

Ribbon Concordance of Knots in the 3-Sphere

C. McA. Gordon*

Department of Mathematics, University of Texas, Austin, TX 78712, USA

1. Introduction

Given a (smooth) concordance $C \subset S^3 \times I$ between knots $K_i \subset S^3 \times \{i\}$, $i=0, 1$, C may be adjusted by a small isotopy so that the restriction to C of the projection $S^3 \times I \rightarrow I$ is a Morse function. This will typically have critical points of index 0 (local minima), 1 (saddle-points), and 2 (local maxima). If there are no local maxima, we say that C is a *ribbon concordance from K_1 to K_0* . The terminology is suggested by the fact that K is a ribbon knot [6, p. 172] if and only if there is a ribbon concordance from K to the unknot.

Write $K_1 \geq K_0$ if there exists a ribbon concordance from K_1 to K_0 . The relation \geq is clearly reflexive and transitive, and we conjecture that it is also anti-symmetric, that is, $K_1 \geq K_0$ and $K_0 \geq K_1$ implies $K_0 = K_1$. [Knots are always oriented, and $K_0 = K_1$ means that there exists an orientation-preserving diffeomorphism of pairs $(S^3, K_0) \rightarrow (S^3, K_1)$.] In other words

Conjecture 1.1. \geq is a partial ordering on the set of knots in S^3 .

This would actually give a partial ordering on the semigroup of knots under connected sum (+), since clearly $K_1 \geq K_0$ implies $K_1 + K \geq K_0 + K$ for any K .

Let G be a group. Recall that the lower central series of G is defined as follows: $G_0 = G$, $G_{\alpha+1} = [G, G_\alpha]$, and $G_\beta = \bigcap_{\alpha < \beta} G_\alpha$ if β is a limit ordinal. We say that G is *transfinitely nilpotent* if $G_\alpha = 1$ for some α , and that a knot K is *transfinitely nilpotent* if the commutator subgroup $[\pi(K), \pi(K)]$ is, where $\pi(K)$ is the group of K . Examples of such K are those in the class generated by fibred knots and 2-bridge knots under the operations of connected sum and cabling (see Corollary 5.4).

As evidence for the conjecture just stated, we have

Theorem 1.2. *If $K_1 \geq K_0$ and $K_0 \geq K_1$, and K_1 (say) is transfinitely nilpotent, then $K_0 = K_1$.*

* Partially supported by NSF Grant MCS 78-02995 and an Alfred P. Sloan Research Fellowship

Let \tilde{X} be the infinite cyclic covering of the exterior of a knot K , and τ the automorphism of $H^1(\tilde{X}; \mathbb{Q})$ induced by the canonical generator of the group of covering transformations. We say that K is \mathbb{Q} -anisotropic if $H^1(\tilde{X}; \mathbb{Q})$ contains no non-trivial τ -invariant subspace which is self-annihilating with respect to the non-singular skew-symmetric duality pairing $H^1(\tilde{X}; \mathbb{Q}) \times H^1(\tilde{X}; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ [15].

The next theorem gives a sufficient condition for a knot to be minimal with respect to \geq . We remark that the class of knots which satisfy its hypotheses includes all connected sums of coherently oriented torus knots (see Proposition 4.9).

Theorem 1.3. *Let K_1 be \mathbb{Q} -anisotropic and transfinitely nilpotent. Then $K_1 \geq K_0$ implies $K_0 = K_1$.*

Finally, we have

Theorem 1.4. *Let K be transfinitely nilpotent, and let C be a ribbon concordance from K to K . Then the exterior of C is a relative s -cobordism between the two copies of the exterior of K .*

Thus, modulo the 4-dimensional relative s -cobordism theorem, $(S^3 \times I, C) \cong (S^3, K) \times I$.

Combining Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 gives the following, which suggests that the only ribbon concordance from a knot which is \mathbb{Q} -anisotropic and transfinitely nilpotent is the product concordance.

Corollary 1.5. *Let K_1 be \mathbb{Q} -anisotropic and transfinitely nilpotent, and let C be a ribbon concordance from K_1 to K_0 . Then $K_0 = K_1$, and the exterior of C is a relative s -cobordism between the two copies of the exterior of K_1 .*

Theorems 1.2–1.4 are proved in Sect. 3. Our whole approach is based on Stallings' results on homology and central series of groups [20]. We also need the fact, recently proved by Thurston (unpublished), that knot groups are residually finite, which we use in conjunction with a result of Gerstenhaber and Rothaus [7]. Anisotropy of the duality pairing is used in the same way as in Scharlemann's study [19] of concordances of torus knots.

In Sect. 4 we discuss the question of anisotropy, over \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{Q} , relating it to the standard knot invariants. For example, \mathbb{R} -anisotropy can sometimes be detected by the Alexander polynomial (Corollary 4.7). Applied to torus knots, this strengthens and simplifies the results of [19, Sect. 2]. We also show that any connected sum of coherently oriented torus knots is \mathbb{Q} -anisotropic. With algebraic knots in mind, we consider the question of anisotropy for iterated torus knots. In particular, using results of Litherland [10], we show that most 2-stage iterated torus knots are \mathbb{Q} -anisotropic (Proposition 4.10). However, there do exist (other) 2-stage iterated torus knots K , indeed algebraic knots, such that at least $4K$ is \mathbb{Q} -isotropic. This may be contrasted with Rudolph's result [18] that non-trivial positive braids have positive signature.

