

STRONG CONVERGENCE OF CERTAIN MEANS WITH RESPECT TO THE WALSH—FOURIER SERIES

P. SIMON (Budapest)

1. Introduction. It is known [1] that the Walsh—Paley system is not a Schauder basis in $L^1[0, 1]$. Moreover, there exists a function in the (dyadic) Hardy space $H^1[0, 1]$, the partial sums of which are not bounded in $L^1[0, 1]$. In this article we shall prove that some means of the L^1 -norms of these partial sums can be convergent for all elements of $H^1[0, 1]$. For the trigonometric analogue of this statement see the work of B. Smith [5]. (In the proof we follow his method.) The sharpness of our theorem is also investigated.

2. We recall briefly some notations and definitions. First of all denote w_n ($n=0, 1, \dots$) the n -th Walsh—Paley function, i.e. let

$$w_1(t) := \begin{cases} 1 & (0 \leq t < 1/2) \\ -1 & (1/2 \leq t < 1), \end{cases} \quad w_1(t) = w_1(t+1) \quad (\text{for all real } t)$$

and

$$w_{2^n}(t) := w_1(2^n t) \quad (0 \leq t \leq 1, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots).$$

If $n = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} n_i 2^i$ ($n_i=0, 1$) is the dyadic representation of $n=0, 1, \dots$ then let

$$w_n := \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} w_{2^k}^{n_k}.$$

It is well-known that $(w_n, n=0, 1, \dots)$ is a complete orthonormal system. (For more details see e.g. [1].) For $f \in L^1 := L^1[0, 1]$ let $\hat{f}(n)$ be the n -th Walsh—Fourier coefficient of f , i.e.

$$\hat{f}(n) := \int_0^1 f w_n \quad (n = 0, 1, \dots).$$

Furthermore, we denote by D_n the n -th Dirichlet kernel with respect to $(w_n, n=0, 1, \dots)$:

$$D_n := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} w_k \quad (n = 0, 1, \dots).$$

Later we shall often use the following assertions (see [4]):

$$(1) \quad D_n = w_n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} n_k w_{2^k} D_{2^k} \quad (n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} n_k 2^k = 0, 1, \dots),$$

$$(2) \quad D_{2^k}(t) = \begin{cases} 2^k & (0 \leq t < 2^{-k}) \\ 0 & (2^{-k} \leq t < 1) \end{cases} \quad (k = 0, 1, \dots).$$

The so-called Hardy space plays an important part in the further investigations. Let $Q(f)$ be the quadratic variation of $f \in L^1$, i.e.

$$Q(f) := \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (S_{2^{n+1}}(f) - S_{2^n}(f))^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

where

$$S_n(f) := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \hat{f}(k) w_k \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Then the space $H^1 := H^1[0, 1]$ is defined by $H^1 := \{f \in L^1 : Q(f) \in L^1\}$. It is well-known (see [2]) that the elements of H^1 can be represented as linear combinations of so-called atoms. A function $a \in L^\infty[0, 1]$ is called an atom, if either $a=1$ or $\int_0^1 a=0$ and there is a dyadic interval $I_a \subset [0, 1]$ such that $\text{supp } a \subset I_a$ and $|a| \leq |I_a|^{-1}$ ($|I_a|$ is the length of I_a .) Then $f \in L^1$ belongs to H^1 if and only if there exist real coefficients α_i and atoms a_i ($i=0, 1, \dots$) so that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} |\alpha_i| < +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad f = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_i a_i.$$

3. It is known in the Walsh—Fourier analysis (see [1]) that the system $(w_n, n=0, 1, \dots)$ is not a Schauder basis in L^1 . Moreover, there exists a function $f \in H^1$ such that the L^1 -norms of the partial sums $S_n(f)$ ($n=1, 2, \dots$) are not bounded. However, the following theorem shows that certain means of the $\|S_n(f)\|_1$'s can be convergent for all $f \in H^1$.

THEOREM. *If $f \in H^1$, then*

$$(3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-1} \|S_k(f)\|_1 = \|f\|_1.$$

Let n be a natural number for which $2^{N-1} \leq n < 2^N$ ($N=2, 3, \dots$) holds. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k^{-1} \|S_k(f)\|_1 - \|f\|_1 \right| &= \left| \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k^{-1} (\|S_k(f)\|_1 - \|f\|_1) + \right. \\ &\left. + \|f\|_1 \left(\frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k^{-1} - 1 \right) \right| \leq \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k^{-1} \|f - S_k(f)\|_1 + o(1) \quad (n \rightarrow +\infty), \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$(4) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k^{-1} \|f - S_k(f)\|_1 = 0$$

implies our statement. On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k^{-1} \|f - S_k(f)\|_1 &\leq 2N^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \sum_{j=0}^{2^k-1} (2^k + j)^{-1} \|f - S_{2^k+j}(f)\|_1 \leq \\ &\leq 2N^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} 2^{-k} \sum_{j=0}^{2^k-1} \|f - S_{2^k+j}(f)\|_1 =: 2N^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} d_k(f). \end{aligned}$$

If we denote by $E_n(f)$ the arithmetic mean of $d_{n+1}(f), \dots, d_{2n}(f)$, i.e.

$$E_n(f) := n^{-1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{2n} d_k(f) \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots),$$

then it is clear that

$$(5) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} E_n(f) = 0$$

is sufficient for (4) to hold.

