Aequationes Mathematicae 44 (1992) 236-248 University of Waterloo

Cauchy's equation on Δ^+ : further results

THOMAS RIEDEL

Summary. In a previous paper [Cauchy's equation on Δ^+ , Aequationes Math. 41 (1991), 192-211], we began the study of Cauchy's equation on Δ^+ , the space of probability distribution functions of nonnegative random variables. In this paper we continue this study and extend our previous results to triangle functions of the form $\tau_{T,L}$, where T is a continuous Archimedean t-norm and L a binary operation on R^+ , which is iseomorphic to a strict t-conorm. We again use a lattice theoretic approach, and introduce first a theorem on the powers and roots of certain elements of Δ^+ under $\tau_{T,L}$. Under certain additional restrictions we obtain a representation of sup-continuous solutions, similar to the one found in the first paper.

1. Introduction

In a previous paper [8] we began the study of Cauchy's equation on Δ^+ , the space of probability distribution functions of nonnegative random variables. In this paper we continue this study and extend our previous results to triangle functions of the form $\tau_{T,L}$, where T is a continuous Archimedean t-norm and L a binary operation on R^+ , which is isomorphic to a strict t-conorm. We again use a lattice theoretic approach, and introduce first a theorem on the powers and roots of certain elements of Δ^+ under $\tau_{T,L}$.

This paper is divided into four sections, Section 1 being this introduction. In the next section we introduce some additional notation and preliminary results beyond those of [8]. In Section 3 we prove a theorem about the existence of roots and powers of certain functions in Δ^+ . Finally, in Section 4 we prove the main result, a representation of sup-continuous solutions of Cauchy's equation on Δ^+ , as well as the corresponding explicit formulas for order automorphism solutions.

AMS (1990) subject classification: Primary 89B50. Secondary 60B99.

Manuscript received May 31, 1991, and in final form, October 30, 1991.

2. Preliminaries

We assume that the reader is familiar with the notation and results from [8] (details can be found in [9]), and introduce here only a few additional items.

We will denote by \mathcal{F}_s the set of strict t-norms and by \mathcal{F}_A , the set of continuous Archimedean t-norms (see [9, Definition 5.3.6]). Further, we say that a binary operation L on R^+ is a *-composition law if there is a t-norm T and a continuous, strictly increasing bijection ψ from R^+ into I, such that

$$L(u, v) = \psi^{-1}(1 - T(1 - \psi(u), 1 - \psi(v))), \quad \text{for all } u, v \text{ in } R^+.$$
(2.1)

A *-composition law L is strict (continuous Archimedean), if the corresponding t-norm T is strict (continuous Archimedean), and we write L in \mathcal{L}_s , (\mathcal{L}_A) .

Since every T in \mathcal{T}_A admits a representation of the form (see [9, Theorem 5.5.2])

$$T(x, y) = g^{(-1)}(g(x) + g(y)),$$
(2.2)

(2.1) yields a corresponding representation for every L in \mathscr{L}_A :

$$L(u, v) = f^{(-1)}(f(u) + f(v)), \quad \text{for all } u, v \text{ in } R^+,$$
(2.3)

where f is a continuous, strictly increasing function from R^+ into R^+ with f(0) = 0and $f^{(-1)}$ is the pseudo-inverse of f (see [8, Section 2]). In the case where L is in \mathcal{L}_s , f is onto R^+ and $f^{(-1)} = f^{-1}$, the ordinary inverse of f.

We are interested in the triangle functions which are induced by a t-norm T and a *-composition law L as follows:

$$\tau_{T,L}(F,G)(x) = \sup_{L(u,v) = x} T(F(u), G(v)), \quad \text{for all } x \text{ in } R^+.$$
(2.4)

We are now able to state our main goal: to find solutions of Cauchy's equation for $\tau_{T,L}$, i.e., to find functions $\varphi: \Delta^+ \to \Delta^+$ which satisfy

$$\varphi(\tau_{T,L}(F,G)) = \tau_{T,L}(\varphi(F),\varphi(G)), \quad \text{for all } F, G \text{ in } \Delta^+.$$
(2.5)

3. Properties of $\tau_{T,L}$ and Δ^+

As in our previous paper we will use the lattice theoretic properties of Δ^+ (see [8, Section 3]), to solve (2.5). Again we let $\Delta_{\delta}^+ = \{\delta_{a,b} \mid a \in [0, \infty], b \in [0, 1]\}$, and note that, since

$$\tau_{T,L}(\delta_{a,b}, \delta_{c,d}) = \delta_{L(a,c),T(b,d)}, \quad \text{for all } \delta_{a,b}, \delta_{c,d} \text{ in } \Delta_{\delta}^+, \quad (3.1)$$

THOMAS RIEDEL

 $(\Delta_{\delta}^+, \tau_{T,L})$ is a subsemigroup of $(\Delta^+, \tau_{T,L})$ (compare [8, Lemma 3.4]). Furthermore, every F in Δ^+ can be written as a supremum of elements of Δ_{δ}^+ :

$$F = \sup_{t > b_F} \delta_{t,F(t)},\tag{3.2}$$

where $b_F = \sup\{t \in \mathbb{R}^+ | F(t) = 0\}$. This is a refinement of [8, Lemma 3.5], since $\delta_{t,F(t)} \neq \varepsilon_{\infty}$ for $t > b_F$.