Section 5 contains some remarks on transfinite nilpotency, and in Sect. 6 we close with some questions.

2. Terminology

We work in the smooth category.

Homology will be with integer coefficients unless otherwise specified.

Unlabelled maps are induced by inclusion.

$\langle \rangle$ denotes the normal closure of a set of elements in a group.

If X is a homology circle, that is, $H_*(X) \cong H_*(S^1)$, \tilde{X} will denote the (unique) infinite cyclic covering of X .

Note that $\pi_1(\tilde{X})$ is naturally identified, via the covering projection, with the commutator subgroup of $\pi_1(X)$. Hence if $X \subset Y$ are homology circles such that $H_1(X) \rightarrow H_1(Y)$ is an isomorphism, then $\pi_1(X) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y)$ is injective (surjective) if and only if $\pi_1(\tilde{X}) \rightarrow \pi_1(\tilde{Y})$ is injective (surjective).

The exterior of a submanifold M of N (we always assume $M \cap \partial N = \partial M$) is the closure of the complement of a tubular neighbourhood of M . It is of the same homotopy type as the complement $N - M$.

X will usually stand for the exterior of a knot K in S^3 .

A concordance between knots K_0 and K_1 is a submanifold C of $S^3 \times I$, with $C \cong S^1 \times I$, such that $C \cap S^3 \times \{i\} = K_i$, $i = 0, 1$. We shall always denote the exterior of K_i by X_i , and the exterior of C by Y .

3. Ribbon Concordance

Lemma 3.1. *If C is a ribbon concordance from K_1 to K_0 , then $\pi_1(X_1) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y)$ is surjective and $\pi_1(X_0) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y)$ is injective.*

Proof. As we pass up through a level $S^3 \times \{t\}$ corresponding to a critical point of index k , a k -handle is added to C , and a $(k + 1)$ -handle is added to Y . Hence, if C is ribbon from K_1 to K_0 , then

$$Y = X_0 \times I \cup 1\text{-handles} \cup 2\text{-handles},$$

and, dually,

$$Y = X_1 \times I \cup 2\text{-handles} \cup 3\text{-handles}.$$

Therefore $\pi_1(X_1) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y)$ is surjective.

Since $H_*(X_0) \rightarrow H_*(Y)$ is an isomorphism, in the expression $Y = X_0 \times I \cup 1\text{-handles} \cup 2\text{-handles}$ there must be equal numbers of 1- and 2-handles, and the 2-handles must cancel the 1-handles homologically. Therefore

$$\pi_1(Y) \cong (\pi_1(X_0) * F) / \langle r_1, \dots, r_n \rangle,$$

where F is the free group on x_1, \dots, x_n , say, and the relators $r_j \in \pi_1(X_0) * F$ satisfy the condition that if $\varepsilon_i(r_j)$ is the exponent sum of x_i in r_j , then the $n \times n$ matrix $(\varepsilon_i(r_j))$ has determinant ± 1 . [In fact, if $a \in \pi_1(X_0)$ is represented by a meridian of K_0 , then r_j may be taken to be of the form $x_j^{-1} w_j^{-1} a w_j$, for some $w_j \in \pi_1(X_0) * F$, $j = 1, \dots, n$.]

Suppose $z \in \ker(\pi_1(X_0) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y))$. By a recent result of Thurston (unpublished), $\pi_1(X_0)$ is residually finite. Therefore, if $z \neq 1$, $\pi_1(X_0)$ has a finite quotient G such that $\bar{z} \neq 1$, where $\bar{\cdot} : \pi_1(X_0) \rightarrow G$ is the quotient map. Hence $\pi_1(Y)$ has quotient

$$H = (G * F) / \langle \bar{r}_1, \dots, \bar{r}_n \rangle,$$

where $\bar{}$ now denotes the quotient map $\pi_1(X_0)*F \rightarrow G*F$ induced by $\bar{} : \pi_1(X_0) \rightarrow G$ and $\text{id} : F \rightarrow F$. Since $\varepsilon_i(\bar{r}_j) = \varepsilon_i(r_j)$, the conditions of [7, Theorem 2] are satisfied, allowing us to conclude that the natural map $G \rightarrow H$ is injective. But since $z \mapsto 1 \in \pi_1(Y)$ by hypothesis, whereas $\bar{z} \neq 1$, this is impossible. Hence $\pi_1(X_0) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y)$ is injective, as asserted. \square

Lemma 3.2. *Let C be a ribbon concordance from K_1 to K_0 , where K_1 is transfinitely nilpotent and $H_1(\tilde{X}_1; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_1(\tilde{Y}; \mathbb{Q})$ is injective. Then $K_0 = K_1$, and $\pi_1(X_i) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y)$ is an isomorphism, $i=0, 1$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, $\pi_1(X_1) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y)$ is surjective; therefore $\pi_1(\tilde{X}_1) \rightarrow \pi_1(\tilde{Y})$ is surjective. This in turn implies the surjectivity of $H_1(\tilde{X}_1; R) \rightarrow H_1(\tilde{Y}; R)$, and hence of $H_1(\partial\tilde{Y}; R) \rightarrow H_1(\tilde{Y}; R)$, for any coefficients R . From the homology exact sequence of the pair $(\tilde{Y}, \partial\tilde{Y})$ we then have $H_1(\tilde{Y}, \partial\tilde{Y}; R) = 0$. Now let R be a field. By Milnor duality [15] for the infinite cyclic covering \tilde{Y} , $H_1(\tilde{Y}, \partial\tilde{Y}; R) \cong H_2(\tilde{Y}; R)$; therefore $H_2(\tilde{Y}; R) = 0$. Hence $H_2(\tilde{Y}) \otimes R = 0$, by the universal coefficient theorem. In particular, $H_2(\tilde{Y}) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = 0$, showing that $H_2(\tilde{Y})$ is \mathbb{Z} -torsion. Again, for any prime p , $H_2(\tilde{Y}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p = 0$, showing that multiplication by $p : H_2(\tilde{Y}) \rightarrow H_2(\tilde{Y})$ is surjective. But a surjective endomorphism of a finitely-generated module over a Noetherian ring is injective, and $H_2(\tilde{Y})$ is a finitely-generated $\mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$ -module. Thus $H_2(\tilde{Y})$ has no p -torsion, for any prime p . Therefore $H_2(\tilde{Y}) = 0$.

