

A q -Integral Representation of Rogers' q -Ultraspherical Polynomials and Some Applications

Mizan Rahman and Arun Verma

Abstract. A q -integral representation of Rogers' q -ultraspherical polynomials $C_n(x; \beta|q)$ is obtained by using Sears' summation formula for balanced non-terminating ${}_3\phi_2$ series. It is then used to give a simple derivation of the Gasper-Rahman formula for the Poisson kernel of $C_n(x; \beta|q)$. As another application it is shown how this representation can be directly used to give an asymptotic expansion of the q -ultraspherical polynomials.

1. Introduction

The continuous q -ultraspherical polynomials $C_n(x; \beta|q)$, introduced by L. J. Rogers in 1895 [11] and recently studied by Askey and Ismail [2, 3], Bressoud [5], Gasper [6], Rahman [10], and Gasper and Rahman [7], can be defined by the generating function

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{(\beta te^{i\theta}, te^{-i\theta}; q)_\infty}{(te^{i\theta}, te^{-i\theta}; q)_\infty} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n(x; \beta|q) t^n, \quad |q| < 1, \quad |t| < 1,$$

where $x = \cos \theta$, $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$, and

$$(1.2) \quad (a; q)_\infty = (1-a)(1-aq)(1-aq^2)\dots, \\ \frac{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n; q)_\infty}{(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m; q)_\infty} = \frac{(a_1; q)_\infty (a_2; q)_\infty \dots (a_n; q)_\infty}{(b_1; q)_\infty (b_2; q)_\infty \dots (b_m; q)_\infty}.$$

Use of the q -binomial theorem

$$(1.3) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a; q)_n}{(q; q)_n} x^n = \frac{(ax; q)_\infty}{(x; q)_\infty}, \quad |q| < 1, \quad |x| < 1,$$

where $(a; q)_n = (a; q)_\infty / (aq^n; q)_\infty = (1-a)(1-aq)\dots(1-aq^{n-1})$, immediately leads to the familiar basic hypergeometric series representation of

Date received: May 15, 1985. Communicated by Edward B. Saff.

AMS 1970 subject classification: Primary, 33A65, 33A70; Secondary, 33A30.

Key words and phrases: Continuous q -ultraspherical polynomials, Basic hypergeometric series, Generating function, q -Integrals, Poisson kernels, Asymptotic formulas.

$C_n(x, \beta|q)$ (see [3])

$$(1.4) \quad C_n(x; \beta|q) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(\beta; q)_k (\beta; q)_{n-k}}{(q; q)_k (q; q)_{n-k}} \cos(n - 2k)\theta.$$

This is a very simple basic hypergeometric series representation of the q -ultraspherical polynomials that leads to many interesting results. For example, Askey and Ismail [3] used it to prove the orthogonality relation

$$(1.5) \quad \int_{-1}^1 C_m(x; \beta|q) C_n(x; \beta|q) W_\beta(x|q) (1 - x^2)^{-1/2} dx \\ = \frac{2\pi(1 - \beta)}{1 - \beta q^n} \frac{(\beta^2; q)_n}{(q; q)_n} \frac{(\beta, \beta q; q)_\infty}{(q, \beta^2; q)_\infty} \delta_{m, n},$$

where

$$(1.6) \quad W_\beta(x|q) = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1 - 2(2x^2 - 1)q^n + q^{2n}}{1 - 2\beta(2x^2 - 1)q^n + \beta^2 q^{2n}} \\ = \frac{(e^{2i\theta}, e^{-2i\theta}; q)_\infty}{(\beta e^{2i\theta}, \beta e^{-2i\theta}; q)_\infty}, \quad x = \cos \theta,$$

and Gasper [6] used it to give a very simple proof of Rogers' linearization formula [11] for the product of two q -ultraspherical polynomials. There are, of course, other representations of $C_n(x; \beta|q)$ that are also very useful. For example, Askey and Ismail [3] showed that

$$(1.7) \quad C_n(x; \beta|q) \\ = \frac{(\beta^2; q)_n}{(q; q)_n} \beta^{-n/2} {}_4\phi_3 \left[\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, \beta^2 q^n, \beta^{1/2} e^{i\theta}, \beta^{1/2} e^{-i\theta} \\ \beta q^{1/2}, -\beta q^{1/2}, -\beta \end{matrix}; q, q \right],$$