It was shown in [10, 7] that $\tau_{T,L}$ is sup-continuous (see [8, Definitions 2.5, 3.6]), and thus we have

LEMMA 3.1. A function $\varphi: \Delta^+ \to \Delta^+$ is a sup-continuous solution of Cauchy's equation for $\tau_{T,L}$ on Δ^+ if and only if it is a solution on Δ^+_{δ} .

We are now ready to turn to the question of powers and roots under $\tau_{T,L}$, where T is in \mathcal{T}_A and L is in \mathcal{L}_s with generators g and f, respectively. We let

$$\Delta_{T,L}^+ = \{F \in \Delta^+ \mid g \circ F \circ f^{-1} \text{ is convex on } (b_F, \infty)\}.$$

Since $b_{\delta_{a,b}} = a$, and $\delta_{a,b}$ is constant on (a, ∞) , we have

$$\Delta^+_{\delta} \subset \Delta^+_{T,L}.$$

The set $\Delta_{T,L}^+$ corresponds to the set of T-log-concave elements which R. Moynihan introduced in [5].

The following theorem is an extension of a result by B. Schweizer [9] (see also [5]).

THEOREM 3.2. Let T be in \mathcal{F}_A with generator g, L in \mathcal{L}_s with generator f, and suppose F is in $\Delta_{T,L}^+ \setminus \{\varepsilon_\infty\}$. Using the abbreviation \overline{F} for $g \circ F \circ f^{-1}$, for $\mu \ge 0$, let F^{μ} be defined by

$$F^{\mu}(x) = g^{(-1)}(\mu \bar{F}(f(x)/\mu)), \quad \text{for } 0 < \mu < \infty,$$
(3.3)

$$F^0 = \lim_{\mu \to 0} F^\mu = \varepsilon_0, \tag{3.4}$$

$$F^{\infty} = \lim_{\mu \to \infty} F^{\mu} = \begin{cases} \varepsilon_0, & \text{for } F = \varepsilon_0, \\ \varepsilon_{\infty}, & \text{for } F \neq \varepsilon_0. \end{cases}$$
(3.5)

Then

(a)
$$F^{\mu}$$
 is in $\Delta_{T,L}^{+}$, for all $\mu \ge 0$,
(b) $F^{\mu} \le F^{\nu}$, whenever $\nu \le \mu$,
(c) $\tau_{T,L}(F^{\mu}, F^{\nu}) = F^{\mu+\nu}$, for all $\mu, \nu \ge 0$,
(d) $(F^{\mu})^{\nu} = F^{\mu\nu}$, if either $\mu \le 1$ or $\mu > 1$ and $\nu \ge 1$.

Proof. (a) For $\mu = 0$ and $\mu = \infty$, F^{μ} is ε_0 or ε_{∞} and therefore in $\Delta_{T,L}^+$. Now let $0 < \mu < \infty$, then $\mu \overline{F}(x/\mu)$ is convex whenever

$$x > \sup\{t \mid g^{(-1)}(\mu g(F(f^{-1}(t/\mu)))) = 0\} = b_{F^{\mu}},$$

since it is a composition of convex functions. Hence F^{μ} is in $\Delta_{T,L}^+$ for all $\mu \ge 0$.

(b) Suppose $v \le \mu$; since f^{-1} is increasing, F nondecreasing, and g and $g^{(-1)}$ are nonincreasing, we have, for any x > 0,

$$g^{(-1)}(\mu \bar{F}(f(x)/\mu)) \leq g^{(-1)}(\nu \bar{F}(f(x)/\nu)),$$

whence $F^{\mu} \leq F^{\nu}$.

(c) This is trivial if μ or ν is either 0 or ∞ . Now suppose that $0 < \mu, \nu < \infty$ and let x > 0 be given. Then there are two cases to consider:

Case (1). $\tau_{T,L}(F^{\mu}, F^{\nu})(x) = 0$. This is easily seen to hold if and only if $F^{\mu+\nu}(x) = 0$.

