# Solution Properties of *Escherichia coli*-Expressed $V_H$ Domain of Anti-Neuraminidase Antibody NC41

Alexander A. Kortt,<sup>1,3</sup> Robin E. Guthrie,<sup>1</sup> Mark G. Hinds,<sup>2</sup> Barbara E. Power,<sup>1</sup> Neva Ivancic,<sup>1</sup> J. Bruce Caldwell,<sup>1</sup> L. Clem Gruen,<sup>1</sup> Raymond S. Norton,<sup>2</sup> and Peter J. Hudson<sup>1</sup>

Received December 5, 1994

The V<sub>H</sub> domain of anti-influenza neuraminidase antibody NC41, with and without a C-terminal hydrophilic marker peptide (FLAG<sup>TM</sup>), has been expressed in high yield (15–27 mg/L) in *Escherichia coli*. Both forms were secreted into the periplasm where they formed insoluble aggregates which were solubilized quantitatively with 2 M guanidine hydrochloride and purified to homogeneity by ion-exchange chromatography. The V<sub>H</sub>-FLAG was composed of three isoforms (pI values of ~4.6, 4.9, and 5.3) and the V<sub>H</sub> molecule was composed of two isoforms with pI values of 5.1 and 6.7; the difference between the V<sub>H</sub> isoforms was shown to be due to cyclization of the N-terminal glutamine residue in the pI 5.1 isoform. At 20°C and concentrations of 5–10 mg/ml the V<sub>H</sub> domain dimerized in solution and then partly precipitated, resulting in the broadening of resonances in its <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum. Reagents such as CHAPS, *n*-octylglucoside, and ethylene glycol, which presumably mask the exposed hydrophobic interface of the V<sub>H</sub> molecule, prevented dimerization of the V<sub>H</sub> and permitted good-quality NMR spectra on isotope-labeled protein to be obtained.

**KEY WORDS:** Antibody;  $V_H$  domain; dimerization; detergent stabilization of monomer; NMR analysis.

# **1. INTRODUCTION**

Monoclonal antibodies, with their unique specificity and affinity, are widely used as immunodiagnostic and therapeutic reagents (Winter and Milstein, 1991). The cloning of antibody genes (Orlandi *et al.*, 1989) and their expression in mammalian (Neuberger *et al.*, 1984; Riechmann *et al.*, 1988) and bacterial cells (Skerra and Plückthun, 1988; Better *et al.*, 1988) has led to the production of a new range of recombinant antibody fragments. These recombinant antibodies offer considerable

advantages over conventional monoclonal antibodies since they can be genetically manipulated to produce antigen-binding domains covalently attached to additional functional domains including enzymes, toxins, and epitopes of diagnostic interest (Haber, 1992). The Fv or single-chain fragment (scFv),<sup>4</sup> formed by joining the variable domains of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> CSIRO, Division of Biomolecular Engineering, Parkville, 3052, Victoria, Australia.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Biomolecular Research Institute, Parkville, 3052, Victoria, Australia.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> To whom correspondence should be addressed. Fax: +61 3 342 4271.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Abbreviations: CDR, complementarity-determining region; CHAPS, 3-[(cholamidopropyl)dimethylammonio]-1propanesulfonate; 2D-TOCSY, two-dimensional total correlation spectroscopy; FLAG, hydrophilic octapeptide tail, DYKDDDDK; Fv, antibody fragment containing variable domains; GuHCL, guanidine hydrochloride; HRP, horseradish peroxidase;  $M_r$ , relative molecular mass; PBS, phosphatebuffered saline, pH 7.3; scFv, single-chain Fv fragment;  $V_H$  and  $V_L$ , variable domains of antibody heavy and light chains, respectively.

heavy chain  $(V_H)$  and light chain  $(V_I)$  with a peptide linker (Huston et al., 1991), is the smallest fragment produced which shows binding affinities comparable to the parent Fab and has been used for a number of clinical and diagnostic applications (Lilley et al., 1994). Attempts to produce single-domain antigen-binding fragments with affinities approaching the parent antibody have had limited success (Plückthun, 1990; Ward et al., 1989; Power et al., 1992). V<sub>H</sub> domains with binding affinities of  $\sim 10^6 \,\mathrm{M}$  for lysozyme have been obtained from expression libraries of mouse V<sub>H</sub> genes (Ward et al., 1989). However, the expression of isolated  $V_H$  or  $V_L$  domains has not produced molecules with the binding specificity or affinity comparable to the parent antibody. It is therefore important to correlate this observation with the structural properties of the  $V_H$  and  $V_L$  domains in isolation.

Individual recombinant antibody domains such as V<sub>H</sub> domains with  $M_r \sim 13,000$  are suitable for structural analysis by NMR methods using a variety of multidimensional and multinuclear approaches. Aggregation problems, especially at higher protein concentrations, however, have hampered NMR studies of these molecules. A human  $V_H$  domain, OX13  $V_{H}$ , produced in Escherichia *coli* was found to aggregate, probably via the exposed hydrophobic surface on the  $V_{\rm H}$  domain that interacts with the  $V_{\rm L}$ domain (Davies and Riechmann, 1994). This aggregation problem was overcome by mutation of hydrophobic interface residues specific to hydrophilic residues that are found in this region in the heavy-chain gene sequence of camelid  $V_H$ domains. Camelid heavy chains occur in solution without light chains, which may account for the higher solubility of 'camelized' V<sub>H</sub> domains (Hammers-Casterman *et al.*, 1993). A soluble  $V_L$ domain has been isolated and its solution structure determined by NMR analysis (Constantine et al., 1994).

