

Review

Antibiotics and Phagocytosis

D. Milatovic

The influence of β -lactam antibiotics, tetracyclines, aminoglycosides, erythromycin and clindamycin on the phagocytosis process is reviewed. The results of the studies published are summarized in tabular form.

In the last 15 years antibiotic modulation of the phagocytosis process has become the subject of increased investigational activity. The published results are, however, to a large extent controversial thus rendering the evaluation of an antibiotic's effect on phagocytosis rather difficult. The studies are often hard to compare because of the variations in methods used for assessing leukocyte functions and the differences in experimental design, including the antibiotic concentrations tested and type of leukocytes and microorganisms used. The purpose of this review is to summarize, mainly in tabular form, the published data on the influence of some selected antibiotics on phagocytosis, enabling the reader to quickly survey the results in this area of research.

Table 1 gives information about which antibiotic concentration, which kind of leukocytes and which microorganism or particle the authors used in their test system. The interactions between antibiotics and the phagocytosis process investigated are arranged in four major groups:

- influence of antibiotics on chemotaxis,
- direct action of antibiotics on the phagocytic cell functions such as engulfment, killing and metabolic response,
- uptake and killing of bacteria altered by previous contact with antibiotics,
- intracellular penetration of the antibiotics and intracellular activity against phagocytized bacteria.

Chemotaxis, defined as the directed migration of leukocytes toward an attractant, is measured *in vitro* by two methods: the agarose technique and the Boyden chamber. The former is performed in semi-solid medium; the latter consists of two liquid filled compartments separated by a membrane. The open skin window is a variation of the latter method which enables measurement of chemotaxis *in vivo*. Besides the different methods used, there are other variables that may influence the chemotaxis results obtained by the investigators, as for example the choice of attractant (opsonized particles, bacterial products, activated complement, etc.) and the experimental design (assay performed in the presence of the antibiotic tested, pre-incubation of the leukocytes with the antibiotic, etc.). Nevertheless, some general tendencies in the published data regarding antibiotic modulation of chemotaxis emerge in the table. Tetracyclines and aminoglycosides seem to depress the chemotactic response of the leukocytes as described by the majority of the investigators. This negative effect was observed *in vitro* as well as *in vivo*. Beta-lactam antibiotics tend not to affect chemotaxis. Therapeutic concentrations of erythromycin and clindamycin also do not seem to influence chemotaxis.

The *phagocytic process* can be evaluated by various methods. The uptake rate of microorganisms or particles by leukocytes is most commonly calculated by counting the engulfed intracellular particles on a microscopic slide or by determining the intracellular radioactivity after phagocytosis of radiolabeled bacteria. Leukocyte killing of ingested bacteria is measured microbiologically by plating the surviving bacteria after various time intervals. As the

Table 1: Summary of results published on the influence of beta-lactam antibiotics, tetracyclines, aminoglycosides, erythromycin and clindamycin on the phagocytosis process.

| Authors | Antibiotic tested (mg/l) | Leukocytes | Microorganism or particle | Parameters tested | | | | |
|--------------------------|--|--|---------------------------|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | | | Chemo-taxis | Up-take | Kill-ing | Phagocytic cell function | Antibiotic altered bacteria |
| | | | | Metabolic response | Metabolic response | Penetration | Activity | |
| Beisheim & Gnärpe (1) | penicillin ampicillin methicillin cefuroxim cefoxitin cefotaxim ceftazidim ceftriaxone | 64,128 64,128 64,128 64,128 64,128 64,128 64,128 64,128 | human PMN | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Forsgren & Schmeling (2) | penicillin ampicillin cephalothin cetazolin | 0.5–100 0.5–100 0.5–100 0.5–100 | human PMN | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cifarelli et al. (3) | penicillin ampicillin methicillin cephalothin cetazolin | 30 4.5 8.1 5.6 13.1 | human MAC | zymosan | ↑ ↓ ↑ ↓ ↓ | ↑ ↓ ↑ ↓ ↓ | 0 | 0 |
| Majeski et al. (4) | nafcillin nafcillin methicillin methicillin methicillin oxacillin cephalothin cephalothin | 1,10 1,10 1,10 100 1000 1–1000 1,10 100,1000 | human PMN | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Root et al. (5) | penicillin penicillin penicillin | 10 × MIC (0.75 U/ml) 1/4 MIC | human PMN | <i>S. aureus</i> <i>candida</i> <i>S. aureus</i> | 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 |
| Melby & Midvedt (6) | cephalothin | 30,300 | human PMN | <i>E. coli</i> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Downey & Piana (7) | penicillin | 800 | guinea pig PMN | <i>S. aureus</i> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Burgaleta et al. (8) | moxalactam | 25–400 | human PMN | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 1 (continued)

