## **Fixed Points of Contraction Mappings on Probabilistic Metric Spaces**

by

V. M. SEHGAL University of Wyoming

and

**A. T.** BHARUCHA-REID Wayne State University

## ABSTRACT

In this paper the notion of a contraction mapping on a probabilistic metric space **is**  introduced, and several fixed-point theorems for such mappings are proved.

1. Introduction. Probabilistic metric spaces were introduced by Menger [2]. In Menger's theory the concept of distance is considered to be statistical or probabilistic, rather than deterministic; that is to say, given any two points  $p$ and  $q$  of a metric space, rather than consider a single non-negative real number  $d(p, q)$  as a measure of the distance between p and q, a distribution function  $F_{pq}(x)$  is introduced which gives the probabilistic interpretation as the distance between p and q is less than  $x (x > 0)$ . For detailed discussions of probabilistic metric spaces and their applications we refer to Onicescu [3, Chap. VII] and Schweizer [4, 5].

In Section 2 we introduce some basic definitions and concepts from **the**  theory of probabilistic metric spaces which are used in this paper. Section 3 is devoted to the main results of this paper, namely, the proofs of several fixedpoint theorems for contraction mappings on probabilistic metric spaces. In a subsequent paper we will utilize theorems of the type considered in this paper to study solutions of operator equations in probabilistic metric spaces.

**2. Basic Definitions and Concepts.** Let R denote the reals and  $R^+ = \{x \in R:$  $x\geq 0$ .

**Definition 1.** A mapping  $F: R \rightarrow R^+$  is called a *distribution function* if it is nondecreasing, left-continuous with inf  $F = 0$  and sup  $F = 1$ .

We will denote by  $L$  the set of all distribution functions.

Definition 2. A *probabilistic metric space (PM-space)* is an ordered pair  $(E, \mathcal{F})$ , where E is an abstract set of elements and  $\mathcal{F}$  is a mapping of  $E \times E$ into  $L$ . We shall denote the distribution function  $\mathcal{F}(p, q)$  by  $F_{p,q}$  and  $F_{p,q}(x)$ 

will represent the value of  $F_{p,q}$  at  $x \in R$ . The functions  $F_{p,q}$ ,  $p, q \in E$ , are assumed to satisfy the following conditions :

- $(PM-I)$   $F_{p,q}(x) = 1$  for all  $x > 0$ , if and only if  $p = q$ .
- $(PM-II)$   $F_{p,q}(0) = 0.$
- $(PM-III)$   $F_{p,q}$  =

(PM-IV) If 
$$
F_{p,q}(x) = 1
$$
 and  $F_{q,r}(y) = 1$ , then  $F_{p,r}(x+y) = 1$ .

*Remark.* Definition 2 suggests that  $F_{p,q}(x)$  may be interpreted as the probability that the distance between  $p$  and  $q$  is less than x.

**Definition 3.** A mapping  $\Delta$ : [0, 1] × [0, 1]  $\rightarrow$  [0, 1] is a  $\Delta$ -norm if it satisfies

 $(\Delta-I)$   $\Delta(a, 1) = a, \Delta(0, 0) = 0,$  $(\Delta$ -II)  $\Delta(a, b) = \Delta(b, a),$  $(\Delta-III)$   $\Delta(c, d) \geq \Delta(a, b)$  for  $c \geq a, d \geq b$ ,  $(\Delta$ -IV)  $\Delta(\Delta(a, b), c) = \Delta(a, \Delta(b, c)).$ 

Let  $\mathscr{B}$  denote the set of all  $\Delta$ -norms, partially ordered by  $\Delta_1 \leq \Delta_2$  if and only if  $\Delta_1(a, b) \leq \Delta_2(a, b)$  for all  $a, b \in [0, 1]$  and  $\Delta_1, \Delta_2 \in \mathcal{B}$ .

**Definition 4.** A *Menger space* is a triplet  $(E, \mathcal{F}, \Delta)$ , where  $(E, \mathcal{F})$  is a PMspace and  $\Delta \in \mathcal{B}$  satisfies the following triangle inequality:

 $(FM-IV')$   $F_{n,r}(x+y) \geq \Delta(F_{n,q}(x), F_{q,r}(y))$ 

for all p, q,  $r \in E$  and for all  $x \ge 0$ ,  $y \ge 0$ .

