{g} \right), \quad r > g.$$

REMARKS.

(i) A glance at the suggestion of the proofs of (11) and (12) in [9] might tend one to think that (12) in [9] is true without the condition $r^k \mid n$. That this is not the case is seen by taking $n = 3, r = 3, k = 2$, since in this case, the l.h.s. of (12) is 10 and the r.h.s. is -8 .

(ii) The g in Theorem 5.8 of [15] can be any divisor of r and that of Theorem 5.9 of [15] can be any proper divisor of r .

PROOF. (i) and (ii) of (a) follow from (2.4) and the definition of $\varphi_k(r)$.

Since, $1 \leq a \leq r^k, (a, r^k)_k = g^k$ if and only if $1 \leq \frac{a}{g^k} \leq \frac{r^k}{g^k}$ and $\left(\frac{a}{g^k}, \frac{r^k}{g^k} \right)_k = 1$, for a given divisor g of r there are $\varphi_k \left(\frac{r}{g} \right)$ numbers $1 \leq a \leq r^k$, and $(a, r^k)_k = g^k$.

Hence, by (2.3), the l.h.s. of (i) of (b) is

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq r^k \\ (a,r^k)_k = g^k}} C_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(g^k, r) = C_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(g^k, r) \varphi_k \left(\frac{r}{g} \right),$$

giving (i) of (b).

Similarly,

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq r^k \\ (a, r^k)_k = 1}} a = \frac{r^k}{2} \varphi_k(r), \quad r > 1.$$

This is well known for $k = 1$ and essentially the same proof works for $k > 1$. Hence, the l.h.s. of (ii) of (b) is

$$C_{f, i}^{k, \eta}(g^k, r) g^k \sum_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq r^k \\ (a|g^k, r^k|g^k)_k = 1}} \frac{a}{g^k} = \text{the r.h.s. of (ii) of (b)}.$$

§ 3.

The following lemma is due to ANDERSON and APOSTOL for $k = 1$ (Theorem 2, [1], and to MCCARTHY for $k > 1$, (Theorem 5, [10]).

LEMMA 3.1 *If $g(r)$ is completely multiplicative, $h(r)$ multiplicative, $g(p) \neq 0$, $h(p) \neq g(p)$ for all primes p ,*

$$u(n, r) = \sum_{d^k | (n, r^k)_k} g(d) h\left(\frac{r}{d}\right) \mu\left(\frac{r}{d}\right),$$

and $U(r) = u(0, r)$, then

$$u(n, r) = \frac{U(r) \mu(m) h(m)}{U(m)},$$

where $m^k = \frac{r^k}{(n, r^k)_k}$.

Taking $g(r) = r^{kt}$, $h(r) = \eta(r) N_f^t(r^k)$ in Lemma 3.1, we have, by (2.1), (1.8), and (1.9)

THEOREM 3.1 (Theorem 1, [5]; Theorem 2, [7] with Theorem 5, [6]; Theorem 2, [14] and Theorem 5.1, [15]). *If $\eta(p) N_f^t(p^k) \neq p^{kt}$ for all primes, p , then*

$$C_{f, i}^{k, \eta}(n, r) = \frac{\varphi_{f, i}^{k, \eta}(r) \mu_{f, i}^k(n)}{\varphi_{f, i}^{k, \eta}(m)}, \quad m^k = \frac{r^k}{(n, r^k)_k}.$$

THEOREM 3.2 (Corollary 2.1, [4]; Theorem 5.5, [15]). *If $\eta(p) N_f^t(p^k) \neq p^{kt}$ for all primes p , then*

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{a=1}^{r^k} C_{f, i}^{k, \eta}(a, r) = r^k A_{f, i}^{k, \eta}(r).$$

PROOF. The numbers $X d^k$ run through the numbers 1 through r^k as d runs through the divisors of r and for each d , X runs through the numbers

$\leq \frac{r^k}{d^k}$ and k -prime to $\frac{r^k}{d^k}$. Hence by (2.3), Theorem 3.1, (1.9), (1.16) and (1.14), the l.h.s. of (3.1) is