| Authors | Antibiotic tested (mg/l) | Parameters tested | | | | | |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|
| | | Leukocytes | Microorganism or particle | Chemo-taxis | Phagocytic cell function | Antibiotic altered bacteria | Intracellular |
| | | | | Uptake Killing | Uptake Metabolic response | Killing | Metabolic response |
| Welch et al. (9) | penicillin | 200 | human PMN | zymosan yeast | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 |
| | carbenicillin | 200 | | zymosan | | | |
| | cefazolin | 200 | | zymosan | | | |
| | cephaloridin | 200 | | zymosan | | | |
| | cetoranide | 200 | | zymosan | | | |
| | cefamandol | 200 | | zymosan | | | |
| | ampicillin | 2, 20 | | zymosan | | | |
| | ampicillin | 200 | | zymosan | | | |
| | ampicillin | 200 | | yeast | ↓ 0 | ↓ | |
| | cephalothin | 2 | | zymosan | | | |
| | cephalothin | 20, 200 | | zymosan | | | |
| | cephalothin | 20, 200 | | yeast | | | |
| McDonald et al. (10) | cephalexin | 2, 20 | | zymosan | 0 1 | 0 0 | |
| | cephalexin | 200 | | zymosan | | | |
| | cephalexin | 200 | | yeast | ↓ 0 | ↓ 0 | |
| | penicillin | 0.5 (10 × MIC) | human PMN | <i>S. aureus</i> | ↑ | | |
| | amoxicillin | 1.0 (10 × MIC) | | <i>S. aureus</i> | ↑ | | |
| Horne & Tomasz (11) | amoxicillin | 30 (4 × MIC) | | <i>E. coli</i> | ↑ | | |
| | ampicillin | 40 (4 × MIC) | | <i>E. coli</i> | ↑ | | |
| | cefoxitin | 20 (4 × MIC) | | <i>E. coli</i> | ↑ | | |
| | penicillin | 1/2 MIC | human PMN | <i>B. streptococci</i> | ↑ | | |
| | piperacillin | 1/2 MIC | | | ↑ | | |
| Lorian & Atkinson (12) | methicillin | 1/2 MIC | | | ↑ | | |
| | cephaloridin | 1/2 MIC | | | ↑ | | |
| | cefadroxil | 1/2 MIC | | | ↑ | | |
| | cefoxitin | 1/2 MIC | | | ↑ | | |
| | oxacillin | 1/2, 1/4 MIC | human PMN | <i>S. aureus</i> | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 |
| Gemmell & Abdul-Amir (13) | nafcillin | 1/2, 1/4 MIC | | <i>A. streptococci</i> | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 |
| | penicillin | 0.1 (subinh.) | mouse MAC | <i>S. aureus</i> | ↑ ↑ | 0 0 | 0 0 |
| Friedman & Warren (14) | nafcillin | 0.1 (subinh.) | | | | | |
| | penicillin | | | | | | |
| | oxacillin | | | | | | |
| | methicillin | | | | | | |

| Authors | Antibiotic tested (mg/l) | Leukocytes | Microorganism or particle | Chemo-taxis | Parameters tested | | | |
|-----------------------|---|--|---------------------------|--|--------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|-------------|
| | | | | | Phagocytic cell function | Antibiotic altered bacteria | Intracellular | Activity |
| | | | | | Uptake | Killing | Metabolic response | Penetration |
| Adam et al. (15) | ampicillin | 0.05 (subinh.) | human MN | <i>L. monocytogenes</i> | | | ↑ | |
| Milatovic (16) | penicillin piperacillin cefotiam | 1/3 MIC 1/3 MIC 1/3 MIC | human PMN | <i>S. aureus</i> | | | 0 0 0 | |
| Nishida et al. (17) | carbenicillin subbenzillin | 1/4–1/16 MIC 1/4–1/16 MIC | rabbit PMN | <i>P. aeruginosa</i> | | | ↑ 0 | |
| Alexander & Good (18) | penicillin | 0.01–1000 U | human PMN | <i>S. aureus</i> | | | | 0 |
| Easmon (19) | penicillin cefazolin | 100, 200 50, 100 | human PMN | <i>S. aureus</i> | | | 0 0 | |
| Jacobs et al. (20) | penicillin ampicillin | 4 ×, 10 ×, 40 × MBC 4 ×, 10 ×, 40 × MBC | human PMN | <i>H. influenzae</i> | | | 0 0 | |
| Mandell (21) | penicillin | 0.01 | human PMN | <i>S. aureus</i> | | | 0 | |
| Lobo & Mandell (22) | ampicillin ampicillin | 100 100 | mouse MAC human MAC | <i>E. coli</i> | | | 0 0 | |
| Mandell & Vest (23) | penicillin methicillin cephalothin | 100 100 100 | human PMN | <i>S. aureus</i> | | | 0 0 | |
| Holmes et al. (24) | penicillin | 100 U/ml | human PMN | <i>S. aureus</i> | | | 0 | |
| Solberg (25) | penicillin | 25–1000 U/ml | human PMN | <i>S. aureus</i> | | | 0 | |
| Johnson et al. (26) | penicillin cephalexin cefamandol cefazolin | 10 10 10 10 | rabbit MAC | | | | 0 0 0 0 | |
| Cole & Brostoff (27) | penicillin | 1.2 | mouse MAC | <i>L. monocytogenes</i> | | | | + |
| Veale et al. (28) | penicillin penicillin | 2 2 | human PMN human MN | <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> | | | + | + |