$0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$, where

$$(1.8) \quad {}_{r+1}\phi_r \left[\begin{matrix} a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{r+1} \\ b_1, \dots, b_r \end{matrix}; q, z \right] \\ = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{r+1}; q)_n}{(q, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r; q)_n} z^n$$

whenever the series converges. There is also an infinite series representation, which is a q -analogue of Szegő's [14, 16] formula for the ultraspherical polynomials:

$$(1.9) \quad C_n(x; \beta|q) = \frac{4 \sin \theta}{W_\beta(x|q)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b(k, n; \beta) \sin(n + 2k + 1)\theta,$$

$0 < \theta < \pi$, where

$$(1.10) \quad b(k, n; \beta) = \frac{(\beta, \beta q; q)_\infty (\beta^2; q)_n (q\beta^{-1}; q)_k (q; q)_{n+k}}{(q, \beta^2; q)_\infty (q; q)_n (q; q)_k (\beta q; q)_{n+k}} \beta^k.$$

The purpose of this paper is to show that there is a representation, closely related to (1.9), of $C_n(x; \beta|q)$ as a q -integral:

$$(1.11) \quad C_n(x; \beta|q) = \frac{2i \sin \theta}{(1 - q)W_\beta(x|q)} \frac{(\beta, \beta; q)_\infty (\beta^2; q)_n}{(q, \beta^2; q)_\infty (q; q)_n} \cdot \int_{e^{i\theta}}^{e^{-i\theta}} \frac{(que^{i\theta}, que^{-i\theta}; q)_\infty}{(\beta ue^{i\theta}, \beta ue^{-i\theta}; q)_\infty} u^n d_q u,$$

where $0 < \theta < \pi$ and the q -integral on the right side is defined by

$$(1.12) \quad \int_0^a f(u) d_q u = a(1 - q) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f(aq^n) q^n,$$

$$\int_a^b f(u) d_q u = \int_0^b f(u) d_q u - \int_0^a f(u) d_q u.$$

Note that (1.11) resembles the Dirichlet–Mehler formula [15, Section 4.82] for ultraspherical polynomials. Expressing the integral in (1.11) as an infinite series and simplifying the coefficients, we obtain

$$(1.13) \quad C_n(x; \beta|q) = \frac{(\beta; q)_\infty}{(\beta^2; q)_\infty} W_\beta^{-1}(x|q) \frac{(\beta^2; q)_n}{(q; q)_n} \cdot \left\{ \frac{(e^{-2i\theta}; q)_\infty}{(\beta e^{-2i\theta}; q)_\infty} e^{-in\theta} \cdot {}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} \beta e^{-2i\theta}, & \beta \\ & qe^{-2i\theta}; q, q^{n+1} \end{matrix} \right] \right. \\ \left. + \frac{(e^{2i\theta}; q)_\infty}{(\beta e^{2i\theta}; q)_\infty} e^{in\theta} \cdot {}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} \beta e^{2i\theta}, & \beta \\ & qe^{2i\theta}; q, q^{n+1} \end{matrix} \right] \right\}.$$

Using Heine's transformation formula [9]

$$(1.14) \quad {}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} a, & b \\ & c \end{matrix}; q, z \right] = \frac{(b, az; q)_\infty}{(c, z; q)_\infty} {}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} c/b, & z \\ & az \end{matrix}; q, b \right]$$

one can easily show that (1.13) reduces to (1.9). Conversely, one can say that the integral representation (1.11) follows directly from (1.9) via (1.14). In fact, this is how we discovered (1.11) in the first place. However, as we shall show in Section 3, (1.11) also follows from the generating function (1.1), almost as directly as does (1.4). In the same section we shall also show how easily one can obtain other generating functions for $C_n(x; \beta|q)$ by using some special cases of Bailey's transformation formula [4], giving a very well-poised ${}_8\phi_7$ series in terms of two

balanced ${}_4\phi_3$ series that we shall express as q -integrals in Section 2. As an application of (1.11) we shall give in Section 4 a very simple derivation of the Poisson kernel for the q -ultraspherical polynomials that Gasper and Rahman obtained in [7]. As another, and perhaps more important, application, we shall show in Section 5 that (1.13), and hence (1.11), is the most appropriate form for an asymptotic expansion of $C_n(x; \beta|q)$ when $|x| < 1$ and $0 < q < 1$.