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{d|r} \sum_{\left(x, \frac{r^k}{d^k}\right)_k=1} C_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(Xd^k, r) &= \sum_{d|r} \sum_{\left(x, \frac{r^k}{d^k}\right)_k=1} C_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(d^k, r) = \varphi_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(r) \sum_{d|r} \frac{\mu_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(d) \varphi_k(d)}{\varphi_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(d)} = \\ &= r^{kt} \prod_{p|r} \left\{ 1 - \frac{\eta(p) N_f^i(p^k)}{p^{kt}} \right\} \prod_{p|r} \left\{ 1 - \frac{\eta(p) N_f^i(p^k) \varphi_k(p)}{\varphi_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(p)} \right\} = \\ &= r^{kt} \prod_{p|r} \left\{ \frac{p^{kt} - \eta(p) N_f^i(p^k)}{p^{kt}} \right\} \prod_{p|r} \left\{ \frac{p^{kt} - \eta(p) N_f^i(p^k) p^k}{p^{kt} - \eta(p) N_f^i(p^k)} \right\} = \\ &= r^{kt} \prod_{p|r} \left\{ 1 - \frac{\eta(p) N_f^i(p^k)}{p^{k(t-1)}} \right\} = r^{kt} \sum_{d|r} \frac{\mu_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(d)}{d^{k(t-1)}} = r^k A_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(r). \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 3.3 ((7), (8), (9) of [9]; Theorem 5.2, [15]). *If $\eta(p)N_f^i(p^k) \neq p^{k \nu}$ for all primes, p , then*

$$(3.2) \quad C_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(n, r) \varphi_{f,i}^{k,\eta} \left(\frac{r}{d} \right) = C_{f,i}^{k,\eta} \left(\frac{n}{d^k}, \frac{r}{d} \right) \varphi_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(r), \quad d^k | (n, r^k)_k;$$

$$(3.3) \quad C_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(n, r) \tau \left((n, r^k)^{\frac{1}{k}} \right) = \varphi_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(r) \sum_{d^k | (n, r^k)_k} \frac{C_{f,i}^{k,\eta} \left(\frac{n}{d^k}, \frac{r}{d} \right)}{\varphi_{f,i}^{k,\eta} \left(\frac{r}{d} \right)};$$

$$(3.4) \quad C_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(n, r) \sum_{d^k | (n, r^k)_k} \varphi_{f,i}^{k,\eta} \left(\frac{r}{d} \right) = \varphi_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(r) \sum_{d^k | (n, r^k)_k} C_{f,i}^{k,\eta} \left(\frac{n}{d^k}, \frac{r}{d} \right).$$

PROOF. We need only to prove (3.2) since the other two identities directly follow from it. Let $(n, r^k)_k = D^k$. Then for every $d | D$,

$$\left(\frac{n}{d^k}, \frac{r^k}{d^k} \right)_k = \left(\frac{D}{d} \right)^k,$$

and so

$$\frac{r^k/d^k}{(n/d^k, r^k/d^k)_k} = \frac{r^k}{D^k} = \frac{r^k}{(n, r^k)_k}.$$

Hence, by Theorem 3.1,

$$\frac{C_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(n, r)}{\varphi_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(r)} = \frac{\mu_{f,i}^{k,\eta} \left(\frac{r}{D} \right)}{\varphi_{f,i}^{k,\eta} \left(\frac{r}{D} \right)} = \frac{C_{f,i}^{k,\eta} \left(\frac{n}{d^k}, \frac{r}{d} \right)}{\varphi_{f,i}^{k,\eta} \left(\frac{r}{d} \right)},$$

and (3.2) is clear.

THEOREM 3.4 ((2.8), [6]; (2.2.3), [15]).

$$(3.5) \quad \varphi_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(mn) \varphi_{f,i}^{k,\eta}((m, n)) = \varphi_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(m) \varphi_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(n) (m, n)^{kt};$$

$$(3.6) \quad \varphi_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(m) \varphi_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(n) = \varphi_{f,i}^{k,\eta}((m, n)) \varphi_{f,i}^{k,\eta}([m, n]),$$

where in (3.6) $[m, n]$ stands for the L.C.M. of m and n .