Case (2). $\tau_{T,L}(F^{\mu}, F^{\nu})(x) > 0$. Then we have

$$\tau_{T,L}(F^{\mu}, F^{\nu})(x) = \sup_{L(u,v) = x} g^{(-1)}[\mu \overline{F}(f(u)/\mu) + \nu \overline{F}(f(v)/\nu)].$$

Since $g^{(-1)}$ is nonincreasing and continuous, we have

$$\tau_{T,L}(F^{\mu}, F^{\nu})(x) = g^{(-1)}(\inf_{L(u,v) = x} [u\bar{F}(f(u)/\mu) + v\bar{F}(f(v)/\nu)]),$$

and, since \overline{F} is convex, we obtain

$$[\mu \bar{F}(f(u)/\mu) + \nu \bar{F}(f(v)/\nu)] \ge (\mu + \nu) \bar{F}((f(u) + f(v))/(\mu + \nu))$$

= $(\mu + \nu) \bar{F}(f(x)/(\mu + \nu))$

with equality holding when $f(u) = f(x)\mu/(\mu + \nu)$ and $f(v) = f(x)\nu/(\mu + \nu)$. Consequently,

 $\tau_{T,L}(F^{\mu}, F^{\nu}) = F^{\mu + \nu}.$

(d) The case when $\mu \leq 1$ is settled by straightforward calculation. When $\mu > 1$ and $\nu \geq 1$ then either $\mu \overline{F}(f(x)/\mu\nu) \leq g(0)$, and a simple calculation yields the result, or $\mu \overline{F}(f(x)/\mu\nu) > g(0)$, in which case $(F^{\mu})^{\nu}(x) = 0 = (F^{\nu})^{\mu}$.

We note that, for any positive integer n, F^n is just the *n*-fold $\tau_{T,L}$ -product of F; and that, for strict T, (d) of the above theorem holds for any $\mu, \nu \ge 0$, since in this case F^{μ} never equals ε_{∞} .

We also have the following corollary regarding the uniqueness of roots:

COROLLARY 3.3. Let T, L be as in Theorem 3.2 and let $\mu > 0$ be given. Then for any $\delta_{a,b}$ in $\Delta_{\delta}^+ \setminus \{\varepsilon_{\infty}\}$, there exists a unique $\delta_{c,d}$ in $\Delta_{\delta}^+ \setminus \{\varepsilon_{\infty}\}$ such that

$$\delta_{a,b} = \delta^{\mu}_{c,d},\tag{3.6}$$

if and only if $\delta_{a,b}^{1/\mu} \neq \varepsilon_{\infty}$. In this case $\delta_{c,d} = \delta_{f^{-1}(f(a)/\mu),g^{(-1)}(g(b)/\mu)}$.

Proof. Using (3.3), we get

 $\delta_{a,b} = \delta_{f^{-1}(\mu f(c)),g^{(-1)}(\mu g(d))},$

and hence $a = f^{-1}(\mu f(c))$, $b = g^{(-1)}(\mu g(d))$. Obviously, $c = f^{-1}(f(a)/\mu)$, and, since, $b \neq 0$ we have $g(b)/\mu = g(d)$. Therefore d is uniquely determined if, and only if, $g(b)/\mu < g(0)$, which is the case if, and only if, $\delta_{a,b}^{1/\mu} \neq \varepsilon_{\infty}$.

The next lemma is a direct consequence of (3.1) and of the above (recall that $\varepsilon_a = \delta_{a,1}$).

LEMMA 3.4. Let $\delta_{a,b} \neq \varepsilon_{\infty}$, let T be in \mathcal{T}_A with generator g, and let L be in \mathcal{L}_s with generator f. Then, for any c in (0, 1), $\delta_{a,b}$ admits the decomposition,

$$\delta_{a,b} = \tau_{T,L}(\varepsilon_1^{f(a)/f(1)}, \delta_{0,c}^{g(b)/g(c)}).$$
(3.7)

4. Sup-continuous solutions

In this section we discuss the properties of sup-continuous solutions of Cauchy's equation for $\tau_{T,L}$, where T is in \mathcal{T}_A and L is in \mathcal{L}_s , and give a representation of such functions. We conclude by giving explicit formulas for order automorphism solutions.

LEMMA 4.1. Let T be in \mathcal{T}_A and L in \mathcal{L}_s . Suppose that φ is a sup-continuous solution of Cauchy's equation for $\tau_{T,L}$ having the property that, if $\delta_{a,b}$ is in $\Delta_{\delta}^+ \setminus \{\varepsilon_{\infty}\}$, then, for all positive integers n, $\varphi(\delta_{a,b}^{1/n})$ is in $\Delta_{\delta}^+ \setminus \{\varepsilon_{\infty}\}$. Then for all $\mu \ge 0$,

$$\varphi(\delta_{a,b}^{\mu}) = [\varphi(\delta_{a,b})]^{\mu}, \tag{4.1}$$

with the understanding that $\varepsilon_{\infty}^{\mu} = \varepsilon_{\infty}$.