The concept of a neighborhood in a PM-space was introduced by Schweizer and Sklar [6]. If  $p \in E$ , and  $\epsilon$ ,  $\lambda$  are positive reals, then an  $(\epsilon, \lambda)$ -neighborhood of p, denoted by  $U_p(\epsilon, \lambda)$  is defined by

$$
U_p(\epsilon,\lambda) = \{q \in E: F_{q,p}(\epsilon) > 1 - \lambda\}.
$$

The following result is due to Schweizer and Sklar [6].

**THEOREM 1.** If  $(E, \mathcal{F}, \Delta)$  is a Menger space and  $\Delta$  is continuous then  $(E, \mathscr{F}, \Delta)$  is a Hausdorff space in the topology induced by the family  $\{U_p(\epsilon, \lambda)\}$ :  $p \in E$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\lambda > 0$  *of neighborhoods.* 

Note that the above topology satisfies the first axiom of countability. In this topology a sequence  $\{p_n\}$  in *E converges* to a  $p \in E(p_n \to p)$  if and only if for every  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $\lambda > 0$ , there exists an integer  $M(\epsilon, \lambda)$  such that  $p_n \in U_p(\epsilon, \lambda)$ , i.e.,  $F_{p,p,n}(\epsilon) > 1 - \lambda$ , whenever  $n \geq M(\epsilon, \lambda)$ . The sequence  $\{p_n\}$  will be called *fundamental* in E if for each  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ , there is an integer  $M(\epsilon, \lambda)$  such that  $F_{p_n, p_m}(\epsilon) > 1 - \lambda$  whenever  $n, m \geq M(\epsilon, \lambda)$ . In conformity with the completion concept in metric spaces, a Menger space E will be called *complete* if each fundamental sequence in  $E$  converges to an element in  $E$ .

The following theorem is easy to prove and it establishes a connection between metric spaces and Menger spaces.

THEOREM 2. *If(E, d) is a metric space then the metric d induces a mapping*   $\mathscr{F}: E \times E \rightarrow \mathscr{L}$ , where  $\mathscr{F}(p,q)$  (p,  $q \in E$ ) is defined by  $\mathscr{F}(p,q)$   $x = H(x-d(p,q)),$  $x \in R$ , where  $H(x) = 0$  if  $x \le 0$  and  $H(x) = 1$  if  $x > 0$ . Further, if  $\Delta$ :[0, 1]  $\times$  $[0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  *is defined by*  $\Delta(a, b) = \min \{a, b\}$ , *then*  $(E, \mathscr{F}, \Delta)$  *is a Menger space. It is complete if the metric d is complete.* 

The space  $(E, \mathcal{F}, \Delta)$  so obtained will be called *induced Menger space*.

*98* 

**3. Some fixed-point theorems for contraction mappings on probabilistic metric Spaces.** We first introduce the notion of a contraction mapping on a PM-space.

**Definition 5.** A mapping T of a PM-space  $(E, \mathcal{F})$  into itself will be called a *contraction mapping* if and only if there exists a constant k, with  $0 < k < 1$ , such that for each  $p, q \in E$ ,

(1) 
$$
F_{Tp, Tq}(kx) \geq F_{p,q}(x) \quad \text{for all } x > 0.
$$

Expression (1) may be interpreted as follows: the probability that the distance between the image points *Tp, Tq* is less than *kx* is at least equal to the probability that the distance between  $p$ ,  $q$  is less than  $x$ .

**THEOREM 3.** Let  $(E, \mathcal{F}, \Delta)$  be a complete Menger space, where  $\Delta$  is a *continuous function satisfying*  $\Delta(x, x) \geq x$  *for each*  $x \in [0, 1]$ . If T is any contraction *mapping of E into itself, then there is a unique*  $p \in E$  *such that*  $Tp = p$ *. Moreover,*  $T^n q \to p$  for each  $q \in E$ .

*Proof.* We first prove uniqueness. Suppose  $p \neq q$  and  $Tp = p$ ,  $Tq = q$ . Then by (PM-1), there exists an  $x > 0$  and an a, with  $0 \le a < 1$ , such that  $F_{p,q}(x) = a$ . However, for each positive integer *n*, we have by (1)

(2) 
$$
a = F_{p,q}(x) = F_{T^n p, T^n q}(x) \geq F_{p,q}(x/k^n).
$$

Since  $F_{p,q}(x/k^n) \to 1$  as  $n \to \infty$ , it follows that  $a = 1$ . This contradicts the selection of  $a$ , and therefore, the fixed point is unique.