Table 1 (continued)

| Authors | Antibiotic tested (mg/l) | Leukocytes | Microorganism or particle | Parameters tested | | | | | |
|---------------------------|---|---|---------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------|----------|--------------------------|
| | | | | Chemo-taxis | Up-take | Kill-ing | Uptake | Killing | Phagocytic cell function |
| | | | | Metabolic response | Metabolic response | Metabolic response | Penetration | Activity | Intracellular |
| Forsgren & Schumeling (2) | doxycycline lymecycline tetracycline | 0.5–100 0.5–100 0.5–100 | human PMN | ↑ ↓ ↓ | | | | | |
| Gnarpe et al. (29) | doxycycline doxycycline doxycycline doxycycline lymecycline lymecycline lymecycline | 1 10–100 200 mg i.v. 200/100/100 mg p.o./p. day 1 10–100 2 × 300 mg p.o./p. day | human PMN | 0 ↓ ↓ ↓ 0 ↓ ↓ | | | | | |
| Martin et al. (30) | tetracycline tetracycline tetracycline | 0.01–10 30–300 1 g p.o. 1,300 | human PMN | ↑ ↑ ↑ | | | 0 | | |
| Majeski & Alexander (31) | tetracycline chlorotetracycline oxytetracycline doxycycline methacycline demeclocycline minocycline | 10–1000 10–1000 10–1000 10–1000 10–1000 10–1000 | human PMN | ↑ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ | | | | | |
| Gange (32) | tetracycline | 2, 20, 200 | human PMN | 0 | | | | | |
| Forsgren et al. (33) | doxycycline doxycycline lymecycline lymecycline tetracycline tetracycline | 0.5, 2.5, 10, 25 200/100/100 mg p.o./p. day 0.5 2.5, 10, 25 0.5, 2.5 10, 25 | human PMN | ↓ ↓ 0 ↓ 0 ↓ | | | | | |
| Gnarpe & Belstein (34) | doxycycline lymecycline | 64, 128 64, 128 | human PMN yeast | ↑ ↓ ↓ | | | | | |

