

On the divergence of spherical sums of double Fourier–Haar series

G. E. TKEBUCHAVA

Let $f \in L(I^2)$, $I = [0, 1]$ and let $a_{m,n}(f)$ be the Fourier coefficient of the function f with respect to the double Haar system $\{\chi_m(x)\chi_n(y)\}_{m,n=1}^\infty$. Furthermore, for $R > 0$ let

$$S_R(f, x, y) = \sum_{m^2+n^2 \leq R^2} a_{m,n}(f)\chi_m(x)\chi_n(y)$$

be the spherical partial sums of the double Fourier–Haar series of the function f . We denote by $|A|$ the 2-dimensional Lebesgue measure of the set A . As usually, $L(\ln^+ L)^\gamma(I^2)$, $\gamma \geq 0$, is the class of all measurable functions defined on I^2 and satisfying the condition

$$\iint_{I^2} |f(x, y)| (\ln^+ |f(x, y)|)^\gamma dx dy < \infty$$

where $\ln^+ |u|$ equals to $\ln |u|$ for $|u| \geq 1$ and 0 in the other cases.

In this work we prove

Theorem 1. *Let $\Phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function satisfying the conditions $\Phi(u) \uparrow \infty$ as $u \rightarrow \infty$ and*

$$(1) \quad \Phi(u) = o(u \ln u).$$

Then there exists a function f on I^2 such that $\Phi \circ |f| \in L(I^2)$ and

$$\overline{\lim}_{R \rightarrow \infty} S_R(f, x, y) = +\infty$$

almost everywhere on I^2 .

By replacing o with O this statement is no longer valid, since it is well-known (see [3]) that $f \in L \ln^+ L(I^2)$ implies the convergence of $S_R(f, x, y)$ almost everywhere on I^2 as $R \rightarrow \infty$.

A consequence of Theorem 1 is

Theorem 2. *Let $0 < \eta \leq 1$. Then there exists a function $f \in L(\ln^+ L)^{1-\eta}(I^2)$ whose spherical Fourier–Haar partial sums diverge almost everywhere on I^2 .*

Notice that, in the case $\eta = 1$, in [4] one established for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ the existence of a function $f \in L(I^2)$ and a set $E \subset I^2$ such that $|E| > 1 - \varepsilon$ and $S_R(f, x, y)$ is divergent on E as $R \rightarrow \infty$.

For definitions and results concerning the above mentioned topics, see the surveys [2], [6], [7], [8].

Proof of Theorem 1. In the sequel we shall use constructions similar to those applied in [4], [5].

Let

$$(2) \quad k(j) = 2^{2^j}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$(3) \quad b_{r,1}^{(1)} = [0, 2^{r-k(1)}] \times [0, 2^{-r}], \quad \text{for } r = 1, \dots, k(1) - 1,$$

$$(4) \quad B_1^{(1)} = \bigcup_{r=1}^{k(1)-1} b_{r,1}^{(1)},$$

$$(5) \quad d_1^{(1)} = \bigcap_{r=1}^{k(1)-1} b_{r,1}^{(1)},$$

$$(6) \quad F_1^{(1)} = I^2 \setminus B_1^{(1)},$$

$$(7) \quad \lambda(k) = (2^{k+1} - k)2^{-k-1},$$

$$(8) \quad \gamma_1 = 1.$$

Then

$$(9) \quad |b_{r,1}^{(1)}| = 2^{-k(1)}, \quad r = 1, \dots, k(1) - 1,$$

$$(10) \quad |B_1^{(1)}| = 2^{-(k(1)+1)}k(1),$$

$$(11) \quad |d_1^{(1)}| = 2^{2(1-k(1))},$$

$$(12) \quad |F_1^{(1)}| = \lambda(k(1)).$$

Now we can represent $F_1^{(1)}$ in the form

$$(13) \quad F_1^{(1)} = \bigcup_{\ell=\gamma_1+1}^{\gamma_2} Q_\ell^{(1)},$$

where the $Q_\ell^{(1)}$, $\ell = \gamma_1 + 1, \dots, \gamma_2$, are equal squares congruent to $d_1^{(1)}$ and have pairwise disjoint inners.