To prove the existence of the fixed point, consider an arbitrary  $q \in E$ , and define  $p_n = T^n(q)$ ,  $n = 1, 2 \cdots$ . We show that the sequence  $\{p_n\}$  is fundamental in E. Let  $\epsilon$ ,  $\lambda$  be positive reals. Then for  $m > n$ , we have

$$
F_{p_n, p_m}(\epsilon) \geq \Delta(F_{p_n, p_{n+1}}(\epsilon - k\epsilon), F_{p_{n+1}, p_m}(k\epsilon)),
$$
  
 
$$
\geq \Delta(F_{q, p_1}((\epsilon - k\epsilon)k^{-n}), F_{p_{n+1}, p_m}(k\epsilon)).
$$

Set  $d = (\epsilon - k\epsilon)k^{-n}$ . It follows by (PM-IV') and ( $\Delta$ -III) that

and the

 $\sim$   $\sim$ 

$$
F_{p_n, p_m}(\epsilon) \geq \Delta(F_{q, p_1}(d), \Delta(F_{p_{n+1}, p_{n+2}}(k\epsilon - k^2 \epsilon), F_{p_{n+2}, p_m}(k^2 \epsilon)))
$$
  
\n
$$
\geq \Delta(F_{q, p_1}(d), \Delta(F_{q, p_1}(d), F_{p_{n+2}, p_m}(k^2 \epsilon))).
$$

By the associativity of  $\Delta$ , and the hypothesis  $\Delta(x, x) \geq x$ , we have

(3) 
$$
F_{p_n, p_m}(\epsilon) \geq \Delta(F_{q, p_1}(d), F_{p_{n+2}, p_m}(k^2 \epsilon)).
$$

Using the same argument repeatedly, we obtain from (3)

$$
F_{p_n, p_m}(\epsilon) \geq \Delta(F_{q, p_1}(d), F_{p_{m-1}, p_m}(k^{m-n-1}\epsilon))
$$
  
\n
$$
\geq \Delta(F_{q, p_1}(d), F_{q, p_1}(k^{-n}\epsilon))
$$
  
\n
$$
\geq \Delta(F_{q, p_1}(d), F_{q, p_1}(d)) \geq F_{q, p_1}((\epsilon - k\epsilon)k^{-n}).
$$

Therefore, if we choose N such that  $F_{q,p}$ ,(( $\epsilon - k\epsilon$ ) $k^{-N}$ ) > 1- $\lambda$ , it follows that  $F_{p_n, p_m}(\epsilon) > 1-\lambda$  for all  $n \geq N$ . Hence,  $\{p_n\}$  is a fundamental sequence. Since  $(E, \mathscr{F}, \Delta)$  is a complete PM-space, there is a  $p \in E$  such that  $p_n \to p$ , that is.  $T^n q \rightarrow p$ . We prove that  $T^n q \rightarrow Tp$  also. Let  $U_{Tp}(\epsilon, \lambda)$  be any neighborhood of *Tp.* Then  $p_n \to p$  implies the existence of an integer N such that  $p_n \in U(\epsilon, \lambda)$ for all  $n \geq N$ . However,

$$
F_{T p_n, T p}(\epsilon) \geq F_{p_n, p}(\epsilon / k) \geq F_{p_n, p}(\epsilon) > 1 - \lambda
$$

for all  $n \ge N$ . Therefore,  $T_{p_n} \in U(\epsilon, \lambda)$  for all  $n \ge N$ , that is,  $T^n q \to T_p$ . We conclude therefore that  $Tp = p$ . This proves the existence part of the theorem.

We now state and prove two theorems, the proofs of which utilize the results stated in the last section. Theorem 4 is the well-known Banach contractionmapping theorem; this proof uses the notion of a probabilistic metric.

**THEOREM 4.** Let  $(E, d)$  be a complete metric space and let  $T: E \rightarrow E$  satisfy *the condition: there exists a constant k,*  $0 < k < 1$ *, such that*  $d(Tp, Tq) \leq kd(p, q)$ *for all p,*  $q \in E$ *. Then T has a unique fixed point p<sub>r</sub>*  $\in E$  *and*  $T^n q \rightarrow p$  *for each*  $q \in E$ *.* 

*Proof.* If  $\mathscr{F}: E \times E \rightarrow \mathscr{L}$  is the mapping induced by the metric d, then it follows by Theorem 2 that  $(E, \mathcal{F}, \Delta)$  is a complete Menger space, where  $\Delta(a, b)$  $=$  min  $\{a, b\}$ . Since for each  $x > 0$ ,

$$
F_{Tp, Tq}(kx) = H(kx - d(Tp, Tq)),
$$
  
\n
$$
\geq H(kx - kd(p, q)),
$$
  
\n
$$
= H(x - d(p,q)),
$$
  
\n
$$
= F_{p,q}(x),
$$

it follows that  $T$  is a contraction of  $E$  into itself. The conclusion now follows by Theorem 3.