| Authors | Antibiotic tested (mg/l) | Leukocytes | Microorganism or particle | Parameters tested | | | | | | |
|------------------------|---|---|---------------------------|---|---------|---------|--------|--------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | | | Chemo-taxis | Up-take | Killing | Uptake | Metabolic response | Phagocytic cell function | Antibiotic altered bacteria |
| Melby & Midtvedt (6) | oxytetracycline oxytetracycline | 10 100 | human PMN | <i>E. coli</i> | | | 0 | ↓ | | |
| Melby & Midtvedt (35) | oxytetracycline oxytetracycline doxycycline | 10 100 10,100 | rat PMN | <i>E. coli</i> | 0 | ↓ | ↓ | ↓ | | |
| Melby & Midtvedt (36) | doxycycline doxycycline | 200/100/100 mg p. os/p. day 100 mg/kg/day | human PMN rat PMN | <i>E. coli</i> | 0 | | 0 | | | |
| Forsgren et al. (37) | doxycycline doxycycline tetraacycline tetraacycline tetraacycline | 1.6 3.1–12.5 1.6 3.1–12.5 lg p. os | human PMN | yeast | | 0 | ↓ | ↓ | | |
| Munoz & Geister (38) | chlortetracycline | 0.01–1000 | human PMN | <i>S. albus</i> | | ↓ | | | | |
| Altura et al. (39) | tetraacycline | 1.2 mg/rat/day | rat PMN | colloidal carbon | | ↓ | | | | |
| Hoeprich & Martin (40) | tetraacycline | 0.01–1 μM/ml | human PMN | <i>S. aureus</i> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Welch et al. (9) | doxycycline doxycycline doxycycline tetraacycline tetraacycline | 2 20 20 2,20 5,20,200 | human PMN | zymosan zymosan yeast zymosan yeast | 0 | ↓ | ↓ | ↓ | 0 | |
| Cifarelli et al. (3) | doxycycline tetraacycline | 0.2 0.4 | human MAC | zymosan | ↑ | | | | | |
| Adam et al. (15) | tetraacycline | 0.05 (subinh.) | human MN | <i>L. monocytogenes</i> | ↑ | | | | | |
| Milatovic (16) | doxycycline | 1/3 MIC | human PMN | <i>S. aureus</i> | ↑ | | | | | |
| Park & Dow (41) | tetraacycline oxytetracycline | 10–500 4 × 250 mg/die | human PMN | | | | | | + | + |
| Johnson et al. (26) | tetraacycline | 10 | rabbit MAC | | | | | | + | |

Table 1 (continued)

| Authors | Antibiotic tested (mg/l) | Leukocytes | Microorganism or particle | Parameters tested | | | | |
|--------------------------|---|--|---------------------------|-------------------|---------|---------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | | | Chemo-taxis | Up-take | Killing | Phagocytic cell function | Antibiotic altered bacteria |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Khan et al. (42) | gentamicin gentamicin gentamicin amikacin | 1.25, 2.5 mg/ kg i.m. 1 4-40 500 mg i.m. | human PMN | ↓ | | | | |
| Belsheim & Gnape (1) | gentamicin amikacin | 64, 128 64, 128 | human PMN | ↓ | | | | |
| Forsgren & Schmeling (2) | gentamicin kanamycin | 0.5-100 0.5-100 | human PMN | 0 | 0 | | | |
| Goodhart (43) | gentamicin amikacin | 10 20 | human PMN | ↓ | | | | |
| Majeski et al. (4) | gentamicin | 1-1000 | human PMN | 0 | | | | |
| Seklecki et al. (44) | gentamicin tobramycin nefumycin amikacin kanamycin | 0.5-8 0.5-8 0.5-8 2-32 2-32 | human PMN | ↓ | ↓ | ↓ | 0 | 0 |
| Burgaleta et al. (8) | gentamicin gentamicin | 5-40 10 | human PMN | candida | ↓ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Melby & Midvret (6) | gentamicin | 5, 100 | human PMN | <i>E. coli</i> | ↓ | | | |
| Cifarelli et al. (3) | gentamicin tobramycin streptomycin | 5.4 2.7 16.9 | human MAC | zymosan | ↑ | | | |
| Ferrari et al. (45) | gentamicin sisomicin tobramycin ribostamycin amikacin | 5-40 5-40 5-40 5-40 5-40 | human PMN | candida | ↓ | ↓ | ↓ | ↓ |

| Authors | Antibiotic tested (mg/l) | Leukocytes | Microorganism or particle | Parameters tested | | | | |
|---------------------------|--|--|--|-------------------|--------|----------|-------------|---------------|
| | | | | Chemo-taxis | Uptake | Kill-ing | Mete-bolic | Intracellular |
| | | | | ↑ | ↑ | ↑ | ↑ | Activity |
| Welch et al. (9) | gentamicin gentamicin kanamycin amikacin sisomicin | 20 20 20 20 | human PMN candida zymosan zymosan zymosan zymosan zymosan | 0 | + | ↑ | 0 0 0 | |
| Horne & Tomasz (11) | gentamicin | 1/2, 1 × MIC | human PMN B streptococci | 0 | + | ↑ | 0 | |
| Root et al. (5) | gentamicin | 1/4 MIC | human PMN <i>S. aureus</i> | 0 | + | ↑ | 0 | |
| McDonald et al. (10) | gentamicin | 4 × MIC | human PMN <i>E. coli</i> | † | | | | |
| Nishida et al. (17) | gentamicin kanamycin | 1/4–1/64 MIC 1/4–1/64 MIC | rabbit PMN <i>P. aeruginosa</i> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Lobo & Mandell (22) | gentamicin gentamicin | 100 100 | mouse MAC human MAC <i>E. coli</i> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Mandell & Vest (23) | gentamicin streptomycin | 100 100 | human PMN <i>S. aureus</i> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Eastmon (19) | gentamicin gentamicin | 2.5 5, 10 | human PMN <i>S. aureus</i> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Johnson et al. (26) | gentamicin | 18 | rabbit MAC | + | | | | |
| Forsgren & Schimeling (2) | erythromycin erythromycin | 0.5–25 100 | human PMN | 0 | 1 | | | |
| Majeski et al. (4) | erythromycin erythromycin | 1–10 100–1000 | human PMN | 0 | † | | | |
| v. Rensburg et al. (46) | erythromycin | 1–10 mM 25 mM 50–100 mM 4 × 250 mg/die p. os | human PMN candida | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Melby & Midvedt (35) | erythromycin | 10, 100 | rat PMN <i>E. coli</i> | + | | | | |