The V<sub>H</sub> domain of the monoclonal antibody NC41, which recognizes an epitope on influenza virus neuraminidase, has been expressed in *E. coli* using a temperature-inducible expression system (Power *et al.*, 1992). V<sub>H</sub> domains with and without a hydrophilic octapeptide affinity label, referred to as FLAG, fused at the C-terminus have been purified at yields of 15-27 mg/L. The three-dimensional structure of the complex between N9 neuraminidase and the NC41 Fab fragment has been determined by X-ray crystallography (Colman

*et al.*, 1987, 1989; Tulip *et al.*, 1992) and the  $V_H$  domain of NC41 represents an opportunity for a comparative study by NMR methods of the structure, dynamics, and function of an isolated immunoglobulin domain in solution. In this paper we report on the purification, properties, and aggregation state of the NC41  $V_H$  domain with and without the C-terminal FLAG peptide and on the preliminary results of NMR analysis of this  $V_H$  domain.

# 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

# 2.1. Expression and Isolation of the NC41 V<sub>H</sub> Domain

NC41  $V_H$  gene constructs with and without a C-terminal FLAG affinity label were expressed using a temperature-inducible vector (pPOW) as described previously (Power *et al.*, 1992). Expression in *E. coli* strain BMH 71-18 yielded the bulk of the  $V_H$  product, from both constructs, in the periplasm as an insoluble aggregate which associated with the cell membrane pellet on lysis of the cells by sonication; a small amount of soluble  $V_H$  (and  $V_H$ -FLAG) was found in the supernatant fraction. These membrane and supernatant fractions were prepared as described previously (Power *et al.*, 1992).

# 2.2. Purification of V<sub>H</sub>

The membrane pellet from 1 L of culture was resuspended in 60 ml of 2.0 M guanidine hydrochloride (GuHCl), 0.1 M Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, and stirred for 2 hr at room temperature. The suspension was centrifuged to remove insoluble residue and the supernatant containing the solubilized V<sub>H</sub> was dialyzed extensively against 0.025 M Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, at 4°C to remove GuHCl. A precipitate that formed on dialysis was removed by centrifugation and the soluble fraction was concentrated by ultrafiltration (Amicon stirred cell, YM10 membrane), and chromatographed on a Mono-Q (HR5/5) column in 0.025 M Tris-HCl, pH8.0, using a linear salt gradient to elute symmetrical peaks of V<sub>H</sub>-FLAG and V<sub>H</sub>.

Soluble  $V_H$  and  $V_H$ -FLAG present in the supernatant fraction were purified as follows: the supernatant was concentrated ~10-fold by ultra-

filtration and passed down a Sephadex G-100 column ( $50 \times 2$  cm) in 0.025 M Tris-HCl, 0.1 M NaCl, *p*H 8.0, to remove high- and low-molecularmass bacterial proteins. Fractions containing V<sub>H</sub> were pooled, concentrated, and chromatographed on a Mono-Q column as described above.

## 2.3. Large-Scale Purification of V<sub>H</sub>

For large-scale purification of V<sub>H</sub> (without FLAG), E. coli BMH 71-18 cells were grown in a 2-L fermenter (LH series 500, LH Engineering, U.K.), using a defined medium with ammonium chloride as the nitrogen source and glycerol as the carbon source. <sup>15</sup>NH<sub>4</sub>Cl (99.7 at % <sup>15</sup>N) was purchased from Isotec Inc. (Ohio). The temperature was maintained at 28°C prior to induction and the pH was controlled at 7.0 using 4 N NaOH and 2 N H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. Foam was controlled with LQ217 anti-foam (Hemkel, Australia). Induction was carried out at an  $A_{600}$  of 4.0 by raising the temperature of the culture to 42°C as described previously (Power et al., 1992). The culture was harvested 4 hr after induction at an  $A_{600}$  of 6.0. The cells were centrifuged at 5000g and the pellet was resuspended in PBS at pH 7.3. The cells were lysed using sonication (Branson Sonic Power Co.) and centrifuged at 12,000g for 45 min to yield the soluble and membrane fractions.

The cell membrane pellet from 2 L of culture was extracted with 90 ml of 2.0 M GuHCl, 0.1 M Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, the residue was reextracted with 90 ml of the same buffer, and the supernatants dialyzed at 4°C against  $6 \times 2 L$  of deionized water. The dialyzates were warmed to room temperature and centrifuged at 7740g, 20°C for 15 min. The supernatant was adjusted to pH 8.8 with Tris buffer and applied to a Merck Fractogel EMD TMAE-650 column ( $86 \times 16$  mm) equilibrated with 0.025 M Tris-HCl, pH 8.8, at room temperature. The column was eluted with the starting buffer at 3 ml/min for 10 min and then a linear gradient of NaCl to 0.07 M NaCl in 20 min was applied. Fractions containing V<sub>H</sub> were dialyzed against water, concentrated by ultrafiltration (Amicon YM10 membrane), and stored frozen. Samples for NMR analysis were chromatographed on a Sephacryl S-100 column  $(2.6 \times 90 \text{ cm})$  in PBS at a flow rate of 2.5 ml/min and the fractions containing  $V_{\rm H}$  were concentrated to ~10 mg/ml. The <sup>15</sup>Nlabeled V<sub>H</sub> was purified using the same protocol. The yield of purified  $V_H$  was  $\sim 27 \text{ mg/L}$  using fortified superbroth medium described in Power *et al.* (1992) and  $\sim 15 \text{ mg/L}$  using the defined medium

#### 2.4. Other Chromatographic Methods

as described above.