Now $H_1(\tilde{X}_1)$ is torsion-free [5], hence $H_1(\tilde{X}_1) \rightarrow H_1(\tilde{X}_1) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = H_1(\tilde{X}_1; \mathbb{Q})$ is injective. Since $H_1(\tilde{X}_1; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_1(\tilde{Y}; \mathbb{Q})$ is injective by hypothesis, we must have $H_1(\tilde{X}_1) \rightarrow H_1(\tilde{Y})$ injective.

Thus $H_1(\tilde{X}_1) \rightarrow H_1(\tilde{Y})$ is an isomorphism and $H_2(\tilde{Y}) = 0$, and hence by [20, Theorem 3.4], $\pi_1(\tilde{X}_1) \rightarrow \pi_1(\tilde{Y})$ induces an injection $\pi_1(\tilde{X}_1)/\pi_1(\tilde{X}_1)_\alpha \rightarrow \pi_1(\tilde{Y})/\pi_1(\tilde{Y})_\alpha$ for all α . In particular, if $\pi_1(\tilde{X}_1)$ is transfinitely nilpotent, $\pi_1(\tilde{X}_1) \rightarrow \pi_1(\tilde{Y})$ is injective. It follows that $\pi_1(X_1) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y)$ is injective, and therefore an isomorphism.

By Lemma 3.1, we have an injection $\pi_1(X_0) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y) \cong \pi_1(X_1)$. Let $g : \partial X_0 \rightarrow \partial X_1$ be the obvious orientation-preserving homeomorphism which sends a longitude-meridian pair for K_0 to a longitude-meridian pair for K_1 . Since the cobordism Y between X_0 and X_1 is a product from ∂X_0 to ∂X_1 , the above injection $\pi_1(X_0) \rightarrow \pi_1(X_1)$ restricts to $g_* : \pi_1(\partial X_0) \rightarrow \pi_1(\partial X_1)$. Hence, by [24, Corollary 6.4], it is induced by a covering map $f : X_0 \rightarrow X_1$ such that $f|_{\partial X_0} = g$. Since g is a homeomorphism, f must be also. Then f extends to an orientation-preserving homeomorphism $(S^3, K_0) \rightarrow (S^3, K_1)$, showing that $K_0 = K_1$. We have also shown in the process that $\pi_1(X_i) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y)$ is an isomorphism, $i=0, 1$. \square

Let \tilde{X} be the infinite cyclic covering of the exterior X of a knot K , and let F be a field. Then according to Milnor [15], the cup product pairing

$$\cup : H^1(\tilde{X}; F) \times H^1(\tilde{X}, \partial\tilde{X}; F) \rightarrow H^2(\tilde{X}, \partial\tilde{X}; F) \cong F$$

is non-singular. Since $k^* : H^1(\tilde{X}, \partial\tilde{X}; F) \rightarrow H^1(\tilde{X}; F)$, induced by inclusion, is an isomorphism, one obtains a non-singular skew-symmetric bilinear form

$$\cdot : H^1(\tilde{X}; F) \times H^1(\tilde{X}; F) \rightarrow F$$

by setting

$$x \cdot y = x \cup k^{*-1}(y).$$

The automorphism $\tau : H^1(\tilde{X}; F) \rightarrow H^1(\tilde{X}; F)$ induced by the canonical generator of the group of covering transformations of \tilde{X} is an isometry of \cdot , that is, $\tau x \cdot \tau y = x \cdot y$.

Let us say that K is *F-isotropic* if $H^1(\tilde{X}; F)$ contains a non-zero τ -invariant subspace on which \cdot is identically zero, and otherwise, *F-anisotropic*.

Now let C be a concordance with K at one end, and let Y be the exterior of C . The following is a well-known consequence of Milnor duality in the infinite cyclic covering $(\tilde{Y}, \partial\tilde{Y})$.

Lemma 3.3. *If K is F-anisotropic, then $H_1(\tilde{X}; F) \rightarrow H_1(\tilde{Y}; F)$ is injective.*

Proof. (Compare [19, proof of Theorem 2.4].) F coefficients are to be understood throughout.

We shall prove the equivalent assertion that $H^1(\tilde{Y}) \rightarrow H^1(\tilde{X})$ is surjective.

Let $X_0 = \partial Y - X$.

We have inclusions $i: \tilde{X} \rightarrow \partial\tilde{Y}; j: \partial\tilde{Y} \rightarrow \tilde{Y}; k: \tilde{X} \rightarrow (\tilde{X}, \partial\tilde{X}); l: \partial\tilde{Y} \rightarrow (\partial\tilde{Y}, \tilde{X}_0)$; and $e: (\tilde{X}, \partial\tilde{X}) \rightarrow (\partial\tilde{Y}, \tilde{X}_0)$. Note that e^* is an isomorphism, by excision.