Table 1 (continued)

| Authors | Antibiotic tested (mg/l) | Leukocytes | Microorganism or particle | Parameters tested | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|------------------------------|----------------|---|-------------------|-------------|---------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|----------|
| | | | | Chemo-taxis | Uptake | Kill- | Phagocytic cell function | An antibiotic altered bacteria | | |
| | | | | take ing | ing | Meta-bolic response | Uptake Killing | Metabolic response | Penetra-tion | Activity |
| Melby & Midvrett (6) | erythromycin erythromycin | 10 100 | human PMN <i>E. coli</i> | 0 ↓ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Welch et al. (9) | erythromycin | 20, 200 | human PMN yeast | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| McDonald et al. (10) | erythromycin | 2.5 (10 × MIC) | human PMN <i>S. aureus</i> | ↑ | ↑ | ↑ | ↑ | ↑ | ↑ | |
| Gemmell & Abdul-Amir (13) | erythromycin | 1/2, 1/4 MIC | human PMN A streptococci B streptococci <i>S. pneumoniae</i> | 0 0 0 | 0 1 0 | 0 1 0 | 0 1 0 | 0 1 0 | 0 1 0 | |
| Johnson et al. (26) | erythromycin | 18.4 | rabbit MAC | + | + | + | + | + | + | |
| Forsgren & Schmelting (2) | clindamycin clindamycin | 0.5–25 100 | human PMN | 0 ↓ | 0 ↓ | 0 ↓ | 0 ↓ | 0 ↓ | 0 ↓ | |
| Gange (32) | clindamycin | 1–100 | human PMN | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Majeski et al. (4) | clindamycin clindamycin | 1–100 1000 | human PMN | 0 ↑ | 0 ↑ | 0 ↑ | 0 ↑ | 0 ↑ | 0 ↑ | |
| Welch et al. (9) | clindamycin | 20, 200 | human PMN yeast | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| McDonald et al. (10) | clindamycin | 0.5 (10 × MIC) | human PMN <i>S. aureus</i> | 0 ↑ | 0 ↑ | 0 ↑ | 0 ↑ | 0 ↑ | 0 ↑ | |
| Gemmell et al. (47) | clindamycin | 1/2, 1/4 MIC | human PMN A streptococci | ↑ | ↑ | ↑ | ↑ | ↑ | ↑ | |
| Milatovic (16) | clindamycin | 1/3 MIC | human PMN <i>S. aureus</i> | ↑ | ↑ | ↑ | ↑ | ↑ | ↑ | |
| Gemmell & Abdul-Amir (13) | clindamycin | 1/2, 1/4 MIC | human PMN A streptococci B streptococci <i>S. pneumoniae</i> | ↑ 0 ↑ | ↑ 1 ↑ | ↑ 1 ↑ | ↑ 1 ↑ | ↑ 1 ↑ | ↑ 1 ↑ | |
| Johnson et al. (26) | clindamycin | 10 | rabbit MAC | + | + | + | + | + | + | |
| Klempner & Styrt (48) | clindamycin clindamycin | 1 10 | human PMN <i>S. aureus</i> | + | + | + | + | + | + | |

PMN = Polymorphonuclear leucocytes, MN = Monocytes, MAC = Macrophages.

↑ = Enhancement.

↓ = Depression.

0 = No effect.

phagocytosis process is accompanied by activation of the leukocyte metabolism, several tests are based on measurement of this metabolic response, for example the chemiluminescence assay, myeloperoxidase-mediated protein iodination, the nitroblue tetrazolium reduction test, etc. The same problems of comparability arise as already mentioned for the chemotaxis results, and are even more pronounced in studies on interaction between antibiotics and the phagocytosis process. Experiments conducted by leaving the antibiotic in the test system during the phagocytosis assay are hard to interpret since any antibiotic effect could be related to interaction between the antibiotic and any of the assay compounds and not necessarily the phagocytic cell.

