

Superlinear elliptic boundary value problems with rotational symmetry

By

MICHAEL STRUWE¹⁾

In this paper we extend and sharpen an earlier existence result [3] for superlinear elliptic boundary value problems on balls $\Omega = B_R = \{x \mid |x| < R\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$.

Let

$$(1) \quad Lu = -r^{1-N} \partial_r(a(r) r^{N-1} \partial_r u)$$

be a uniformly elliptic radial differential operator on Ω with $0 < a_0 \leq a \in L^\infty$, and let $g: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Carthéodory function satisfying the conditions

$$(2) \quad g(x, u) = g(|x|, u),$$

g is Lipschitz continuously differentiable with respect to u a.e. in Ω and there exist constants p, s, t

$$2 < p < q := \frac{2N^2}{N-2}, \quad s > q/(q-p) > \frac{1}{2}N, \quad t > \frac{1}{2}N$$

and functions $\sigma \in L^s, \tau \in L^t$ such that

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} |g(x, u)| &\leq \sigma(x) |u|^{p-1} + \tau(x), \\ |g_u(x, u)| &\leq \sigma(x) |u|^{p-2} + \tau(x), \\ |g_u(x, u) - g_u(x, v)| &\leq (\sigma(x) (|u|^{p-2} + |v|^{p-2}) + \tau(x)) |u - v|. \end{aligned}$$

There exists a function $\varrho: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\varrho(t)/t^2 \rightarrow \infty$ ($t \rightarrow \infty$) and constants $\alpha, A, \beta, B, \Gamma, 0 < \alpha < 1, 2 < A, 0 < B, 0 \leq \Gamma$, such that for any $u \in L^p$ with $\|u\|_p \geq \Gamma$ the estimate holds:

$$(4) \quad \varrho(\|u\|_p) \leq A \int_\Omega G(u) dx \leq \int_\Omega g(u) u dx \leq \alpha \int_\Omega g_u(u) u^2 dx \leq B \|u\|_p^2.$$

Here, $G(u) = \int_0^u g(v) dv$ is a primitive of g .

With the above assumptions on g and L we prove

¹⁾ This research was supported by the Sonderforschungsbereich 72 of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.

²⁾ $p < \infty, s > 1$ if $N = 2$.

Theorem. *There exists $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $k \geq k_0$ there exists a pair of radial solutions $u^+, u^-, u^\pm(x) = u^\pm(|x|)$, of the boundary value problem*

$$(5) \quad Lu = g(x, u) \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$$

with the following properties:

- (i) $u^-(0) < 0 < u^+(0)$.
- (ii) u^+, u^- both possess exactly k nodes $r_1^\pm, u^+(r_1^+) = 0, u^-(r_1^-) = 0$, in $]0, R[$.

The above Theorem gives a more delicate description of the solution set than our earlier result [2]; also the growth assumptions on g are slightly weaker. In particular, the existence of infinitely many radial solutions with prescribed sign at $r = 0$ had been controversial. An a priori estimate for positive solutions of equations (5) due to Gidas and Spruck seemed to incite the existence of functions g depending only on u such that (3) and (4) are satisfied but there exists only one solution of $Au + g(u) = 0$ in B_R with $u(R) = 0, u(0) < 0$ (cp. [1]). Hopefully, the existence result presented here may help to clarify the situation.

The proof of our Theorem above largely uses ideas from [2]. However, we apply lower semi-continuity type arguments to obtain solutions of (5) with the behavior prescribed in the Theorem. In fact, the use of Lusternik-Schnirelman theory in [2] to obtain solutions was not justified since the manifolds K_k defined there need not be differentiable and the Lusternik-Schnirelman deformation hence need not be defined. I thank J.-M. Coron and H. Berestycki for having pointed out this mistake. Incidentally, this ‘‘regularity gap’’ in our original proof seems to be reflected in a slightly strengthened hypothesis on the integrability of the ‘‘free term’’ τ in (3), as compared with the assumptions made in [2].

Proof. As in [2] we interpret (5) as the Euler equations of a functional $E: H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ where

$$H = \{u \in H_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \mid u(x) = u(|x|)\}.$$

Indeed, E is given by

$$E(u) = a(u) - \int_{\Omega} G(u) \, dx$$

with

$$a(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} a \, |\nabla u|^2 \, dx.$$

Also, for $u, v \in H$ denote by

$$b(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} a \, \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx$$

the bilinear pairing associated to L .