PROOF. We have by (1.9), denoting

$$1 - \frac{\eta(p) N_f^t(p^k)}{p^{kt}}$$

by $T(p)$,

$$(3.7) \quad \varphi_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(mn) \varphi_{f,i}^{k,\eta}((m, n)) = m^{kt} n^{kt} (m, n)^{kt} \left\{ \prod_{p|mn} T(p) \right\} \left\{ \prod_{p|(m,n)} T(p) \right\},$$

$$\left\{ \prod_{p|mn} T(p) \right\} \left\{ \prod_{p|(m,n)} T(p) \right\} = \left\{ \prod_{\substack{p|m \\ p|n}} T(p) \right\} \left\{ \prod_{\substack{p|m \\ p+n}} T(p) \right\} \left\{ \prod_{\substack{p|n \\ p+m}} T(p) \right\} \left\{ \prod_{p|n} T(p) \right\} =$$

$$(3.8) \quad = \left\{ \prod_{p|m} T(p) \right\} \left\{ \prod_{p|n} T(p) \right\},$$

and (3.5) is clear from (3.7) and (3.8). Now, by (3.5).

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(m) \varphi_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(n) (m, n)^{kt} &= \varphi_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(mn) \varphi_{f,i}^{k,\eta}((m, n)) = \\ &= \varphi_{f,i}^{k,\eta}((m, n) [m, n]) \varphi_{f,i}^{k,\eta}((m, n), [m, n])) = \varphi_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(m, n) \varphi_{f,i}^{k,\eta}([m, n]) (m, n)^{kt}, \end{aligned}$$

and (3.6) is clear.

THEOREM 3.5 ((16), [9]; Theorem 5.6, [15]). If $\eta(p) N_f^t(p^k) \neq p^{kt}$ for all primes p ,

$$(3.9) \quad C_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(n, r) = \frac{\mu_{f,i}^{k,\eta} \left(\frac{r}{D} \right) \varphi_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(D) \left(D, \frac{r}{D} \right)^{kt}}{\varphi_{f,i}^{k,\eta} \left(\left(D, \frac{r}{D} \right) \right)} =$$

$$= \mu_{f,i}^{k,\eta} \left(\frac{r}{D} \right) \varphi_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(D) \frac{s^{kt}}{\varphi_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(s)}, \quad D^k = (n \cdot r^k)_k;$$

where s is the product of all the distinct prime factors common to D and $\frac{r}{D}$.

$$(3.10) \quad C_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(n^k, r) C_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(r^k, n) = \varphi_{f,i}^{k,\eta}((n, r)) C_{f,i}^{k,\eta}((n, r)^k, [n, r]).$$

PROOF. By Theorems 3.1 and 3.4,

$$C_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(n, r) = \frac{\varphi_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(r) \mu_{f,i}^{k,\eta}\left(\frac{r}{D}\right)}{\varphi_{f,i}^{k,\eta}\left(\frac{r}{D}\right)} = \frac{\mu_{f,i}^{k,\eta}\left(\frac{r}{D}\right) \varphi_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(D) \left(D, \frac{r}{D}\right)^{kt}}{\varphi_{f,i}^{k,\eta}\left(\left(D, \frac{r}{D}\right)\right)}$$