The best conformity of results has been obtained in experiments with tetracyclines which seem to exert a negative influence on the phagocytic activity of the leukocytes. Beta-lactam antibiotics and erythromycin tend to have no effect. Data available on the influence of aminoglycosides on phagocytic cell function is conflicting and in the case of clindamycin there are not enough studies done to draw any conclusions.

Antibiotic exposed bacteria have been shown to undergo morphologic and biochemical changes (46). The question arises whether leukocytes act differently upon these altered bacteria compared to normal bacteria. Most of the investigators pretreated the bacteria with subinhibitory concentrations of the antibiotic tested; some used high concentrations but only very short incubation times. The results obtained in this kind of phagocytosis study mainly depend on the target microorganism chosen.

It appears that β -lactam antibiotics render certain bacterial species like *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Escherichia coli* and group B streptococci more susceptible to leukocyte killing. The enhanced killing does not seem to be preceded by an increased uptake of these bacteria. Clindamycin treatment of the bacteria results in enhanced engulfment and subsequently killing by the leukocytes. Concerning the aminoglycosides, four studies have been done with gentamicin, but each with a different bacterial species. Thus, the enhanced killing of gentamicin treated *Escherichia coli* needs to be confirmed by other studies. There is also not enough data

available on the effect of tetracyclines and erythromycin, but a tendency towards enhanced phagocytosis of bacteria exposed to these antibiotics can be recognized.

Intracellular penetration, and especially intact microbicidal activity of the antibiotics once they have penetrated the leukocytes, are important questions in terms of the fact that certain microorganisms, for example *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* and *Legionella pneumophila*, can survive and multiply inside the phagocytic cells.

Generally, radiolabeled antibiotics are used to determine the intracellular concentration. To establish whether the antibiotic is active intracellularly leukocytes containing ingested bacteria are incubated with the antibiotic tested and the number of intracellularly surviving bacteria is determined microbiologically.

Although not included in the table rifampin should be mentioned in this context because it is the only antibiotic for which penetration and bactericidal activity on phagocytized bacteria has been demonstrated uniformly by several authors (19, 21–23, 26). The majority of the numerous studies performed with β -lactam antibiotics were unable to document an intracellular effect of these antibiotics. Concerning the other antibiotics listed in the table, it is not possible to make a statement on whether these antibiotics also display bactericidal activity inside the phagocytic cells although an intracellular accumulation is described by a few investigators. Either there is no or not enough data available (tetracycline, erythromycin and clindamycin) or the findings are contradictory (aminoglycosides).

In conclusion it can be said that a survey of the literature dealing with the effect of antibiotics on the phagocytosis process leaves an impression of confusion. As long as the methods for testing the phagocytosis parameters are not standardized in any way, the problems of comparability and interpretation will remain although with time more studies on this subject will be performed thus making more data available. Nevertheless, at this point we have obtained some more or less obvious indications that certain antibiotics may influence phagocytosis negatively (tetracyclines and aminoglycosides) or positively in an indirect way by interacting with the microorganisms to be phagocytized (β -lactam antibiotics and clindamycin).

Although the clinical relevance of these results can only be presumed from the few *in vivo* studies performed (29, 30, 33, 36, 37, 39, 42) these possible side effects of antibiotics should not be ignored, especially in the treatment of immunocompromised patients.

