Heavy Metal Distribution in the Godavari River Basin

G. BIKSHAM

Mineral Exploration Corporation Seminary Hills, Nagpur, India **V. SUBRAMANIAN and A. L. RAMANATHAN** School of Environmental Sciences Jawaharlal Nehru University New Delhi 110 067, India **R. VAN GRIEKEN** Chemistry Department University of Antwerp (U.I.A.) B-2610 Wilrijk, Belgium

ABSTRACT / Suspended and bed sediments collected from the entire region of the Godavari River basin were analyzed for Fe, Mn, Cr, Cu, Ni, and Zn. There are pronounced temporal and spatial variations in the heavy metal distributions. The concentrations of heavy metals in the suspended sediments are significantly higher than the bed sediments.

Introduction

A large part of the anthropogenic discharge of heavy metals into the environment is incorporated in the suspended sediments in rivers, which act as efficient scavengers for these metals (Salomons and Forstner 1984). Therefore, sediments can be an indicator of the extent of pollution in a given area. Suspended sediments in a river contain significantly higher levels of heavy metals than those present in a dissolved form. In order to assess the natural and anthropogenic input, the chemical composition of riverborne sediments needs to be known. So far several attempts have been made to understand the river transport of heavy metals on regional and global scales (Gibbs 1977, Forstner and Wittmann 1981, Subramanian and others 1987, Ramesh and others 1988). Our present knowledge of heavy-metal fluxes on river sediments is based on large rivers with low sediment load. Since more than 70% of the sediment load is transported by Asian rivers (Milliman and Meade 1983), it is essential to understand the behavior of heavy metals in Asian rivers. While some attention has been paid to the Himalayan rivers (Subramanian and others 1987), large rivers in the peninsular region of India (non-Himalayan rivers) have not been studied in detail. With the above facts in view, extensive studies on the heavy metals have been carried out in the core, suspended, bed, and size-fractionated sediments from the Godavari River basin.

Throughout the basin heavy metals are enriched in the finer fractions $(< 2 \mu m$) of the bed sediments. The average heavymetal composition of the sediments is higher when compared to the average Indian river sediments. Heavy-metal concentration in the two shallow cores collected shows, to some extent, the influence of urbanization. When compared to the other tropical Indian rivers such as the Krishna, the Godavari appears to be a significant contributor of heavy metals to the Bay of Bengal. Considering the enormous sediment load of the Godavari River-170 million tons/yr, the heavy metal fluxes to the Bay of Bengal is very significant. Except for the Pranhita, other tributaries of the Godavari do not contribute significant loads of heavy metals. All the metals show high correlation among themselves and the correlation is more pronounced in suspended sediments than in the bed sediments. The heavy-metal distribution, fractionation, and its relationship with total suspended sediments and depth in various parts of the basin are discussed in detail.

Description of the Basin

The Oodavari River carries an enormous load (170 million tons/yr; Biksham and Subramanian 1988) and is the largest in peninsular India. The Godavari basin (16°N to 18°N lat. and 73°E to 83.3°E long.) covers **an** area of $313,147 \text{ km}^2$ in the central and southern part of the Indian subcontinent. The river originates from Nasik in western Ghats and travels 1,465 km before emptying into the Bay of Bengal. The basin area includes major geological formations (Krishnan 1966) such as Tertiary volcanic Deccan Traps (48%), Archaean Granites (39%), pre-Cambrian and Gondwana Sedimentary rocks (11%), and recent Alluvial cover (2%) (Biksham and Subramanian 1988).

The climate in the basin is primarily semiarid (10°C to 45°C) with annual rainfall of 1185 mm. The mean elevation of the basin is 420 m. The bulk of the precipitation takes place within a three-month monsoon period between June and September (Biksham and Subramanian 1988).

Methodology

Figure 1 shows the general direction of flow of the Godavari River system and the location of the sampiing sites all over the basin. Freshly deposited bed sediments (24 samples) from wet portions near the river banks were collected from the Godavari River

Figure 1. Map showing the Godavari River basin and sampiing location. C), bed sediment samples; @, suspended sediment samples; \blacktriangle , core samples.

and its important tributaries during June 1978 and May and August 1979 (Fig. 1). Of these 24 samples, 12 are from the rivers flowing through the Deccan Traps (Tertiary), six are from the rivers flowing through the granitic terrain (pre-Cambrian), and the remaining are from the main river flowing through the sedimentary terrain (Gondwana), covering a wide geological age. A similar strategy was applied for the collection of 20 suspended sediment samples (Fig. 1). Besides these, two vertical cores were also collected (Fig. 1) upstream and downstream.