Let $W = j^*H^1(\tilde{Y}) \subset H^1(\partial\tilde{Y})$, and $U = i^*W \subset H^1(\tilde{X})$. Let $U^\perp \subset H^1(\tilde{X})$ be the annihilator of U with respect to \cdot . Clearly $\tau(U) = U$, which implies $\tau(U^\perp) = U^\perp$.

Suppose $x \in U^\perp$. Then

$$i^*(w) \cup k^{*-1}(x) = 0 \in H^2(\tilde{X}, \partial\tilde{X}), \text{ for all } w \in W.$$

By the naturality of the cup product, this gives

$$w \cup e^{*-1}k^{*-1}(x) = 0 \in H^2(\partial\tilde{Y}, \tilde{X}_0),$$

and thence

$$w \cup l^*e^{*-1}k^{*-1}(x) = 0 \in H^2(\partial\tilde{Y}),$$

for all $w \in W$. But by duality in $(\tilde{Y}, \partial\tilde{Y})$, W is its own annihilator under $\cup: H^1(\partial\tilde{Y}) \times H^1(\partial\tilde{Y}) \rightarrow H^2(\partial\tilde{Y})$ (see [15, p. 130]). Therefore $l^*e^{*-1}k^{*-1}(x) \in W$, so that $x = i^*l^*e^{*-1}k^{*-1}(x) \in U$. Thus $U^\perp \subset U$, and therefore U^\perp is a τ -invariant subspace of $H^1(\tilde{X})$ which is self-annihilating with respect to \cdot . By hypothesis, we must have $U^\perp = \{0\}$, giving $U = H^1(\tilde{X})$ as desired. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3. This follows from Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3. \square

Let $d(K)$ denote the degree of the Alexander polynomial of K ; equivalently, $d(K) = \dim H_1(\tilde{X}; \mathbb{Q})$.

Lemma 3.4. (i) $K_1 \geq K_0$ implies $d(K_1) \geq d(K_0)$.

(ii) Suppose $K_1 \geq K_0$, $d(K_1) = d(K_0)$, and K_1 is transfinitely nilpotent. Then $K_0 = K_1$.

Proof. Let C be a ribbon concordance from K_1 to K_0 , and let $d(C) = \dim H_1(\tilde{Y}; \mathbb{Q})$.

(i) By Lemma 3.1, $\pi_1(X_1) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y)$ is surjective; hence $H_1(\tilde{X}_1; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_1(\tilde{Y}; \mathbb{Q})$ is surjective. This implies that $d(C) \leq d(K_1)$, and also that $H_1(\partial\tilde{Y}; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_1(\tilde{Y}; \mathbb{Q})$ is surjective. Therefore, since $\dim \ker(H_1(\partial\tilde{Y}; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_1(\tilde{Y}; \mathbb{Q})) = \frac{1}{2} \dim H_1(\partial\tilde{Y}; \mathbb{Q})$ by Milnor duality (see [15, p. 130]), we have $d(C) = \frac{1}{2} \dim H_1(\partial\tilde{Y}; \mathbb{Q})$. But

$H_1(\partial\tilde{Y}; \mathbb{Q}) \cong H_1(\tilde{X}_0; \mathbb{Q}) \oplus H_1(X_1; \mathbb{Q})$, giving $d(C) = \frac{1}{2}(d(K_0) + d(K_1))$. Hence $d(K_1) \geq d(K_0)$.

(ii) If $d(K_1) = d(K_0)$, then the argument just given shows that $d(C) = d(K_1)$. Hence $H_1(\tilde{X}_1; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_1(\tilde{Y}; \mathbb{Q})$ is an isomorphism. The fact that $K_0 = K_1$ now follows from Lemma 3.2. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By Lemma 3.4(i), $d(K_1) = d(K_0)$. Hence by Lemma 3.4(ii), $K_0 = K_1$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let Y be the exterior of C , and write X, X' for the exterior of K in $S^3 \times \{0\}$ and $S^3 \times \{1\}$ respectively.

As in the proof of Lemma 3.4(ii), $H_1(\tilde{X}'; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_1(\tilde{Y}; \mathbb{Q})$ is injective. Therefore, by Lemma 3.2, $\pi_1(X) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y)$ and $\pi_1(X') \rightarrow \pi_1(Y)$ are isomorphisms.

Now X is homotopy equivalent to a finite 2-complex, and

$$Y = X \times I \cup 1\text{-handles} \cup 2\text{-handles};$$

hence Y is also homotopy equivalent to a finite 2-complex. Moreover, $\pi_1(X) \cong \pi_1(Y)$, $\pi_2(X) = 0$, and $H_2(X) \cong H_2(Y) = 0$. Hence $\pi_2(Y) = 0$, by [4, Theorem 2]. Therefore Y is a $K(\pi, 1)$, and the inclusions $X \rightarrow Y, X' \rightarrow Y$ are homotopy equivalences. Finally, they are simple homotopy equivalences since $Wh(\pi_1(X)) = 0$ by [25, Theorem 17.5]. \square

4. Anisotropy

Throughout this section, F will denote either \mathbb{Q} or \mathbb{R} . Note that \mathbb{R} -anisotropy implies \mathbb{Q} -anisotropy.