References

- Belsheim, J. A., Gnarpe, G. H.: Antibiotics and granulocytes. Direct and indirect effects on granulocyte chemotaxis. *Acta Pathologica et Microbiologica Scandinavica (C)* 1981, 89: 217-221.
- Forsgren, A., Schmeling, D.: Effect of antibiotics on chemotaxis of human leukocytes. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* 1977, 11: 580-584.
- Cifarelli, A., Forte, N., Lombardi, L., Pepe, G., Paradisi, F.: The effect of some antibiotics on phagocytic activity *in vitro*. *Journal of Infection* 1982, 5: 183-188.
- Majeski, J. A., McClellan, M. A., Alexander, J. W.: Effect of antibiotics on the *in vitro* neutrophil chemotactic response. *American Surgeon* 1976, 42: 785-788.
- Root, R. K., Istoriz, R., Molavi, J. A., Metcalf, J. A., Malech, H. L.: Interactions between antibiotics and human neutrophils in the killing of staphylococci (studies with normal and cytochalasin B-treated cells). *Journal of Clinical Investigation* 1981, 67: 247-259.
- Melby, K., Midtvedt, T.: Effects of some antibacterial agents on the phagocytosis of ³²P-labelled *Escherichia coli* by human polymorphonuclear cells. *Acta Pathologica et Microbiologica Scandinavica (B)* 1980, 88: 103-106.
- Downey, R. J., Pisano, J. C.: Some effects of antimicrobial compounds on phagocytosis *in vitro*. *Journal of the Reticuloendothelial Society* 1965, 2: 75-88.
- Burgalata, C., Martinez-Beltran, J., Bouza, E.: Comparative effects of moxalactam and gentamicin on human polymorphonuclear function. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* 1982, 21: 718-720.
- Welch, W. D., Davis, D., Thrupp, L. D.: Effect of antimicrobial agents on human polymorphonuclear leukocyte microbial function. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* 1981, 20: 15-20.
- McDonald, P. J., Wetherall, B. L., Pruij, H.: Postantibiotic leukocyte enhancement. Increased susceptibility of bacteria pretreated with antibiotics to activity of leukocytes. *Reviews of Infectious Diseases* 1981, 3: 38-44.
- Horne, D., Tomasz, A.: Hypersusceptibility of penicillin-treated group B streptococci to bactericidal activity of human polymorphonuclear leukocytes. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* 1981, 19: 745-753.
- Lorian, V., Atkinson, B.: Killing of oxacillin-exposed staphylococci in human polymorphonuclear leukocytes. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* 1980, 18: 807-813.
- Gemmell, C. G., Abdul-Amir, M. K.: Antibiotic-induced changes in streptococci with respect to their interaction with human polymorphonuclear leukocytes. In: Nelson, J. D., Grassi, C. (ed.): *Current chemotherapy and infectious disease. Volume II*. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, D. C., 1980, p. 810-812.
- Friedman, H., Warren, G. H.: Enhanced susceptibility of penicillin-resistant staphylococci to phagocytosis after *in vitro* incubation with low doses of nafcillin (38177). *Proceedings of the Society for Experimental Biology and Medicine* 1974, 146: 707-711.
- Adam, D., Schaffert, W., Marget, W.: Enhanced *in vitro* phagocytosis by human monocytes in the presence of ampicillin, tetracycline, and chloramphenicol. *Infection and Immunity* 1974, 9: 811-814.
- Milatovic, D.: Effect of subinhibitory antibiotic concentrations on the phagocytosis of *Staphylococcus aureus*. *European Journal of Clinical Microbiology* 1982, 1: 97-101.
- Nishida, M., Mine, Y., Nonoyama, S., Yokota, Y.: Effect of antibiotics on the phagocytosis and killing of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* by rabbit polymorphonuclear leukocytes. *Chemotherapy* 1976, 22: 203-210.
- Alexander, J. W., Good, R. A.: Effect of antibiotics on the bactericidal activity of human leukocytes. *Journal of Laboratory and Clinical Medicine* 1968, 71: 971-983.
- Easmon, C. S. F.: Antibiotics and intracellular staphylococci. In: Jeljaszewicz, J. (ed.): *Staphylococci and staphylococcal infections*. Zentralblatt für Bakteriologie, Mikrobiologie und Hygiene 1981, Supplement 10: 847-850.
- Jacobs, R. F., Wilson, C. B., Laxton, J. G., Hass, J. E., Smith, A. L.: Cellular uptake and intracellular activity of antibiotics against *Haemophilus influenzae* type b. *Journal of Infectious Diseases* 1982, 145: 152-159.
- Mandell, G. L.: Interaction of intraleukocytic bacteria and antibiotics. *Journal of Clinical Investigation* 1973, 52: 1673-1679.
- Lobo, M. C., Mandell, G. L.: The effect of antibiotics on *Escherichia coli* ingested by macrophages. *Proceedings of the Society for Experimental Biology and Medicine* 1973, 142: 1048-1050.
- Mandell, G. L., Vest, T. K.: Killing of intraleukocytic *Staphylococcus aureus* by rifampicin. *In vitro* and *in vivo* studies. *Journal of Infectious Diseases* 1972, 125: 486-490.