Proof. Note first that, if $\mu = 0$, then $\delta^{\mu}_{a,b} = \varepsilon_0$ and $\varphi(\varepsilon_0) = \varepsilon_0$. Furthermore, if $\delta^{\mu}_{a,b} = \varepsilon_{\infty}$, then, since $\varphi(\varepsilon_{\infty}) = \varepsilon_{\infty}$ (this is the case for any solution of Cauchy's equation, for a proof see [8]), (4.1) holds for all $\mu > 0$. Now assume $\delta^{\mu}_{a,b} \neq \varepsilon_{\infty}$.

Let $\mu = n$ be a positive integer. Then this follows by induction from (2.5). Since $\delta_{a,b}^{1/n} \ge \delta_{a,b} \ne \varepsilon_{\infty}$, we have, by (d) of Theorem 3.2,

$$\varphi(\delta_{a,b}) = \varphi((\delta_{a,b}^{1/n})^n) = \varphi(\delta_{a,b}^{1/n})^n.$$

Now we can apply Corollary 3.3 and obtain

$$\varphi(\delta_{a,b}^{1/n}) = (\varphi(\delta_{a,b}))^{1/n},$$

and thus (4.1) holds for all rational $\mu > 0$. To establish the result for all $\mu > 0$, we let $\{r_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of rational numbers with $\inf r_n = \mu$. Since $r_n \ge \mu$, we have, by (c) of Theorem 3.2,

$$\delta_{a,b}^{\prime_n} \leqslant \delta_{a,b}^{\mu};$$

but, if T is not strict, $\delta_{a,b}^{r_n}$ may equal ε_{∞} for some r_n . The fact that

 $\delta_{a,b}^{r_n} = \delta_{f^{-1}(r_n, f(a)), g^{(-1)}(r_n, g(b))}$

and that g and $g^{(-1)}$ are continuous, implies that there exists a subsequence $\{q_m\}$ of $\{r_n\}$, such that for all m,

$$\delta^{q_m}_{a,b} \neq \varepsilon_{\infty}$$
.

Thus we have

$$\sup_{q_m} \delta_{a,b}^{q_m} = \delta_{a,b}^{\mu}.$$

Using the sup-continuity of φ , we obtain that (4.1) holds for all $\mu > 0$.

Note that (4.1) implies, if $\varphi(\delta_{a,b}) = \delta_{c,d}$, that we necessarily have $d \le b$. We are now able to prove the main results of this paper:

THEOREM 4.2. Let T be in \mathcal{T}_A with generator g and L in \mathcal{L}_s with generator f. Suppose that φ is a sup-continuous solution of Cauchy's equation for $\tau_{T,L}$, having the property that, for some c in (0, 1) and all positive integers n, $\varphi(\delta_{0,c}^{1/n})$ and $\varphi(\varepsilon_1^{1/n})$ are in $\Delta_{\delta}^+ \setminus \{\varepsilon_{\infty}\}$. Then, for all F in Δ^+ ,

$$\varphi(F) = \sup_{t > b_F} \tau_{T,L}([\varphi(\varepsilon_1)]^{l'(t)}, [\varphi(\delta_{0,c})]^{kg(F(t))}),$$
(4.2)

where l = 1/f(1) and k = 1/g(c).

Proof. By (3.2) and Lemma 3.4 we have that

$$F = \sup_{t > b_F} \delta_{t,F(t)} = \sup_{t > b_F} \tau_{T,L}(\varepsilon_1^{f(t)/f(1)}, \delta_{0,c}^{g(F(t))/g(c)}),$$

whence the sup-continuity of φ , the fact that φ is a solution of Cauchy's equation for $\tau_{T,L}$, and (4.1) yield (4.2).

The converse of Theorem 4.2 is also true. To establish it we will need the following lattice-theoretic lemma (see, e.g. [3]).

LEMMA 4.3. Let \mathcal{M} be a complete lattice and let $\{X_{i,\beta} \mid \beta \in B, t \in I_{\beta}\}$ be a collection of elements of \mathcal{M} , where B is an index set and $\{I_{\beta}\}$ is a family of index sets indexed by B. Then, letting $I = \bigcup_{\beta \in B} I_{\beta}$, we have

$$\sup_{t \in I} \sup_{\beta \in B} X_{t,\beta} = \sup_{\beta \in B} \sup_{t \in I_{\beta}} X_{t,\beta}.$$
(4.3)

Proof. An element u is an upper bound of $\{X_{t,\beta} \mid \beta \in B\}$ if and only if u is an upper bound of $\sup_{t \in I_{\beta}} X_{t,\beta}$. Hence u is an upper bound for every β in B of $\sup_{t \in I_{\beta}} X_{t,\beta}$ if and only if u is an upper bound of $\sup_{\beta \in B} \sup_{t \in I_{\beta}} X_{t,\beta}$. Therefore we have

$$\sup_{\beta \in B} \sup_{i \in I_{\beta}} X_{i,\beta} = \sup\{X_{i,\beta} \mid \beta \in B, t \in I_{\beta}\}.$$
(4.4)

On the other hand, an element u is an upper bound of $\{X_{\iota,\beta} \mid \beta \in B\}$ if and only if u is an upper bound of $\sup_{\beta \in B} X_{\iota,\beta}$, where t is in $I = \bigcup_{\beta \in B} I_{\beta}$. This in turn holds if and only if u is an upper bound of $\sup_{\iota \in I} \sup_{\beta \in B} X_{\iota,\beta}$, which implies that

$$\sup_{t \in I} \sup_{\beta \in B} X_{t,\beta} = \sup\{X_{t,\beta} \mid \beta \in B, t \in I_{\beta}\}.$$
(4.5)

Putting (4.4) and (4.5) together yields the result.