The two isoforms of  $V_H$  were separated by chromatography on a Mono-S (HR5/5) column equilibrated with 0.025 M sodium acetate, 0.09 M NaCl, *p*H 4.2, and eluted with a linear gradient to 0.14 M NaCl at a flow rate of 1 ml/min. The two  $V_H$ peaks were dialyzed against water and lyophilized.

The molecular mass and purity of the  $V_H$  preparations were determined by analytical gel filtration on a calibrated Superose 12 column  $(30 \times 1 \text{ cm})$  in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) run at a flow rate of 0.5 ml/min. The column was calibrated with the following standard proteins: thyroglobulin (669 kDa), ferritin (440 kDa), catalase (232 kDa), aldolase (158 kDa), bovine serum albumin dimer (132 kDa), bovine serum albumin (66.2 kDa), ovalbumin (45 kDa), and soybean trypsin inhibitor (21.5 kDa).

## 2.5. Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis

Sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) was performed in 1.5-mm slabs [15% (w/v) polyacrylamide/0.8% bis] in a Bio-Rad Mini-Protean II gel apparatus (Laemmli, 1970). Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis under nondenaturing conditions was carried out in 1.5-mm slabs [7.5% (w/v) polyacrylamide] at pH8.8 (Davis, 1964; Ornstein, 1964). The gels were stained with 0.2% Coomassie brilliant blue G in 10% (v/v) acetic acid/50% methanol and destained in 7.5% (v/v) acetic acid/10% methanol. Isoelectric focusing was carried out using Ampholine (LKB) polyacrylamide gel plates (pH range 3.5–9.5) according to the manufacturer's instructions, using an LKB Multiphor apparatus at 10°C for 5 hr with a maximum current of 10 mA and a final voltage of 1400 V. The plates were stained with Coomassie blue R (0.1 g/L) containing  $CuSO_4$  (1 g/L) in 10% acetic acid/25% ethanol (Righetti and Drysdale, 1974). For Western blots, proteins were transferred to nitrocellulose with 0.025 M Tris-glycine, pH 8.5, containing 20% methanol as the transfer buffer. The detection reagent was sheep anti-mouse HRP conjugate (Silenus) as the second antibody and 4-chloro-1-naphthol as the color reagent.

# 2.6. Tryptic Cleavage and Peptide Fractionation

 $V_{\rm H}$  and  $V_{\rm H}$ -FLAG samples (~150  $\mu$ g) were dissolved in 200  $\mu$ l of 0.1 M ammonium bicarbonate and digested with trypsin (5  $\mu$ g) at 37°C for 3 hr. The digests were dried at 50°C under vacuum and redissolved in 0.5 ml of 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid and centrifuged. The peptides were fractionated by RP-HPLC on a Vydac 218 TP54 column (4.4 × 250 mm) as described previously (Caldwell *et al.*, 1990).

Tryptic peptide, T1a, which had a blocked N-terminus, was digested with pyroglutamyl aminopeptidase (Boehringer-Mannheim) as described (Crimmins *et al.*, 1988). The digest was purified by **RP-HPLC** using the same conditions as for the original digest, to yield a main peak eluting at a lower concentration of acetonitrile than the undigested peptide.

Peptide sequences were determined with a Model 470A gas-phase sequencer (Applied Biosystems, U.S.) with on-line phenylthiohydantoin (PTH) analysis. Proteins and peptides (~3 nmol) for amino acid analysis were hydrolyzed in 6 M HCl (24 hr) at 110°C and analyzed on a Waters HPLC amino acid analyzer using postcolumn ninhydrin detection.

# 2.7. V<sub>H</sub> Aggregation

The state of aggregation of  $V_H$  and  $V_H$ -FLAG stored under various conditions was monitored by FPLC on Superose 12 in 0.025 M sodium acetate, 0.05 M NaCl, pH 5 (flow rate 0.5 ml/min), and by SDS-PAGE with and without  $\beta$ -mercaptoethanol. Aggregated V<sub>H</sub> samples were fractionated into monomeric and dimeric forms by chromatography on Superose 12 and concentrated to 5.4 and 2.3 mg/ml, respectively. The stability of the monomer and dimer V<sub>H</sub> was assessed over 14 days in the presence of a number of detergents and reagents that affect aggregation, including 3-[(cholamidopropyl)dimethylammonio]-1-propane sulfonate (CHAPS) (17 mM), n-octylglucoside (17 mM), ethylene glycol (60% v/v), urea (1.7 M), and KCl (1 M). The  $V_H$  samples in the presence of each reagent were stored at ambient temperature  $(30 \pm 2^{\circ}C)$  and 5-µl aliquots were taken for Superose 12 chromatography to monitor the stability of the monomer and dimer forms. The heights of the peaks in the chromatograms were measured and used to calculate the percentage of dimer to monomer in each run. In a second experiment the effect of ethylene glycol (5%, 10%, and 30% v/v) was examined at ambient temperature with a  $V_H$  sample at 8.2 mg/ml. The effect of storage of  $V_H$  at 4°C was also examined. Similar experiments were also performed with  $V_H$ -FLAG.

# 2.8. Circular Dichroism Measurements

Circular dichroism (CD) experiments were performed with an Aviv 62 DS Circular Dichroism Spectrometer at 20°C, using  $V_H$  concentrations of ~1 mg/ml in PBS and cells of 1 mm path length.

# 2.9. Binding Studies

Studies to measure the interaction of NC41  $V_H$ with tern and whale N9 neuraminidase included sedimentation equilibrium analysis using a Beckman airfuge with <sup>125</sup>I-labeled  $V_H$ -FLAG as described (Gruen *et al.*, 1993) and biosensor analysis using the IAsys biosensor (Fisons Applied Sensor Technology) with neuraminidase immobilized on the cuvette surface. The binding activity of NC41  $V_H$  toward tern N9 neuraminidase was also assessed qualitatively by size exclusion FPLC.

