

The study of Lie groups in the large is based to a significant extent on the Schreier theorem on the extension of local homomorphisms of a simply connected topological group to a homomorphism in the large. Appropriate analogs of this theorem for Moufang loops in different particular cases have been used in [5] for the construction of a simply connected analytic Moufang loop with a preassigned tangent Mal'tsev algebra. The analog of the Schreier theorem for analytic Moufang loops with solvable tangent Mal'tsev algebra, obtained in the same article, had enabled us to give a classification of these loops. In the present article the restrictions on the tangent Mal'tsev algebra are removed. As a consequence, we obtain a classification of connected analytic Moufang loops with an arbitrary preassigned tangent Mal'tsev algebra.

1. Let  $G$  be an analytic Moufang loop and  $a \in G$ . As usual,  $R_a$  and  $L_a$  are the operators of the right and the left multiplication by the element  $a$ ,

$$xR_a = xa, \quad xL_a = ax.$$

A homomorphism  $\varphi: G \rightarrow G$  is called a pseudoautomorphism if there exists an element  $c \in G$  such that for arbitrary  $x, y \in G$

$$c \cdot (xy)\varphi = (c \cdot x\varphi) \cdot y\varphi. \tag{1}$$

The element  $c$  is called the companion of the pseudoautomorphism  $\varphi$ . We know [6] that the operators  $T_x = L_x^{-1} R_x$  and  $R_{x,y} = R_x R_y R_{xy}^{-1}$  are pseudoautomorphisms of the Moufang loop  $G$  with the companions  $x^3$  and  $[y, x] = y^{-1} x^{-1} yx$ , respectively.

If  $A$  is the tangent Mal'tsev algebra of the loop  $G$ , then to each subalgebra  $A_0$  of the algebra  $A$  there corresponds a subloop  $U_0$  of the local analytic Moufang Loop  $U$ , where  $U$  is a sufficiently small neighborhood of the identity of the loop  $G$ . Let us consider  $G_0$  of finite products of elements of  $U_0$  with arbitrary arrangement of parentheses and equip it with the intrinsic topology: A subset  $V$  of  $G_0$  is open if and only if for each  $x \in V$  there exists a neighborhood  $V_x$  of the identity of the local loop  $U_0$  such that  $xV_x = V$ . Let us verify that the space  $G_0$  forms an arcwise-connected topological Moufang loop with respect to the multiplication in  $G$ .

Let  $W_1, \dots, W_k$  be  $T$ -words in  $x_1, \dots, x_k \in U_0$  (see [5]). If  $a = \{x_1 W_1 \dots x_k W_k\}$  is a word with a certain arrangement of parentheses, then  $a = \langle x_1 \overline{W}_1 \dots x_k \overline{W}_k \rangle = x_1 \overline{W}_1 R_{x_2 \overline{W}_2} \dots R_{x_k \overline{W}_k}$ , where  $W_i$  are also  $T$ -words in  $x_1, \dots, x_k \in U_0$ . We carry out induction on  $k$ . Let  $a = \{x_1 W_1 \dots x_n W_n\} = bc$ , where  $b$  and  $c$  are words of length less than  $n$ . Then  $b = \langle x_1 \overline{W}_1 \dots x_k \overline{W}_k \rangle$ ,  $c = \langle x_{k+1} \overline{W}_{k+1} \dots x_n \overline{W}_n \rangle$ , and it is sufficient to consider the case  $n > k+1$ . If  $u = \langle x_{k+1} \overline{W}_{k+1} \dots x_{n-1} \overline{W}_{n-1} \rangle$ ,  $v = x_n \overline{W}_n$ ,

---

Translated from Algebra i Logika, Vol. 19, No. 3, pp. 284-299, May-June, 1980. Original article submitted September 19, 1979.

then  $a = bR_{u,\sigma}^{-1}u\sigma$ . Since  $R_{u,\sigma}^{-1}$  is a  $T$ -word in  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  (see [5, Lemma 6]), it follows that  $bR_{u,\sigma}^{-1} = \langle x_1 \bar{W}'_1 \dots x_k \bar{W}'_k \rangle$ , where  $\bar{W}'_1, \dots, \bar{W}'_k$  are  $T$ -words in  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in U_0$  (see [5, Lemma 5]). The word  $bR_{u,\sigma}^{-1}u$  has length  $n-1$  and the induction hypothesis is applicable to it. The induction is carried out. In particular, each element  $a \in G_0$  can be represented in the form  $a = \langle x_1 W_1 \dots x_n W_n \rangle$ , where  $W_1, \dots, W_n$  are  $T$ -words in  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in U_0$ .

Let  $x$  and  $y$  be arbitrary elements of  $G_0$  and  $V$  be a neighborhood of the identity of the local loop  $U_0$ . For the continuity of the multiplication in  $G_0$  it is sufficient that there exists a neighborhood  $V_{x,y}$  of the identity of the local loop  $U_0$  such that  $xV_{x,y} \cdot yV_{x,y} = xyV$ . By what we have proved above,  $x = \langle x_1 W_1 \dots x_n W_n \rangle$  and  $y = \langle x_{n+1} W_{n+1} \dots x_k W_k \rangle$ , where  $W_1, \dots, W_k$  are  $T$ -words in  $x_1, \dots, x_k \in U_0$ . For arbitrary elements  $a, b \in G_0$  we will have  $xa \cdot yb = xy \cdot (a \bar{W}_1 \cdot b \bar{W}_2) \bar{W}$ , where  $\bar{W}, \bar{W}_1$ , and  $\bar{W}_2$  are  $T$ -words in  $x_1, \dots, x_k \in U_0$  (see [5, Lemma 11]). It is easily seen that for an arbitrary  $T$ -word  $W$  in  $x_1, \dots, x_k \in U_0$  and an arbitrary neighborhood  $V$  of the identity of the local loop  $U_0$  there exists a neighborhood  $V_0$  of the identity of the local loop  $U_0$  such that  $V_0 W = V$ . Consequently, there exists a neighborhood  $V_{x,y} \subset U_0$  of the identity such that  $a, b \in V_{x,y}$  for  $(a \bar{W}_1 \cdot b \bar{W}_2) \bar{W} = V$ .

This is what was required to be proved.

Thus,  $G_0$  is a topological Moufang loop. Now the arcwise connectedness of the space  $G_0$  follows from the fact that  $G_0$  is generated by neighborhood  $U_0$  of the identity in it.

We will call  $G_0$  the subloop of  $G$  corresponding to the subalgebra  $A_0$ .