THEOREM 4.4. Let T be in \mathcal{T}_A with generator g and L in \mathcal{L}_s with generator f. Let c in (0, 1) and $\delta_{a,b}, \delta_{d,e}$ in $\Delta_{\delta}^+ \setminus \{\varepsilon_{\infty}\}$ be given (where $e \leq c$, if T is non-strict); and define $\varphi: \Delta^+ \to \Delta^+$ by

$$\varphi(F) = \sup_{t>b_F} \tau_{T,L}(\delta_{a,b}^{lf(t)}, \delta_{d,e}^{kg(F(t))}),$$

$$(4.6)$$

where l = 1/f(1) and k = 1/g(c). Then φ is a sup-continuous solution of Cauchy's equation for $\tau_{T,L}$. Moreover, $\delta_{a,b} = \varphi(\varepsilon_1)$, $\delta_{d,e} = \varphi(\delta_{0,c})$ and for all positive integers n, $\varphi(\varepsilon_1^{1/n})$ and $\varphi(\delta_{0,c}^{1/n})$ are in $\Delta_{\delta}^+ \setminus \{\varepsilon_{\infty}\}$.

Proof. We first observe that, for $F = \varepsilon_{\infty}$, we have $b_F = \infty$, whence the supremum in (4.6) is over the empty set. This implies that $\varphi(\varepsilon_{\infty}) = \varepsilon_{\infty}$. Now let G

and H be in $\Delta_{\delta}^+ \setminus \{\varepsilon_{\infty}\}$ and suppose $\delta_{a,b} \neq \varepsilon_{\infty}$. Then we have, with l = 1/f(1) and k = 1/g(c),

$$\tau_{T,L}(G^{lf(t)}, H^{kg(\delta_{a,b}(t))}) = \begin{cases} \tau_{T,L}(G^{lf(t)}, H^{kg(b)}), & \text{for } a < t < \infty, \\ \varepsilon_{\infty}, & \text{for } t = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, letting $F = \delta_{a,b}$ in (4.6), we obtain,

$$\varphi(\delta_{a,b}) = \tau_{T,L}(G^{[f(a)]}, H^{kg(b)}).$$
(4.7)

Using (4.7) we have, in particular, that for all positive integers n,

$$\varphi(\delta_{0,c}^{1/n}) = \varphi(\delta_{0,g^{-1}(g(c)/n)}) = \tau_{T,L}(G^0, H^{1/n}) = \tau_{T,L}(\varepsilon_0, H^{1/n}) = H^{1/n},$$

and, since g(1) = 0,

$$\varphi(\varepsilon_1^{1/n}) = \varphi(\delta_{f^{-1}(f(1)/n),1}) = \tau_{T,L}(G^{1/n},\varepsilon_0) = G^{1/n}.$$

Hence, using Theorem 3.2, we have that $\varphi(\varepsilon_1^{1/n})$ and $\varphi(\delta_{0,c}^{1/n})$ are in $\Delta_{\delta}^+ \setminus \{\varepsilon_{\infty}\}$ and for n = 1 this yields $G = \varphi(\varepsilon_1)$ and $H = \varphi(\delta_{0,c})$.

To show that φ is sup-continuous, we first note that

$$\sup_{t>b_F} \varphi(\delta_{t,F(t)}) = \sup_{t>b_F} \tau_{T,L}(G^{lf(t)}, H^{kg(F(t))})$$
$$= \varphi(F) = \varphi(\sup_{t>b_F} \delta_{t,F(t)}).$$

Now let $F = \sup_{\beta \in B} F_{\beta}$, where F_{β} is in Δ^+ for all β in some index set *B*. (Recall that this supremum is pointwise, i.e., $F(x) = \sup_{\beta \in B} F_{\beta}(x)$.) Using the facts that g and f are continuous, that *H* is left continuous, inequality (d) of Theorem 3.2 and the fact that g is decreasing, we obtain

$$\sup_{\beta \in B} H^{kg(F_{\beta})} = H^{\inf_{\beta \in B} kg(F_{\beta})} = H^{kg(\sup_{\beta \in B} F_{\beta})}.$$