## 2.10. NMR Spectroscopy

NMR studies were carried out with a protein concentration of  $\sim 1 \text{ mM} (15 \text{ mg/ml})$  in 90% H<sub>2</sub>O/ 10% <sup>2</sup>H<sub>2</sub>O in the presence or absence of 17 mM CHAPS, at pH 5.5 with a total sample volume of 500 µl. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were recorded at 20°C on a Bruker AMX-600 spectrometer. The carrier was placed in the center of the spectrum and quadrature detection was used. Homonuclear 2D-NMR spectra were recorded in phase-sensitive mode using time-proportional phase incrementation (Marion and Wüthrich, 1983). TOCSY spectra (Braunschweiler and Ernst, 1983; Bax and Davis, 1985) were recorded using a DIPSI-2 (Rucker and Shaka, 1989) spin lock mixing sequence and a mixing time of 30-50 msec, at a spin lock field strength of 9.8 kHz. Heteronuclear <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>15</sup>N correlated spectra were acquired using the standard pulse sequences of Bax et al. (1983) and Bodenhausen and Ruben (1980). Decoupling of <sup>15</sup>N during acquisition was performed with the composite pulse sequence GARP-1 (Shaka et al., 1985) with a field strength of 1.6 kHz.

Solvent suppression was achieved using phasecoherent presaturation during the relaxation delay (2 sec). The <sup>1</sup>H spectral width was 7042 Hz, acquired over 2K data points and 256-512 increments with 128 scans per increment. The <sup>15</sup>N spectral width was 2500 Hz. Data were zero-filled to vield final  $2K \times 2K$  real matrices. Before Fourier transformation a phase-shifted, sine-bell squared apodization was applied, shifted  $60^{\circ}$  in  $\omega_1$  and  $45^{\circ}$  in  $\omega_2$ . Data processing and analysis were carried out using the software packages UXNMR and AURELIA (Bruker Analytische Messtechnik GmbH).

# 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## 3.1. Purification

0.3

0.2

0.1

۵

0

A<sub>280</sub> (-

The pPOW expression system in *E. coli* strain BMH 71-18 yielded  $V_H$  and  $V_H$ -FLAG mainly as insoluble protein aggregates in the periplasm and the protein pelleted with the cell membrane

1.0

0.8

0.2

**Fig. 1.** Chromatography of solubilized  $V_H$  on a column (86 × 16 cm) of Fractogel EMD TMAE-650M (Merck). The sample (130 ml of soluble extract containing ~20 mg of protein) was loaded onto the column and eluted with 0.025 M Tris-HCl, pH 8.8, for 10 min and then a linear gradient to 7% of 0.025 M Tris-HCl, 1.0 M NaCl, pH 8.8, over 30 min at a flow rate of 3 ml/min was applied. The  $V_H$  peak indicated by the bar was collected.

20

Time (min)

40

fraction on centrifugation of disrupted cells. About 90% of the synthesized protein was solubilized by a single extraction with 2 M GuHCl, 0.1 M Tris-HCl, pH 8.0 buffer, and V<sub>H</sub> and V<sub>H</sub>-FLAG remained in solution on removal of the denaturant by dialysis. The hydrophilic C-terminal FLAG peptide did not enhance the solubility of the expressed V<sub>H</sub>. Chromatography of the solubilized V<sub>H</sub> (and V<sub>H</sub>-FLAG) on a Mono-Q column yielded a peak of pure protein.

Preparative-scale chromatography of GuHClsolubilized  $V_H$  extracts on Fractogel EMD TMAE-650 gave essentially pure  $V_H$  (Fig. 1). The Sephacryl S-100 column removed  $V_H$  aggregates and low-molecular-mass contaminants that interfered with the NMR spectra.

## 3.2. Purity and Isoelectric Point

The V<sub>H</sub> and V<sub>H</sub>-FLAG preparations were homogeneous as judged by nondenaturing PAGE at *p*H 8.8 (Fig. 2A) and SDS–PAGE (Fig. 2B). N-terminal analyses gave the expected sequence, and the amino acid compositions of both V<sub>H</sub> and V<sub>H</sub>-FLAG were in close agreement with the calculated compositions. Gel filtration on a calibrated Superose 12 column showed that the

**Fig. 2.** Polyacrylamide gel analysis of purified  $V_{H}$ . (A) native PAGE at *p*H 8.8 (7.5% polyacrylamide): lane 1,  $V_{H}$ ; lane 2,  $V_{H}$ -FLAG. (B) SDS-PAGE (15% polyacrylamide): lanes 1 and 2 as for panel A.



purified  $V_H$  preparations eluted as monomers with a molecular mass of  $\sim 14$  kDa.

Isoelectric focusing, however, showed that both purified V<sub>H</sub> and V<sub>H</sub>-FLAG were not single components (Fig. 3). V<sub>H</sub>-FLAG focused as three bands with pI values of ~4.6, 4.9, and 5.3, whereas  $V_{\rm H}$  focused as two bands with pI values of 5.1 and 6.7 (Fig. 3). The two components present in the  $V_{\rm H}$ preparation were separated by cation exchange chromatography on a Mono-S column (Fig. 4). The amino acid compositions of these two components were identical and hence they are isoforms of  $V_{\rm H}$ . The pI 6.7 isoform gave the expected N-terminal sequence, QVQLQQSGPELK, but the pI 5.1 isoform was blocked. As the N-terminus of the  $V_H$ is glutamine, cyclization to pyroglutamic acid would account for the blocked N-terminus and the lower pI due to the loss of a positive charge.