Bed samples were collected by simply scooping with a plastic spade, taking care not to lose the fines, and transferred to precleaned plastic bags, sealed, and brought to the laboratory, where they were kept at 2-4°C until prepared for analysis. Suspended sediments along with the water were collected in widemouth polythene bottles held in the direction opposite to the river flow. The bottles were sealed and kept in the laboratory at 2-4°C. Suspended sediments usually were separated within a fortnight of collection by filtration of water through 0.45 - μ m membrane filters. Two vertical cores of less than 0.5 m depth penetrated manually by PVC tubes enclosed in cast-iron pipes were collected, one from midstream and another from a downstream location. Size fractions $(>\,62$ µm and $62-31 \mu m$) of the bed sediments were separated by standard sieving methods. Fractions of $31-8 \mu m$, $8-4$ μ m, and <4 μ m were separated by the Attenburg cylinder method based on Stoke's law (Griffiths 1967).

The bed, core, and suspended sediments were ana-

Table 1. Range of heavy-metal concentrations in the bed sediments of Godavari $(\mu g/g)$ I.A.E.A. soil Range of values Element -5^a U.S.G.S. BCR-1^b in Godavari^c

| Element | $-5a$ | U.S.G.S. $BCR-1b$ | in Godavari ^c |
|---------|----------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Fe | 43,600 | $88,000 \pm 2,200$ ^d | 6,800-239,000 |
| | $(44,500 \pm 1,900)$ | (93,700) | (60,000) |
| Mn | 908 | $14,340 \pm 40$ | $102 - 3.620$ |
| | (852 ± 37) | (1,390) | (1,107) |
| Сr | 28 | | $12 - 408$ |
| | (28 ± 3) | | (140) |
| Ni | 10 | 17 ± 3 | $3 - 87$ |
| | (13) | (16) | (51) |
| Zn | 379 | 131 ± 4 | $4 - 75$ |
| | (368 ± 8) | (120) | (51) |
| Cu | 88 | 26 ± 5 | $3 - 262$ |
| | (77 ± 5) | (18) | (64) |

aBased on the six sets of analysis; recommended values in parentheses.

bValues in parentheses refer to those recommended by Flanagan (1973). ^cNo. of samples (suspended + bed + core + fractionated sediments) = 74; No. in parentheses refers average.

dStandard deviation on average of three measurements.

lyzed for Si and A1 by the solution technique of Shapiro and Burnock (1962). The rest of the major and minor elements were analyzed by a thin-film x-ray fluorescence (XRF) technique. Details of the thin-film XRF techniques have been reported elsewhere (Subramanian and others 1987). Size fractionated sediments were analyzed in the same way. To check the accuracy and precision of the measurements, U.S.G.S. rock standards and I.A.E.A. soil standards were analyzed this way as well. However, it should be realized that the limitations of a single season sampling only at logistically accessible locations may not provide an opportunity for extensive interpretation of the heavymetal data presented here.

Results and Discussion

Heavy Metals in Bed and Suspended Sediments

Table 1 summarizes data (concentration of heavy metals) of the I.A.E.A. standard soil as well as U.S.G.S. standards along with the range of values for the Godavari sediments. The range of values reflects the sample-to-sample variation downstream.

Tables 2 and 3 represent the heavy metal concentration of the suspended and bed sediments in the Godavari River basin. In general the concentration of heavy metals in the suspended sediments are higher than the bed sediments in the basin. For example, suspended sediments are enriched by a factor of two to three times for Fe, two to four times for Ni, three to