and (3.9) is clear in virtue of (1.9). Now, by (2.3), Theorem 3.1, and (3.6),

$$\begin{aligned} C_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(n^k, r) C_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(r^k, n) &= C_{f,i}^{k,\eta}((n, r)^k, r) C_{f,i}^{k,\eta}((n, r)^k, n) = \\ &= \frac{\varphi_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(r) \mu_{f,i}^{k,\eta}\left(\frac{r}{(n, r)}\right) \varphi_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(n) \mu_{f,i}^{k,\eta}\left(\frac{n}{(n, r)}\right)}{\varphi_{f,i}^{k,\eta}\left(\frac{r}{(n, r)}\right) \varphi_{f,i}^{k,\eta}\left(\frac{n}{(n, r)}\right)} = \frac{\varphi_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(r) \varphi_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(n) \mu_{f,i}^{k,\eta}\left(\frac{rn}{(n, r)^2}\right)}{\varphi_{f,i}^{k,\eta}\left(\frac{rn}{(n, r)^2}\right)} = \\ &= \frac{\varphi_{f,i}^{k,\eta}((n, r)) \varphi_{f,i}^{k,\eta}([n, r]) \mu_{f,i}^{k,\eta}\left(\frac{[n, r]}{(n, r)}\right)}{\varphi_{f,i}^{k,\eta}\left(\frac{[n, r]}{(n, r)}\right)} = \varphi_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(n, r) C_{f,i}^{k,\eta}((n, r)^k, [n, r]), \end{aligned}$$

giving (3.10).

THEOREM 3.6 (Theorem 1, [6]).

(a) If $\eta(r)$ is completely multiplicative, then

$$\sum_{d\delta=r} \varphi_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(d) M_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(\delta) = r^{kt}$$

$$(b) \sum_{\substack{d\delta=r \\ (d, \delta)=1}} \mu_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(d) M_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(\delta) = \begin{cases} M_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(r), & \text{if } r = 1 \text{ or every prime factor} \\ & \text{of } r \text{ is repeated.} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

PROOF. We prove (a), the proof of other part being similar. It is enough to verify (a) when r is a prime power p^α . If $\alpha > 0$, the l.h.s. is, by (1.9) and (1.11)

$$\begin{aligned} &= M_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(p^\alpha) + \sum_{j=1}^{\alpha} \varphi_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(p^j) M_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(p^{\alpha-j}) = \\ &= \eta(p^\alpha) N_f^{\alpha t}(p^k) + (p^{kt} - \eta(p) N_f^t(p^k)) \sum_{j=1}^{\alpha} p^{(j-1)kt} (\eta(p) N_f^t(p^k))^{\alpha-j} = \\ &= \eta(p^\alpha) N_f^{\alpha t}(p^k) + p^{\alpha kt} - (\eta(p) N_f^t(p^k))^\alpha = p^{\alpha kt}; \end{aligned}$$

and if $\alpha = 0$, both sides are 1 and the result follows.

THEOREM 3.7 ((10), (13), [9]).

$$(3.11) \quad \sum_{\substack{d\delta=n \\ (d,\delta)=1}} C_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(\delta^k, d) M_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(\delta) = \begin{cases} M_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(n), & \text{if } n = 1 \text{ or every prime factor} \\ & \text{of } n \text{ is repeated,} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

and if $\eta(r)$ is completely multiplicative,

$$(3.12) \quad \sum_{d|D} C_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(d^k, d) M_{f,i}^{k,\eta}\left(\frac{D}{d}\right) = D^{kt}, \quad D^k = (n, r^k)_k.$$

PROOF (3.11) follows from (2.3) and (b) of Theorem 3.6 and (3.12) follows from (2.4) and (a) of Theorem 3.6.

From (1.8) and (1.14), we get

THEOREM 3.8 (Theorem 5.7, [15]).

$$\sum_{\substack{d|r \\ (d^k, n)_k=1}} C_{f,1}^{k,\eta}(n, d) = A_{f,1}^{k,\eta}(R_1)$$

where R_1 is the largest divisor of r such that $(R_1^k, n)_k = 1$.

§ 4.

The representation of $C_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(n, r)$ as a trigonometric sum depends on the following lemma which is a generalization of a theorem (Theorem 4, [1]) of Anderson and Apostol.