Then weak solutions u for (5) equivalently may be characterized as critical points of E satisfying

$$b(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} g(u) v \, dx$$

for all $v \in H$.

By Lemma 1 of [2] H is continuously embedded into $C^0(\Omega \setminus \{0\})$. Thus, we may define for $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$M_k^\pm = \{u \in H \mid \exists 0 < r_1 < \dots < r_k = R : u(r_l) = 0, 1 \leq l \leq k, \\ \pm (-1)^l u(x) \geq 0 \text{ in } \Omega_l := \{x \mid r_{l-1} < |x| < r_l\}, \\ \|u_l\|_p \geq \Gamma; b(u_l, u_l) = \int_{\Omega_l} g(u_l) u_l dx, 1 \leq l \leq k\}.$$

Here, $u_l = u$ in Ω_l , $u_l = 0$ outside Ω_l ; $r_0 := 0$. From (4) it is easy to see that $M_k^\pm \neq \emptyset$ for large k . Indeed, assume for the moment that $R > 1$. Consider $u, u(r) = 1, r < 1$,

$$u(r) = \cos((2k + 1)\pi(r - 1)/2(R - 1)), \quad r \geq 1.$$

Since $b(u_l, u_l) \rightarrow \infty (k \rightarrow \infty), 1 \leq l \leq k$, by (4) we can find $t_l > 0$ such that

$$b(t_l u_l, t_l u_l) = \int_{\Omega_l} g(t_l u_l) t_l u_l dx, \quad \|t_l u_l\|_p \geq \Gamma, \\ 1 \leq l \leq k, \text{ if } k \geq k_0.$$

For such k set

$$c_k^\pm = \inf \{E(u) \mid u \in M_k^\pm\}.$$

By (4) we have the estimate (cp. Lemma 2 of [2])

$$(6) \quad c \|u\|_{1,2}^2 \geq a(u) \geq E(u) = a(u) - \int_{\Omega} G(u) dx \geq c \int_{\Omega} g(u) u dx \\ = c b(u, u) \geq c \|u\|_{1,2}^2$$

for $u \in M_k^\pm$. Thus the numbers c_k^\pm are well-defined for k sufficiently large.

Lemma 1. *There exists $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $k \geq k_0$ c_k^\pm is attained in M_k^\pm .*

Proof. Consider a minimizing sequence $u^n \in M_k^\pm, E(u^n) \rightarrow c_k^\pm$. By (6) we find that the sequence u^n is uniformly bounded in H . Hence we may extract a weakly convergent subsequence $u^n \rightarrow u$. Moreover, $u^n \rightarrow u$ strongly in L^π for any $\pi < q$ and also $g(u^n) \rightarrow g(u)$ in $L^{\pi'}$ for some $\pi' > q/(q - 1)$. Also by Lemma 3 below we may extract a further subsequence still denoted by u^n such that for any $l, 1 \leq l \leq k, r_l^n \rightarrow r_l (u \rightarrow \infty)$ and $|r_l - r_m| \geq c(k)$ if $l \neq m$. Collecting these facts shows that u satisfies the following:

$$\exists 0 < r_1 < \dots < r_k = R : u(r_l) = 0, \quad \pm (-1)^l u(r) \geq 0 \text{ in } \Omega_l \\ \text{and } \|u_l\|_p \geq \Gamma, \quad 1 \leq l \leq k, \quad \int_{\Omega_l} g(u_l) u_l dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega_l^n} g(u_l^n) u_l^n dx.$$

Finally, by weak lower semicontinuity of $b(u, u)$:

$$b(u_l, u_l) \leq \int_{\Omega_l} g(u_l) u_l dx, \quad 1 \leq l \leq k.$$

Assume that in the latter inequality equality holds for all l . Then

$$b(u, u) = \lim b(u^n, u^n) \text{ and } u^n \rightarrow u \text{ strongly in } H.$$

Thus $u \in M_k^\pm$ and $E(u) = c_k^\pm$, proving the lemma in this case. Assume that for some l ,

$$b(u_l, u_l) < \int_{\Omega_l} g(u_l) u_l dx.$$

We then derive a contradiction as follows:

Consider the function $f: \{t > 0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$t \mapsto b(t u_l, t u_l) - \int_{\Omega} g(t u_l) t u_l dx.$$

By (4) if $t_0 \geq 1$ and $f(t_0) < 0$ then $f(t) < 0$ for all $t \geq t_0$. Assume now there exists $t_l > 0$ such that

$$(7) \quad b(t_l u_l, t_l u_l) = \int_{\Omega_l} g(t_l u_l) t_l u_l dx, \quad \|t_l u_l\|_p \geq \Gamma, \quad 1 \leq l \leq k.$$

By the above $t_l \leq 1$. Set $v(x) = t_l u_l(x)$, $x \in \Omega_l$. Then $v \in M_k^\pm$ and by the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} c_k^\pm &= \lim E(u^n) = \lim \left[\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} g(u^n) u^n dx - \int_{\Omega} G(u^n) dx \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} g(u) u dx - \int_{\Omega} G(u) dx, \\ E(v) &= \sum_{l=1}^k \left[\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_l} g(t_l u_l) t_l u_l dx - \int_{\Omega_l} G(t_l u_l) dx \right], \end{aligned}$$

and the estimate for $u \in H$, $\|u\|_p \geq \Gamma$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} g(t u) t u - \int_{\Omega} G(t u) dx \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2t} \left[\int_{\Omega} g_u(t u) t^2 u^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} g(t u) t u dx \right] > 0 \end{aligned}$$

we obtain as a contradiction

$$E(v) < c_k^\pm.$$

It remains to verify that there exists k_0 such that (7) can always be achieved for $k \geq k_0$. Assume there exists a sequence $k \rightarrow \infty$ such that for some $l = l(k)$

$$b(t_l u_l, t_l u_l) < \int_{\Omega} g(t_l u_l) t_l u_l dx, \quad \|t_l u_l\|_p = \Gamma$$

where u is obtained as above as the weak limit of a minimizing sequence in M_k^\pm . Clearly, then $\{t_l u_l\}_k$ is bounded in H ; whence $t_l u_l \rightarrow u^*$ weakly in H as $(k \rightarrow \infty)$ and $t_l u_l \rightarrow u^*$ strongly in L^p . Thus, $\|u^*\|_p = \Gamma$ but from Lemma 2 below we conclude that $\text{supp}(u^*) = \emptyset$ and $u^* \equiv 0$. This concludes the proof of Lemma 1.

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ let u^n denote a minimizing sequence in M_k^\pm as before and let r_i^n denote the nodes of u^n . Ω_i^n , u_i^n are then defined as before. Let μ denote Lebesgue measure.

Lemma 2. $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\inf_{1 \leq l \leq k} \|u_l^n\|_p \right) \rightarrow \infty \quad (k \rightarrow \infty)$
 $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sup_{1 \leq l \leq k} \mu(\Omega_l^n) \right) \rightarrow 0 \quad (k \rightarrow \infty).$

Proof. Note that for any given $s > 0$ any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ $E(u^n) < c_k^\pm + s$ for large u . Hence Lemma 2 may be proved exactly as Lemmas 7 and 8 in [3].

Lemma 3. For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $c(k) > 0$ such that for any pair l, m , $1 \leq l < m \leq k$,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} |r_l^n - r_m^n| \geq c(k).$$

Proof. This follows from Lemma 7 in [3].

From Lemma 1 we conclude that for sufficiently large k a minimizing sequence in M converges in M_k^\pm . Let u denote the limit of such a sequence, and let r_l be the zeroes of u which we obtained in the proof of Lemma 1.

Also let Ω_l always correspond to such a $u \in M_k^\pm$. Quantities related to comparison functions w will receive an apostrophe, e. g. r'_i .

For later use we note the following technical lemma.