LEMMA 1. Let  $G$  be an analytic Moufang loop,  $A$  be the tangent Mal'tsev algebra  $G$ ,  $A_0$  be a Lie subalgebra of  $A$  that is a direct sum of subalgebras:  $A_0 = B \oplus C$ , where  $B$  is a semisimple and  $C$  is a solvable Lie algebra. Then the subloop  $G_0$ , corresponding to the subalgebra  $A_0$ , is an arcwise connected group.

Proof. Let us consider a neighborhood  $U$  of the identity of the loop  $G$  such that a canonical coordinate system of the first kind [1] can be introduced in it. If  $V$  is the Lie subgroup in  $U$  that corresponds to the subalgebra  $A_0$ , then we can assume that for arbitrary  $x, y, z \in V$  the elements  $[x, y], xy \cdot z, x \cdot yz \in U$ . As shown above, each  $x \in G_0$  has the form  $x = \langle x_1 \dots x_n \rangle$ , where  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in V$ . Moreover, if  $x, y, z \in V$ , then  $xy \cdot z = x \cdot yz$  or  $xR_{y,z} = x$ . We show that  $xR_{y,z} = x$  for arbitrary  $x \in G_0$  and  $y, z \in V$ .

Let  $x = \langle x_1 \dots x_n \rangle$ , where  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in V$ , and suppose that the above statement is valid for words of length less than  $n$ . Since  $c = [x, y]$  is the companion of the pseudoautomorphism  $R_{y,z}$  it follows by the induction hypothesis and (1) that

$$xR_{y,z} = (\bar{x}x_n)R_{y,z} = c'[(c\bar{x}R_{y,z}) \cdot x_n R_{y,z}] = c'(c\bar{x} \cdot x_n),$$

where  $\bar{x} = \langle x_1 \dots x_{n-1} \rangle$ . The element  $c = [x, y] \in U$ , and, consequently, there exists a  $c_0 \in V$  such that  $c = c_0^m$  for a certain natural number  $m$ . By the induction hypothesis, we have  $\bar{x}R_{c_0, x_n} = \bar{x}$ . By virtue of the Moufang theorem, the set  $\{\bar{x}, c_0, x_n\}$  generates a subgroup in  $G$  (see [6]). Finally, we get  $xR_{y,z} = c'(c\bar{x} \cdot x_n) = \bar{x}x_n = x$ .

Now let  $a, b \in G_0$  and  $x$  and  $y$  be arbitrary elements of  $V$  and set  $c = [y, x]$ . Then, by what we have proved

$$c \cdot ab = c \cdot (ab)R_{x,y} = (c \cdot aR_{x,y}) \cdot bR_{x,y} = ca \cdot b,$$

whence it follows that  $c \in N(G_0)$ , where  $N(G_0)$  is the associative center of the Moufang loop  $G_0$ .

Let us consider the decomposition  $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$  of the local Lie group  $V$  into a direct product of local normal divisors  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ , corresponding to the decomposition of the algebra  $A_0 = B \oplus C$ . Since  $B$  is a semisimple algebra, the inclusion  $[V, V] \subset N(G_0)$  implies that  $V_1 \subset N(G_0)$ . Let us denote the subloops of the Moufang loop  $G_0$  that are generated by the sets  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  by  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ , respectively. Then  $G_1 \subset N(G_0)$ . If  $\tilde{G}_2$  is a simple Lie group with tangent algebra  $C$ , then a local homomorphism  $\psi: \tilde{G}_2 \rightarrow G$  corresponds to the embedding  $\varphi: C \rightarrow A_0 = B \oplus C \subset A$ . Since the algebra  $C$  is solvable, it follows by [5] that  $\psi$  can be extended to a homomorphism  $\tilde{\psi}$  in the large. It is clear that  $\tilde{G}_2 \tilde{\psi} = G_2$ . Consequently,  $G_2$  is a group. It follows from the equation  $[V_1, V_2] = e$ , where  $e$  is the identity of the loop  $G$ , and the inclusion  $G_1 \subset N(G_0)$  that  $[G_1, G_2] = e$ . Hence  $G_0 = G_1 G_2$  is a group. It has already been observed that  $G_0$  is arcwise connected.

The lemma is proved.

We know [8] that only two nonisomorphic Cayley–Dickson algebras exist over the field  $\mathbb{R}$  of real numbers. These are the division algebra of Cayley numbers and the splittable Cayley–Dickson algebra. There exists only one (up to isomorphism) (splittable) Cayley–Dickson algebra over the field  $\mathbb{C}$  of complex numbers. Let  $F$  denote either  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $K$  be a Cayley–Dickson algebra over  $F$ . Then the commutator algebra  $K^{(-)}$  is decomposed into the direct sum of the one-dimensional center and a seven-dimensional simple Mal'tsev subalgebra:  $K^{(-)} = F \oplus V$ , where  $V = [K, K]$  is the linear space that is spanned by all the commutators of the algebra  $K$ . We define functions  $t: K \rightarrow F$  and  $n: K \rightarrow F$  on  $K$  such that for arbitrary  $x, y \in K$

$$x^2 = t(x)x - n(x), \quad n(xy) = n(x)n(y),$$

where the quadratic form  $n(x)$  on  $K$  is nondegenerate.

If  $x, y \in V$ , then we will denote their product in the Mal'tsev algebra  $x \star y$  by  $\vee$ . We know [2] that a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form  $(x, y)$  is defined on  $V$  such that for  $x, y, z \in V$

$$(x \star y) \star y = -(y, y)x + (x, y)y, \tag{2}$$

$$(xy, x) = (x, yx), \tag{3}$$

$$(xy, xy) = (x, x)(y, y) - (x, y)(y, x). \tag{4}$$

Moreover, for  $a = x_0 + x \in F \oplus V$  ( $x_0 \in F, x \in V$ ) we have

$$n(a) = x_0^2 + (x, x). \tag{5}$$

Let us set

$$G = \{x \in K \mid n(x) = 1\},$$

Then  $G$  forms an analytic Moufang loop with respect to the multiplication in the algebra  $K$  with the tangent algebra isomorphic to  $V$ ; moreover, for  $F = \mathbb{R}$  the space  $G$  is analytically isomorphic to  $S^7$  or  $S^3 \cdot \mathbb{R}^4$ , and for  $F = \mathbb{C}$  the algebra  $K$  may be considered as a 16-dimensional simple algebra over  $\mathbb{R}$ , and the space  $G$  is analytically isomorphic to  $S^7 \cdot \mathbb{R}^7$  (see [5]).