Hence, using the abbreviation $\sup_{\beta \in B}$, we have on the one hand that

$$\varphi(F) = \varphi(\sup_{\beta} F_{\beta})$$

=
$$\sup_{t>b_{F}} \sup_{\beta} \tau_{T,L}(G^{tf(t)}, H^{kg(F_{\beta})}); \qquad (4.8)$$

and, writing b_{β} for $b_{F_{\beta}}$, on the other hand, that

$$\sup_{\beta} \varphi(F_{\beta}) = \sup_{\beta} \sup_{t > b_{\beta}} \tau_{T,L}(G^{l^{f}(t)}, H^{kg(F_{\beta})}).$$

$$(4.9)$$

THOMAS RIEDEL

In order to apply Lemma 4.3 we note that, since $F(x) = \sup_{\beta} F_{\beta}(x)$, we have $b_F = \inf_{\beta} b_{\beta}$ and, by writing $t \in (b_{\beta}, \infty)$ instead of $t > b_{\beta}$, we obtain that $t \in (b_F, \infty) = \bigcup_{\beta} (b_{\beta}, \infty)$. Thus by Lemma 4.3, equations (4.8) and (4.9) are equal and φ is sup-continuous.

It remains to show that φ satisfies Cauchy's equation for $\tau_{T,L}$. Using (4.7), (3.7) and the fact that $\tau_{T,L}$ is commutative and associative, we have that, for all $\delta_{a,c}$ and $\delta_{b,d}$ in Δ_{δ}^+ ,

$$\begin{split} \tau_{T,L}(\varphi(\delta_{a,c}),\varphi(\delta_{b,d})) &= \tau_{T,L}(\tau_{T,L}(G^{kf(a)},H^{lg(b)}),\tau_{T,L}(G^{kf(b)},H^{lg(d)})) \\ &= \tau_{T,L}(\tau_{T,L}(G^{kf(a)},G^{kf(b)}),\tau_{T,L}(H^{lg(c)},H^{lg(d)})) \\ &= \tau_{T,L}(G^{kf(L(a,b))},H^{lg(T(c,d))}) \\ &= \varphi(\delta_{L(a,b),T(c,d)}) \\ &= \varphi(\tau_{T,L}(\delta_{a,c},\delta_{b,d})), \end{split}$$

whence the conclusion follows from Lemma 3.1.

We pause here to consider the case when T is actually in \mathcal{T}_s . In this case we can replace the set Δ_{δ}^+ by the larger set $\Delta_{T,L}^+$, wherever it occurs in Corollaries 3.3 and 4.1, Lemma 3.4, and Theorems 4.2 and 4.4.

Finally, we consider functions on Δ^+ which are order-preserving bijections whose inverses also preserve order, the so-called order automorphisms. These were characterized by R. C. Powers in [6]; we state his main result here:

THEOREM 4.5. A mapping φ is an order automorphism of Δ^+ , if and only if, for all F in Δ^+ , either

$$\varphi(F) = \theta \circ F \circ \gamma, \tag{4.10}$$

where θ is a continuous, strictly increasing bijection on I and γ is a continuous, strictly increasing bijection on R^+ , or

$$\varphi(F) = \alpha \circ F^{\vee} \circ \beta, \tag{4.11}$$

where α and β are continuous, strictly decreasing bijections from R^+ to I and F^{\vee} is the right-continuous quasi-inverse of F which is given by

$$F^{*}(y) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{for } y = 0, \\ \inf\{x \mid F(x) > y\}, & \text{for } 0 < y < 1, \\ \infty, & \text{for } y = 1. \end{cases}$$
(4.12)

244

From this it is easily seen that order automorphisms of the type (4.10) have to map $\delta_{0,c}$ onto $\delta_{0,a}$ for some a in (0, 1), and ε_1 onto ε_b for some b in $(0, \infty)$. Similarly, order automorphisms of type (4.11) map $\delta_{0,c}$ onto ε_b for some b in $(0, \infty)$, and ε_1 onto $\delta_{0,a}$ for some a in (0, 1). Note also that every order automorphism is sup-continuous. Using these facts and formula (3.3) of Theorem 3.2, we obtain the following.

COROLLARY 4.6. Let φ be given by (4.10). Then φ satisfies Cauchy's equation for $\tau_{T,L}$, where

(a) T is in \mathcal{T}_s , with generator g, and L is in \mathcal{L}_s , with generator f, if and only if there exist k, l > 0 such that for all x in \mathbb{R}^+ and F in Δ^+ ,

$$(\varphi(F))(x) = g^{-1}(kg(F(f^{-1}(lf(x))))),$$
(4.13)