Lemma 4. Let $k = k(m)$ be a sequence of integers $k \geq k_0$. Let $w = w(m)$ be a sequence in H such that $w(r'_i) = 0$ at r'_i , $0 < r'_1 < \dots < r'_k = R$, and $\pm (-1)^l w_l \geq 0$, $\|w_l\|_p \geq \Gamma$, $1 \leq l \leq k$. Assume there exist numbers $\delta_l = \delta_l(m) \leq 1$, $\varepsilon_l = \varepsilon_l(m) \leq 1$, $1 \leq l \leq k$, $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(m) > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Omega_l} g(u_l) u_l dx - \int_{\Omega'_l} g(w_l) w_l dx \right| &\leq \delta_l, & 1 \leq l \leq k, \\ \left| \int_{\Omega_l} g_u(u_l) u_l^2 dx - \int_{\Omega'_l} g_u(w_l) w_l^2 dx \right| &\leq \delta_l, & 1 \leq l \leq k, \\ |b(u_l, u_l) - b(w_l, w_l)| &\leq \varepsilon_l, & 1 \leq l \leq k, \\ 1 \geq a(u) - a(w) &\geq \varepsilon > 0. \end{aligned}$$

(Note that the index m has been suppressed in the notation.)

Also assume that

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^{-1} \left| \sum_l (\delta_l + \varepsilon_l)^2 \int_{\Omega_l} g(u_l) u_l dx \right| &\rightarrow 0 \quad (m \rightarrow \infty), \\ \varepsilon^{-1} \sum_l \delta_l &\rightarrow 0 \quad (m \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Then there exists m_0 such that for $m \geq m_0$ there exists $v = v(m) \in M_k^\pm$ with the property

$$E(v) < E(u).$$

Proof. By the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt} \left[b(tw_l, tw_l) - \int_{\Omega'_l} g(tw_l) tw_l dx \right] \Big|_{t=1} \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \left[b(tu_l, tu_l) - \int_{\Omega_l} g(tu_l) tu_l dx \right] \Big|_{t=1} \\ &\quad + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon_l, \delta_l) = \left[\int_{\Omega_l} g(u_l) u_l dx - \int_{\Omega_l} g_u(u_l) u_l^2 dx \right] + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon_l, \delta_l) \\ &\leq -c \int_{\Omega_l} g(u_l) u_l dx \end{aligned}$$

for $k \geq k_0$, it follows that there exists $t_l > 0$,

$$|1 - t_l| \leq c(\delta_l + \varepsilon_l) / \int_{\Omega_l} g(u_l) u_l dx,$$

such that

$$b(t_l w_l, t_l w_l) = \int_{\Omega_l} g(t_l w_l) t_l w_l dx, \quad 1 \leq l \leq k.$$

Moreover, for t between t_l and 1 we have

$$|b(t w_l, t w_l) - \int_{\Omega_l} g(t w_l) t w_l dx| \leq \delta_l + \varepsilon_l.$$

Letting $v_l = t_l w_l$ we obtain $v \in M_k^\pm$. By the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} E(v) &= E(w) + \sum_l \int_1^{t_l} \frac{d}{dt} E(t w_l) \\ &\leq E(u) - \varepsilon + c \cdot \sum_l \delta_l + c \cdot \sum_l |1 - t_l| \sup |b(t w_l, t w_l) \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega_l} g(t w_l) t w_l dx| \\ &\leq E(u) - \varepsilon + c \cdot \sum_l \delta_l + c \cdot \sum_l (\delta_l + \varepsilon_l)^2 / \int_{\Omega_l} g(u_l) u_l dx \\ &< E(u), \quad \text{if } m \geq m_0, \end{aligned}$$

the lemma follows.

Let $\tilde{\Omega}_l = \{x \in \Omega_l \mid u(x) \neq 0\}$, $1 \leq l \leq k$.

Lemma 5. $Lu = g(u)$ in $\tilde{\Omega}_l$, $1 \leq l \leq k$, $k \geq k_0$.

Proof. For fixed l consider

$$\begin{aligned} H_l &= \{w \in H \cap H_0^{1,2}(\tilde{\Omega}_l) \mid \|w\|_p \geq \Gamma\}, \\ K_l &= \{w \in H_l \mid b(w, w) = \int_{\tilde{\Omega}} g(w) w dx\}. \end{aligned}$$

By the estimates of Lemma 3 in [2] 0 is a regular value of the C^1 -function $k_l: H_l \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$k_l(w) = b(w, w) - \int_{\tilde{\Omega}} g(w) w dx.$$

Thus, K_l is a C^1 -manifold in a neighborhood of u_l and as in the proof of Lemma 3 in [2] the tangential space at u_l in H_l is spanned by the tangential space of u_l in K_l and the vector u_l . Now, by definition of c_k^\pm and Lemma 1 u_l is critical for E in K_l . By the condition $b(u_l, u_l) = \int_{\tilde{\Omega}_l} g(u_l) u_l dx$ also the derivative of E in the direction u_l vanishes. Thus, u_l is critical for E on H_l which is equivalent to the assertion of the lemma.