We denote the subalgebra of  $K$  over the field  $F$  that is generated by arbitrary elements  $a, b \in G$  by  $K(a, b)$ . Let us set  $G(a, b) = \{x \in K(a, b) \mid n(x) = 1\}$ . Since  $K(a, b)$  is an associative algebra, it follows that  $G(a, b)$  is a subgroup of the loop  $G$ ; moreover  $a, b \in G(a, b)$ . In the sequel we will be interested in the topological structure of the group  $G(a, b)$ . For brevity we set  $G_0 = G(a, b)$  and  $K_0 = K(a, b)$ .

We know that each subalgebra of a Cayley–Dickson algebra that is generated by two elements has dimension at most four. Consequently, the commutator algebra  $K_0^{(-)}$  is decomposed into the direct sum of the one-dimensional center and a Lie subalgebra:  $K_0^{(-)} = F \oplus \mathcal{Z}$ , where  $\dim_F \mathcal{Z} \leq 3$ . Let us analyze the cases  $\dim_F \mathcal{Z} = 0, 1, 2, 3$  one by one. The expression  $G_0 = \bar{G}_0 \cup (-1)\bar{G}_0$  means that  $G_0$  has two connected components  $\bar{G}_0$  and  $(-1)\bar{G}_0$ , where  $1 \in \bar{G}_0$  and, consequently,  $\bar{G}_0$  is a subgroup. The omitted computations can be easily restored:

a)  $\dim_F \mathcal{Z} = 0, G_0 = \{1, -1\};$

b)  $\dim_F \mathcal{Z} = 1, \mathcal{Z} = (e_1)_F, (e_1, e_1) = \alpha$ , and for  $x = x_0 + x_1 e_1 \in F \oplus \mathcal{Z}$ , by virtue of (5), we get  $n(x) = x_0^2 + \alpha x_1^2$ . The following cases are possible:

$F = \mathbb{R}$ :

1)  $\alpha = 1; G_0$  is homeomorphic to  $S^1$ ;

2)  $\alpha = 0, -1; G_0 = \bar{G}_0 \cup (-1)\bar{G}_0; \bar{G}_0$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}$ .

$F = \mathbb{C}$ :

3)  $\alpha = 0, G_0 = \bar{G}_0 \cup (-1)\bar{G}_0; \bar{G}_0$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ;

4)  $\alpha = 1; G_0$  is homeomorphic to  $S^1 \cdot \mathbb{R}$ .

c)  $\dim_F \mathcal{Z} = 2, \mathcal{Z} = (e_1, e_2)_F$ .

1)  $\mathcal{Z}$  is an Abelian Lie algebra, i.e.,  $e_1 \times e_2 = 0$ . Then, by virtue of (2), we get

$$0 = (e_1 \times e_2) \times e_2 = -(e_2, e_2)e_1 + (e_1, e_2)e_2,$$

whence  $(e_1, e_2) = (e_2, e_2) = 0$ , and analogously  $(e_1, e_1) = 0$ . Thus, by virtue of (5), the norm  $n(a) = x_0^2$  for each  $a = x_0 + x \in F \oplus \mathcal{Z}$ . Consequently,  $G_0 = \bar{G}_0 \cup (-1)\bar{G}_0$ , and if  $F = \mathbb{R}$ , then  $\bar{G}_0$  is homomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , and if  $F = \mathbb{C}$ , then  $\bar{G}_0$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^4$ .

2)  $\mathcal{Z}$  is a solvable non-Abelian Lie algebra. Then we can choose a basis such that  $e_1 \times e_2 = e_1$ . Using (2), we get

$$e_1 = (e_1 \times e_2) \times e_2 = -(e_2, e_2)e_1 + (e_1, e_2)e_2,$$

whence  $(e_2, e_2) = -1$  and  $(e_1, e_2) = 0$ . In the same manner, from the equation

$$0 = (e_2 \times e_1) \times e_1 = -(e_1, e_1)e_2 + (e_1, e_2)e_1$$

we get  $(e_1, e_1) = 0$ . Consequently, the norm  $n(x) = x_0^2 - x_2^2$  for  $x = x_0 + x_1 e_1 + x_2 e_2 \in F \oplus Z$ . If  $F = \mathcal{R}$ , then  $G_0 = \bar{G}_0 \cup (-1)\bar{G}_0$  and the space  $\bar{G}_0$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathcal{R}^2$ . But if  $F = \mathcal{C}$ , then the group  $G_0$  is connected and is homeomorphic to  $S^1 \times \mathcal{R}^3$ .

d)  $\dim_F Z = 3$ ,  $Z = (e_1, e_2, e_3)_F$ . Since the Lie algebra  $Z$  is generated by two elements, the basis  $e_1, e_2, e_3$  can be chosen in such a way that  $e_1 \times e_2 = e_3$ . Moreover, without loss of generality, we can assume that  $(e_1, e_2) = 0$ . Further, by virtue of (3), we have  $(e_1, e_3) = (e_2, e_3) = 0$ . If  $(e_1, e_1) = \alpha_1$  and  $(e_2, e_2) = \alpha_2$ , then by (4) we get  $(e_3, e_3) = \alpha_1 \alpha_2$ , and the norm  $n(x) = x_0^2 + \alpha_1 x_1^2 + \alpha_2 x_2^2 + \alpha_1 \alpha_2 x_3^2$  for  $x = x_0 + \sum_{i=1}^3 x_i e_i \in F \oplus Z$ . The following cases are possible:

$F = \mathcal{R}$ :

- 1)  $\alpha_1 = 0, \alpha_2 = 0, -1$ ;  $G_0 = \bar{G}_0 \cup (-1)\bar{G}_0$  and  $\bar{G}_0$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathcal{R}^3$ ;
- 2)  $\alpha_1 = 1, \alpha_2 = 0, -1$ ;  $G_0$  is homeomorphic to  $S^1 \times \mathcal{R}^2$ ;
- 3)  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 1$ ;  $G_0$  is homeomorphic to  $S^3$ .

$F = \mathcal{C}$ :

- 1)  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 0$ ;  $G_0 = \bar{G}_0 \cup (-1)\bar{G}_0$ ; and  $\bar{G}_0$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathcal{R}^6$ ;
- 2)  $\alpha_1 = 0, \alpha_2 = 1$ ;  $G_0$  is homeomorphic to  $S^1 \times \mathcal{R}^5$ ;
- 3)  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 1$ ;  $G_0$  is homeomorphic to  $S^3 \times \mathcal{R}^3$ .

In the case  $F = \mathcal{C}$  the topological structure of the group  $G_0$  is elucidated in the same manner as that of the whole group  $G$  in [5].

The following remarks will also be useful to us.

