Erratum

Disproportionation of Enantiomers by Precipitation

W. THIEMANN

J.Mol.Evol.4, 85-97 (1974)

Received February 24, 1975

Due to a mistaken specific rotation all the figures of the last column in table 1, p.91, are unfortunately wrong and must be replaced by the new figures as given.

С ₀ [Mo1/1]	т[[°] с]	M_/M o p	C [Mol/l]	$\alpha [m^{\circ}]$	(c_/c_) L_Dp
0.191	0	12.3	0.265	- 10.0	0.988
0.218	0	4.3	0.340	- 59.0	0.947
0.191	0	13.5	0.238	- 8.3	0.989
0.291	0	1.2	0.700	- 0.8	0.999
0.249	0	2.2	0.367	- 12.6	0.989
0.297	0	1.7	0.732	- 1.3	0.999
0.297	5	2.2	0.626	- 10.1	0.995
0.254	7.5	4.5	0.343	- 3.0	0.997
0.191	7.5	475.6	0.006	- 2.1	0.896
0.349	7.5	1.7	1.011	- 5.6	0.998
0.349	7.5	1.6	0.499	+ 5.6	1.003
0.292	7.5	2.2	0.614	- 4.3	0.998
0.311	8	2.4	0.443	+ 78.7	1.057
0.291	8	2.8	0.575	+ 2.4	1.001
0.349	8	1.6	1.074	+ 11.1	1.003
0.299	8.5	1.2	0.639	+ 153.0	1.078
0.249	8.5	2.2	0.438	+ 15.2	1.011
0.267	8.5	3.8	0.380	+ 29.0	1.024
0.254	9	31.0	0.089	- 55.6	0.822
0.272	9	12.8	0.272	- 22.1	0.975
0.249	9	3.4	0.557	+ 13.2	1.007
0.299	9	2.0	0.706	+ 9.4	1.004
0.297	9	2.6	0.576	+ 6.4	1.003
0.272	10	197.7	0.018	+ 0.4	1.007
0.299	12.5	2.5	0.558	+ 14.8	1.008
0.374	12.5	1.6	0.885	+ 16.8	1.006

Table 1. Summary of Results of Precipitation from Racemic Conditions^a

a Explanation of abreviations in the text

The ratio $(c_L/c_D)p$ is calculated in the following way (notation as previously given in the article):

$$C_{pL} = \left(C_{p} + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{2}$$
$$C_{pD} = C_{p} - C_{pL}$$

whence

$$\begin{pmatrix} C_{\rm L} \\ C_{\rm D} \end{pmatrix}_{\rm p} = \frac{ \begin{pmatrix} C_{\rm p} + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha_{\rm l}} \end{pmatrix}}{2 \left(C_{\rm p} - C_{\rm pL} \right)}$$

The error was caused by the fact that the specific angle of rotation at 250 nm and pH=1,25 was taken in the calculation by a factor 10 too small. The correct value for $\left[\alpha\right] \frac{22,5^{\circ}}{250 \text{ nm}}$ at pH=1,25 for asparagine is + 426.

Fortunately the revised values indicate considerably smaller enrichments of the enantiomers as previously given without changing the qualitative interpretation! - which would be consistent with the hypothesis of the small asymmetry effects.

Dr.Wolfram Thiemann, Institut für Physikalische Chemie, Kernforschungsanlage Jülich GmbH, D-5170 Jülich, Postfach 365, Federal Republic of Germany