< 6.46< 5.3 >4.9 >4.6 >4.6 >1 $V_{H}$  $V_{H}$ -FLAG

**Fig. 3.** Isoelectric focusing of  $V_H$  and  $V_H$ -FLAG. Left panel shows the  $V_H$  and the right panel shows the  $V_H$ -FLAG in separate focusing experiments.



**Fig. 4.** Chromatography of  $V_{\rm H}$  on Mono-S HR5/5 column (Pharmacia-LKB) to separate the two  $V_{\rm H}$  isoforms. The column was equilibrated with 0.025 M sodium acetate, 0.09 M NaCl, *p*H 4.2, and eluted with a linear gradient to 0.14 M NaCl at a flow rate of 1 ml/min. The two peaks were pooled as indicated by the bars. The bottom panel shows the isoelectric focusing gel of  $V_{\rm H}$  and the two separated isoforms. (1)  $V_{\rm H}$ , (2) pI 6.7 isoform. (3) pI 5.1 isoform.

Comparison of peptide profiles of tryptic digests of the two V<sub>H</sub> isoforms showed only one difference in the peptide positions (Fig. 5a). The tryptic peptide peaks in Fig. 5a were identified by sequencing and amino acid analysis as indicated in the sequence in Fig. 5b. Peptides T1a and T1b (Fig. 5a) gave the amino acid composition expected for the N-terminal tryptic peptide of  $V_H$  and the peptide (T1a) from isoform pI 5.1 was blocked. Pyroglutamyl aminopeptidase digestion of blocked peptide T1a gave a single peptide which on RP-HPLC eluted from the column earlier than T1a and yielded the N-terminal sequence VQL, indicating that it was the deblocked N-terminal peptide. These results show that cyclization of the N-terminal glutamine residue accounts for the



**Fig. 5.** Reverse-phase HPLC profiles of tryptic digests of (a)  $V_H$  isoform pI 5.1 and (b)  $V_H$  isoform pI 6.7. The Vydac 218 TP54 column (4.4×250 mm) was equilibrated in 0.1% (by volume) trifluoroacetic acid at 45°C and peptides eluted with a linear gradient of 0.1% (by volume) trifluoroacetic acid/70% acetonit-rile over 60 min. The tryptic peptides were identified by sequencing and amino acid analysis as indicated below. (c) The amino acid sequence of recombinant  $V_H$ -FLAG domain showing the tryptic peptides identified in Fig. 5a, b by amino acid composition and sequencing.

isoforms observed for the purified  $V_H$ , although it is not known at which stage of the expression or purification this cyclization occurs. The tryptic peptide profile of  $V_H$ -FLAG contained both peptides T1a and T1b, indicating that some of the  $V_H$ -FLAG molecules also have a cyclized Nterminal glutamine. This accounts for two of the bands observed on isoelectric focusing, but the origin of the third band was not investigated (Fig. 3). The profile for  $V_H$ -FLAG was identical to the tryptic peptide profile for  $V_H$  except that T11, which now contains the FLAG peptide, separates from T4. Trypsin did not cleave the Lys-Asp bond in the C-terminal FLAG peptide.

## 3.3. V<sub>H</sub> Aggregation

At alkaline pH (~11.0) V<sub>H</sub> dimerized slowly and higher-molecular-mass multimers were formed on extended storage. SDS–PAGE under nonreducing and reducing conditions showed (data not shown) that this aggregation was due to disulfide cross-linking, presumably due to disulfide interchange. This covalent dimerization was not observed at acid *p*H.

In PBS at 1 mg/ml,  $V_H$  and  $V_H$ -FLAG molecules were stable at 4°C and room temperature and showed no signs of aggregation. However, at protein concentrations of 5–10 mg/ml, as required for NMR measurements, the  $V_H$  started to form dimers in solution and eventually to precipitate (see below). Superose 12 gel filtration of  $V_H$  samples after NMR analysis showed that considerable dimerization had occurred (Fig. 6).

The effect of temperature, detergents, and denaturants on the dimerization of  $V_H$  at higher protein concentrations was therefore investigated. The  $V_H$  monomer at 5.4 mg/ml and 4°C did not dimerize, whereas at 20°C the monomer slowly dimerized to yield about 30% dimer after 14 days (Fig. 7a). In contrast, the  $V_H$  dimer was relatively stable, with only about 5% dissociating over this time period. Low concentrations of urea (1.7 M) accelerated both dimerization of the monomer and dissociation of the dimer to an equilibrium mixture



Fig. 6. Superose 12 gel filtration of a  $V_H$  monomer sample at 10 mg/ml after NMR analysis. The column was equilibrated with 0.025 M Na acetate, 0.05 M NaCl, *p*H 5.0, and run at a flow rate of 0.5 ml/min. The peak eluting at 30.3 min is dimer and that at 35.2 min is monomer.