As usual, we regard $H^1(\tilde{X}; F)$ as a module over the Laurent polynomial ring $A = F[t, t^{-1}]$ by defining $tx = \tau(x)$ for all $x \in H^1(\tilde{X}; F)$.

First, ignoring the form \cdot , we consider the question of the existence of a proper τ -invariant subspace of $H^1(\tilde{X}; F)$, i.e. a proper A -submodule. Since A is a principal ideal domain, $H^1(\tilde{X}; F)$ is isomorphic to a finite direct sum of cyclic A -modules, and its order is $(\Delta(t))$, where $\Delta(t)$ is the Alexander polynomial of K . Hence

Proposition 4.1. $H^1(\tilde{X}; F)$ has no proper $F[t, t^{-1}]$ -submodule if and only if $\Delta(t)$ is irreducible in $F[t]$. \square

Corollary 4.2. If $\Delta(t)$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}[t]$, (or equivalently $\mathbb{Z}[t]$), then K is \mathbb{Q} -anisotropic. \square

For irreducible $\lambda \in A$, let V_λ be the λ -primary summand of $V = H^1(\tilde{X}; F)$. Since $\tau(x) \cdot y = x \cdot \tau^{-1}(y)$, we have $(\lambda x) \cdot y = x \cdot (\bar{\lambda} y)$, where $\bar{\cdot} : A \rightarrow A$ is the conjugation induced by $t \mapsto t^{-1}$. It follows that if $(\lambda_1) \neq (\bar{\lambda}_2)$, then V_{λ_1} and V_{λ_2} are orthogonal with respect to \cdot . In particular, if $(\lambda) \neq (\bar{\lambda})$, \cdot vanishes on V_λ . Now $(\lambda) = (\bar{\lambda})$ if and only if $\lambda = \pm t^n \bar{\lambda}$, and the $-$ sign is impossible since $\Delta(1) \neq 0$. Hence

Proposition 4.3. If $\Delta(t)$ has a non-symmetric irreducible factor in $F[t]$, then K is F -isotropic. \square

Let $V_\lambda \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \Delta/(\lambda^i)$, with $r = \max\{r_i : 1 \leq i \leq k\}$. If $r > 1$, then $\lambda^{r-1}V_\lambda$ is a non-zero submodule of $H^1(\tilde{X}; F)$ on which \cdot vanishes (compare [9, Lemma 12]). Since the minimum polynomial $\mu(t)$ of τ is just the product $\prod \lambda^r$ over the irreducible factors λ of Δ , we see

Proposition 4.4. *If $\mu(t)$ has a repeated root, then K is \mathbb{Q} -isotropic.* \square

Following Milnor [15], one defines a symmetric form

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : H^1(\tilde{X}; F) \times H^1(\tilde{X}; F) \rightarrow F$$

by

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \tau(x) \cdot y + \tau(y) \cdot x = (\tau - \tau^{-1})(x) \cdot y.$$

Clearly τ is an isometry of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.

Since $\Delta(1)\Delta(-1) \neq 0$, $\tau - \tau^{-1}$ is invertible, and hence $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is non-singular. Also, \cdot can be recovered from $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ by the formula

$$x \cdot y = \langle (\tau - \tau^{-1})^{-1}(x), y \rangle.$$

Hence, with the obvious terminology,

Proposition 4.5. *K is F -isotropic if and only if $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is F -isotropic.* \square

Consider the case $F = \mathbb{R}$. Then any irreducible factor λ of Δ has degree 1 or 2, and those of degree 1 are necessarily non-symmetric, since $\Delta(-1) \neq 0$. So if K is to be \mathbb{R} -anisotropic, then by Proposition 4.3 all the irreducible factors of Δ must be of the form $\lambda(t) = t^2 - 2t \cos \theta + 1 = (t - e^{i\theta})(t - e^{-i\theta})$. In general, for such a λ write $V_\lambda = V_\theta$, and, as in [15], let $\sigma_\theta(K)$ be the signature of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle|_{V_\theta}$. The minimum polynomial of $\tau|_{V_\theta}$ is of the form λ^r . If $r > 1$, then K is \mathbb{Q} -isotropic by Proposition 4.4. If $r = 1$ and $|\sigma_\theta(K)| < \dim V_\theta$, then there exists a non-zero $x \in V_\theta$ such that $\langle x, x \rangle = 0$, and hence, since the minimum polynomial λ has degree 2, a non-zero Δ -submodule of V_θ on which $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ vanishes (see [9, Proposition 14]). If $|\sigma_\theta(K)| = \dim V_\theta$, then $\langle x, x \rangle \neq 0$ for all non-zero $x \in V_\theta$. Finally, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is F -isotropic if and only if $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle|_{V_\lambda}$ is F -isotropic for all λ . Hence

Proposition 4.6. *K is \mathbb{R} -anisotropic if and only if all the roots of $\Delta(t)$ lie on S^1 and, for each such root $e^{i\theta}$, $|\sigma_\theta(K)| = \dim V_\theta$.* \square

Corollary 4.7. *If all the roots of $\Delta(t)$ are distinct and lie on S^1 , then nK is \mathbb{R} -anisotropic for all n .*

Proof. Let $\lambda(t) = t^2 - 2t \cos \theta + 1$ be a factor of $\Delta(t)$. Since $\Delta(t)$ has distinct roots, for K we have $V_\lambda \cong \Delta/(\lambda)$. Hence $|\sigma_\theta(K)| = 2$ (see [15, pp. 128–129]). Therefore $|\sigma_\theta(nK)| = |n\sigma_\theta(K)| = 2|n|$, and the result follows from Proposition 4.6. \square

Let $[p, q]$ denote the torus knot of type p, q . In [19], Scharlemann proves that $[p, q]$ is \mathbb{Q} -anisotropic, by an explicit analysis of $\cdot : H^1(\tilde{X}; \mathbb{Q}) \times H^1(\tilde{X}; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$.