Remark 1. Let  $x \in G_0$  be such that  $x \neq \pm 1$ . Then either  $x$  or  $-x$  belongs to a one-parameter subgroup of  $G_0$ .

Indeed, if  $K_x$  is the subalgebra in  $K$  generated by the element  $x$ , then  $K_x \subset K_0$  and  $K_x^{(-)} = F \oplus Z_x$ , where  $\dim_F Z_x = 1$ . The subgroup  $G_x = \{a \in K_x \mid n(a) = 1\}$  of  $G_0$  is Abelian and contains  $x$ : Moreover, either  $G_x$  is connected or  $G_x = \bar{G}_x \cup (-1)\bar{G}_x$ , and the subgroup  $\bar{G}_x$  is connected. In the latter case either  $x$  or  $-x$  belongs to  $\bar{G}_x$ , and it is sufficient to use the following well-known proposition: Each element of a connected Abelian Lie group belongs to a one-parameter subgroup of this group.

Remark 2. Let  $G_0$  be one of the connected subgroups, enumerated in the paragraphs b)-d). Then the element  $1 \in G_0$  belongs to a certain one-parameter subgroup  $G_0$ .

Indeed, as usual, let  $K_0^{(-)} = F \oplus Z$ . From the classification given above it is obvious that  $G_0$  is connected if and only if there exists an  $x \in Z$  such that  $n(x) = 1$ . Considering the subalgebra  $K_x$ , generated by this element in the algebra  $K$ , and the subgroup  $G_x$  connected with it, we see that  $G_x$  is a connected Abelian group that contains  $-1$ . The proof is completed in the same way as in the preceding remark.

Remark 3. Let  $G_0$  be one of the connected, but not simply connected, subgroups, enumerated in the paragraphs b)-d). The space  $G_0$  has the form  $S^1 \times R^\kappa$ ,  $\kappa=0,1,2,3,5$ , and contains a one-parameter subgroup  $G_0^* = S^1 \times O$ , where  $O$  is the origin of coordinates in the Euclidean space  $R^\kappa$ . Then there exists a subgroup  $\widehat{G}_0$  of the loop  $G$  such that the space  $\widehat{G}_0$  is homeomorphic to the sphere  $S^3$  and  $G_0^* = \widehat{G}_0$ .

Indeed, it is easily seen that  $G_0^*$  is contained in a subalgebra  $K_0^*$  of the form  $(1, \ell)_R$ , where  $(\ell, \ell) = 1$ . The subalgebra  $K_0^*$  can be embedded in the subfield of quaternions  $\widehat{K}_0$  of the algebra  $K$ . We take the subgroup  $\widehat{G}_0 = \{x \in \widehat{K}_0 \mid \kappa(x) = 1\}$  as the desired subgroup.

Remark 4.  $G$  contains an element  $b$  such that the subgroup  $a \in G$  is connected for each  $G(a, b)$ .

It suffices to take an element  $b \in V$  such that  $(b, b) = 1$ .

Let us now consider the problem of extension of local homomorphisms of simply connected Moufang loops, solved earlier for loops with a solvable tangent Mal'tsev algebra, in another important particular case, where the tangent algebra is semisimple.

Let  $A$  be a semisimple Mal'tsev algebra over  $R$ . Then  $A = A_0 \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in I} A_\alpha$ , where  $A_0$  is a semisimple Lie subalgebra and  $A_\alpha$  are non-Lie simple Mal'tsev algebras. The analytic Moufang loop

$$G = G_0 \times \prod_{\alpha \in I} G_\alpha, \quad (6)$$

where  $G_0$  is a simply connected Lie group with the tangent algebra  $A_0$  and  $G_\alpha = \{a \in K_\alpha \mid \kappa(a) = 1\}$ ,  $K_\alpha$  being a Cayley-Dickson algebra over  $F = R$  or  $C$  such that  $K_\alpha^{(-)} = F \oplus A_\alpha$ , has a tangent algebra that is isomorphic to  $A$ . If  $a \in G$ , then we will denote the projection of  $a$  in  $a_\alpha$  by  $G_\alpha, a_\alpha \in G_\alpha$ . Let  $a, b \in G$ ,  $K_\alpha(a, b)$  be the subalgebra of  $K_\alpha$  that is generated by the elements  $a_\alpha$  and  $b_\alpha$ ;  $G_\alpha(a, b) = \{x \in K_\alpha(a, b) \mid \kappa(x) = 1\}$ , and  $\overline{G}_\alpha(a, b)$  be the connected component of the group  $G_\alpha(a, b)$  that contains the identity, and  $\overline{G}(a, b) = G_0 \times \prod_{\alpha \in I} \overline{G}_\alpha(a, b)$ .

If  $G'$  is a connected analytic Moufang Loop and  $\varphi$  is a local homomorphism of Loop  $G$  into  $G'$ , then the following lemma is valid.

LEMMA 2. Let  $a$ , and  $b$  be arbitrary elements of  $G$  and let  $\varphi_{a,b}$  be the restriction of the local homomorphism  $\varphi$  to the subgroup  $\overline{G}(a, b)$ . Then  $\varphi_{a,b}$  can be uniquely extended to a homomorphism  $\tilde{\varphi}_{a,b}$  of the group  $\overline{G}(a, b)$  into the loop  $G'$ .

Proof. Since the tangent algebra of the Lie group  $\overline{G}_\alpha(a, b)$  is either simple or is a solvable Lie algebra, it follows that the tangent algebra  $A(a, b)$  of the group  $\overline{G}(a, b)$  has the form  $A(a, b) = B \oplus C$ , where  $B$  is a semisimple and  $C$  is a solvable Lie algebra. Let us consider the homomorphism  $\tilde{\varphi}$  of the algebra  $A(a, b)$  into the tangent Mal'tsev algebra  $A'$  of the loop  $G'$  that is induced by the local homomorphism  $\varphi_{a,b}$ . If  $A'(a, b) = [A(a, b)] \tilde{\varphi}$ , then  $A'(a, b) = B' \oplus C'$ , where  $B' = B \tilde{\varphi}$  is a semisimple and  $C' = C \tilde{\varphi}$  is a solvable Lie algebra.

Let  $\bar{G}'(a, b)$  be the subloop of the loop  $G'$  that corresponds to the subalgebra  $A'(a, b)$ . By Lemma 1,  $\bar{G}'(a, b)$  is an arcwise connected subgroup. It is obvious that  $\varphi_{a, b}$  is a local homomorphism of  $\bar{G}(a, b)$  onto  $\bar{G}'(a, b)$ .