24. Holmes, B., Quie, P. G., Windhorst, D. B., Pollara, B., Good, B. A.: Protection of phagocytized bacteria from the killing action of antibiotics. *Nature* 1966, 210: 1131–1132.
25. Solberg, C. O.: Protection of phagocytized bacteria against antibiotics. *Acta Medica Scandinavica* 1972, 191: 383–387.
26. Johnson, J. D., Hand, W. L., Francis, J. B., King-Thompson, N., Corwin, R. W.: Antibiotic uptake by alveolar macrophages. *Journal of Laboratory and Clinical Medicine* 1980, 95: 429–439.
27. Cole, P., Brostoff, J.: Intracellular killing of *Listeria monocytogenes* by activated macrophages (Mackaness system) is due to antibiotic. *Nature* 1975, 256: 515–517.
28. Veale, D. R., Finch, H., Smith, H.: Penetration of penicillin into human phagocytes containing *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*. Intracellular survival and growth at optimum concentrations of antibiotic. *Journal of General Microbiology* 1976, 95: 353–363.
29. Gnarpe, H., Belsheim, J., Persson, S.: Tetracycline interference with leukocyte chemotaxis in vitro and in vivo. *Infection* 1978, 6, Supplement 1: 98–101.
30. Martin, R. R., Warr, G. A., Couch, R. B., Yeager, H., Knight, V.: Effects of tetracycline on leukotaxis. *Journal of Infectious Diseases* 1974, 129: 110–116.
31. Majeski, J. A., Alexander, J. W.: Evaluation of tetracycline in the neutrophil chemotactic response. *Journal of Laboratory and Clinical Medicine* 1977, 90: 259–265.
32. Gange, R. W.: Neutrophil chemotaxis in the presence of antibiotics. A reevaluation using an agarose technique. *British Journal of Dermatology* 1980, 103: 51–59.
33. Forsgren, A., Banck, G., Beckman, H., Bellahsene, A.: Antibiotic-host defence interactions in vitro and in vivo. *Scandinavian Journal of Infectious Diseases* 1980, Supplement 24: 195–203.
34. Gnarpe, H., Belsheim, J.: Direct and indirect effects of antibiotics on granulocyte activity. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* 1981, 8, Supplement C: 71–78.
35. Melby, K., Midtvedt, T.: The effect of eight antibacterial agents on the phagocytosis of ^{32}P -labelled *Escherichia coli* by rat polymorphonuclear cells. *Scandinavian Journal of Infectious Diseases* 1977, 9: 9–12.
36. Melby, K., Midtvedt, T.: Studies on the phagocytic activity of human and rat polymorphonuclear cells exposed to doxycycline in vivo. *Cancer Research* 1981, 41: 452–458.
37. Forsgren, A., Schmeling, D., Quie, P. G.: Effect of tetracycline on the phagocytic function of human leukocytes. *Journal of Infectious Diseases* 1974, 130: 412–415.
38. Munoz, J., Geister, R.: Inhibition of phagocytosis by aureomycin. *Proceedings of the Society for Experimental Biology and Medicine* 1950, 75: 367–370.
39. Altura, B. M., Hershey, S. G., Ali, M., Thaw, G.: Influence of tetracycline on phagocytosis, infection, and resistance to experimental shock. Relationship to microcirculation. *Journal of Reticuloendothelial Society* 1966, 3: 447–457.
40. Hoeprich, P. D., Martin, C. H.: Effect of tetracycline, polymyxin B, and rifampin on phagocytosis. *Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics* 1970, 11: 418–422.
41. Park, J. K., Dow, R. C.: The uptake and localization of tetracycline in human blood cells. *British Journal of Experimental Pathology* 1970, 51: 179–182.
42. Khan, A. J., Evans, H. E., Glass, L., Chang, C. T., Nair, S. R.: Abnormal neutrophil chemotaxis and random migration induced by aminoglycoside antibiotics. *Journal of Laboratory and Clinical Medicine* 1979, 93: 295–300.
43. Goodhart, G. L.: Effect of aminoglycosides on the chemotactic response of human polymorphonuclear leukocytes. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* 1977, 12: 540–542.
44. Seklecki, M. M., Quintilliani, R., Maderazo, G. G.: Aminoglycoside antibiotics moderately impair granulocyte function. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* 1978, 13: 552–554.
45. Ferrari, F. A., Pagani, A., Marconi, M., Stefanoni, R., Siccardi, A. G.: Inhibition of candidacidal activity of human neutrophil leukocytes by aminoglycoside antibiotics. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* 1980, 17: 87–88.
46. Lorian, V.: Effects of subminimum inhibitory concentrations of antibiotics on bacteria. In: Lorian, V. (ed.): *Antibiotics in laboratory medicine*. Williams and Wilkins, Baltimore, 1980, p. 342–408.