**Fig. 7.** Stability of  $V_H$  monomer and dimer. (a) Monomer (5.3 mg/ml) ( $\bigcirc$ - $\bigcirc$ ) and dimer (2.8 mg/ml) ( $\blacksquare$ - $\blacksquare$ ) were stored at room temperature ( $\sim$ 20°C) and the proportions of monomer to dimer in the sample determined by gel filtration on Superose 12. (b) Effect of urea (1.7 M) on the stability of  $V_H$  monomer ( $\bigcirc$ - $\bigcirc$ ) and dimer ( $\blacksquare$ - $\blacksquare$ ) under the same conditions.

of about 40% monomer and 60% dimer in 3 days (Fig. 7b). KCl (1 M) also accelerated dimer formation ( $\sim 50\%$  formed in 14 days), but had little effect on dissociation of the dimer. Reagents which disrupt hydrophobic interactions, such as CHAPS (17 mM), *n*-octylglucoside (17 mM), and ethylene glycol ( $\geq 10\%$  v/v), at 20°C stabilized the monomer, with no dimerization evident after 14 days (Fig. 8). N-Octylglucoside did not dissociate the dimer, whereas CHAPS and ethylene glycol produced partial dissociation (not shown). These results show that CHAPS and ethylene glycol at relatively low concentrations effectively prevent dimerization of the V<sub>H</sub> domain at higher protein concentrations. The hydrophilic peptide (FLAG) at the C-terminus of the V<sub>H</sub> domain did not affect the dimerization



**Fig. 8.** Effect of detergents and other reagents on the dimerization of  $V_H$  monomer at 5.3 mg/ml and room temperature. (**II**)  $V_H$ , no additives; (**A**) KCl (1 M); (**V**) urea (1.7 M); (**•**) ethylene glycol; ( $\neg Q_{\tau}$ ) CHAPS (1.7 M); ( $\bigcirc$ ) *n*-octylglucoside (1.7 M).

properties of the  $V_H$ -FLAG, as this molecule showed similar behavior to the  $V_H$  under the same conditions as described above.

Attempts to decrease the hydrophobicity of the exposed  $V_H$  surface by substituting Glu for Trp at residue 110 (Fig. 5c; residue 103 in Kabat numbering) failed to produce a molecule with improved solubility characteristics. This mutant ( $V_H$ -W110E) expressed to similar levels as the  $V_H$ , but on extraction was found to aggregate extensively (Power *et al.*, unpublished data).

## 3.4. Circular Dichroism Spectrum

The far-ultraviolet CD spectrum of an aqueous PBS solution of soluble  $V_{\rm H}$  isolated from the *E. coli* supernatant is shown in Fig. 9. The spectrum features a broad negative band with  $\lambda_{\rm max}$  about 217 nm, which is characteristic of  $\beta$ -sheet-rich proteins (Brahms and Brahms, 1980). The absence of strong negative bands centered around 208 and



Fig. 9. Circular dichroic spectrum of NC41 V<sub>H</sub> domain.

222 nm indicates that the  $\alpha$ -helix content of the antibody fragment is minimal. The secondary structure of V<sub>H</sub> was predicted from this CD spectrum using the estimator program PROSEC, which uses basis spectra derived from Yang *et al.* (1986); this analysis revealed that ~75% of the V<sub>H</sub> molecule exists in the  $\beta$ -conformation, with only ~6% present as  $\alpha$ -helix. CD spectra of the soluble V<sub>H</sub>-FLAG and that of V<sub>H</sub>-FLAG extracted with GuHCl were virtually identical, thus indicating that any partial unfolding in 2 M GuHCl and subsequent refolding of the molecule produced no apparent effect on the secondary structure.

# 3.5. Binding Studies

Gel filtration on a calibrated Superose 12 column in PBS showed no detectable interaction between V<sub>H</sub>-FLAG and N9 tern neuraminidase. Sedimentation equilibrium experiments with <sup>125</sup>Ilabeled V<sub>H</sub>-FLAG also indicated that binding to neuraminidase was extremely weak and a  $K_a$  of  $<10^4$  M<sup>-1</sup> was estimated. No V<sub>H</sub>-FLAG binding to immobilized tern N9 neuraminidase was detected using an IAsys biosensor instrument; in contrast, NC41 V<sub>L</sub> was found to bind weakly to immobilized neuraminidase in the biosensor (D. Dougan, unpublished results).

The NC41  $V_{\rm H}$  domain was not recognized by anti-idiotype antibody 1C9-10, which binds strongly to the parent NC41 Fab. These results indicate that in the case of this antibody, the three CDR regions of the  $V_H$  domain are not sufficient for detectable binding to the epitope on neuraminidase or recognition by the anti-idiotype antibody and require a combined contribution from the CDRs of the V<sub>1</sub> domain. Recombinant anti-neuraminidase scFv's of antibodies NC41 and NC10 bind strongly to neuraminidase and anti-idiotype antibodies against the parent antibodies (Kortt et al., 1994; Kortt et al., unpublished data). Structural studies (Tulip et al., 1992) have shown that in the interaction between tern N9 neuraminidase and NC41 Fab only five of the six CDRs make contact with the neuraminidase over a large surface area, with the  $V_H$  domain contributing three CDRs and the  $V_L$  only two CDRs. Therefore, contribution of the  $V_L$  CDRs is essential for binding to occur with this antibody molecule. It is possible, however, that the structure of the  $V_H$  domain, particularly in the

vicinity of the combining site, may be altered in the recombinant molecule.