| | | Location | | | | Elements (µg/g) | | |
|--------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|--------|------|--------|------------------|----------------|------------|
| Location | Series | no. ^a | Fe | Mn | Cr | Ni | Zn | Cu |
| Bed | | | | | | | | |
| Upstream | 1 | 1 | 45800 | 978 | 152 | 50 | 54 | 78 |
| | \overline{a} | 1 | 120000 | 1120 | 329 | 70 | 67 | 57 |
| | 3 | 1 | 49100 | 903 | 171 | 53 | 65 | 38 |
| Midstream | $\bf{4}$ | $\overline{2}$ | 70300 | 1340 | 105 | 79 | 73 | 134 |
| | 5 | $\overline{2}$ | 14800 | 275 | 61 | 14 | 15 | 13 |
| | 6 | $\overline{2}$ | 40500 | 638 | 155 | 53 | 43 | 30 |
| Downstream | 7 | $\overline{3}$ | 38000 | 1500 | 110 | 38 | 30 | 49 |
| | 8 | $\boldsymbol{3}$ | 47100 | 1020 | 124 | 51 | 45 | 62 |
| Tributaries | | | | | | | | |
| Mangera | 9 | $\overline{4}$ | 54000 | 1060 | 104 | 52 | 75 | 96 |
| | 10 | $\overline{4}$ | 59900 | 1260 | 99 | 85 | 71 | 112 |
| | 11 | $\overline{4}$ | 120000 | 1120 | 329 | 70 | 67 | 57 |
| | 12 | $\overline{4}$ | 78000 | 1147 | 177 | 69 | 71 | 88 |
| Pranhita | 13 | 5 | 239000 | 3620 | 408 | 87 | 67 | 262 |
| | 14 | $\overline{5}$ | 54700 | 957 | 125 | 51 | 57 | 74 |
| | 15 | $\bar{\rm 5}$ | 46200 | 1050 | 137 | 55 | 40 | 64 |
| | 16 | 5 | 6800 | 135 | 12 | $\boldsymbol{3}$ | 8 | 5 |
| | 17 | $\bf 5$ | 58200 | 947 | 67 | 58 | 75 | 102 |
| | 18 | $\bar{5}$ | 56700 | 1200 | 100 | 61 | 72 | 100 |
| | 19 | 5 | 45600 | 684 | 148 | 53 | 47 | 33 |
| Sabari | 20 | 6 | 41400 | 697 | 112 | 41 | 40 | 50 |
| | 21 | 6 | 5700 | 102 | 30 | 5 | $\overline{4}$ | 3 |
| | 22 | 6 | 66400 | 1360 | 125 | 61 | 75 | 117 |
| | 23 | 6 | 60300 | 1180 | 99 | 62 | 68 | 108 |
| Suspended | | | | | | | | |
| Upstream | 1 | 1 | 76500 | 1317 | 141 | 77 | 370 | 301 |
| | $\sqrt{2}$ | 1 | 75418 | 3660 | 122 | 75 | 1484 | 167 |
| Midstream | $\overline{3}$ | $\overline{2}$ | 16876 | 627 | 48 | 36 | 121 | 26 |
| | $\overline{4}$ | $\overline{\mathbf{2}}$ | 114750 | 4106 | 265 | 158 | 783 | 247 |
| | 5 | $\overline{\mathbf{2}}$ | 102000 | 1695 | 166 | 112 | 781 | 209 |
| | 66 | $\overline{2}$ | 62322 | 1214 | 173 | 102 | 206 | 162 |
| Downstream | $\overline{7}$ | 3 | 67085 | 1385 | 140 | 84 | 162 | 110 |
| | 8 | 3 | 52821 | 1005 | 112 | 66 | 188 | $71\,$ |
| | 9 | 3 | 251890 | 4826 | 475 | 290 | 2650 | 422 |
| Tributaries | | | | | | | | |
| Manjera | 10 | 4 | 73950 | 1444 | 173 | 101 | 175 | 168 |
| | 11 | 4 | 39100 | 686 | 85 | 103 | 112 | 65 |
| Pranhita | 12 | 5 | 74621 | 1650 | 162 | 89 | 166 | 144 |
| | 13 | 5 | 62511 | 2244 | 100 | 82 | 309 | 159 |
| | 14 | 5 | 82800 | 1404 | 180 | 107 | 1241 | 150 |
| | 15 | 5 | 26550 | 1133 | 19 | 31 | 78 | 76 |
| | 16 | 5 | 34633 | 447 | 54 | 39 | 60 | 54 |
| Sabari | 17 | $\,6\,$ | 53099 | 507 | 114 | 79 | 222 | $54\,$ |
| | 18 | 6 | 9736 | 284 | 19 | $20\,$ | 28 | 14 |
| | 19 | 6 | 37830 | 484 | 105 | 62 | 354 | ${\bf 54}$ |
| | 20 | 6 | 25424 | 500 | $80\,$ | 40 | 76 | $40\,$ |

Table 2. Heavy metals in the Godavari bed and suspended sediments

aSampling station numbers are shown in Figure 1.