However, the Alexander polynomial $\Delta(t)$ of $[p, q]$ is $\frac{(t^{pq} - 1)(t - 1)}{(t^p - 1)(t^q - 1)}$, so Corollary 4.7 implies

Corollary 4.8. *$n[p, q]$ is \mathbb{R} -anisotropic, for all n .* \square

Note that $\Delta(t) = \prod_{d \nmid pq} \varphi_d(t)$, where $\varphi_d(t)$ is the cyclotomic polynomial whose roots are the primitive d -th roots of 1. In particular, if p and q are prime, then the \mathbb{Q} -anisotropy of $[p, q]$ actually follows from Corollary 4.2.

Let us say that $\sum_{i=1}^n [p_i, q_i]$ is a connected sum of *coherently oriented* torus knots if either $p_i q_i > 0$ for all i or $p_i q_i < 0$ for all i .

Proposition 4.9. *Any connected sum of coherently oriented torus knots is \mathbb{Q} -anisotropic.*

As a preliminary to the proof of Proposition 4.9, we recall the connection between the Milnor signatures $\sigma_\theta(K)$ and the Tristram-Levine signature function $\sigma_K: S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (see [23, 8]) defined by

$$\sigma_K(\zeta) = \text{signature of } (1 - \bar{\zeta})A + (1 - \zeta)A^T,$$

where A is a Seifert matrix for K . Let J_K be the “jump function” associated with σ_K :

$$J_K(\theta) = \lim_{\varphi \rightarrow \theta^+} \sigma_K(e^{i\varphi}) - \lim_{\varphi \rightarrow \theta^-} \sigma_K(e^{i\varphi}).$$

Then (see [13]), for $0 < \theta < \pi$,

$$\sigma_\theta(K) = J_K(\theta).$$

Proof of Proposition 4.9. A formula for $J_{[p,q]}(\theta)$ is given by Litherland in [10] (see the proof of Lemma 4.11 below), from which it follows easily that if $p, q > 0$ and $\theta = \frac{2\pi}{d}$, then

$$\sigma_\theta([p, q]) = \begin{cases} -2, & \text{if } d \mid pq, d \nmid p, q \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now let $K = \sum_{i=1}^n [p_i, q_i]$, and assume without loss of generality that $p_i, q_i > 0$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let $V = H^1(\tilde{X}; \mathbb{Q})$, $\theta = \frac{2\pi}{d}$, and m the number of indices i such that $d \mid p_i q_i$, $d \nmid p_i, q_i$. Then, by the preceding paragraph, $|\sigma_\theta(K)| = 2m$.

Let $\varphi = \varphi_d$ be the d -th cyclotomic polynomial. Then

$$V_\varphi \cong \bigoplus_1^m \Lambda / (\varphi), \quad \text{where } \Lambda = \mathbb{Q}[t, t^{-1}].$$

Suppose $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle|_{V_\varphi}$ were \mathbb{Q} -isotropic. Then it would be Witt equivalent to a form on a proper quotient Λ -module of V_φ , which is necessarily of the form $\bigoplus_1^l \Lambda / (\varphi)$, for some $l < m$. But then, interpreting this over $\mathbb{R}[t, t^{-1}]$, we would have

$$|\sigma_\theta(K)| \leq 2l < 2m,$$

a contradiction.

This shows that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle|V_\varphi$ is \mathbb{Q} -anisotropic for all irreducible factors φ of Δ , and hence that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is \mathbb{Q} -anisotropic. \square

We now make a few remarks about the iterated torus knots $[p_1, q_1; p_2, q_2; \dots; p_n, q_n]$ (the (p_1, q_1) -cable of the (p_2, q_2) -cable of ... the p_n, q_n torus knot). These include the algebraic knots [2].

First, the Alexander polynomial of the (p, q) -cable of a knot K is $\Delta_{p,q}(t)\Delta(t^q)$, where $\Delta_{p,q}$ is the polynomial of $[p, q]$ and Δ that of K . It follows that all the roots of the polynomial of $[p_1, q_1; \dots; p_n, q_n]$ lie on S^1 , and it is not hard to work out the condition on the p_i, q_i which is necessary and sufficient for these roots to be distinct. When this occurs, the knot will be \mathbb{R} -anisotropic by Corollary 4.7.

It is possible to obtain more delicate information by considering the signatures σ_θ , using the results of Litherland [10]. For example, one can show that most 2-stage iterated torus knots are \mathbb{Q} -anisotropic. In particular, we have

Proposition 4.10. *If p_1q_1 is odd, then $[p_1, q_1; p_2, q_2]$ is \mathbb{Q} -anisotropic.*

This is not the strongest possible statement; what we shall actually show is that $[p_1, q_1; p_2, q_2]$ is \mathbb{Q} -anisotropic if there do not exist distinct prime factors m, n of p_1 and q of q_1 such that either $\{m, n, q\} = \{2, 3, 5\}$ or $q = 2$.

Adopting the notation of [10], write $f_{p,q}(x) = \frac{1}{2}J_{|p,q|}(2\pi x)$. So, for $0 < x < 1/2$, $\sigma_{2\pi x}([p, q]) = 2f_{p,q}(x)$.