Similar considerations applied to each of the squares $Q_\ell^{(1)}$, $\ell = \gamma_1 + 1, \dots, \gamma_2$ taking into account (2)–(13) give

$$(14) \quad |B_\ell^{(1)}| = 2^{-k(1)-1}k(1)|Q_\ell^{(1)}|, \quad \ell = \gamma_1 + 1, \dots, \gamma_2,$$

$$(15) \quad \left| \bigcup_{\ell=\gamma_1+1}^{\gamma_2} B_\ell^{(1)} \right| = 2^{-k(1)-1}k(1)|F_1^{(1)}|,$$

$$(16) \quad |d_\ell^{(1)}| = 2^{2(1-k(1))}|Q_\ell^{(1)}|, \quad \ell = \gamma_1 + 1, \dots, \gamma_2,$$

$$(17) \quad \left| \bigcup_{\ell=\gamma_1+1}^{\gamma_2} d_\ell^{(1)} \right| = 2^{2(1-k(1))}|F_1^{(1)}| = 2^{2(1-k(1))}\lambda(k(1))|Q_1^{(1)}|.$$

Set

$$(18) \quad F_2^{(1)} = F_1^{(1)} \setminus \bigcup_{\ell=\gamma_1+1}^{\gamma_2} B_\ell^{(1)}.$$

From (14), (15) and (18) it follows

$$(19) \quad |F_2^{(1)}| = \lambda(k(1))|F_1^{(1)}| = \lambda^2(k(1))|Q_1^{(1)}|.$$

Continuing this procedure we obtain the sequence $\{F_i^{(1)}\}_{i=1}^\infty$. Let $F_1 = \bigcap_{i=1}^\infty F_i^{(1)}$. Then (see (19))

$$(20) \quad |F_1| = 0.$$

Let us denote by \mathcal{D}_1 the collection of all inner squares, i.e.

$$(21) \quad \mathcal{D}_1 = \{d_\ell^{(1)} : \ell = 1, 2, \dots\},$$

and by \mathcal{B}_1 the family of all rectangles $b_{r,\ell}^{(1)}$ i.e.

$$(22) \quad \mathcal{B}_1 = \{b_{r,\ell}^{(1)} : r = 1, \dots, k(1) - 1; \ell = 1, 2, \dots\},$$

and let their unions be

$$(23) \quad D_1 = \bigcup_{\ell=1}^\infty d_\ell^{(1)},$$

$$(24) \quad B_1 = \bigcup_{\ell=1}^\infty B_\ell^{(1)}.$$

Then we get (see (16), (17), (23))

$$(25) \quad |D_1| = 2^{2(1-k(1))}(1 + \lambda(k(1)) + \lambda^2(k(1)) + \dots)|Q_1^{(1)}| = \frac{2^{2(1-k(1))}}{1 - \lambda(k(1))}|Q_1^{(1)}|.$$

Furthermore, for every rectangle $b \in \mathcal{B}_1$ we have (see (21), (22), (23))

$$(26) \quad D_1 \cap b \in \mathcal{D}_1$$

and

$$(27) \quad |D_1 \cap b| = 2^{2-k(1)}|b|.$$

If \bar{b} is the symmetric image of the rectangle $b \in \mathcal{B}_1$ with respect to its right or top side then

$$(28) \quad |D_1 \cap \bar{b}| \leq 2^{3-k(1)}(k(n))^{-1}|b|.$$

This completes the first step of the construction.

In the second step we divide each set $B_\ell^{(1)}$, $\ell = 1, 2, \dots$, into squares being equal to its corresponding inner squares $d_\ell^{(1)}$ (while the partitioning squares have no common inner points). For each of these squares we can repeat all the considerations of the first step only by replacing the terms $k(1)$ by $k(2)$. In particular, in this manner we obtain the families \mathcal{D}_2 and \mathcal{B}_2 of inner squares and rectangles, respectively, and the corresponding unions D_2 and B_2 of these squares and rectangles (cf. (21)–(24)).