15 times for Zn, and two to four times for Cu more than the bed sediments in the main river. In the tributaries the suspended sediments are enriched over the bed sediments by a factor of two except for certain

metals like Fe and Mn, which are almost similar in concentration to the bed sediments (Table 3) because the suspension is finer and richer in multiple hydroxide coatings (Forstner and Wittmann 1981), or-

| | Elements $(\mu g/g)$ | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|----------------------|--------|-------|-------|----------------|------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Location | Fe | Mn | Cr | Ni | \mathbf{Z} n | Cu | | | | | |
| Upstream | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sa | 75690 | 2490 | 132 | 76 | 930 | 234 | | | | | |
| B | 71600 | 1000 | 217 | 58 | 62 | $55\,$ | | | | | |
| S/B | (1.1) | (2.5) | (0.6) | (1.3) | (15) | (4.3) | | | | | |
| Midstream | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ${\bf S}$ | 111615 | 2895 | 349 | 205 | 492 | 214 | | | | | |
| \bf{B} | 41900 | 751 | 107 | 49 | 44 | 59 | | | | | |
| S/B | (2.6) | (3.9) | (3.3) | (4.2) | (11.2) | (3.6) | | | | | |
| Downstream | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ${\bf S}$ | 123930 | 2405 | 242 | 146 | 1000 | $\sqrt{2}$ | | | | | |
| $\, {\bf B}$ | 42600 | 1260 | 121 | 45 | 38 | $56\,$ | | | | | |
| S/B | (2.9) | (1.9) | (2) | (3.2) | (26) | (4) | | | | | |
| Tributaries | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mangera | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ${\bf S}$ | 56525 | 1065 | 129 | 102 | 144 | 117 | | | | | |
| \bf{B} | 78000 | 1147 | 177 | 69 | 71 | 88 | | | | | |
| S/B | (0.7) | (0.93) | (0.7) | (1.5) | (2) | (1.3) | | | | | |
| Pranhita | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ${\bf S}$ | 56225 | 1370 | 103 | 70 | 371 | 117 | | | | | |
| $\mathbf B$ | 72500 | 1227 | 142 | 53 | $52\,$ | 92 | | | | | |
| S/B | (0.7) | (1.1) | (0.7) | (1.3) | (7.1) | (1.3) | | | | | |
| Sabari | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ${\bf S}$ | 31520 | 445 | 80 | 50 | 170 | 162 | | | | | |
| $\, {\bf B}$ | 42800 | 936 | 96 | 45 | 49 | 65 | | | | | |
| S/B | (0.7) | (0.5) | (0.8) | (1) | (3.5) | (2.5) | | | | | |
| Average | | | | | | | | | | | |
| S | 76288 | 1784 | 184 | 113 | 483 | 149 | | | | | |
| $\, {\bf B}$ | 60000 | 1064 | 140 | 52 | 53 | 73 | | | | | |
| S/B | (1.3) | (1.7) | (1.3) | (2.2) | (9.1) | (2) | | | | | |
| Indiana ave. ^b | | | | | | | | | | | |
| $\, {\bf B}$ | 29000 | 605 | 87 | 37 | 16 | 28 | | | | | |

Table 3. Average heavy-metal composition of the Godavari sediments

^aS = suspended sediments, B = bed sediments, S/B ratio = () refers to enrichment factor.

bSubramanian and others (1985).

Figure 2. Scatter plot between the concentration of heavy metals and the suspended sediments. TSM = total suspended matter.

ganic and trace metals, and scavenging clays (Subramanian and others 1987). Downstream the concentration of heavy metals in suspension increases. The greater heavy-metal concentration is in the tributaries on the lower side, not in the main river, which may be due to variation in the geology of the drainage area. For example, Sabari, which drains through granitic terrains, has coarse sediments in suspension. On the other hand, Pranhita drains mainly through the Deccan Traps and has more chemically active montmorillionite clays and in turn contributes high levels of heavy-metal concentration.

Figure 2 shows the relationship of heavy metals with the suspended sediment load. Here the profile shows a negative trend between the suspended load and the concentration of heavy metals like Fe, Ni, and Cu. In the suspended sediments there is an abundance of chemically active fine-sized clays (such as montmorillionite constituting 85% of the clay fractions) (Subramanian 1980), organometal colloids, and other organic complexes, which in turn contribute metal enrichment but not mass (Fig. 2). However, in the absence of chemical fractionation studies, this is at best a speculation. The high TSM appears to have a low metal concentration due to the dominance of detrital coarse fractions (quartz and feldspar), which are generally depleted in heavy metals and hence contribute more mass but less heavy metals to TSM.