Recall that $f_{p,q}(x) \neq 0$ if and only if $x = k/pq$, say, where $(k, pq) = 1$, $p|P$, $q|Q$, and $p, q \neq \pm 1$. Thus we may write $P = pp'$, $Q = qq'$.

Lemma 4.11. *Suppose $p, q \neq \pm 1$, $p', q' \geq 1$, $(pp', qq') = 1$, and $(k, pq) = 1$. Then $f_{pp', qq'}(k/pq)$ is independent of p' and q' .*

Proof. According to Litherland [10], $f_{pp', qq'}(k/pq)$ is ± 1 according as

$$\left[\frac{a}{qq'} \right] + \left[\frac{b}{pp'} \right] + \left[\frac{kp'q'}{pp' \cdot qq'} \right] \tag{*}$$

is even or odd, where a and b are given by expressing $pp' \cdot qq'(k/pq) = kp'q'$ as

$$kp'q' = a \cdot pp' + b \cdot qq'.$$

But suppose

$$k = \alpha p + \beta q.$$

Then

$$kp'q' = \alpha q' \cdot pp' + \beta p' \cdot qq',$$

so that $(*) = \left[\frac{\alpha}{q} \right] + \left[\frac{\beta}{p} \right] + \left[\frac{k}{pq} \right]$ is independent of p' and q' . \square

Proof of Proposition 4.10. The Alexander polynomial $\Delta(t)$ of $K = [p_1, q_1; p_2, q_2]$ is $\Delta_{p_1, q_1}(t)\Delta_{p_2, q_2}(t^{q_1})$. Let $\Lambda = \mathbb{Q}[t, t^{-1}]$, and $V = H^1(\tilde{X}; \mathbb{Q})$. If φ is an irreducible factor of Δ over Λ , (so $\varphi = \varphi_d$, say, the d -th cyclotomic polynomial), then V_φ is isomorphic to either $\Lambda/(\varphi)$ or $\Lambda/(\varphi) \oplus \Lambda/(\varphi)$. Hence if $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle|V_\varphi$ is \mathbb{Q} -isotropic, then

$V_\varphi \cong \Lambda/(\varphi) \oplus \Lambda/(\varphi)$ and $\langle , \rangle |V_\varphi$ is Witt trivial, which implies that $\sigma_\theta(K) = 0$ for all θ of the form $\frac{2\pi k}{d}$, $(k, d) = 1$.

Now φ_d is a repeated factor of Δ if and only if $d = pq$, $p|p_1$, $q|q_1$, $p > 1$, $q > 1$, and $e^{\frac{2\pi i q_1}{p q}}$ is a primitive c -th root of 1, where $c|p_2 q_2$, $c \nmid p_2, q_2$. Let $r = q_1/q$. Then $q_1/pq = r/p$, and $(r, p) = 1$. Hence we must have $p = c = mn$, say, where $m|p_2$, $n|q_2$, $m > 1$, $n > 1$. By [10], for $\theta = \frac{2\pi k}{d}$, $(k, d) = 1$, and $0 < \theta < \pi \pmod{2\pi}$,

$$\frac{1}{2} \sigma_\theta(K) = f_{p_1, q_1} \left(\frac{k}{mnq} \right) + f_{p_2, q_2} \left(\frac{rk}{mn} \right).$$

Hence, appealing to Lemma 4.11 and remembering that $f_{p, q}(x) = -f_{p, q}(-x)$, $f_{-p, q} = -f_{p, q}$, the vanishing of all these $\sigma_\theta(K)$ implies that for some r such that $(r, mn) = 1$,

$$f_{mn, q} \left(\frac{k}{mnq} \right) + f_{m, n} \left(\frac{rk}{mn} \right) = 0 \tag{**}$$

for all k such that $(k, mn) = 1$.

The following lemma will therefore complete the proof of Proposition 4.10.

Lemma 4.12. *If an equation of the form (**) holds, with m, n, q coprime and > 1 , then either $\{m, n, q\} = \{2, 3, 5\}$, or $q = 2$.*

Proof. (**) implies that if $(k_i, mnq) = 1$, $i = 1, 2$, and $k_1 \equiv k_2 \pmod{mn}$, then $f_{mn, q} \left(\frac{k_1}{mnq} \right) = f_{mn, q} \left(\frac{k_2}{mnq} \right)$. But it is implicitly proved in [10, Appendix] (see particularly Lemma A3), that this implies $(q, mn) = (3, 4)$, $(5, 6)$, or $(3, 10)$, or $q = 2$. Since m, n are coprime and > 1 , $(3, 4)$ is impossible, and the proof is complete. \square

For $\{m, n, q\} = \{2, 3, 5\}$, equations of type (**) do exist; namely, for $(m, n, q; r) = (2, 3, 5; 5)$, $(2, 5, 3; 7)$, and $(3, 5, 2; 8)$. There is some evidence that the last is in fact the only example with $q = 2$, in which case the phrase “or $q = 2$ ” in Lemma 4.12 could be dropped.