Lemma 6. $a(r) \partial_r u(r)$ is continuous in $\Omega \setminus \{0\}$, if $k \geq k_0$.

Proof. Indeed, by Lemma 5 $a \partial_r u$ is continuous in $\tilde{\Omega} = \bigcup_{l=1}^k \tilde{\Omega}_l^1$. Since $\partial_r u = 0$ almost everywhere in $\Omega \setminus \tilde{\Omega}$ we may choose a piecewise continuous representative of $a \partial_r u$ on $\Omega \setminus \{0\}$. Assume there exists $x^0 \in \Omega$, $|x^0| = r^0 > 0$, such that $a \partial_r u$ is not continuous at r^0 . Since $a \partial_r u$ is continuous to the left and to the right of r^0 the left and right limits $a \partial_r u^-$ and $a \partial_r u^+$ both exist. Assume i) that both limits differ from zero. If $r^0 = r_l$ for some l and if $\nu > 0$ let $r^- < r^0$ be maximal such that $\pm (-1)^l u(r^-) = \nu^2$ and let $r^+ > r^0$ be minimal such that $\pm (-1)^l u(r^+) = -\nu$. If $x^0 \in \Omega_l$, let $r^- < r^0$, be maximal and let $r^+ > r^0$ be minimal such that $\pm (-1)^l u(r^\pm) = \nu$. If ii) $a \partial_r u^- = 0$ let $r^- = r^0 - \nu$, r^+ as before. If iii) $a \partial_r u^+ = 0$ let $r^+ = r^0 + \nu$, and let r^- be defined as in i). Obviously, if both limits equal zero there is nothing to prove. In all cases now set $\Omega_\nu = \{x \mid r^- < |x| < r^+\}$. Clearly, $\mu(\Omega_\nu) \rightarrow 0$ as $\nu \rightarrow 0$. Define $w = w(\nu) \equiv u$ outside Ω_ν , and let w be the unique solution of

$$\begin{aligned} Lw &= 0 & \text{in } \Omega_\nu, \\ w &= u & \text{on } \partial\Omega_\nu \end{aligned}$$

inside Ω_ν . Then $w \in H$. Also, if $x^0 \in \Omega_l$ for some l , $w(r_m) = 0$ for all m , and we may let $\Omega'_l = \Omega_l$, $1 \leq l \leq k$. Whereas, if $r^0 = r_l$ for some l , then $w(r_m) = 0$ only at $m \neq l$ and $w(r'_i) = 0$ at some r'_i , $r^- < r'_i < r^+$. In any event we obtain

$$\left| \int_{\Omega_m} g(u_m) u_m dx - \int_{\Omega'_m} g(w_m) w_m dx \right| \leq c \cdot \nu \mu(\Omega_\nu)^{1-1/l} = \delta(\nu)$$

for $m = l, l + 1, |\dots| = 0$ else. Also

$$\left| \int_{\Omega_m} g_u(u_m) u_m^2 dx - \int_{\Omega'_m} g_u(w_m) w_m^2 dx \right| \leq c \cdot \nu \mu(\Omega_\nu)^{1-1/l}$$

for $m = l, l + 1, |\dots| = 0$ else. Moreover, by piecewise continuity of $a \partial_r u(r)$ and continuity of $a \partial_r w$ for small $\nu > 0$:

$$|b(u_m, u_m) - b(w_m, w_m)| \leq c^* \nu, \quad m = l, l + 1,$$

with a constant c^* depending on u and r^0 . Finally, since $a \partial_r u$ is discontinuous at r_l^0 , for sufficiently small $\nu > 0$ we obtain by a piecewise partial integration that

$$b(u, u) - b(w, w) = \int_\Omega a |\nabla(u - w)|^2 dx \geq c' \nu,$$

with a constant $c' > 0$ depending on u , r^0 , and the discontinuity. Thus, for small $\nu > 0$ and $k \geq k_0$ Lemma 4 yields a comparison function $v \in M_k^\pm$ such that $E(v) < E(u)$. A contradiction results proving the lemma.