**THEOREM 5.** If  $(E, \epsilon, \Delta)$  is a complete Menger space where  $\Delta$  satisfies any *one of the following conditions:* (a)  $\Delta_1$ :  $\Delta(a, b)$  = min {a, b}, (b)  $\Delta_2$ :  $\Delta(a, b)$  = max  $\{a, b\}$ , (c)  $A_3$ :  $\Delta(a, b) = a+b-ab$ , (d)  $\Delta_4$ :  $\Delta(a, b) = \min \{a+b, 1\}$ , *then Theorem 3 holds.* 

*Proof.* Each of these  $\Delta$  functions is continuous and satisfies the condition  $\Delta(x, x) \geq x$ . The conditions of Theorem 2 remain valid.

It is natural to ask if mappings on a PM-space which are local, or pointwise, contractions admit a fixed point. We first introduce some definitions.

**Definition 6.** Let  $\epsilon$  and  $\lambda$  be positive reals. A mapping T of a PM-space into itself will be called an  $(\epsilon, \lambda)$ -local contraction if there exists a constant  $k, 0 < k < 1$ , such that if  $p \in E$  and  $q \in U_p(\epsilon, \lambda)$ , then

(4)  $F_{T_p, T_q}(kx) \geq F_{p,q}(x)$  for  $x > 0$ .

Definition 6 has the following probabilistic meaning: Whenever the probability of the distance between p and q being less than  $\epsilon$  is greater than  $1 - \lambda$ , then T acts as a contraction map for the pair of points  $p$  and  $q$  in the sense of Definition 5.

We now show that for certain types of PM-spaces, each  $(\epsilon, \lambda)$ -contraction mapping has the fixed-point property.

**Definition** 7 (Edelstein [1]). Let  $(E, d)$  be a metric space, and let  $\epsilon > 0$ . A finite sequence  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n$  of elements of E is called an *e-chain* joining  $x_0$ and  $x_n$  if  $d(x_i, x_{i+1}) < \epsilon$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ . The metric space  $(E, d)$  is  $\epsilon$ -*chainable* if for every  $x, y \in E$ , there is an  $\epsilon$ -chain joining x and y.

We introduce the following definition.

**Definition 8.** Let  $(E, \mathcal{F})$  be a PM-space and  $\epsilon$ ,  $\lambda$  positive reals. The space  $(E, \mathscr{F})$  is called  $(\epsilon, \lambda)$ -*chainable* if for each p,  $q \in E$  there exists a finite sequence  $p = p_0, p_1, \dots, p_n = q$  of elements in E such that  $p_{i+1} \in U_{p_i}(\epsilon, \lambda)$ , i.e.,  $F_{p_{i+1},p_i}(\epsilon)$  $> 1-\lambda$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ .

**THEOREM 6.** *If*( $E$ ,  $d$ ) is a  $\epsilon$ -chainable metric space then the induced Menger *space*  $(E, \mathcal{F}, \Delta)$  *is an*  $(\epsilon, \lambda)$ -chainable space in the sense of Definition 8.

*Proof.* Let p,  $q \in E$ , and let  $p = p_0, p_1, \dots, p_n = q$  be the  $\epsilon$ -chain joining p and q. Then  $d(p_i, p_{i+1}) < \epsilon$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ . However,  $F_{p_{i+1},p_i}(\epsilon) = H(\epsilon)$  $-d(p_i, p_{i+1}) = 1 > 1 - \lambda$  for all  $\lambda > 0$ . Therefore the Menger space  $(E, \mathcal{F},$  $\Delta$ ) is ( $\epsilon$ ,  $\lambda$ )-chainable.

**THEOREM 7.** Let  $(E, \mathcal{F}, \Delta)$  be a complete  $(\epsilon, \Delta)$ -chainable Menger space, *where*  $\Delta$  *is continuous and satisfies*  $\Delta(x, x) \ge x$ . If  $T: E \rightarrow E$  *is an*  $(\epsilon, \lambda)$ -contraction, *then T has a unique fixed point*  $p \in E$  *and*  $T^*p \to p$  *for each*  $p \in E$ *.* 

We first prove the following lemma.