For its extension to  $\bar{G}(a, b)$  we use a modification of the method set forth in [7]. Let  $x \in \bar{G}(a, b)$  and let  $f(t)$  be a path in  $\bar{G}(a, b)$  such that  $f(0) = e$ , where  $e$  is the identity of the loop  $G$ , and  $f(1) = x$ . As shown in [7], to the path  $f(t)$  corresponds a path  $f'(t)$  in  $\bar{G}'(a, b)$  that satisfies the following conditions:

$\alpha$ )  $f'(0) = e'$ , where  $e'$  is the identity of the group  $\bar{G}'(a, b)$ .

$\beta$ ) If  $U$  is that neighborhood of the identity of the Loop  $G$  in which the local homomorphism  $\varphi$  is defined, then there exists an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$f(t_1)^{-1} f(t_2) \in U \quad \text{and} \quad f'(t_1)^{-1} f'(t_2) = \varphi(f(t_1)^{-1} f(t_2)).$$

for  $|t_1 - t_2| \leq \varepsilon$ . Path  $f'(t)$  is determined uniquely by these conditions.

Let us set  $x\tilde{\varphi}_{a, b} = f'(1)$  and show that the so-defined element  $x\tilde{\varphi}_{a, b} \in \bar{G}'(a, b)$  does not depend on the choice of the path  $f(t)$  that joins the elements  $e$  and  $x$  (notwithstanding the possibility that  $\bar{G}(a, b)$  may not be arcwise connected). The idea of the proof consists in the replacement of the path  $f(t)$  by a path that is homotopic to it and passes through certain simply connected subspaces, lying in subgroups. We need the following lemma.

LEMMA 3. Let  $f$  be a path in a direct product of topological spaces  $X \times Y$  such that  $f(0) = (x_0, y_0)$  and  $f(1) = (x_1, y_1)$ . Then  $f$  is homotopic to the product of paths  $h \cdot k$  ( $f \sim h \cdot k$ ), where  $h$  is a path in the subspace  $(X, y_0)$  that joins the points  $(x_0, y_0)$  and  $(x_1, y_0)$  and  $k$  is a path in the subspace  $(x_1, Y)$  that joins the points  $(x_1, y_0)$  and  $(x_1, y_1)$ .

Proof. Let us represent the path  $f$  in the form of a pair  $[f_1, f_2]$  and set for  $0 \leq s \leq 1$

$$f^s(t) = \begin{cases} [f_1((1+s)t), f_2((1-s)t)], & 0 \leq t \leq t_s = \frac{1}{1+s}; \\ [f_1(1), f_2((1+s)t - 2st_s)], & t_s \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

It is obvious that  $f^0 = f$ ,  $f^1 = h \cdot k$  and  $f^s$  is a homotopy that connects these two mappings.

Let us return to the proof of Lemma 2. Let  $g(t)$  be another path in  $\bar{G}(a, b)$  such that  $g(0) = e$  and  $g(1) = x$ , and let  $g'(t)$  be the path in  $\bar{G}'(a, b)$  that corresponds to it. We show that  $f'(1) = g'(1)$ . For this let us consider the set  $I_1 = \{\alpha \in I \mid \bar{G}_\alpha(a, b) \text{ is a subgroup in } \bar{G}_\alpha\}$  that is not simply connected. If  $\alpha \in I_1$ , then the space  $\bar{G}_\alpha(a, b)$  can be represented in the form  $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^\kappa$ ,  $\kappa = 0, 1, 2, 3, 5$ . In addition, the path  $f_\alpha(t)$  has the origin at the point  $f_\alpha(0) = 1 \in S^1 \times \mathbf{0} = \bar{G}_\alpha^*(a, b)$  and the end at the point  $f_\alpha(1) = x_\alpha = (x_\alpha^{(1)}, x_\alpha^{(2)})$ . By Lemma 3 the path  $f_\alpha(t)$  is homotopic in  $\bar{G}_\alpha(a, b)$  to the product  $h_\alpha \cdot k_\alpha$ , where

$$h_\alpha(t) \in \bar{G}_\alpha^*(a, b), h_\alpha(1) = (x_\alpha^{(1)}, \mathbf{0}), k_\alpha(t) \in (x_\alpha^{(1)}, \mathbb{R}^\kappa)$$

for  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ . If  $\alpha \notin I_1$ , then we set  $h_\alpha(t) = f_\alpha(t)$  and  $k_\alpha(t) = f_\alpha(1)$  for  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ . Then  $f(t)$  is homotopic in  $\bar{G}(a, b)$  to the product  $h \cdot k$ , where  $h(t)$  and  $k(t)$  are paths in  $\bar{G}(a, b)$  whose

projections on  $G_\alpha$  are equal to  $h_\alpha(t)$  and  $k_\alpha(t)$ , respectively,  $\alpha \in I \cup \{0\}$ . Under a continuous deformation of the path  $f(t)$  in  $\bar{G}(a,b)$  without change of the end points, the image  $f'(t)$  will also be continuously deformed in  $\bar{G}'(a,b)$  without change of the end point [7]. Therefore the end points of the path  $f'$  and  $(h \cdot k)'$  coincide. The path  $(h \cdot k)'$  is the product of paths  $h' \cdot k'$ , where  $h'$  is the path in  $\bar{G}'(a,b)$  that corresponds to the path  $h$  and  $k'$ , by construction, depends only on the element  $k'(0) = h'(1)$  and the path  $k$ . Analogously, the path  $g(t)$  is homotopic in  $\bar{G}(a,b)$  to a product  $m \cdot n$ , where  $m_\alpha(t) \in G_\alpha^*(a,b)$  and  $n_\alpha(t) \in (x_\alpha^{(1)}, \mathbb{R}^k)$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ , for  $\alpha \in I$ , and  $h(1) = m(1)$  and  $g' \sim m' \cdot n'$ . Now, to prove the equality  $f'(1) = g'(1)$  it is sufficient to show that  $k'(1) = n'(1)$ .

As a preliminary we prove that  $h'(1) = m'(1)$ . For this, using Remark 3, we embed the subspaces  $G_\alpha^*(a,b)$  in simply connected subgroups  $\hat{G}_\alpha(a,b)$  of the loop  $G_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in I$ . For  $\alpha \neq \bar{1}$ , we set  $\hat{G}_\alpha(a,b) = \bar{G}_\alpha(a,b)$  and consider the subgroup

$$\hat{G}(a,b) = G_0 \times \prod_{\alpha \in I} \hat{G}_\alpha(a,b).$$

As in the subgroup  $\bar{G}(a,b)$ , in the loop  $G'$  there corresponds to it an arcwise connected subgroup  $\hat{G}'(a,b)$  such that the restriction of the local homomorphism  $\psi$  to  $\hat{G}(a,b)$  is a local homomorphism of this subgroup onto  $\hat{G}'(a,b)$ . The paths  $h(t)$  and  $m(t)$  lie in  $\hat{G}(a,b)$ . Defining the corresponds paths in  $\hat{G}'(a,b)$  that satisfy the conditions  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , we obviously get the already considered paths  $h'(t)$  and  $m'(t)$ . The group  $\hat{G}(a,b)$  is, by construction, simply connected. Consequently,  $h \sim m$  in  $\hat{G}(a,b)$ ; whence  $h' \sim m'$  in  $\hat{G}'(a,b)$ . In particular  $h'(1) = m'(1)$ .