Size Fractionation of Heavy Metals

In view of the known association of heavy metals with different particle sizes, a detailed study on the heavy-metal concentration and particle size distribution in the Godavari River sediments was attempted. The distribution of heavy metals in the grain-size spectrum of the Godavari bed sediments is shown in Figure 3 and Table 4.

The grain size significantly affects the metal data of sediments in the Godavari River. There is a general increase of metal concentration in the finer fractions $(< 31 \mu m$), which is 40 percent more compared to the coarse silt and fine sand fraction. The higher concentration in the fine sediments generally is due to the increase in specific surface area and to the surface properties of clay minerals. This clearly shows the control of size over the heavy-metal concentration. Helmeke and others (1977) have pointed out that the concentration of heavy metals in the pelitic fractions reflects the man-made contamination. Hence it acts as the sink for the metals in the river sediments. Upstream, metals are enriched in both coarse and fine fractions. In the coarse fractions the presence of heavy

Figure 3. The distribution of heavy metals in the fractionized bed sediments.

minerals and carbonate fractions might have caused this metal enrichment (Salomons and Forstner 1984). In the other parts of the basin, an increase of metals towards the finer fractions can be observed, confirming the presence of chemically active clays as observed earlier. High concentrations of heavy metals in the finer fractions are similar to the average suspended sediments concentration (Tables 3 and 4), which in turn shows their similar source and mobility with respect to specific site location.

Since A1 is an immobile element relative to the heavy metals, it is worthwhile to consider the metal/A1 ratio instead of absolute values of heavy-metal concentration. The metal/A1 ratio in suspended sediments shows a regular decrease downstream (Fig. 4). Thus the decreasing profile indicates the loss of mobile fractions downstream due to urbanization and estuarine processes. The profile in the bed downstream (Fig. 4) is positive with more fluctuations. This variation is due to the diluting effect caused by the tributaries; the final increase of the ratio near the estuary reflects the urban effect (addition) in the heavy-metal concentration. The metal/A1 ratio in the fractionated sediments

| | Fraction | Elements $(\mu g/g)$ | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|----------------|----------------------|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|--|--|--|
| Location | size (μm) | Fe | Mn | Cr | Ni | Zn | Cu | | | |
| Upstream | >62 | 95,000 | 1,600 | 220 | 81 | 100 | 180 | | | |
| (st. no. 1) | $62 - 31$ | 53,000 | 990 | 87 | 45 | 63 | 120 | | | |
| | $31 - 8$ | 53,000 | 1,100 | 75 | 54 | 69 | 120 | | | |
| | $8 - 4$ | 91,000 | 1,900 | 180 | 87 | 90 | 180 | | | |
| | ≤ 4 | 83,000 | 1,200 | 140 | 84 | 100 | 170 | | | |
| Downstream | >62 | 57,000 | 1,900 | 110 | 51 | 63 | 83 | | | |
| (st. no. 3) | $62 - 31$ | 65,000 | 1.200 | 120 | 56 | 79 | 130 | | | |
| | $31 - 8$ | 69,000 | 1,500 | 170 | 67 | 87 | 130 | | | |
| | $8 - 4$ | 87,000 | 1,700 | 190 | 100 | 100 | 170 | | | |
| Tributaries | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pranhita | >62 | 50,000 | 970 | 84 | 42 | 54 | 74 | | | |
| (st. no. 5) | $62 - 31$ | 61,000 | 1,400 | 130 | 56 | 71 | 110 | | | |
| | $31 - 8$ | 74,000 | 1,800 | 98 | 73 | 93 | 130 | | | |
| | $8 - 4$ | 78,000 | 1,600 | 140 | 78 | 92 | 130 | | | |
| | ≤ 4 | 95,000 | 1,600 | 170 | 90 | 100 | 160 | | | |
| Sabari | >62 | 30,000 | 410 | 120 | 38 | 37 | 26 | | | |
| (st. no. 6) | $62 - 31$ | 51,000 | 970 | 180 | 74 | 61 | 47 | | | |
| | $31 - 8$ | 76,000 | 1,300 | 260 | 100 | 94 | 68 | | | |
| | $8 - 4$ | 80,000 | 1,200 | 240 | 110 | 110 | 75 | | | |
| | \leq 4 | 95,000 | 1,100 | 260 | 140 | 150 | 98 | | | |

Table 4, Heavy metals in various size fractions of the Godavari bed sediments

Figure 4. Downstream variation of metal/Al ratio in the bed and suspended sediments.