2. Bailey's Transformation Formula as a q -Integral

One of the most useful formulas in the theory of basic hypergeometric series was given by Bailey [4] in the form:

$$(2.1) \quad {}_8\phi_7 \left[\begin{matrix} a, q\sqrt{a}, -q\sqrt{a}, b, c, d, e, f; \\ \sqrt{a}, -\sqrt{a}, aq/b, aq/c, aq/d, aq/e, aq/f; \end{matrix} ; q, a^2q^2/bcdef \right] \\ = \frac{(aq, aq/de, aq/df, aq/ef; q)_\infty}{(aq/d, aq/e, aq/f, aq/def; q)_\infty} \\ \cdot {}_4\phi_3 \left[\begin{matrix} d, e, f, aq/bc; \\ aq/b, aq/c, def/a; \end{matrix} ; q, q \right] \\ + \frac{(aq, d, e, f, aq/bc, a^2q^2/bdef, a^2q^2/cdef; q)_\infty}{(aq/b, aq/c, aq/d, aq/e, aq/f, a^2q^2/bcdef, def/aq; q)_\infty} \\ \cdot {}_4\phi_3 \left[\begin{matrix} aq/de, aq/df, aq/ef, a^2q^2/bcdef; \\ aq^2/def, a^2q^2/bdef, a^2q^2/cdef; \end{matrix} ; q, q \right],$$

provided the series are convergent, which requires that $|q| < 1$ and that either the ${}_8\phi_7$ series on the left terminates or $|a^2q^2/bcdef| < 1$. The important property that validates this transformation is that the ${}_8\phi_7$ series is very well-poised and the two ${}_4\phi_3$ series are balanced. The basic hypergeometric series (1.8) is said to be balanced if $z = q$ and $qa_1a_2 \dots a_{r+1} = b_1b_2 \dots b_r$; it is called well-poised if $a_2b_1 = a_3b_2 = \dots = a_{r+1}b_r = qa_1$, and very well-poised if, in addition, $b_1 = \sqrt{a_1}$, $b_2 = -\sqrt{a_1}$.

The important step for our purpose is to recognize that we can express (2.1) as a q -integral (see Al-Salam and Verma [1]; also Gasper and Rahman [8]):

$$(2.2) \quad \int_a^b \frac{(qu/a, qu/b, cu, du; q)_\infty}{(eu, fu, gu, hu; q)_\infty} d_q u \\ = \frac{b(1-q)(q, bq/a, a/b, cd/eh, cd/fh, cd/gh, bc, bd; q)_\infty}{(ae, af, ag, be, bf, bg, bh, bcd/h; q)_\infty} \\ \cdot {}_8\phi_7 \left[\begin{matrix} bcd/hq, q\sqrt{\quad}, -q\sqrt{\quad}, be, bf, bg, c/h, d/h; \\ \sqrt{\quad}, -\sqrt{\quad}, cd/eh, cd/fh, cd/gh, bc, bd; \end{matrix} ; q, cd/befg \right],$$

provided

$$(2.3) \quad cd = abefgh.$$

The open square roots in (2.2) are over bcd/hq .