To complete the proof of the lemma, it is now sufficient to observe that the paths  $k(t)$  and  $n(t)$  lie in a simply connected subspace of the space of the group  $\bar{G}(a,b)$ . Since these paths have a common origin and a common end, it follows that  $k \sim n$  in  $\bar{G}(a,b)$ . Moreover, by what we have already proved,  $k'(0) = h'(1) = m'(1) = n'(0)$ ; whence  $k'(1) = n'(1)$ .

Thus, we have shown that the element  $x\tilde{\varphi}_{a,b} = f'(1)$  does not depend on the choice of the path  $f$  in the group  $\bar{G}(a,b)$  that satisfies the conditions  $f(0) = e$  and  $f(1) = x$ . It is proved, verbatim as in [7], that the so-defined mapping  $\tilde{\varphi}_{a,b}: \bar{G}(a,b) \rightarrow \bar{G}'(a,b)$  is a homomorphism that extends the local homomorphism  $\varphi_{a,b}$  and also that it is unique.

This is what was required to be proved.

We pass to the construction of the homomorphism  $\tilde{\varphi}$  of the loop  $G$  into  $G'$  that extends the local homomorphism  $\varphi$ . Let us consider the set

$$E = \{x \in e_0 \times \prod_{\alpha \in I} G_\alpha \mid x_\alpha = \pm 1, \alpha \in I\},$$

where  $e_0$  is the identity of the group  $G_0$ . For  $x \in E$  we find  $a, b \in G$  such that  $x \in \bar{G}(a,b)$ . Let us set  $x\tilde{\varphi} = x\tilde{\varphi}_{a,b}$  and show that the so-defined element  $x\tilde{\varphi}$  does not depend on the choice of  $a, b \in G$ . Indeed, let  $c, d \in G$  be such that  $x \in \bar{G}(c,d)$ . If  $\alpha \in I$  for a certain  $x_\alpha = -1$ , then  $\bar{G}_\alpha(a,b)$  contains  $-1$ , and therefore the group  $G_\alpha(a,b)$  is connected. By Remark 2 the element  $x$  belongs to a certain one-parameter subgroup  $h(t)$  of the group  $\bar{G}(a,b)$ . Analogously  $x \in g(t)$ , where  $g(t)$  is a one-parameter subgroup of the group



$\bar{G}(c,d)$  . It is obvious from the proof of Remark 2 that the subgroups  $h_\alpha(t)$  and  $g_\alpha(t)$  are contained in two-dimensional subalgebras of the algebra  $K_\alpha$  . Consequently, there exist  $u, v \in \mathcal{G}$  such that  $h(t), g(t) \subset \bar{G}(u,v)$  . If  $U$  is a neighborhood of the identity of the loop  $G$  in which the local homomorphism  $\varphi$  is defined and  $y$  and  $z$  are elements of  $U$  such that  $y = h(c_1)$  and  $z = g(c_2)$  and  $x = y^m = z^n$  for certain natural numbers  $m$  and  $n$ , then  $x\tilde{\varphi}_{a,b} = (y\varphi)^m = x\tilde{\varphi}_{u,v} = (z\varphi)^n = x\tilde{\varphi}_{c,d}$  .

Let  $a, b, c$ , and  $d$  be arbitrary elements of  $G$  and let  $u \in \bar{G}(a,b) \cap \bar{G}(c,d)$  . Then  $u\tilde{\varphi}_{a,b} = u\tilde{\varphi}_{c,d}$  . Indeed,  $u = u_0\bar{u}$  , where  $u_0 \in \mathcal{G}_0$  and  $\bar{u} \in e_0 * \prod_{\alpha \in I} \mathcal{G}_\alpha$  . By Remarks 1 and 2 there exists an  $x \in E \cap \bar{G}(a,b) \cap \bar{G}(c,d)$  such that  $x\bar{u}$  belongs to the one-parameter subgroups  $h(t)$  and  $g(t)$  of the groups  $\bar{G}(a,b)$  and  $\bar{G}(c,d)$  , respectively. As in the preceding case let us consider the subgroup  $\bar{G}(p,s)$  that contains the subgroups  $h(t)$  and  $g(t)$ . Then we get

$$(x\bar{u})\tilde{\varphi}_{a,b} = (x\bar{u})\tilde{\varphi}_{p,s} = (x\bar{u})\tilde{\varphi}_{c,d},$$

whence  $\bar{u}\tilde{\varphi}_{a,b} = (xx\bar{u})\tilde{\varphi}_{a,b} = x\tilde{\varphi} \cdot (x\bar{u})\tilde{\varphi}_{a,b} = x\tilde{\varphi} \cdot (x\bar{u})\tilde{\varphi}_{c,d} = (xx\bar{u})\tilde{\varphi}_{c,d} = \bar{u}\tilde{\varphi}_{c,d}$  . It is obvious that the mappings  $\tilde{\varphi}_{a,b}$  and  $\tilde{\varphi}_{c,d}$  coincide on  $\mathcal{G}_0$  , so that

$$u\tilde{\varphi}_{a,b} = u_0\tilde{\varphi}_{a,b} \cdot \bar{u}\tilde{\varphi}_{a,b} = u_0\tilde{\varphi}_{c,d} \cdot \bar{u}\tilde{\varphi}_{c,d} = u\tilde{\varphi}_{c,d}.$$

It is now natural to set  $u \in \bar{G}(a,b)$  for an arbitrary  $u\tilde{\varphi} = u\tilde{\varphi}_{a,b}$ . By what we have proved above, this definition does not depend on the choice of suitable  $a, b \in \mathcal{G}$  . By virtue of Remark 4, there exists an element  $\beta \in \mathcal{G}$  such that the group  $a \in \mathcal{G}$  is connected for each  $\mathcal{G}(a,b)$ . Consequently,  $a \in \mathcal{G}(a,b) = \bar{G}(a,b)$ , and the mapping  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is defined on the whole space  $G$ . It remains to prove that  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is a homomorphism.