In the n -th step (with corresponding $k(n)$), with the notations \mathcal{D}_n , \mathcal{B}_n and D_n , B_n for the families of inner squares and rectangles and their respective unions and by setting $F_n = I^2 \setminus B_n$ we get

$$(29) \quad |D_n| \leq 2^{3-k(n)}(k(n))^{-1}|Q_1^{(1)}| = 2^{3-k(n)}(k(n))^{-1}.$$

For an arbitrary $b \in \mathcal{B}_n$ (see (20), (25)–(28)) we obtain

$$(30) \quad D_n \cap b \in \mathcal{D}_n,$$

$$(31) \quad |D_n \cap b| = 2^{2-k(n)}|b|,$$

$$(32) \quad |D_n \cap \bar{b}| \leq 2^{3-k(n)}(k(n))^{-1}|b|,$$

$$(33) \quad |F_n| = 0,$$

whence it follows

$$(34) \quad |B_n| = 1.$$

Let a natural number $q \geq 1$ be given. By condition (1) we have

$$\Phi(2^{k(n)}q) = o(2^{k(n)}q \ln(2^{k(n)}q)) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore there exists a natural number $n(q) > q$ such that

$$\Phi(2^{k(n(q))}q) < 2^{k(n(q))}q^{-2} \ln(2^{k(n(q))}q),$$

and consequently,

$$(35) \quad \Phi(2^{k(n(q))}q)2^{-k(n(q))}(n(q))^{-1} <$$

$$< 2^{1-k(n(q))} (\ln(2^{k(n(q))} q))^{-1} \Phi(2^{k(n(q))} q) < 2q^{-2}.$$

In view of the conditions of the theorem we can choose the sequence $n(1), n(2), \dots$ to be strictly increasing.

Now we proceed to the construction of the required function f . For every $q = 1, 2, \dots$ consider the functions

$$(36) \quad f_q(x, y) = \begin{cases} 2^{k(n(q))-1} q & \text{for } (x, y) \in D_{n(q)}, \\ 0 & \text{for } (x, y) \notin D_{n(q)}. \end{cases}$$

For an arbitrary rectangle $b \in \mathcal{B}_{n(q)}$ we have (see (29)–(33))

$$(37) \quad \frac{1}{|b|} \iint_b f_q = \frac{|D_{n(q)} \cap b|}{|b|} 2^{k(n(q))-1} q = 2q$$

and furthermore,

$$(38) \quad \frac{1}{|b|} \iint_b f_q = \frac{|D_{n(q)} \cap \bar{b}|}{|b|} 2^{k(n(q))-1} q < \frac{4q}{k(n(q))}.$$

By (37) and (38), for every rectangle $b \in \mathcal{B}_n(q)$ the following estimate is fulfilled:

$$(39) \quad \frac{1}{|b|} \left(\iint_b f_q - \iint_{\bar{b}} f_q \right) > 2q - 8.$$

Let

$$(40) \quad f(x, y) = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} f_q(x, y).$$

Then $\Phi \circ |f| \in L(I^2)$. Indeed, by setting

$$T = \bigcup_{q=1}^{\infty} D_{n(q)}, \quad U_q = D_{n(q)} \setminus \bigcup_{j>q} D_{n(j)}, \quad U = \bigcup_{q=1}^{\infty} U_q,$$

we obtain $|T| = |U|$ in view of the estimate (see (29))

$$\left| \bigcup_{j=q}^{\infty} D_{n(j)} \right| \leq \sum_{j=q}^{\infty} |D_{n(j)}| \leq 8 \sum_{j=q}^{\infty} 2^{-k(n(j))} [k(n(j))]^{-1} \rightarrow 0, \quad q \rightarrow \infty,$$

since $T = U \cup (\bigcap_{q=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{j=q}^{\infty} D_{n(j)})$.