(Fig. 5) is similar to the one in Figure 4, hence indicaring the increase of metals over the pelitic fraction and the size control over the heavy-metal concentrations with a small enhancement of the heavy metal/A1 ratio over the coarse fractions as explained earlier.

Heavy Metal Distribution in Core Sediments

The man-made effects can be evaluated from the distribution of heavy metals in sediment cores. The heavy metal concentration at different depth intervals is given in Table 5 and Figure 6. There is a pronounced increase of heavy metal concentration in the surface sediments when compared to the bottom sediments, which could be due to the remobilization and deposition of heavy metals in the sedimentary layers. This profile clearly shows the increase of metal pollution during the recent decades.

Table 5 also compares the heavy-metal concentration in various locations of the Godavari River delta, the Krishna, and the Bay of Bengal. Heavy-metal concentrations in the surface sediments as well as their averages show a positive trend towards the Bay of Bengal. It shows the recent addition of heavy metals close to the delta due to the effect of urbanization (Subramanian and others 1987). Moreover, the metal/A1 ratio decreases with depth (Fig. 7), suggesting the addition of metals at and/or near the surface. In the present study we noted a constant proportion of metal distribution in the two cores when compared to the reported values in the Godavari delta (Kalesha and others 1980), so the concentration of certain metals such as Zn and Cu appears to be lower. The reported values of the Bay of Bengal sediments (Sarin and others 1979) are in good agreement with our values (Table 5), but it is $5-10$ times more when compared to the reported values for Krishna delta cores (Ramesh and others 1989). Godavari is thus the main contributor of heavy metals among the non-Himalayan rivers to the Bay of Bengal.

Interelement Relationship

Table 6 shows the correlation matrix of the heavy metals in the core, suspended, bed, and fractionized sediments, and some of the relationships are illus-

Figure 5. Variation of metal/Al ratio in the fractionized bed sediments.

| | Depth | | | Elements $(\mu g/g)$ | | | |
|----------------------------|-----------|--------|-------|----------------------|-----|-----|-----|
| Location | (cm) | Fe | Mn | Cr | Ni | Zn | Cu |
| Midstream | $0 - 3$ | 51.000 | 970 | 120 | 56 | 66 | 87 |
| | $3 - 6$ | 60,000 | 1,200 | 160 | 70 | 76 | 94 |
| | $6 - 9$ | 57,000 | 960 | 110 | 62 | 69 | 82 |
| | $9 - 12$ | 43,000 | 750 | 100 | 44 | 57 | 69 |
| | Average | 52,750 | 970 | 123 | 58 | 67 | 83 |
| Downstream | $0 - 3$ | 90,000 | 640 | 230 | 93 | 110 | 120 |
| | $3 - 6$ | 76,000 | 840 | 190 | 79 | 110 | 130 |
| | $6 - 9$ | 51,000 | 750 | 120 | 41 | 63 | 73 |
| | $9 - 12$ | 62,000 | 1,100 | 150 | 64 | 72 | 84 |
| | $12 - 15$ | 66,000 | 1.000 | 170 | 55 | 73 | 97 |
| | $15 - 18$ | 53,000 | 1,400 | 110 | 53 | 65 | 91 |
| | $18 - 21$ | 55,000 | 1,020 | 160 | 64 | 79 | 98 |
| | Average | 64,700 | 1,020 | 160 | 64 | 79 | 98 |
| Godavari | | | | | | | |
| deltab | 71,000 | 496 | 146 | 115 | 195 | 202 | |
| Krishna delta | | | | | | | |
| (at Repalle) ^c | | 190 | 28 | 5 | 10 | 8 | |
| Bay of Bengal ^d | 50,000 | 1,017 | 96 | 89 | | 53 | |

Table 5. Heavy metal composition of the Godavari,^a Krishna, and Bay of Bengal cores

~Locations are shown in Figure 1.

bKalesha and others (1980).

CRamesh and others (1989).

^dSarin and others (1979).