Note that the parameters a, b, \dots in (2.2) are not the same as those in (2.1), but it is not difficult to see that (2.2) is equivalent to (2.1) by virtue of (1.12). Condition (2.3) is simply an expression of the fact that the two ${}_4\phi_3$ series are balanced. Some special cases of (2.2) are of particular interest to us. First, let us set $h = d$. Then the ${}_8\phi_7$ series has a parameter value 1 in the numerator and hence attains the value 1, so that (2.2) reduces to Sears' summation formula [12]

$$(2.4) \quad \int_a^b \frac{(qu/a, qu/b, cu; q)_\infty}{(eu, fu, gu; q)_\infty} d_q u \\ = b(1 - q) \frac{(q, bq/a, a/b, c/e, c/f, c/g; q)_\infty}{(ae, af, ag, be, bf, bg; q)_\infty},$$

with $c = abefg$.

Next, let us replace d and g by λd and λg , respectively, and let $\lambda \rightarrow 0$. Then (2.2) gives

$$(2.5) \quad \int_a^b \frac{(qu/a, qu/b, cu; q)_\infty}{(eu, fu, hu; q)_\infty} d_q u \\ = \frac{b(1 - q)(q, bq/a, a/b, bc, abef; q)_\infty}{(ae, af, be, bf, bh; q)_\infty} {}_3\phi_2 \left[\begin{matrix} be, bf, c/h \\ abef, bc \end{matrix}; q, ah \right],$$

provided $|ah| < 1$ and $|q| < 1$, if the ${}_3\phi_2$ series on the right side does not terminate. If we now set $c = 0$ in (2.5) we get

$$(2.6) \quad \int_a^b \frac{(qu/a, qu/b; q)_\infty}{(eu, fu, hu; q)_\infty} d_q u \\ = b(1 - q) \frac{(q, bq/a, a/b, abef; q)_\infty}{(ae, af, be, bf, bh; q)_\infty} {}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} be, bf \\ abef \end{matrix}; q, ah \right],$$

and setting $f = 0$ in (2.5) gives

$$(2.7) \quad \int_a^b \frac{(qu/a, qu/b, cu; q)_\infty}{(eu, hu; q)_\infty} d_q u \\ = \frac{b(1 - q)(q, bq/a, a/b, bc; q)_\infty}{(ae, be, bh; q)_\infty} {}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} be, c/h \\ bc \end{matrix}; q, ah \right].$$

Transformation formulas (2.5), (2.6), and (2.7) are not new; they are contained in Sears' work [13].

3. Proof of (1.11) and Some Generating Functions of $C_n(x; \beta|q)$

Using (2.4), we find that for $0 < \theta < \pi$,

$$(3.1) \quad \int_{e^{i\theta}}^{e^{-i\theta}} \frac{(que^{-i\theta}, que^{i\theta}, \beta^2 tu; q)_{\infty}}{(\beta ue^{-i\theta}, \beta ue^{i\theta}, tu; q)_{\infty}} d_q u \\ = \frac{(1-q)e^{-i\theta}(q, qe^{-2i\theta}, e^{2i\theta}, \beta^2; q)_{\infty}}{(\beta, \beta e^{2i\theta}, \beta e^{-2i\theta}, \beta; q)_{\infty}} \frac{(\beta te^{i\theta}, \beta te^{-i\theta}; q)_{\infty}}{(te^{i\theta}, te^{-i\theta}; q)_{\infty}}.$$

So for $|t| < 1$, we may use (1.3) to get $(\beta^2 tu; q)_{\infty}/(tu; q)_{\infty} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [(\beta^2; q)_n / (q; q)_n] (tu)^n$, which immediately leads to (1.11).

If we now multiply (1.11) by $t^n (\lambda; q)_n / (\beta^2; q)_n$, where $|t| < 1$ and λ is arbitrary; sum over n ; and use (1.3), we obtain a somewhat more general generating function for $C_n(x; \beta|q)$:

$$(3.2) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n(x; \beta|q) \frac{(\lambda; q)_n}{(\beta^2; q)_n} t^n \\ = \frac{2i \sin \theta}{(1-q)W_{\beta}(x|q)} \frac{(\beta, \beta; q)_{\infty}}{(q, \beta^2; q)_{\infty}} \int_{e^{i\theta}}^{e^{-i\theta}} \frac{(que^{i\theta}, que^{-i\theta}, \lambda tu; q)_{\infty}}{(\beta ue^{i\theta}, \beta ue^{-i\theta}, tu; q)_{\infty}} d_q u.$$