## 3.6. NMR Analysis of the NC41 VH Domain

The 600-MHz 1D <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum of the  $V_{H}$ domain in  $H_2O$  at 20°C and pH 5.5 is shown in Fig. 10 and a homonuclear 2D TOCSY spectrum in Fig. 11. The heteronuclear 2D <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>15</sup>N correlated NMR spectrum of uniformly <sup>15</sup>N-labeled V<sub>H</sub> domain shown in Fig. 12 displays well-dispersed nitrogen and amide proton chemical shifts. The number of signals displayed in the <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>15</sup>N correlated spectrum and the number of correlations in the fingerprint region of the TOCSY spectrum (Fig. 11) are appropriate for a protein of the size of the  $V_{H}$ domain. The chemical shift dispersion of both the amide protons and nitrogens in the <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>15</sup>N correlated spectrum, in conjunction with a large dispersion of chemical shifts for resonances of the  $\alpha$ -protons in the homonuclear spectra, is consistent with the presence of  $\beta$ -sheet secondary structure. Slowly exchanging amide protons in an <sup>2</sup>H<sub>2</sub>O exchange experiment are also indicative of the presence of secondary structural elements; 22 such amide protons remain unexchanged 11 days after dissolving the  $V_{\rm H}$  protein in  ${}^{2}{\rm H}_{2}{\rm O}$ . The features demonstrated by the NMR spectra indicate that the isolated V<sub>H</sub> domain exists in solution as a stable folded entity rather than in a random coil conformation and the spectral features are consistent with the presence of  $\beta$ -sheet secondary structure as would be expected for this antibody domain.

In the course of the NMR experiments a protein precipitate appeared, accompanied by a significant degradation of spectral quality. This deterioration in the NMR spectra of the V<sub>H</sub> domain manifested as an increase in resonance linewidths and a reduction of signal intensity, rendering these spectra difficult to interpret. PAGE analysis of the NMR samples demonstrated that no significant proteolytic degradation of the protein had occurred, but gel filtration showed the formation of dimer in the sample (Fig. 6) over the time period of the experiment. Protein aggregation causes an increase in the rotational correlation time of the protein, which is reflected in NMR spectra by an increase in the inherent linewidths of resonances (Wüthrich, 1986). Dimerization of another  $V_H$ 



Fig. 10. The 1D 600-MHz <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum of  $V_H$  domain in 90% H<sub>2</sub>O/10% <sup>2</sup>H<sub>2</sub>O at pH 5.5 and 20°C.



Fig. 11. The 2D TOCSY spectrum of the amide-alpha region of  $V_H$  domain at 44 msec mixing time acquired at *p*H 5.5 and 20°C in 90% H<sub>2</sub>O/10% <sup>2</sup>H<sub>2</sub>O. Downfield signals visible in the HMQC (Fig. 12) are visible at lower contour level.



Fig. 12. The 2D  $^{1}H^{-15}N$  correlation spectrum (HMQC) of V<sub>H</sub> domain at 600 MHz in 90% H<sub>2</sub>O/10%  $^{2}H_{2}O$  at pH 5.5 and 20°C.

under similar conditions has been noted recently (Davies and Riechmann, 1994).

The problem of poor spectral quality was overcome by using conditions which prevent dimerization of the V<sub>H</sub> domain. Careful temperature control and the use of detergents were shown to increase the stability of the monomeric  $V_{H}$ species in solution (Fig. 9). The detergent CHAPS has been used successfully to prevent sample aggregation and to improve NMR spectra by reducing the linewidth of resonances in other proteins (Anglister et al., 1993; Davies and Riechmann, 1994). It is a mild nondenaturing detergent (Hjelmeland et al., 1983) which is thought to alleviate aggregation by binding to exposed hydrophobic surfaces. The aggregation number of CHAPS is lower than that of other commonly used detergents, which is an important consideration, as the total molecular mass of the protein-detergent complex has a bearing on the overall rotational correlation time and hence on the linewidth of resonances in the NMR spectrum. A disadvantage of CHAPS is that it is not readily available in deuterated form and isotopically labeled protein has to be used since the upfield part of the protein <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum is obliterated by signals from the aliphatic protons of CHAPS. Another disadvantage of nondeuteration of CHAPS is that magnetization can potentially be transferred via spin diffusion and chemical exchange processes (Wüthrich, 1986) providing extra relaxation pathways which may ultimately lead to broader spectral lines.

The addition of CHAPS (17 nM) to solutions of  $V_H$  increased the thermal stability of the  $V_H$ monomer, with no evidence of precipitation at 30°C during the NMR experiment, and allowed the acquisition of better-quality spectra. The improvement in spectral quality upon addition of CHAPS should enable the structure of this  $V_H$  domain to be determined using NMR.

The expression in *E. coli* and purification of milligram amounts of the  $V_{\rm H}$  domain of antineuraminidase antibody NC41 provide an opportunity to determine the structure of this single antibody domain in solution and to compare the structure with that determined for NC41 Fab by X-ray crystallography (Tulip *et al.*, 1992).  $V_{\rm H}$  domains with and without a hydrophilic C-terminal peptide marker (FLAG) were expressed and purified, and the  $V_{\rm H}$  domain without the additional FLAG residues was chosen for NMR studies, as the FLAG peptide did not influence the properties (such as solubility) of the  $V_{\rm H}$  domains. Circular

dichroic spectra indicated that the  $V_H$  solubilized in 2 M GuHCl had the same structural features as soluble  $V_H$  isolated without denaturant.

The NC41 V<sub>H</sub> domain at moderate protein concentrations (1-3 mg/ml) at 4°C showed little propensity to dimerize; however, V<sub>H</sub> dimers formed over a period of several days at 25°C at 5–10 mg/ml during the collection of NMR spectral data resulting in poor spectral quality. Attempts to improve the solubility of  $V_{\rm H}$  domains either by substituting hydrophobic with hydrophilic residues (in our case with  $Trp \rightarrow Glu$  at 110) or by substituting camelid consensus residues (Davies and Riechmann, 1994; Muyldermans et al., 1994) may significantly alter the structural integrity of  $V_{H}$ domains, particularly at the  $V_H/V_L$  interface. Detergents such as CHAPS, N-octylglucoside, and ethylene glycol are better alternatives to prevent this dimerization and were shown to produce improved spectral quality to allow the collection of data which should enable the structure of the NC41  $V_H$  domain to be determined.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Dr. J. L. McKimm-Breschkin and D. Marshall for their assistance in preparing the neuraminidase used in this study, Dr. R. G. Webster for making available the NC41 monoclonal antibody cell line, and J. Burns for technical assistance. We thank Dave Dougan for providing the IAsys biosensor binding data for NC41  $V_L$  prior to publication.