(b) T is in $\mathcal{T}_A \setminus \mathcal{T}_s$ and L is in \mathcal{L}_s with generator f, if and only if there exists an l > 0 such that for all x in R^+ and F in Δ^+ ,

$$(\varphi(F))(x) = F(f^{-1}(lf(x))). \tag{4.14}$$

Proof. Suppose φ is of type (4.10) and that φ satisfies Cauchy's equation for $\tau_{T,L}$, then $\varphi(\delta_{0,c}) = \delta_{0,a}$ and $\varphi(\varepsilon_1) = \varepsilon_b$ and, by (4.2) of Theorem 4.1, we have that

$$\begin{split} \varphi(F) &= \sup_{t > b_F} \tau_{T,L} (\varepsilon_b^{f(t)/f(1)}, \delta_{0,a}^{g(F(t))/g(c)}) \\ &= \sup_{t > b_F} \tau_{T,L} (\varepsilon_{f^{-1}(f(b)f(t)/f(1))}, \delta_{0,g^{(-1)}(g(a)g(F(t))/g(c))}) \\ &= \sup_{t > b_F} \delta_{f^{-1}(f(b)f(t)/f(1)), g^{(-1)}(g(a)g(F(t))/g(c))} \\ &= \sup_{t > b_F} \theta \circ \delta_{t,F(t)} \circ \gamma = \theta \circ F \circ \gamma, \end{split}$$

where

$$\theta(t) = g^{(-1)}\left(\frac{g(a)}{g(c)}g(t)\right)$$
 for all t in I

and

$$\gamma(x) = f^{-1}\left(\frac{f(1)}{f(b)}f(x)\right), \quad \text{for all } x \text{ in } R^+.$$

It is easily checked that γ is always a continuous, strictly increasing bijection. If T is in \mathcal{T}_s , then θ is also a continuous, strictly increasing bijection for any choice of a in (0, 1), and we have k = g(a)/g(c) and l = f(1)/f(b).

THOMAS RIEDEL

In the case where T is non strict, we need, however, that $a \le c$. But, if a < c, then we have g(a)/g(c) < 1 and θ is not a bijection. Thus we need that a = c, therefore θ = identity on I, and thus l = f(1)/f(b).

Now suppose T is in \mathcal{T}_s and φ is given by (4.13), for some k, l > 0. Then it is easily checked that φ is an order automorphism of type (4.10), furthermore

 $\varphi(\delta_{a,b}) = \delta_{f^{-1}(f(a)/l),g^{-1}(kg(b))},$

thus it maps Δ_{δ}^+ onto Δ_{δ}^+ . In particular, we have

$$\varphi(\delta_{0,c}) = \delta_{0,g^{-1}(kg(c))} \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(\varepsilon_1) = \varepsilon_{f^{-1}(f(1)/l)}. \tag{4.15}$$

Thus, if we define $\tilde{\varphi}(F)$ via (4.5), we have a sup-continuous solution of Cauchy's equation for $\tau_{T,L}$. It remains to show that $\tilde{\varphi} = \varphi$. By Lemma 3.1 it suffices to show equality on Δ_{δ}^+ . Using (4.15) and (4.17), we have

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\varphi}(\delta_{a,b}) &= \sup_{t > b_F} \tau_{T,L} (\varepsilon_{f^{-1}(f(1)/l)}^{f(1)}, \delta_{0,g^{-1}(kg(c))}^{g(\delta_{a,b}(f))/g(c)}) \\ &= \tau_{T,L} (\varepsilon_{f^{-1}(f(a)/l)}, \delta_{0,g^{-1}(kg(b))}) \\ &= \delta_{f^{-1}(f(a)/l),g^{-1}(kg(b))}, \end{split}$$

thus $\tilde{\varphi} = \varphi$. In case (b) we have, using (4.14), that $\varphi(\delta_{0,c}) = \delta_{0,c}$ and $\varphi(\varepsilon_1) = \varepsilon_{f^{-1}(f(1)/l)}$, and an argument similar to the above yields the result.

COROLLARY 4.7. Let φ given by (4.11) and let T be in \mathcal{T}_A and L in \mathcal{L}_s , with generators g and f, respectively. Then φ satisfies Cauchy's equation for $\tau_{T,L}$ if and only if T is in \mathcal{T}_s and there exists a k > 0 such that, for all x in \mathbb{R}^+ and all F in Δ^+ ,

$$(\varphi(F))(x) = g^{-1}(f(F^{\vee}(g^{-1}(kf(x))))).$$
(4.16)