The statement of the theorem cannot hold for all $f \in L^1$. To this end let $(\alpha_k, k=1, 2, \dots)$ be a sequence of real numbers of bounded variation, i.e.

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_k - \alpha_{k+1}| < +\infty,$$

and take the function f defined by

$$(6) \quad f := \alpha_k (D_{2^{k+1}} - D_{2^k}).$$

Since $\|D_{2^k}\|_1 = 1$ ($k=0, 1, \dots$) (see (2)), by means of Abel transformation it follows that $f \in L^1$. On the other hand if $n=1, 2, \dots$ and $j=0, \dots, 2^n-1$, then

$$S_{2^n+j}(f) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \alpha_k (D_{2^{k+1}} - D_{2^k}) + \alpha_n (D_{2^n+j} - D_{2^n}) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \alpha_k (D_{2^{k+1}} - D_{2^k}) + \alpha_n w_{2^n} D_j,$$

from which

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_{2^n+j}(f)\|_1 &\geq |\alpha_n| \|D_j\|_1 - \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \alpha_k (D_{2^{k+1}} - D_{2^k}) \right\|_1 \geq \\ &\geq |\alpha_n| \|D_j\|_1 - \left\| \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} (\alpha_{k-1} - \alpha_k) D_{2^k} - \alpha_1 D_2 + \alpha_{n-1} D_{2^n} \right\|_1 \geq \\ &\geq |\alpha_n| \|D_j\|_1 - \left(\sum_{k=2}^{n-1} |\alpha_k - \alpha_{k-1}| + |\alpha_1| + |\alpha_{n-1}| \right) = |\alpha_n| \|D_j\|_1 + O(1) \quad (n \rightarrow +\infty) \end{aligned}$$

follows. This leads to

$$2^{-n} \sum_{j=0}^{2^n-1} \|S_{2^n+j}(f)\|_1 \geq 2^{-n} |\alpha_n| \sum_{j=0}^{2^n-1} \|D_j\|_1 + O(1) \quad (n \rightarrow +\infty).$$

Since there exists an absolute constant $C > 0$ such that (see [3])

$$(7) \quad n^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \|D_j\|_1 \geq C \log n \quad (n \rightarrow +\infty),$$

therefore

$$2^{-n} \sum_{j=0}^{2^n-1} \|S_{2^n+j}(f)\|_1 \cong C n |\alpha_n| + O(1) \quad (n \rightarrow +\infty).$$

Hence, if $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} n \cdot |\alpha_n| = +\infty$, then it is easy to prove that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} (\log n)^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-1} \|S_k(f)\|_1 = +\infty.$$

For example the sequence $\alpha_n := n^{-1/2}$ ($n=1, 2, \dots$) satisfies the conditions required above.

On the other hand there exists a function $f \in L^1 \setminus H^1$ such that (3) holds. Indeed, if we take in (6)

$$\alpha_k := (k \cdot \log k)^{-1} \quad (k = 2, 3, \dots) \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_1 := 0,$$

then $f \in L^1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} Q(f)\|_1 &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{2^{-n-1}}^{2^{-n}} Q(f) \cong \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \int_{2^{-n-1}}^{2^{-n}} |S_{2^{n+1}}(f) - S_{2^n}(f)| = \\ &= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \alpha_n \int_{2^{-n-1}}^{2^{-n}} |D_{2^{n+1}} - D_{2^n}| = 1/2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n \log n)^{-1} = +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

i.e. $f \notin H^1$. Frurthermore, if $n=1, 2, \dots$ and $j=0, \dots, 2^n-1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - S_{2^n+j}(f)\|_1 &= \left\| \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \alpha_k (D_{2^{k+1}} - D_{2^k}) - \alpha_n w_{2^n} D_j \right\|_1 \cong \\ &\cong \left\| \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} (\alpha_{k-1} - \alpha_k) D_{2^k} - \alpha_n D_{2^n} \right\|_1 + \alpha_n \|D_j\|_1 \cong \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |\alpha_{k-1} - \alpha_k| + \alpha_n + O(n \cdot \alpha_n) = \\ &= o(1) \quad (n \rightarrow +\infty). \end{aligned}$$

(Here we used the fact (see [3]) that $\|D_j\|_1 = O(\log j)$ ($j \rightarrow +\infty$.) From this (4) follows evidently.