Obviously (1.1) is a special case of (3.2) by virtue of (2.4) when we set $\lambda = \beta^2$. Setting $\lambda = 0$ and using (2.6) we obtain

$$(3.3) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n(x; \beta|q) \frac{t^n}{(\beta^2; q)_n} \\ = \frac{1}{(te^{-i\theta}; q)_{\infty}} {}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} \beta, \beta e^{-2i\theta} \\ \beta^2 \end{matrix}; q, te^{i\theta} \right].$$

Also, if we replace t by $-\beta t/\lambda$ in (3.2) and take the limit as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain another generating function:

$$(3.4) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{C_n(x; \beta|q)}{(\beta^2; q)_n} q^{\binom{n}{2}} (\beta t)^n \\ = \frac{(\beta, -\beta te^{i\theta}; q)_{\infty}}{(\beta^2; q)_{\infty}} {}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} \beta, -te^{-i\theta} \\ -\beta te^{i\theta} \end{matrix}; q, \beta \right], \quad \text{by (2.7)} \\ = (-te^{-i\theta}; q)_{\infty} {}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} \beta, \beta e^{2i\theta} \\ \beta^2 \end{matrix}; q, -te^{-i\theta} \right], \quad \text{by (1.14).}$$

This was found previously by Askey and Ismail [3].

4. Poisson Kernel for q -Ultraspherical Polynomials

The Poisson kernel for $C_n(x; \beta|q)$ is defined by

$$(4.1) \quad K_t(x, y; \beta|q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q; q)_n}{(\beta^2; q)_n} \frac{1 - \beta q^n}{1 - \beta} C_n(x; \beta|q) C_n(y; \beta|q) t^n.$$

Gaspar and Rahman [7] used Rogers' linearization formula [11] and a transformation formula connecting a ${}_2\phi_1$ with a ${}_4\phi_3$ to prove that

(4.2)

$$K_t(x, y; \beta|q) = \frac{(t^2, \beta; q)_{\infty}}{(\beta q t^2, \beta^2; q)_{\infty}} \left| \frac{(\beta t e^{i\theta+i\phi}, \beta t q e^{i\theta-i\phi}; q)_{\infty}}{(t e^{i\theta+i\phi}, t e^{i\theta-i\phi}; q)_{\infty}} \right|^2 \cdot {}_8\phi_7 \left[\begin{matrix} \beta t^2, q(\beta t^2)^{1/2}, -q(\beta t^2)^{1/2}, \beta, q t e^{i\theta+i\phi}, q t e^{-i\theta-i\phi}, t e^{-i\theta-i\phi}, t e^{i\phi-i\theta} \\ (\beta t^2)^{1/2}, -(\beta t^2)^{1/2}, q t^2, \beta t e^{-i\theta-i\phi}, \beta t e^{i\theta+i\phi}, \beta q t e^{i\phi-i\theta}, \beta q t e^{i\theta-i\phi} \end{matrix}; q, \beta \right]$$

where $|t| < 1$, $|q| < 1$, $|\beta| < 1$, $x = \cos \theta$, and $y = \cos \phi$. It was also shown in [7] that

(4.3)

$$L_t(x, y; \beta|q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q; q)_n}{(\beta^2; q)_n} C_n(x; \beta|q) C_n(y; \beta|q) t^n = \frac{(t^2, \beta; q)_{\infty}}{(\beta t^2, \beta^2; q)_{\infty}} \left| \frac{(\beta t e^{i\theta+i\phi}, \beta t e^{i\theta-i\phi}; q)_{\infty}}{(t e^{i\theta+i\phi}, t e^{i\theta-i\phi}; q)_{\infty}} \right|^2 \cdot {}_8\phi_7 \left[\begin{matrix} \beta t^2/q, q(\beta t^2/q)^{1/2}, -q(\beta t^2/q)^{1/2}, \beta, t e^{i\theta+i\phi}, t e^{-i\theta-i\phi}, t e^{i\theta-i\phi}, t e^{i\phi-i\theta} \\ (\beta t^2/q)^{1/2}, -(\beta t^2/q)^{1/2}, t^2, \beta t e^{-i\theta-i\phi}, \beta t e^{i\theta+i\phi}, \beta t e^{i\phi-i\theta}, \beta t e^{i\theta-i\phi} \end{matrix}; q, \beta \right].$$