Figure 6, Vertical profile of heavy metals in the core sediments

trated in Figure 8. The excellent correlation between any two pairs of heavy metals indicates their common sink in these sediments. Several authors (Forstner and Wittmann 1981; Gibbs 1977; Singh and Subramanian 1984) have speculated on the nature of sink for heavy metals in sediments. Although the suspended sediments are richer in heavy metals than the bed sediments, the good correlation in both types points to the presence of such heavy-metal sinks such as the clay fractions, mobile fractions, organic fractions, and multiple hydroxide coatings. In the fractionated sediments for most of the heavy metals, the correlation coefficient was significant, viz., Fe-Mn: 0.69, Fe-Cr: 0.64; Fe-Zn: 0.89, respectively. While a good correlation is observed between certain metals, selective fractions based on grain size give different levels of correlation for different metals due to interaction of bed and suspended sediments and by resuspension and deposition. Thus the fractionation of heavy metals is regulated by the size-chemistry relationship.

For all the metals considered, the average heavymetal contents of the Godavari are far in excess of the other peninsular river sediments. Based on the annual sediment load of 170 million tons in the Godavari River (Biksham and Subramanian 1988), the annual metal flux was calculated at various stations (Table 7). Based on the published values of mean annual sediment load and sediment chemistry, the average heavymetal flux for Krishna, Cauvery (non-Himalayan), Ganges, Brahmaputra (Himalayan), Indian, and world

Figure 7. Vertical profile of metal/Al ratio in the core sediments.

average sediments have been computed, and those data are also presented in Table 7 for comparison. The flux rate of Himalayan rivers is high, as expected, besides this specific enrichment of heavy metals in suspended sediments in other Indian rivers already reported (Subramanian and others 1987). Variation in the fluxes indicates no uniformity primarily due to the difference in subbasin geology and varying degrees of human impact.

Conclusion

The heavy-metal concentration in the bed and suspended sediments of the Godavari vary widely. Bed sediments show an erratic variation downstream compared to the suspended and fine fractions. Suspended sediments have a higher level of these metals than do the bed sediments. The very good correlations among the elements are considered point to common sources or sinks in the river basin. The proportion of different size populations in the sediment seems to be responsible for the heavy-metal variations within the basin. The major proportion of the heavy metals is in the

| | | rapid 0. Obticiation countries it or neavy metals in the Goddvan countries | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------------------|------|------|--------------|--------------------|--------------|------|------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------|---------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | Bed Suspended $(n = 24)$ $(n = 20)$ | | | | Size fraction $(n = 19)$ | | | | Core $(n = 11)$ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Mn | Œг | Ni | Zn | Cu | Mn | Cr | Ni | Zn | Cu | Mn | -Cr | Ni | Zn | Cu | Mn | Cr | Ni | Zn | Сu |
| Fe | 0.91 | 0.90 | 0.91 | 0.69 | 0.93 | 0.87 | 0.89 | 0.71 | 0.53 | 0.80 | 0.62 | 0.64 | 0.62 | 0.89 | 0.71 | -0.30 | 0.95 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.88 |
| Mn Cr | | 0.86 | 0.87 0.99 | 0.62 0.37 | 0.87 0.79 | | 0.68 | 0.71 0.61 | 0.54 0.42 | 0.90 0.49 | | 0.13 | 0.16 0.60 | 0.37 0.71 | 0.65 0.03 | | -0.26 | -0.16 0.84 | -0.36 0.93 | -0.13 0.86 |
| Ni | | | | 0.39 | 0.79 | | | | 0.88 | 0.74 | | | | 0.73 | 0.25 | | | | 0.94 | 0.86 |
| Zn | | | | | 0.65 | | | | | 0.66 | | | | | 0.44 | | | | | 0.92 |

Table 6. Correlation coefficient of heavy metals in the Godavari sediments

Figure 8. Scatter plot for Mn and Ni against Fe in the suspended, core, and fractionized sediments.

Table 7. Heavy metals fluxes in the suspended sediments of Godavari, major rivers of Indian subcontinent and world average