LEMMA 4. For arbitrary  $x \in E$  and  $y \in \mathcal{G}$

$$(xy)\tilde{\varphi} = x\tilde{\varphi} \cdot y\tilde{\varphi} = y\tilde{\varphi} \cdot x\tilde{\varphi}.$$

Proof. By Remark 4 there exists  $z \in \mathcal{G}$  such that  $\mathcal{G}_\alpha(y,z)$  is connected for each  $\alpha \in I$  . Then  $\mathcal{G}(y,z) = \bar{G}(y,z)$ ,  $E \subset \mathcal{G}(y,z)$  and the statement of the lemma follows from the equation  $xy = yx$  and the fact that the mapping  $\tilde{\varphi}$  coincides with the homomorphism  $\tilde{\varphi}_{y,z}$  on  $\mathcal{G}(y,z)$  .

The lemma is proved.

LEMMA 5. Let  $a$  and  $b$  be arbitrary elements of  $G$ . Then the mapping  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is a homomorphism on  $\mathcal{G}(a,b)$  .

Proof. Each element  $u \in \mathcal{G}(a,b)$  can be represented in the form  $u = xu_0$  , where  $x \in E$  and  $u_0 \in \bar{G}(a,b)$  . Moreover,  $E \subset \mathcal{G}(a,b)$  , so that  $\mathcal{G}(a,b) = E \cdot \bar{G}(a,b)$  . The mapping  $\bar{G}(a,b)$  acts as a homomorphism on the group  $\tilde{\varphi} = \tilde{\varphi}_{a,b}$  . Let  $x$  be an arbitrary element of  $E$  and  $u_0$  and  $\sigma_0$  be arbitrary elements of  $\bar{G}(a,b)$  . Let us consider the pseudoautomorphism  $T_{x\tilde{\varphi}}$  of the Loop  $G'$  with the companion  $(x\tilde{\varphi})^3 = x^3\tilde{\varphi} = x\tilde{\varphi}$  . Setting, for brevity,  $x\tilde{\varphi} = x'$  for  $x \in \mathcal{G}(a,b)$  and using Lemma 4, we get

$$x' \cdot u'_0 \sigma'_0 = x' \cdot (u_0 \sigma_0)' = x' \cdot (u_0 \sigma_0)' T_{x'} = (x' \cdot u'_0 T_{x'}) \cdot \sigma'_0 T_{x'} = x' \cdot u'_0 \cdot \sigma'_0, \quad (7)$$

i.e., the triple  $x', u'_0, \sigma'_0$  is associative. If  $y \in E$  , then

$$(u_0 \cdot y \sigma_0)' = (y \cdot u_0 \sigma_0)' = y' \cdot u_0' \sigma_0' = u_0' \cdot y' \sigma_0' = u_0' \cdot (y \sigma_0)' \quad (8)$$

Here we have again used Lemma 4 and Eq. (7). Let  $u$  and  $v$  be arbitrary elements of  $G(a, b)$  such that  $u = x u_0$  and  $v = y \sigma_0$ , where  $x, y \in E$ , and  $u_0, \sigma_0 \in \bar{G}(a, b)$ . Then, substituting  $y \sigma_0$  for  $\sigma_0$  in (7), which is possibly by virtue of (8), we get

$$(uv)' = x' \cdot (u_0 \cdot y \sigma_0)' = x' u_0' \cdot (y \sigma_0)' = (x u_0)' (y \sigma_0)' = u' v'$$

The lemma is proved.

The following lemma is now easily obtained.

LEMMA 6. Let  $G$  be a semisimple analytic Moufang loop of form (6),  $G'$  be a connected analytic Moufang loop, and  $\Psi$  be a local homomorphism of  $G$  into  $G'$ . Then  $\Psi$  can be uniquely extended to a homomorphism  $\tilde{\Psi}$  of the loop  $G$  into  $G'$ .

Indeed, the above-constructed mapping  $\tilde{\Psi}$  extends the local homomorphism  $\Psi$ . If  $a, b \in G$ , then  $a, b \in G(a, b)$  and, by Lemma 5, we have  $(ab)\tilde{\Psi} = a\tilde{\Psi} \cdot b\tilde{\Psi}$ . The uniqueness of the homomorphism  $\tilde{\Psi}$  is obvious. The lemma is proved.

We now formulate the main theorem.

THEOREM 1. Let  $G$  and  $G'$  be connected analytic Moufang loops,  $G$  be simply connected, and  $\Psi$  be a local homomorphism of the loop  $G$  into  $G'$ . Then  $\Psi$  can be uniquely extended to a homomorphism  $\tilde{\Psi}$  of the loop  $G$  into  $G'$  into the large. If  $\Psi$  is a local isomorphism and the loop  $G'$  is simply connected, then  $\tilde{\Psi}$  is an isomorphism of the loop  $G$  onto  $G'$ .

Proof. We start the proof with the last statement. In this case, without loss of generality we can consider the simply connected analytic Moufang loop, constructed in [5], as  $G$ . Then  $G = P \cdot N$ , where  $P$  is a semisimple subloop of the form (6),  $N$  is a simply connected solvable normal divisor of the loop  $G$ . Let  $\Psi_P$  and  $\Psi_N$  denote the restrictions of the local homomorphism  $\Psi$  to  $P$  and  $N$ , respectively. By Lemma 6,  $\Psi_P$  can be extended to a homomorphism  $\tilde{\Psi}_P$  of the loop  $P$  into  $G'$ . In its turn,  $\Psi_N$  can also be extended to a homomorphism  $\tilde{\Psi}_N$  of the loop  $N$  into  $G'$  by virtue of [5]. If  $u$  is an arbitrary element of  $G$  and  $u = p a$ , where  $p \in P, a \in N$ , then we set

$$u \tilde{\Psi} = p \tilde{\Psi}_P \cdot a \tilde{\Psi}_N \quad (9)$$

It is easily seen that  $\tilde{\Psi}$  is a properly defined mapping of the loop  $G$  into  $G'$  that extends the local homomorphism  $\Psi$ . We prove that  $\tilde{\Psi}$  is a homomorphism of the loop  $G$  into  $G'$ . For this let us consider an infinitesimally generated element  $x \in P$  and an arbitrary  $a \in N$ . As in [5], we can show that

$$a T_x \tilde{\Psi} = a \tilde{\Psi} T_x \tilde{\Psi} \quad (10)$$

If  $p$  and  $s$  are arbitrary elements of  $p = \langle p_1, \dots, p_n \rangle$ , and  $s = \langle s_1, \dots, s_m \rangle$ , where  $p_1, \dots, p_n, s_1, \dots, s_m$  are infinitesimally generated elements of the loop  $P$ , and  $a, b \in N$ , then by [5]