We will show that these results follow from the integral representation (1.11) in a very straightforward manner. First, multiply (1.11) by $(q; q)_n C_n(y; \beta|q) t^n / (\beta^2; q)_n$ and sum over n . This gives

(4.4)

$$L_t(x, y; \beta|q) = \frac{2i \sin \theta}{(1 - q) W_{\beta}(x|q)} \frac{(\beta, \beta; q)_{\infty}}{(q, \beta^2; q)_{\infty}} \int_{e^{i\theta}}^{e^{-i\theta}} d_q u \frac{(q u e^{i\theta}, q u e^{-i\theta}; q)_{\infty}}{(\beta u e^{i\theta}, \beta u e^{-i\theta}; q)_{\infty}} \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n(y; \beta|q) (u t)^n = \frac{2i \sin \theta}{(1 - q) W_{\beta}(x|q)} \frac{(\beta, \beta; q)_{\infty}}{(q, \beta^2; q)_{\infty}} \cdot \int_{e^{i\theta}}^{e^{-i\theta}} d_q u \frac{(q u e^{i\theta}, q u e^{-i\theta}, \beta u t e^{i\phi}, \beta u t e^{-i\phi}; q)_{\infty}}{(\beta u e^{i\theta}, \beta u e^{-i\theta}, u t e^{i\phi}, u t e^{-i\phi}; q)_{\infty}}$$

by (1.1). But the q -integral on the right side has the same balanced property as

that in (2.2), and so choosing $\beta te^{i\phi}/r = c$, $\beta te^{-i\phi}/r = d$, $h = \beta e^{-i\theta}/r$, $e = \beta e^{i\theta}/r$, and $f = te^{-i\phi}/r$, one can see that use of (2.2) in (4.4) directly leads to (4.3).

To prove (4.2) one needs to do a little more work. Since

(4.5)

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n(y; \beta|q) \frac{1 - \beta q^n}{1 - \beta} (ut)^n \\ = (1 - \beta)^{-1} \left\{ \frac{(\beta ute^{i\phi}, \beta ute^{-i\phi}; q)_{\infty}}{(ute^{i\phi}, ute^{-i\phi}; q)_{\infty}} - \frac{\beta(\beta qute^{i\phi}, \beta qute^{-i\phi}; q)_{\infty}}{(qute^{i\phi}, qute^{-i\phi}; q)_{\infty}} \right\}$$

we have, by using (2.2),

(4.6)

$K_r(x, y; q)$

$$= \frac{(\beta q, t^2; q)_{\infty}}{(\beta t^2, \beta^2; q)_{\infty}} \left\{ \left| \frac{(\beta te^{i\theta+i\phi}, \beta te^{i\theta-i\phi}; q)_{\infty}}{(te^{i\theta+i\phi}, te^{i\theta-i\phi}; q)_{\infty}} \right|^2 \times \right. \\ \left. {}_{\times\phi_7} \left[\begin{matrix} \beta t^2/q, q(\beta t^2/q)^{1/2}, -q(\beta t^2/q)^{1/2}, \beta, te^{i\theta+i\phi}, te^{-i\theta-i\phi}, te^{i\theta-i\phi}, te^{i\phi-i\theta} \\ (\beta t^2/q)^{1/2}, -(\beta t^2/q)^{1/2}, t^2, \beta te^{-i\theta-i\phi}, \beta te^{i\theta+i\phi}, \beta te^{i\phi-i\theta}, \beta te^{i\theta-i\phi} \end{matrix}; q, \beta \right] \right. \\ \left. - \beta \frac{(1 - \beta t^2)(1 - \beta q t^2)}{(1 - t^2)(1 - q t^2)} \left| \frac{(\beta tqe^{i\theta+i\phi}, \beta tqe^{i\theta-i\phi}; q)_{\infty}}{(tqe^{i\theta+i\phi}, tqe^{i\theta-i\phi}; q)_{\infty}} \right|^2 \times \right. \\ \left. {}_{\times\phi_7} \left[\begin{matrix} q\beta t^2, q(q\beta t^2)^{1/2}, -q(q\beta t^2)^{1/2}, \beta, qte^{i\theta+i\phi}, qte^{-i\theta-i\phi}, qte^{i\theta-i\phi}, qte^{i\phi-i\theta} \\ (q\beta t^2)^{1/2}, -(q\beta t^2)^{1/2}, q^2 t^2, \beta tqe^{-i\theta-i\phi}, \beta tqe^{i\theta+i\phi}, \beta tqe^{i\phi-i\theta}, \beta tqe^{i\theta-i\phi} \end{matrix}; q, \beta \right] \right\}$$