Finally, we remark that $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} d_k(f) = 0$ cannot be true for all $f \in H^1$. Indeed,

$$f := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-2} (D_{2^{k^3+1}} - D_{2^{k^3}}) \in H^1$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} d_{k^3}(f) &= 2^{-k^3} \sum_{j=0}^{2^{k^3}-1} \|S_{2^{k^3+j}}(f) - f\|_1 \cong \\ &\cong 2^{-k^3} \sum_{j=0}^{2^{k^3}-1} \|S_{2^{k^3+j}}(f) - S_{2^{k^3}}(f)\|_1 - \|S_{2^{k^3}}(f) - f\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|f - S_{2^k}(f)\|_1 = o(1)$ ($k \rightarrow +\infty$) (see [3]) thus applying (7) we get

$$\begin{aligned} d_{k^3}(f) &\cong k^{-2} \cdot 2^{-k^3} \sum_{j=0}^{2^{k^3}-1} \|D_{2^{k^3+j}} - D_{2^{k^3}}\|_1 + o(1) = \\ &= k^{-2} \cdot 2^{-k^3} \sum_{j=0}^{2^{k^3}-1} \|D_j\|_1 + o(1) \cong C \cdot k + o(1) \quad (k \rightarrow +\infty). \end{aligned}$$

4. For the proof of the theorem we need the following

LEMMA. Let $a \in H^1$ be an atom. Then for all $n=1, 2, \dots$ we have

$$\|S_n(a) - a\|_1 \leq 12|\hat{a}(n)| \log |I_a|^{-1} + e_n(a),$$

where $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} e_n(a) = 0$ and $|e_n(a)| \leq 2$.

PROOF. Since for $a=1$ the lemma is trivial, we may suppose that $a \neq 1$. Let $I_a = [k2^{-m}, (k+1)2^{-m})$ ($m=0, 1, \dots$ and $k=0, \dots, 2^m-1$) and $x \in [0, 1] \setminus I_a$. Then by (1) and (2)

$$\begin{aligned} S_n(a)(x) - a(x) &= S_n(a)(x) = \int_0^1 a(t) w_n(x+t) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} n_j w_{2^j}(x+t) D_{2^j}(x+t) dt = \\ &= w_n(x) \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} n_j w_{2^j}(x+k2^{-m}) \int_{I_a} a(t) w_n(t) D_{2^j}(x+t) dt = \\ &= w_n(x) \sum_{j=0}^{j(x)} n_j w_{2^j}(x+k2^{-m}) 2^j \int_{I_a} a w_n, \end{aligned}$$

where $j(x)$ denotes the maximum of indices $j=0, \dots, m-1$ such that $D_{2^j}(x+t) = 2^j$ ($t \in I_a$). ($+$ stands for the dyadic addition.) If $x \in [s2^{-m}, (s+1)2^{-m})$ ($s=0, \dots, 2^m-1$, $s \neq k$), then $2^{j(x)} \leq 2^m |s-k|^{-1}$, therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[0,1] \setminus I_a} |S_n(a) - a| &= \sum_{\substack{s=0 \\ s \neq k}}^{2^m-1} \int_{s2^{-m}}^{(s+1)2^{-m}} |S_n(a) - a| \leq \\ &= 2 \sum_{\substack{s=0 \\ s \neq k}}^{2^m-1} |\hat{a}(n)| |s-k|^{-1} \leq 4|\hat{a}(n)| \sum_{j=1}^{2^m} j^{-1} < 12|\hat{a}(n)| m. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\int_{I_a} |S_n(a) - a| \leq |I_a|^{1/2} \|S_n(a) - a\|_2 =: e_n(a) = o(1) \quad (n \rightarrow +\infty)$$

and

$$e_n(a) \leq 2^{-m/2} (\|S_n(a)\|_2 + \|a\|_2) \leq 2^{1-m/2} \|a\|_2 \leq 2.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma.

PROOF OF THE THEOREM. Let $f \in H^1$ be an arbitrary function and consider an atomic decomposition of f :

$$f = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_i a_i, \quad \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} |\alpha_i| < +\infty.$$

Furthermore, introduce the notation $I_i := I_{a_i}$ ($i=0, 1, \dots$) and rearrange the above decomposition of f as follows:

$$f = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|I_i|=2^{-s}} \alpha_i a_i.$$

If n is a natural number, then

$$\begin{aligned} E_n(f) &= n^{-1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{2n} d_k(f) = n^{-1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{2n} 2^{-k} \sum_{j=0}^{2^k-1} \left\| \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|I_i|=2^{-s}} \alpha_i (S_{2^k+j}(a_i) - a_i) \right\|_1 \cong \\ &\cong \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} n^{-1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{2n} \sum_{|I_i|=2^{-s}} |\alpha_i| 2^{-k} \sum_{j=0}^{2^k-1} \|S_{2^k+j}(a_i) - a_i\|_1 = \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{2n} + \sum_{s=2n+1}^{\infty} =: A_n + B_n. \end{aligned}$$