#### REFERENCES

- Anglister, J., Grzesiek, S., Ren, H., Klee, C. B., and Bax, A. (1993). J. Biomol. NMR 3, 121-126.
- Bax, A., and Davis, D. G. (1985). J. Magn. Reson. 65, 355-360.
- Bax, A., Griffey, R. H., and Hawkins, B. L. (1983). J. Magn. Reson. 55, 301-315.
- Better, M., Chang, C. P., Robinson, R. R., and Horowitz, A. H. (1988). *Science* **240**, 1041–1043.
- Bodenhausen, G., and Ruben, D. G. (1980). Chem. Phys. Lett. 69, 185-189.
- Brahms, S., and Brahms, J. (1980). J. Mol. Biol. 138, 149-178.
- Braunschweiler, L., and Ernst, R. R. (1983). J. Magn. Reson. 53, 521–528.
- Caldwell, J. B., Strike, P. M., and Kortt, A. A. (1990). J. Protein

Chem. 9, 493-499.

- Colman, P. M., Laver, W. G., Varghese, J. N., Baker, A. T., Tulloch, P. A., Air, G. M., and Webster, R. G. (1987). *Nature* 326, 358–363.
- Colman, P. M., Tulip, W. R., Varghese, J. N., Tulloch, P. A., Baker, A. T., Laver, W. G., Air, G. M., and Webster, R. G. (1989). *Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. Lond. B* **323**, 511–518.
- Constantine, K. L., Friedrichs, M. S., Metzler, W. J., Wittekind, M., Hensley, P., and Mueller, L. (1994). J. Mol. Biol. 236, 310–327.
- Crimmins, D. L., McCourt, D. W., and Schwartz, B. D. (1988). Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 156, 910-916.
- Davies, J., and Riechmann, L. (1994). FEBS Lett. 339, 285-290.
- Davis, J. (1964). Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 121, 404-427.
- Gruen, L. C., McInerney, T. L., Webster, R. G., and Jackson, D. C. (1993). J. Protein Chem. 12, 255–259.
- Haber, E. (1992). Immun. Rev. 130, 189-212.
- Hamers-Casterman, C., Atarhouch, T., Muyldermans, S., Robinson, G., Hamers, C., Bajyana Songa, E., Bendahman, N., and Hamers, R. (1993). *Nature* 363, 446-448.
- Hjelmeland, L. M., Nebert, D. W., and Osbourne, J. C. (1983). Anal. Biochem. 130, 72-82.
- Huston, J. S., Mudgett-Hunter, M., Tai, M.-S., McCartney, J., Haber, E., and Opperman, H. (1991). *Meth. Enzymol.* 203, 46-88.
- Kortt, A. A., Malby, R. L., Caldwell, J. B., Gruen, L. C., Ivancic, N., Lawrence, M. C., Howlett, G. J., Webster, R. G., Hudson, P. J., and Colman, P. M. (1994). *Eur J. Biochem.* 221, 151–157.
- Laemmli, U. K. (1970). Nature 27, 680-685.
- Lilley, G. G., Dolezal, O., Hillyard, C. J., Bernard, C., and Hudson, P. J. (1994). J. Immun. Meth. 171, 211-226.
- Marion, D., and Wüthrich, K. (1983). Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 113, 967-974.
- Muyldermans, S., Atarhouch, T., Saldanha, J., Barbosa, J. A. R. G., and Hamers, R. (1994). Protein Eng. 7, 1129–1135.
- Neuberger, M. S., Williams, G. T., and Fox, R. O. (1984). *Nature* **312**, 604–608.
- Orlandi, R., Güssow, D. H., Jones, P. T., and Winter, G. (1989). Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 86, 3833–3837.
- Ornstein, L. (1964). Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 121, 321-341.
- Plückthun, A. (1990). Nature 347, 497-498.
- Power, B. E., Ivancic, N., Harley, V. R., Webster, R. G., Kortt, A. A., Irving, R. A., and Hudson, P. J. (1992). *Gene* 113, 95–99.
- Ricchmann, L., Foote, J., and Winter, G. (1988). J. Mol. Biol. 203, 825-828.
- Righetti, P. G., and Drysdale, T. W. (1974). J. Chromatogr. 98, 271-321.
- Rucker, S. P., and Shaka, A. J. (1989). Mol. Phys. 68, 509-517.
- Shaka, A. J., Barker, P. B., and Freeman, R. (1985). J. Magn. Reson. 64, 547-552.
- Skerra, A., and Plückthun, A. (1988). Science 240, 1038-1041.
- Tulip, W. R., Varghese, J. N., Laver, W. G., Webster, R. G., and Colman, P. M. (1992). J. Mol. Biol. 227, 122–148.
- Ward, E. S., Güssow, D., Griffiths, A. D., Jones, P. T., and Winter, G. (1989). *Nature* 341, 544–546.
- Winter, G., and Milstein, C. (1991). Nature 349, 293-299.
- Wüthrich, K. (1986). NMR of Proteins and Nucleic Acids, Wiley, New York.
- Yang, J. T., Wu, C.-S. C., and Martinez, H. M. (1986). Meth. Enzymol. 130, 208-269.