**LEMMA.** Under the hypothesis of Theorem 7, for each  $p \in E$  and for positive *real x, there exists a positive integer*  $N(p, x)$  such that  $F_{T_{m_p}, T_{m+1_p}}(x) > 1 - \lambda$ *for all*  $m \geq N(p, x)$ *.* 

*Proof.* Let  $p = p_0, p_1, \dots, p_n = Tp$  be a finite sequence such that  $F_{p_{i+1},p_i}(\epsilon)$  $> 1-\lambda$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ . It follows by (4) that  $F_{Tp_{i+1},Tp_i}(\epsilon) \geq F_{p_{i+1},p_i}(\epsilon/k)$  $> 1-\lambda$ , that is, the sequence of elements  $Tp_0$ ,  $Tp_1, \dots, Tp_n$  is an  $(\epsilon, \lambda)$ -chain for *Tp* and  $T^2p$ , and hence by induction,  $T^r p_0$ ,  $T^r p_1$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $T^r p_n$  is an  $(\epsilon, \lambda)$ -chain for  $T^r p$  and  $T^{r+1} p$  for each positive integer r. Therefore, for  $x > 0$ , and for each integer  $r > 0$ ,

$$
(5) \hspace{1cm} F_{T^r p_{i+1}, T^r p_i}(x) \geq F_{T^{r-1} p_{i+1}, T^{r-1} p_i}(x/k) \geq \cdots \geq F_{p_{i+1}, p_i}(x/k').
$$

It follows by the triangle inequality (PM-IV') and by (5) that

$$
F_{T^{r}p_{0}, T^{r}p_{n}}(x) \geq \Delta(F_{T^{r}p_{0}, T^{r}p_{1}}(x/2), F_{T^{r}p_{1}, T^{r}p_{n}}(x/2)),
$$
  

$$
\geq \Delta(F_{p_{0}, p_{1}}(x/2k^{r}), F_{T^{r}p_{1}, T^{r}p_{n}}(x/2)).
$$

By the triangle inequality, by (PM-III) and by (5) we have

$$
F_{T^{r}p_{0}, T^{r}p_{n}}(x) \geq \Delta(F_{p_{0}, p_{1}}(x/2k'), \Delta(F_{p_{1}, p_{2}}(x/2^{2}k'), F_{T^{r}p_{2}, T^{r}p_{n}}(x/2^{2}))),
$$

Setting  $d = x/2^n k^r$ , we have by (PM-III),

$$
F_{T_{p_0}, T_{p_n}}(x) \geq \Delta(F_{p_0, p_1}(d), \Delta(F_{p_1, p_2}(d), F_{T_{p_2}, T_{p_n}}(x/2^2))).
$$

Therefore, repeated use of the above argument yields

$$
F_{T^r p_0, T^r p_n}(x) \geq \Delta(F_{p_0, p_1}(d), \Delta(F_{p_1, p}(d), \cdots, \Delta(F_{p_{n-2}, p_{n-1}}(d), F_{p_{n-1}, p_n}(d))))
$$

Since *n* is a fixed finite integer, there exists an integer  $m_i > 0$  such that  $F_{p_i, p_{i+1}}$  $(x/2^{n}k^{r}) > 1 - \lambda$  for each  $r \ge m_{i}$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ . Let  $N(p, x) = \max \{m_{0},$  $m_1, \dots, m_{n-1}$ . Then  $F_{T_{r,p}, T_{r+1,p}}(x) > 1-\lambda$  for all  $r \geq N(p, x)$ . This proves the lemma.

*Proof of Theorem 7.* Let  $p \in E$  be arbitrary. By the above lemma, for  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is an integer  $N(p, \epsilon)$  such that  $F_{T^n p, T^{n+1} p}(\epsilon) > 1 - \lambda$  for all  $n \geq N$ . Set  $T^N p = q$ ; then we have  $F_{T^{n}q, T^{n+1}q}(\epsilon) > 1-\lambda$  for all integers  $n \geq 0$ . Therefore, it follows by (4) that for all  $x > 0$ , we have the inequality