To the estimation of B_n we remark that if $a \in H^1$ is an atom, then $\hat{a}(m) = 0$ for all $m=0, 1, \dots, |I_a|^{-1}-1$. Hence, all of the partial sums of the a_i 's in B_n are equal to zero, therefore

$$B_n \cong \sum_{s=2n+1}^{\infty} \sum_{|I_i|=2^{-s}} |\alpha_i| = o(1) \quad (n \rightarrow +\infty).$$

Let us decompose A_n into two further parts as

$$A_n = \sum_{s=0}^n + \sum_{s=n+1}^{2n} =: A_{n1} + A_{n2}.$$

Then applying the lemma and Cauchy—Schwarz inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned} A_{n1} &\cong 12 \sum_{s=0}^n sn^{-1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{2n} \sum_{|I_i|=2^{-s}} |\alpha_i| 2^{-k} \sum_{j=0}^{2^k-1} |\hat{a}_i(2^k+j)| + o(1) \cong \\ &\cong 12 \sum_{s=0}^{2n} sn^{-1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{2n} \sum_{|I_i|=2^{-s}} |\alpha_i| 2^{-k/2} \|a_i\|_2 + o(1) \cong \\ &\cong 12 \sum_{s=0}^n sn^{-1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{2n} 2^{(s-k)/2} \sum_{|I_i|=2^{-s}} |\alpha_i| + o(1) = o(1) \quad (n \rightarrow +\infty). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} A_{n2} &= \sum_{s=n+1}^{2n} n^{-1} \sum_{k=n+1}^s \sum_{|I_i|=2^{-s}} |\alpha_i| 2^{-k} \sum_{j=0}^{2^k-1} \|S_{2^k+j}(a_i) - a_i\|_1 + \\ &+ \sum_{s=n+1}^{2n} n^{-1} \sum_{k=s+1}^{2n} \sum_{|I_i|=2^{-s}} |\alpha_i| 2^{-k} \sum_{j=0}^{2^k-1} \|S_{2^k+j}(a_i) - a_i\|_1 =: A_{n2}^1 + A_{n2}^2 \end{aligned}$$

and A_{n2}^1, A_{n2}^2 can be estimated by the same method as above. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} A_{n2}^1 &\cong \sum_{s=n+1}^{2n} n^{-1} \sum_{k=n+1}^s \sum_{|I_i|=2^{-s}} |\alpha_i| = n^{-1} \sum_{s=n+1}^{2n} (s-n) \sum_{|I_i|=2^{-s}} |\alpha_i| \cong \\ &\cong \sum_{s=n+1}^{\infty} \sum_{|I_i|=2^{-s}} |\alpha_i| = o(1) \quad (n \rightarrow +\infty) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} A_{n2}^2 &\cong 12 \sum_{s=n+1}^{2n} sn^{-1} \sum_{k=s+1}^{2n} \sum_{|I_i|=2^{-s}} |\alpha_i| 2^{-k} \sum_{j=0}^{2^k-1} |\hat{a}_i(2^k+j)| + o(1) \cong \\ &\cong 12 \sum_{s=n+1}^{2n} sn^{-1} \sum_{k=s+1}^{2n} \sum_{|I_i|=2^{-s}} |\alpha_i| 2^{(s-k)/2} + o(1) \cong \\ &\cong 12 \sum_{s=n+1}^{2n} sn^{-1} \sum_{|I_i|=2^{-s}} |\alpha_i| + o(1) = o(1) \quad (n \rightarrow +\infty). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

References

- [1] L. A. Balashov—A. I. Rubinstein, Series with respect to the Walsh system and their generalizations, *Itogi nauki, Mat. anal.*, (1970), 147—202 (in Russian).
- [2] R. R. Coifman—G. Weiss, Extensions of Hardy spaces and their use in analysis, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **83** (1977), 569—645.
- [3] N. J. Fine, On the Walsh functions, *TAMS*, **65** (1949), 372—414.
- [4] F. Schipp, Construction of a continuous function, whose Walsh series diverges at a prescribed point, *Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest, Sectio Math.*, **9** (1966), 103—108.
- [5] B. Smith, *A strong convergence theorem for $H^1(T)$* , Lecture Notes in Math., 995, Springer (Berlin—New York, 1983), 169—173.

(Received April 10, 1985)

EÖTVÖS LORÁND UNIVERSITY
DEPARTMENT OF NUMERICAL ANALYSIS
BUDAPEST, MUZEUM KRT. 6—8.
H—