Taking this into account we have (see (36), (40), (35))

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{I^2} \Phi \circ |f| &= \iint_U \Phi \circ |f| \leq \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \iint_{U_q} \Phi \circ \left| \sum_{j=1}^q f_j \right| \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \Phi(2^{k(n(q))} q) |D_{n(q)}| = 8 \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k(n(q))} [k(n(q))]^{-1} \Phi(2^{k(n(q))} q) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

To the proof of the divergence of the spherical partial sums of the Fourier–Haar series of the function f we shall use some considerations from [4, pp. 46-47] according to which (with our above notations) for almost all $(x, y) \in \bigcap_{q=1}^{\infty} B_{n(q)}$ there exist sequences $b_{n(q)}$, $R(q)$, L_q (in general depending on (x, y)) such that

$$(41) \quad (x, y) \in b_{n(q)} \in \mathcal{B}_{n(q)},$$

$$(42) \quad \lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} R(q) = +\infty,$$

$$(43) \quad \lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} L_q = f(x, y),$$

$$(44) \quad S_{R(q)}(f, x, y) = L_q + \frac{1}{2|b_{n(q)}|} \left(\iint_{b_{n(q)}} f_q - \iint_{\bar{b}_{n(q)}} f_q \right).$$

Now, since $|\bigcup_{q=1}^{\infty} B_{n(q)}| = 1$ (see (34)), taking into account (39), (41)–(44) we get the statement of Theorem 1.

Remark. For a comparison of the obtained results with the case of the multiple trigonometric system, we refer to [1] where, in particular, it is proved that for every $p \in [1, 2)$ there exists a function in the class $L^p(\mathbf{T}^2)$ such that the spherical sums of its Fourier series diverge in measure on the square $\mathbf{T}^2 = [0, 2\pi]^2$.

References

- [1] Р. Д. ГЕЦАДЗЕ, О расходимости по мере кратных рядов Фурье, *Некоторые вопросы теории функций и функционального анализа*, 4, ТГУ (Тбилиси, 1988), 56–76.
- [2] Б. И. ГОЛУБОВ, Ряды Фурье по системе Хаара, *Математический анализ* (Итоги науки), ВИНТИ (Москва, 1971), 109–146.
- [3] Г. Г. КЕМХАДЗЕ, О сходимости шаровых частичных сумм кратных рядов Фурье–Хаара, *Труды Тбилисского матем. института*, 55 (Тбилиси, 1977), 27–38.
- [4] Г. Г. КЕМХАДЗЕ, О расходимости шаровых частичных сумм двойных рядов Фурье–Хаара, *Труды Груз. политехн. института, мат. анализ*, 3 (Тбилиси, 1985), 42–48.
- [5] А. PAPOULIS, On the strong differentiation of the indefinite integral, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 69(1950), 130–141.
- [6] P. L. ULJANOV, Haar series and related questions, *Colloq. Math. J. Bolyai*, 49 A. *Haar Memorial Conference*, (Budapest, 1985), 57–96.
- [7] W. R. WADE, Recent developments in the theory of Haar series, *Colloq. Math.*, 52(1987), 213–238.
- [8] Л. В. ЖИЖИАШВИЛИ, *Некоторые вопросы многомерного гармонического анализа*, ТГУ (Тбилиси, 1983).

**О расходимости сферических сумм
двойных рядов Фурье–Хаара**

Г. Е. ТКЕБУЧАВА

Доказана следующая теорема.

Пусть $\Phi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ — функция, удовлетворяющая при $u \rightarrow +\infty$ условиям $\Phi(u) \uparrow \infty$ и $\Phi(u) = o(u \ln u)$.

Тогда существует интегрируемая на $[0, 1]^2$ функция f такая, что $\Phi \circ |f| \in L([0, 1]^2)$, а сферические суммы ее двойного ряда Фурье–Хаара расходятся почти всюду на $[0, 1]^2$.

ФАКУЛЬТЕТ ПРИКЛАДНОЙ МАТЕМАТИКИ И КИБЕРНЕТИКИ
ТБИЛИССКИЙ ГОСУДАРСТВЕННЫЙ УНИВЕРСИТЕТ
УЛ. УНИВЕРСИТЕТСКАЯ 2
ТБИЛИСИ 380 086
ГРУЗИЯ