| Location | Element (tons/yr) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|-------------------|------------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Fe | Mn | Cr | Ni | Zn | Cu | | | | | | |
| Upstream | 206,550 | 3,556 | 381 | 208 | 999 | 813 | | | | | | |
| Midstream | 1,127,202 | 29,387 | 4,382 | 2.649 | 2.442 | 1,828 | | | | | | |
| Downstream | 2,141,065 | 41,021 | 4,038 | 2,465 | 22,525 | 3,587 | | | | | | |
| Tributaries | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Manjera | 16.392 | 309 | 37 | 30 | 30 | 34 | | | | | | |
| Pranhita | 947,687 | 20,955 | 2,057 | 1,130 | 2,108 | 1,829 | | | | | | |
| Sabari | 63.719 | 609 | 137 | 95 | 266 | 65 | | | | | | |
| Average | 750,436 | 15,973 | 1,838 | 1.096 | 4,728 | 1,359 | | | | | | |
| Krishna ^a | 543,613 | 10,448 | 1,212 | 732 | 1,122 | 908 | | | | | | |
| Cauveryb | 44,020 | 923 | 107 | 107 | 355 | 43 | | | | | | |
| Gangesc | 29,610,000 | 1.135.050 | 86.856 | 45,073 | 604,040 | 82,908 | | | | | | |
| Brahmaputrac | 65,311,800 | 2,656,650 | 132.534 | 106,863 | 546,852 | 64,476 | | | | | | |
| Indiana Ave. ^b | 35,148,000 | 733,260 | 105,444 | 44,844 | 19,392 | 33,936 | | | | | | |
| World Ave. ^d | 648,240,000 | 14,180,252 | 14,180,252 | 1,215,450 | 4,726,750 | 1,350,500 | | | | | | |

aRamesh and others (1989).

bSubramanian and others (1985).

CSubramanian and others (1987).

^dMartin and Meybeck (1979).

pelitic fractions of the sediments and hence are available for water-sediment interaction. Urban area effects are pronounced in the sediments in the lower stretches of the river.

References Cited

- Biksham, G., and V. Subramanian, 1988, Nature of solute transport in the Godavari basin, India: Journal of Hydrology, v. 101, p. 275-190.
- Flanagan, F.J., 1973, 1972, values for international geochemical reference samples: Geochimica. et Cosmochimica Acta, v. 37, p. 1189-1198.
- Forstner, U., and G. T. W. Wittmann, 1981, Metal pollution in the aquatic environment: Berlin, Springer-Verlag, 486 p.
- Gibbs, R.J., 1977, Transport phases of transition metals in the Amazon and Yukon rivers: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 88, p. 829-843.
- Griffiths, J. C., 1967, Scientific method in analysis of sediments: McGraw-Hill, New York, 508 p.
- Helmeke, P. A., R. D. Koons, P.J. Schombery, and I. K. Iskander, 1977, Determination of trace element contamination of sediments by multielement analyses of clay size fractions: Environmental Science and Technology, v. 11, p. 984-991.
- Kalesha, M., M. S. Rao, and B. L. K. Somayaiulu, 1980, Deposition rates in Godavari delta: Marine Geology, v. 34, p. M57-M66
- Krishnan, M. S., 1966, Geology of India and Burma: Higginbothams, Madras, 365 p.
- Martin, J., and M. Meybeck, 1979, Elemental mass balance of material carried by major world rivers: Marine Chemistry, v. 7, p. 173-206.
- Milliman, J. D., and R. H. Meade, 1983, World-wide delivery of river sediment to the oceans: Journal of Geology, v. 91, p. 1-21.
- Ramesh, R., V. Subramanian, R. Van Grieken, L. Van't Dack, 1989, The elemental chemistry of sediments in the Krishna river basin, India: Chemical Geology, v. 74, p. 331-341.
- Salomons, W., and U. Forstner, 1984, Metals in the hydrocycle: Berlin, Springer-Verlag, 350 p.
- Sarin, M.M., D.V. Borole, S. Krishnaswami, 1979, Geochemistry and geochronology of sediments from the Bay of Bengal and equitorial Indian Ocean: Proceedings Indian Academy of Science, v. 88A, p. 131-154.
- Shapiro, L., and W. W. Burnock, 1962, Rapid analysis of silicate, carbonate and phosphate rocks: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin, v. 1144A, 56 p.
- Singh, S. K., and V. Subramanian, 1984, Hydrous Fe and Mn oxides--scavengers of heavy metals in the aquatic environment: CRC Critical Review Environmental Control, v. 14, p. 13-69.
- Subramanian, V., 1980, Mineralogical input of suspended matter by Indian rivers into the adjacent areas of the Indian Oceans, Marine Geology, v. 36, p. M29-M34.
- Subramanian, V., L. Van't Dack, R. Van Grieken, 1985, Chemical composition of the river sediments from the Indian subcontinent, Chemical Geology, v. 46, p. 271-276.
- Subramanian, V., R. Van Grieken, L. Van't Dack, 1987, Heavy metals distribution in the sediments of Ganges and Brahmaputra rivers: Environmental Geology and Water Science, v. 9, p. 93-103.