Suppose φ is of type (4.11) and that φ is a solution of Cauchy's equation for $\tau_{T,L}$, then $\varphi(\varepsilon_1) = \delta_{0,a}$ and $\varphi(\delta_{0,c}) = \varepsilon_b$ for some a in (0, 1) and b in (0, ∞) and, by (4.2),

$$\begin{split} \varphi(F) &= \sup_{t > b_F} \tau_{T,L} \left(\delta_{0,a}^{f(t)/f(1)}, \varepsilon_b^{g(F(t))/g(c)} \right) \\ &= \sup_{t > b_F} \tau_{T,L} \left(\delta_{0,g^{(-1)}(f(t)g(a)/g(c))}, \varepsilon_{f^{-1}(g(F(t))f(b)/g(c))} \right) \\ &= \sup_{t > b_F} \delta_{f^{-1}(g(F(t))f(b)/g(c)),g^{(-1)}(f(t)g(a)/g(c))} \\ &= \sup_{t > b_F} \alpha \circ \delta_{t,F(t)} \lor \circ \beta = \alpha \circ F^{\vee} \circ \beta, \end{split}$$

where $\alpha(t) = g^{(-1)}(g(a)f(t)/f(a))$ and $\beta(t) = g^{(-1)}(g(c)f(t)/f(1))$. But α, β are oneto-one only if $g^{(-1)} = g^{-1}$, i.e., only if T is in \mathcal{F}_s . Since any two generators of L (and any two of T) differ only by a constant multiple (see [8, Theorem 2.2]), we can choose f such that g(a)/f(1) = 1. Finally, letting k = f(b)/g(c), we obtain (4.16).

For the converse, assume φ is given by (4.16). This defines an order automorphism only if T is strict, since otherwise $g^{(-1)}f$ is not a strictly decreasing bijection. A simple calculation shows that

$$\varphi(\delta_{a,b}) = \delta_{f^{-1}(g(b)/k),g^{-1}(f(a))},$$

and hence

$$\varphi(\delta_{0,c}) = \varepsilon_{f^{-1}(g(c)/k)} \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(\varepsilon_1) = \delta_{0,g^{-1}(f(1))}. \tag{4.17}$$

As in the proof of the previous corollary, we use (4.6) of Theorem 4.4 to define a function $\tilde{\varphi}$ which is a solution of Cauchy's equation for $\tau_{T,L}$. This yields

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\varphi}(\delta_{a,b}) &= \sup_{t > b_F} \tau_{T,L}(\delta_{0,g^{-1}(f(1))}^{f(1)}, \varepsilon_{f^{-1}(g(c)/k)}^{g(\delta_{a,b}(t))/g(c)}) \\ &= \tau_{T,L}(\delta_{0,g^{-1}(f(a))}, \varepsilon_{f^{-1}(g(b)/k)}) \\ &= \delta_{f^{-1}(g(b)/k),g^{-1}(f(a))}. \end{split}$$

Therefore $\tilde{\varphi}$ and φ agree on Δ_{δ}^+ and hence on all of Δ^+ .

In conclusion, we note that, for strict T and for L(u, v) = Sum(u, v) = u + v(where the generator is the identity function), the formulas in Theorems 4.2 and 4.4, as well as in Corollaries 4.6 and 4.7, are exactly the ones obtained in [8]. Furthermore, for strict T and for $L(u, v) = f^{-1}(f(u) + f(v))$, the order automorphism Γ of Δ^+ , defined by

$$\Gamma(F) = F \circ f^{-1}$$
, for all F in Δ^+ ,

let us reduce the problem to that studied in [8]. Since

$$\tau_{T,L}(F,G) = \Gamma^{-1}(\tau_T(\Gamma(F),\Gamma(G))),$$

we have that a function φ is a solution of Cauchy's equation for $\tau_{T,L}$ if and only if $\Gamma \varphi \Gamma^{-1}$ is a solution of Cauchy's equation for τ_T .

Acknowledgements

The results in this paper are from the author's Ph.D. thesis at the University of Massachusetts. The author would like to thank his thesis advisor, Professor B. Schweizer for his helpful comments and criticism while this research was carried out, as well as the referees for their many helpful suggestions.

This research has been supported in part by ONR Contract N-00014-87-K-0379.

REFERENCES

- [1] ACZEL, J., Lectures on functional equations and their applications. Academic Press, New York, 1966.
- [2] ACZÉL, J. and DHOMBRES, J., Functional equations in several variables. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989.
- [3] BIRKHOFF, G., Lattice theory. 3rd edn., Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1967.
- [4] BLYTH, T. J. and JANOWITZ, M. F., Residuation theory. Pergamon Press, London, 1972.
- [5] MOYNIHAN, R. A., Conjugate transforms for τ_T -semigroups of probability distribution functions. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 74 (1980), 15–30.
- [6] POWERS, R. C., Order automorphisms of spaces of nondecreasing functions. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 136 (1988), 112-123.
- [7] RIEDEL, T., Cauchy's equation on a space of distribution functions. Doctoral Dissertation, Univ. of Massachusetts, Amherst, 1990.
- [8] RIEDEL, T., Cauchy's equation on Δ^+ . Acquationes Math. 41 (1991), 192-211.
- [9] SCHWEIZER, B. and SKLAR, A., Probabilistic metric spaces. North-Holland, New York, 1983.
- [10] TARDIFF, R. M., Topologies for probabilistic metric spaces. Doctoral Dissertation, Univ. of Massachusetts, Amherst, 1975.

Department of Mathematics, University of Louisville, Louisville, KY 40292, USA.