LEMMA 4.1 For any arithmetical functions $g(r)$ and $h(r)$ the function

$$(4.1) \quad S^{(k)}(n, r) = \sum_{d^k | (n, r^k)_k} g(d) h\left(\frac{r}{d}\right)$$

can be represented as

$$(4.2) \quad S^{(k)}(n, r) = \sum_{m \pmod{r^k}} \alpha(m, r) e(nm, r^k),$$

where the sum in (4.2) is extended over a complete residue system mod r^k , and

$$(4.3) \quad \alpha(m, r) = \frac{1}{r^k} \sum_{d^k | (m, r^k)_k} d^k h(d) g\left(\frac{r}{d}\right).$$

Further, if $g(r)$ is completely multiplicative,

$$(4.4) \quad \alpha(m, r) = \frac{1}{r^k} g\left(\frac{r}{D}\right) \sum_{d|D} d^k h(d) g\left(\frac{D}{d}\right),$$

where $(m, r^k)_k = D^k$.

PROOF. Since $m \equiv m_1 \pmod{r^k}$ implies $(m, r^k)_k = (m_1, r^k)_k$, it is clear that the sum on the r.h.s. of (4.2) is independent of the residue system mod r^k . Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum \alpha(m, r) e(nm, r^k) &= \sum_{m=1}^{r^k} \frac{1}{r^k} \sum_{d^k | (m, r^k)_k} d^k h(d) g\left(\frac{r}{d}\right) e(nm, r^k) = \\ &= \frac{1}{r^k} \sum_{d|r} d^k h(d) g\left(\frac{r}{d}\right) \sum_{m=1, d^k|m}^{r^k} e(nm, r^k) = \\ &= \frac{1}{r^k} \sum_{d|r} d^k h(d) g\left(\frac{r}{d}\right) \sum_{j=1}^{r^k/d^k} e(nj, r^k/d^k); \end{aligned}$$

since the inner sum above is r^k/d^k or 0 according as n is or is not divisible by r^k/d^k , the above sum is

$$= \frac{1}{r^k} \sum_{\substack{d|r \\ \frac{r^k}{d^k}|n}} d^k h(d) g\left(\frac{r}{d}\right) \frac{r^k}{d^k} = \sum_{d^k | (n, r^k)_k} g(d) h\left(\frac{r}{d}\right) = S^{(k)}(n, r).$$

That (4.3) can be expressed as (4.4) in case $g(r)$ is completely multiplicative is obvious.

Taking $g(r) = r^{kt}$, $h(r) = \mu_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(r)$ in Lemma 4.1, we get from (2.1) and (1.13)

THEOREM 4.1 ((3), [1]; Theorem 4.1, [15]).

$$O_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(n, r) = \sum_{m \pmod{r^k}} a_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(m, r) e(nm, r^k)$$

where $a_{f,i}^{k,\eta}(n, r)$ is given by (1.13).

Let us write $r_k(m) = ((m, r^k)_k)^{1/k}$, so that $r_k(m) = 1$ if and only if $(m, r^k)_k = 1$. Clearly, since $\mu_{x,i}^{k,\eta}(r)$ does not depend on t , we have from (1.13), (1.8), and (1.9)

$$(4.5) \quad a_{f,1}^{k,\eta}(m, r) = \sum_{d|r_k(m)} \mu_{f,1}^{k,\eta}(d);$$

$$(4.6) \quad a_{x,t}^{k,\eta}(m, r) = \left(\frac{r}{r_k(m)}\right)^{k(t-1)} \varphi_{x,t-1}^{k,\eta}(r_k(m)), \quad t > 1.$$

Hence, we have

COROLLARY 4.1.1

$$(4.7) \quad O_{f,1}^{k,\eta}(n, r) = \sum_{m \pmod{r^k}} \left(\sum_{d|r_k(m)} \mu_{f,1}^{k,\eta}(d) \right) e(nm, r^k);$$

$$(4.8) \quad O_{x,t}^{k,\eta}(n, r) = r^{k(t-1)} \sum_{m \pmod{r^k}} \frac{\varphi_{x,t-1}^{k,\eta}(r_k(m))}{(r_k(m))^{k(t-1)}} e(nm, r^k), \quad t > 1.$$