Combining the $(n + 1)$ th term of the first series with the n th term of the second series for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, it is easy to show that (4.6) leads to (4.2).

5. The Asymptotic Formulas

For $|x| < 1$, $|q| < 1$, and large n it is clear from (1.13) that the leading term in the asymptotic expansion of $C_n(x; \beta|q)$ is given by

$$(5.1) \quad C_n(x; \beta|q) = \frac{(\beta; q)_{\infty}}{(q; q)_{\infty}} \left\{ \frac{(\beta e^{2i\theta}; q)_{\infty}}{(e^{2i\theta}; q)_{\infty}} e^{-in\theta} + \frac{(\beta e^{-2i\theta}; q)_{\infty}}{(e^{-2i\theta}; q)_{\infty}} e^{in\theta} \right\} \\ = 2 \frac{(\beta; q)_{\infty}}{(q; q)_{\infty}} |A(e^{i\theta})| \cos(n\theta - \alpha),$$

where

$$(5.2) \quad A(z) = \frac{(\beta z^2; q)_{\infty}}{(\cdot; q)_{\infty}} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha = \arg A(e^{i\theta}).$$

This, of course, is the same as Eq. (3.11) in [2], which Askey and Ismail found by using Darboux's method. Equation (1.13), however, gives more than the leading term. For $0 < \theta < \pi$ and any fixed positive integer N , it is easy to deduce from (1.13) that for large n

$$(5.3) \quad C_n(x; \beta|q) \approx 2 \frac{(\beta; q)_\infty}{(q; q)_\infty} |A(e^{i\theta})| \cos(n\theta - \alpha) + 4 \frac{(\beta; q)_\infty}{(q; q)_\infty} \sin \theta W_\beta^{-1}(x|q) \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\beta; q)_k}{(q; q)_k} |B_k(e^{i\theta})| \cdot \cos \left[(n+1)\theta + \phi_k - \frac{\pi}{2} \right] q^{nk+k} + O(q^{N(n+1)}) \right\},$$

where

$$(5.4) \quad B_k(z) = \frac{(q^{k+1}z^2; q)_\infty}{(\beta q^k z^2; q)_\infty}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots,$$

and

$$(5.5) \quad \phi_k = \arg B_k(e^{i\theta}).$$

If $x \notin [-1, 1]$, Eq. (1.13) can be written as

$$(5.6) \quad C_n(x; \beta|q) = \frac{(\beta; q)_\infty}{(\beta^2; q)_\infty} \frac{(\beta^2; q)_\infty}{(q; q)_\infty} W_\beta^{-1}(x|q) \cdot \left\{ \frac{(z^2; q)_\infty}{(\beta z^2; q)_\infty} z^n {}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} \beta z^2, \beta \\ qz^2; q, q^{n+1} \end{matrix} \right] + \frac{(z^{-2}; q)_\infty}{(\beta z^{-2}; q)_\infty} z^{-n} {}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} \beta z^{-2}, \beta \\ qz^{-2}; q, q^{n+1} \end{matrix} \right] \right\},$$

where $x = \frac{1}{2}(z + z^{-1})$ and $W_\beta(x|q) = (z^2, z^{-2}; q)_\infty / (\beta z^2, \beta z^{-2}; q)_\infty$.