$$p a \cdot s b = p s \cdot (a W_1 \cdot b W_2) W, \quad (11)$$

where  $W, W_1$ , and  $W_2$  are T-words  $p_1, \dots, p_n, s_1, \dots, s_m$ . An analogous equality holds  $G'$  also for arbitrary  $a', b'$  and  $\rho' = \langle p'_1, \dots, p'_n \rangle, s' = \langle s'_1, \dots, s'_m \rangle$  if  $p'_i$  and  $s'_j$  are infinitesimally generated elements of the loop  $G'$ . Using (9)-(11), we get

$$(pa \cdot sb) \tilde{\varphi} = (\rho s) \tilde{\varphi} \cdot (a W_1 \cdot b W_2) W \tilde{\varphi} = (\rho \tilde{\varphi} \cdot s \tilde{\varphi}) \cdot (a \tilde{\varphi} W'_1 \cdot b \tilde{\varphi} W'_2) W' = (\rho \tilde{\varphi} \cdot a \tilde{\varphi} \times s \tilde{\varphi} \cdot b \tilde{\varphi}) = (pa) \tilde{\varphi} \cdot (sb) \tilde{\varphi},$$

where  $W', W'_1$ , and  $W'_2$  are T-words of the loop  $G'$  that are obtained from  $W, W_1$ , and  $W_2$  by replacing the elements  $p_1, \dots, p_n, s_1, \dots, s_m$  by  $p_1 \tilde{\varphi}, \dots, p_n \tilde{\varphi}, s_1 \tilde{\varphi}, \dots, s_m \tilde{\varphi}$ , respectively. Here the homomorphicity of the mapping  $\tilde{\varphi}$  on  $P$  and  $N$  has also been used.

Thus,  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is a homomorphism of  $G$  into  $G'$  that generates the local homomorphism  $\varphi$ . Since  $\varphi$  is a local isomorphism and  $G'$  is generated by each of its neighborhoods of the identity, it follows that  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is a covering of  $G$  onto  $G'$ . Since  $G'$  is simply connected, it now follows that  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is an isomorphism of the loop  $G$  onto the loop  $G'$ , which was required to be proved.

Let us consider the general case of a local homomorphism  $\varphi$  of a simply connected loop  $G$  into a connected analytic Moufang loop  $G'$ . By virtue of what we have proved above, we can consider the simply connected analytic Moufang loop, constructed in [5], as  $G$ , and the extending homomorphism  $\tilde{\varphi}$  can be defined by Eq. (9).

The theorem is proved.

2. We formulate some consequences of Theorem 1.

THEOREM 2. Let  $G$  be a connected analytic Moufang loop,  $A$  be the tangent Mal'tsev algebra of the loop  $G$ , and  $A_0$  be a Lie subalgebra of  $A$ . Then the loop  $G_0$ , corresponding to the subalgebra  $A_0$ , is a Lie group. In particular, if  $A$  is a Lie algebra, then  $G$  is a Lie group.

Proof. Let us consider the simply connected Lie group  $\tilde{G}_0$  with the tangent algebra  $A_0$ . The local homomorphism  $\varphi: \tilde{G}_0 \rightarrow G$ , induced by the inclusion  $A_0 \subset A$ , can be extended to a homomorphism in the large by Theorem 1. The image of the Lie group  $\tilde{G}_0$  under this homomorphism is the subloop  $G_0$ ; whence the statement of the theorem follows.

Remark. It should be observed that the subgroup  $G_0$ , corresponding to the subalgebra  $A_0$ , is understood in the sense of the definition given at the beginning of this article, i.e., as a group equipped with the intrinsic topology. But if  $G_0$  is equipped with the subspace topology of the space  $G$ , then  $G_0$  may turn out to a nonclosed subspace and may not be a Lie group.

The following theorem gives a classification of the connected analytic Moufang loops that are locally isomorphic to a given loop.

THEOREM 3. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the class of all connected analytic Moufang loops that are locally isomorphic to a given loop. Then the class  $\mathcal{A}$  contains a unique (up to isomorphism) simply connected loop  $\tilde{G}$ . An arbitrary loop  $G$  from the class  $\mathcal{A}$  is a homomorphic image of the loop  $\tilde{G}$  such that the kernel of the covering homomorphism  $\varphi: \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$  is a discrete central

normal subgroup of the loop  $\tilde{G}$  .

This theorem follows easily from [3, 5] and Theorem 1.

In conclusion, we formulate two theorems that are analogs of theorems of Pontryagin [7] and Mal'tsev [4] and characterize normal subloops of simply connected analytic Moufang loops.

THEOREM 4. Let  $G$  be a simply connected analytic Moufang loop and  $N'$  be a local normal subloop of it. Then a certain neighborhood of the identity of the loop  $N'$  can be embedded as a neighborhood of the identity in a normal subgroup  $N$  of the loop  $G$  in the large.

THEOREM 5. Every connected normal subloop  $N$  of a simply connected analytic Moufang loop  $G$  is simply connected.

With regard for [5] and Theorem 1, the proof of Theorem 4 is carried out verbatim as in [7]. To prove Theorem 5 we can use the plan of arguments from [4], applying, where necessary, the results of [5] and Theorem 1.

The author is deeply grateful to E. N. Kuz'min, under whose guidance this article has been written.

#### LITERATURE CITED

1. E. N. Kuzmin, "On the connection between Mal'tsev algebras and analytic Moufang loops," Algebra Logika, 10, No. 1, 3-22 (1971).
2. E. N. Kuz'min, "On a class of anticommutative algebras," Algebra Logika, 6, No. 4, 31-50 (1967).
3. A. I. Mal'tsev, "On general theory of algebraic systems," Mat. Sb., 35, No. 1, 3-20 (1954).
4. A. I. Mal'tsev, "On simply connectedness of normal divisors of Lie groups," Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 34, No. 1, 12-15 (1942).
5. F. S. Kerdman, "Analytic Moufang loops in the large," Algebra Logika, 18, No. 5, 523-555 (1979).
6. V. D. Belousov, Fundamentals of the Theory of Quasigroups and Loops [in Russian], Nauka, Moscow (1967).
7. L. S. Pontryagin, Continuous Groups [in Russian], Nauka, Moscow (1973).
8. K. A. Zhevlakov, A. M. Slin'ko, I. P. Shestakov, and A. I. Shirshov, Near-Associative Rings [in Russian], Nauka, Moscow (1978).