Lemma 7. $\pm (-1)^l u_l > 0$ in Ω_l , $1 \leq l \leq k$, $k \geq k_0$.

Proof. Assume there exists $x^0 \in \Omega_l$, $|x^0| = r^0$, such that $u(x^0) = 0$. By Lemma 6 also $\partial_r u(r^0) = 0$. Now we claim: There exists $\varepsilon > 0$, $\gamma > 0$ such that for $r \geq r^0/2$

1) More precisely: $a \partial_r u$ is uniformly continuous on $\tilde{\Omega} \cap B_\varepsilon(0)$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

2) The sign is determined by the membership of u in M_k^+ or M_k^- resp.

and satisfying $r^0 - \varepsilon < r < r^0 + \varepsilon$ we have

$$(9) \quad |u(r) - u(r^0)| \leq c |r^\nu - r^{0\nu}|.$$

Indeed, letting $\tilde{g}(u) = g(u)$ if $u \neq 0$, $\tilde{g}(u) = 0$ if $u = 0$, by Lemmas 5 and 6 almost everywhere in $]0, R]$

$$-\partial_r(r^{N-1}a(r)\partial_ru) = \tilde{g}(u)r^{N-1}.$$

Integrating between r^0 and r we thus obtain, assuming $r \geq r^0/2$ and $|u| \leq 1$ on $\Omega_r = \{x \in \Omega_l \mid r^0 < |x| < r \text{ or } r < |x| < r^0\}$:

$$|a(r)\partial_ru(r)| \leq r^{1-n} \int_{\Omega_r} |g(u)| dx \leq cr^{1-n} \mu(\Omega_r)^{1-1/t} \leq cr^{1-n/t}. \text{ Hence for}$$

such r :

$$|u(r) - u(r^0)| \leq c |r^\nu - r^{0\nu'}|$$

with $\nu = 2 - n/t > 0$. In particular $|u(r)| \leq 1$ for $r \geq r^0/2$, $r^0 - \varepsilon < r < r^0 + \varepsilon$, if $\varepsilon > 0$ is chosen sufficiently small, and our above assumption is justified for r in this range. This proves (9).

Now, for k large, by Lemma 2 $r_{l+1} - r_l < \varepsilon$ if $r_l < R/2$, resp. $r_{l-2} > r_l - \varepsilon$ if $r_l \geq R/2$. Hence $|u| \leq 1$ on Ω_{l+1} or Ω_{l-1} , resp. if $u(x^0) = 0$ at some $x^0 \in \Omega_l$. By Lemma 2 this is impossible for large k , proving the assertion of this lemma.

Proof of the Theorem. It remains to verify that u solves (5), the remaining assertions being a consequence of Lemma 7. By Lemmas 5 and 7 $Lu = g(u)$ in Ω_l , $1 \leq l \leq k$, $k \geq k_0$. Let $v \in H$. Using Lemma 6 an integration by parts gives

$$0 = \sum_l \int_{\Omega_l} (Lu - g(u))v dx = \sum_l \left[b(u_l, v) - \int_{\Omega_l} g(u_l)v dx \right] \\ - \sum_l \int_{\partial\Omega_l} v a n \cdot \nabla u do = b(u, v) - \int_{\Omega} g(u)v dx.$$

Here, n denotes the exterior normal and do the measure on $\partial\Omega_l$.

Thus, u weakly solves (5). By standard regularity results, moreover, $u \in H^{2,t}$ and (5) is satisfied a.e. This concludes the proof.

The list of references below is by no means complete. For more detailed bibliographical references confer e.g. [2] or [3].

References

- [1] B. GIDAS and J. SPRUCK, A priori bounds for positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations. Comm. PDE, **6**, 883–901 (1981).
- [2] M. STRUWE, Infinitely many solutions of superlinear boundary value problems with rotational symmetry, Arch. Math. **36**, 360–369 (1981).
- [3] M. STRUWE, Infinitely many critical points for functionals which are not even and applications to superlinear boundary value problems. Manuscripta Mathematica **32**, 335–364 (1980).

Eingegangen am 11. 11. 1981

Anschrift des Autors:

Michael Struwe, Mathematisches Institut der Universität Bonn, Berlingstr. 6, D-5300 Bonn