Writing $1 - 2xz + z^2 = (1 - zz_1)(1 - zz_2)$, where $|z_1| < |z_2|$ with $|z_1| < 1$ and $|z_2| > 1$, we find that

$$(5.7) \quad C_n(x; \beta|q) \approx \frac{(\beta; q)_\infty}{(q; q)_\infty} \frac{(\beta z_1^2; q)_\infty}{(z_1^2; q)_\infty} z_2^n \left[1 + \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{(\beta, \beta z_2^2; q)_k}{(q, qz_2^2; q)_k} q^{nk+k} \right] + O(z_2^n q^{N(n+1)}), \quad N = 1, 2, \dots$$

The leading term in (5.7) is the same as (3.5) of [2].

Acknowledgment. We would like to thank the referee for pointing out that (3.2) follows directly from (1.11) and (1.3). In our original version we had only (3.3)

and (3.4), but not (3.2). This work was supported by NSERC Grant no. 6197. This work was completed while A.V. was visiting Carleton University during September–December, 1984.

References

1. W. A. Al-Salam, A. Verma (1982): *Some remarks on q -beta integral*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **85**:360–362.
2. R. Askey, M. E. H. Ismail (1980): *The Rogers' q -ultraspherical polynomials*. In: Approximation Theory III (E. W. Cheney, ed.). New York: Academic Press, pp. 175–182.
3. R. Askey, M. E. H. Ismail (1982): *A generalization of ultraspherical polynomials*. In: Studies in Pure Mathematics (P. Erdős, ed.). Boston: Birkhäuser, pp. 56–78.
4. W. N. Bailey (1964): *Generalized Hypergeometric Series*. New York, London: Stechert-Hafner Service Agency.
5. D. M. Bressoud (1981): *Linearization and related formulas for q -ultraspherical polynomials*. SIAM J. Math. Anal., **12**:161–168.
6. G. Gasper (1985): *Rogers' linearization formula for the continuous q -ultraspherical polynomials and quadratic transformation formulas*. SIAM J. Math. Anal. **16**:1061–1071.
7. G. Gasper, M. Rahman (1983): *Positivity of the Poisson kernel for the continuous q -ultraspherical polynomials*. SIAM J. Math. Anal., **14**:409–420.
8. G. Gasper, M. Rahman (in press): *Positivity of the Poisson kernel for the continuous q -Jacobi polynomials and some quadratic transformation formulas for basic hypergeometric series*.
9. E. Heine (1847): *Untersuchungen über die Reihe . . .* J. Reine Angew. Math., **34**:285–328.
10. M. Rahman (1981): *The linearization of the product of continuous q -Jacobi polynomials*. Canad. J. Math., **33**:961–987.
11. L. J. Rogers (1895): *Third memoir on the expansion of certain infinite products*. Proc. London Math. Soc., **26**:15–32.
12. D. B. Sears (1951): *Transformations of basic hypergeometric functions of special type*. Proc. London Math. Soc., (1), **52**:467–483.
13. D. B. Sears (1951): *On the transformation theory of basic hypergeometric functions*. Proc. London Math. Soc. (2), **53**:158–191.
14. G. Szegő (1934): *Über gewisse orthogonale Polynome, die zu einer oszillierenden Belegunsfunktion gehören*. Math. Ann., **110**:501–513.
15. G. Szegő (1975): *Orthogonal Polynomials*, 4th ed., Vol. 23. Providence: Colloquium Publications, American Mathematical Society.
16. G. Szegő (1982): *Collected Papers*, Vol. 2. Boston: Birkhäuser, pp. 545–557.

M. Rahman
 Department of Mathematics and Statistics
 Carleton University
 Ottawa, Ontario
 Canada K1S 5B6

A. Verma
 Department of Mathematics
 University of Roorkee
 Roorkee, India