In particular, since $\mu_{x,i}^{k,l}(r) = \mu(r)$,

$$\mu_{x,i}^{k,u}(r) = \mu^2(r) \mu_u(r) = \mu_u(r)$$

(see (1.5)), $\varphi_{x,i}^{k,l}(r) = \varphi_{ki}(r)$, $\sum_{d|r} \mu(d) = 1$ or 0 according as $r = 1$ or $r > 1$, and

$$\sum_{d|r} \mu_u(d) = (1 + e(1, 2u))^{w(r)},$$

we have

COROLLARY 4.1.2.

$$(4.9) \quad C(n, r) = \sum_{\substack{m(\bmod r) \\ (m, r)=1}} e(nm, r);$$

$$(4.10) \quad C^{(k)}(n, r) = \sum_{\substack{m(\bmod r^k) \\ (m, r^k)=1}} e(nm, r^k);$$

$$(4.11) \quad C_k(n, r) = r^{k-1} \sum_{m(\bmod r)} \frac{\varphi_{k-1}((m, r))}{(m, r)^{k-1}} e(nm, r). \quad k > 1;$$

$$(4.12) \quad C_k^{(s)}(n, r) = r^{s(k-1)} \sum_{m(\bmod r^s)} \frac{\varphi_{s(k-1)}(r_s(m))}{(r_s(m))^{s(k-1)}} e(nm, r^s), \quad k > 1;$$

$$(4.13) \quad C^{\mu_u}(n, r) = \sum_{m(\bmod r)} (1 + e(1, 2u))^{w(m, r)} e(nm, r).$$

REFERENCES

- [1] D. R. ANDERSON and T. M. APOSTOL, The evolution of Ramanujan's sum and generalizations, *Duke Math J.* **20** (1953), 211–216. *MR* **14**–951
- [2] J. CHIDAMBARASWAMY, Totients with respect to a polynomial, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **5** (1974), 601–608. *MR* **53** # 2809
- [3] E. COHEN, An extension of Ramanujan's sum, *Duke Math. J.* **16** (1949), 85–90. *MR* **10**–354
- [4] E. COHEN, An extension of Ramanujan's sum, II (Additive properties), *Duke Math. J.* **22** (1955), 543–550. *MR* **17**–238
- [5] E. COHEN, An extension of Ramanujan's sum, III (Connections with totient functions), *Duke Math. J.* **23** (1956), 623–630. *MR* **18**–285
- [6] E. COHEN, Some totient functions, *Duke Math. J.* **23** (1956), 515–522. *MR* **18**–560
- [7] E. COHEN, Trigonometric sums in elementary number theory, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **66** (1959), 105–117. *MR* **20** # 5159
- [8] L. E. DICKSON, *History of the theory of numbers, I*, Chelsea, New York, 1952.
- [9] P. J. MCCARTHY, Some properties of the extended Ramanujan sums, *Arch. Math.* **11** (1960), 253–258. *MR* **22** # 3714
- [10] P. J. MCCARTHY, The generation of arithmetical identities, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **203** (1960), 55–63. *MR* **22** # 2574
- [11] D. A. McDONALD, *Arithmetical functions on a locally finite, locally modular, local lattice*, Ph. D. Thesis, University of Toledo (Ohio), 1972.

- [12] S. RAMANUJAN, *Collected papers*, Cambridge, 1927.
- [13] H. SCHEID, Arithmetische Funktionen über Halbordnungen, I, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **231** (1968), 192–214. *MR* **38** # 1074
- [14] M. SUGUNAMMA, Elkford Cohen's generalization of Ramanujan's trigonometrical sum $C(n, r)$, *Duke Math. J.* **27** (1960), 323–330. *MR* **22** # 5618
- [15] C. S. VENKATARAMAN and R. SIVARAMAKRISHNAN, An extension of Ramanujan's sum, *Math. Student* **40A** (1972), 211–216. *MR* **48** # 8428

(Received September 6, 1976)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
THE UNIVERSITY OF TOLEDO
TOLEDO, OH 43606
U.S.A.