$$
F_{T^{n}q, T^{n+1}q}(x) \geq F_{q, Tq}(x/k^{n}), \qquad n = 0, 1, \cdots.
$$

It follows as in the proof of Theorem 3 that the sequence  $\{T^n q\}$ , and hence the sequence  $\{T^n p\}$ , is fundamental in E. Let  $T^n p \rightarrow p_* \in E$ . We show that the sequence  $\{T^n p\}$  also converges to  $T p_{\downarrow}$ . Let  $U_{T p_{\downarrow}}(\delta, \mu)$  be a neighborhood of  $T p_{\downarrow}$ . Since  $T^np \to p_r$ , there is an integer  $\tilde{M} \ge 0$  such that  $T^np \in U_{p_s}(\delta,\mu) \cap U_{p_s}(\epsilon,\lambda)$ for all  $n \geq M$ , that is,  $F_{T^n p, p}(s) > 1 - \lambda$ , and also  $F_{T^n p, p}(s) > 1 - \mu$ . Now by (4). we have

$$
F_{T^{n+1}p, Tp_{\ast}}(\delta) \geq F_{T^{n}p, p_{\ast}}(\delta/k) > 1 - \mu, \qquad n \geq M.
$$

Therefore,  $T^n p \to T p$ , and hence  $T p_{\perp} = p_{\perp}$ . This proves the existence of a fixed point of T.

To prove uniqueness, let  $T_p = p$ ,  $T_q = q$  and  $p \neq q$ . Then by (PM-1), there is a real  $x > 0$  such that  $F_{p,q}(x) = a$  for some a with  $0 \le a < 1$ . Let  $p_0 = p, p_1, \dots, p_n = q$  be a  $(\epsilon, \lambda)$ -chain for p and q. Then, since for each positive integer *m*,  $T^m p_0$ ,  $T^m p_1$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $T^m p_n$  is an  $(\epsilon, \lambda)$ -chain for  $T^m p$  and  $T^m q$ , it follows as in the proof of the existence part, that  $a = F_{p,q}(x) = F_{T_{mp}}(x) > a$  for m sufficiently large. Thus  $p = q$ .

COROLLARY (Edelstein [1]). *Let (E, d) be a complete e-chainable metric*  space and  $T: E \to E$  satisfy the condition that  $d(p, q) < \epsilon$  implies  $d(Tp, Tq) \leq$  $kd(p, q)$  for some k,  $0 \le k < 1$ , and for all p,  $q \in E$ . Then T has a unique fixed *point*  $p \in E$  *and*  $T^np \rightarrow p$  for each  $p \in E$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(E, \mathscr{F}, \Delta)$  be the induced Menger space. Then  $(E, \mathscr{F}, \Delta)$  is an  $(\epsilon, \lambda)$ -chainable space for each  $\lambda > 0$  (see Theorem 6). Choose  $\lambda < 1$ . We show that T is an  $(\epsilon, \lambda)$ -contraction. If  $q \in U_p(\epsilon, \lambda)$ , then  $F_{q,p} > 1 - \lambda$ , that is,  $H(\epsilon$  $d(p, q) > 0$ , and therefore  $d(p, q) < \epsilon$ . Thus  $d(Tp, Tq) \leq kd(p, q)$ , and hence for  $x > 0$ 

$$
F_{Tp, Tq}(kx) = H(kx - d(Tp, Tq)) \ge H(x - d(p, q)) = F_{p,q}(x).
$$

The result now follows by Theorem 7.

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. EDELSTEIN, (i) On fixed and periodic points under contractive mapping, J. *London Math. Soc.* 37 (1962), 74-79; (ii) An extension of Banach's contraction principle, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 12 (1961), 7-10.
- [2] K. MENGER, Statistical metrics, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 28 (1942), 535-537.
- [3] O. ONICESCU, *Nombres et Systèmes Aléatoires*, Éditions de l'Académie de la R. P. Roumaine, Bucarest, 1964.
- [4] B. SCHWEIZER, Probabilistic metric spaces--the first 25 years, *The N.Y. Statistician* 19  $(1967), 3-6.$
- [5] B. SCHWEIZER, Probabilistic metric spaces, *Probabilistic Methods in Applied Mathematics*  (A. T. Bharucha-Reid, ed.), Vol. 4, Academic Press, New York (to appear).
- [6] B. SCFIWEIZER and A. SKLAR, Statistical metric spaces, *Pacific J. Math.* 10 (1960), 313-334.
- [7] B. SClaWElZER, A. SKLAR and E. THORP, The metrization of statistical metric spaces, *Pacific J. Math.* **10** (1960) 673-675.

*(Received I March 1971)*