Using Lemma 4.11 again, it follows for example that if $K = [6x, 25; 3, 2]$, $[10x, 21; 5, 2]$, or $[15x, 6; 5, 3]$, with $x \geq 1$ and coprime to 5, 21, and 2 respectively, then the signatures $\sigma_\theta(K)$ corresponding to the primitive 30-th roots of 1 are all zero. We can therefore at least conclude that $4K$ is \mathbb{Q} -isotropic (see [9]). These can be realized by algebraic knots, the smallest examples being $[156, 25; 3, 2]$, $[220, 21; 5, 2]$, and $[255, 16; 5, 3]$. We do not know whether or not any of these, or related examples, is itself \mathbb{Q} -isotropic, but at any rate the limitation of signature arguments is apparent. Also, as n increases, the class of n -stage iterated torus knots K for which \mathbb{Q} -isotropy is not precluded by the $\sigma_\theta(K)$, becomes increasingly large.

5. Transfinite Nilpotency

If K is a knot with exterior X , we shall throughout this section write $\pi(K) = \pi_1(X)$, and $\gamma(K) = \pi_1(\tilde{X}) = [\pi(K), \pi(K)]$. We shall also assume that $\gamma(K) \neq 1$, i.e. that K is non-trivial.

First note that $\gamma(K)$ is never nilpotent, as it contains a free subgroup of rank $2g, g \geq 1$, namely, the image of the fundamental group of a minimal Seifert surface. So the least possible ordinal α such that $\gamma(K)_\alpha = 1$ is $\alpha = \omega$. (Clearly $G_\omega = 1$ is equivalent to G being residually nilpotent.)

Question 5.1. *Does $\gamma(K)_\alpha = 1$ for some α imply $\gamma(K)_\omega = 1$?*

One obvious remark is that the least ordinal α for which $\gamma(K)_\alpha = 1$ is necessarily a limit ordinal, for otherwise $\gamma(K)$ would have a non-trivial centre, namely $\gamma(K)_{\alpha-1}$. But [16] $\gamma(K)$ is either free of rank $2g, g \geq 1$, or a non-trivial free product with amalgamation $A *_F B$, where F is free of rank $2g, g \geq 1$, and is therefore centreless.

The fibred knots are precisely those with $\gamma(K)$ free, and a free group is residually nilpotent [11, Sect. 5.5], in fact, residually torsion-free nilpotent [11, Sect. 5.7].

Other examples of K with $\gamma(K)$ residually nilpotent are provided by the 2-bridge knots. For Mayland [14] has shown that for such a knot, $\gamma(K)$ can be expressed as a union of parafree groups in such a way that [1, Proposition 2.1] applies. It follows that $\gamma(K)$ is residually torsion-free nilpotent.

If $\Delta(0) = \pm 1$, then it follows easily from [20, Theorem 3.4] that $\gamma(K)_n / \gamma(K)_{n+1} \cong F_n / F_{n+1}, 1 \leq n < \omega$, where F is free of rank equal to that of $H_1(\tilde{X})$. In particular, $\gamma(K)_n / \gamma(K)_{n+1}$ is torsion-free. Recently, Strebel [21] has shown that in fact $\gamma(K)_n / \gamma(K)_{n+1}$ is torsion-free for any K , so that $\gamma(K)$ is residually nilpotent if and only if it is residually torsion-free nilpotent.

Finally, $\gamma(K_1 + K_2) \cong \gamma(K_1) * \gamma(K_2)$, and by [12] a free product of residually torsion-free nilpotent groups is residually torsion-free nilpotent.

It would be interesting to know more about which knots are transfinitely nilpotent. [Of course not all are; if $\Delta(t) = 1$, then $\gamma(K)$ is perfect.] Here is a specific question.

Question 5.2. *Are alternating knots transfinitely nilpotent?*

We now make some remarks on satellite knots. Let K be a knot, and $h: S^1 \times D^2 \rightarrow S^3$ an embedding such that $h(S^1 \times 0) = K$, and, for $x \in \partial D^2$, the linking number $Lk(h(S^1 \times x), K) = 0$. Let J be a simple closed curve in $S^1 \times D^2$ which does not lie in a ball in $S^1 \times D^2$, and write $J(K)$ for $h(J)$. [If $K \neq 0$, the unknot, and J is not a core of $S^1 \times D^2$, then $J(K)$ is a *satellite*, of K .]

Suppose $K \neq 0$, and write $\pi(J) = \pi_1(S^1 \times D^2 - J)$. Then $\pi(J(K))$ is a free product with amalgamation $\pi(J) *_{\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}} \pi(K)$. In particular, $\gamma(K) \subset \gamma(J(K))$, so $\gamma(J(K))_\alpha = 1$ implies $\gamma(K)_\alpha = 1$, and $\gamma(J(K))$ free implies $\gamma(K)$ free.

Let $q \geq 0$ be the (homological) winding number of J in $S^1 \times D^2$. If $q = 0$, then it is easy to see that in the above free product with amalgamation decomposition, $\pi(K) \subset \gamma(J(K))$. Since $\pi(K)_\alpha = \gamma(K), \alpha \geq 1$, it follows that $J(K)$ is not transfinitely nilpotent.

Consider the standard embedding of $S^1 \times D^2$ in S^3 , and let C be the core of the complementary solid torus. Suppose $J(0)$ can be fibred in such a way that C meets each fibre transversely, (necessarily) in q points. This is equivalent to the existence of a fibring of J in $S^1 \times D^2$ such that the intersection of each fibre with $\partial(S^1 \times D^2)$ consists of q longitudes. If this holds, let us say that J is *fibred*. An example is the

curve J such that $J(K)$ is the (p, q) -cable of K . (Another, trivial, example is the curve obtained by locally tying a fibred knot in a core of $S^1 \times D^2$.)

Let J be fibred, and let S be the corresponding fibre of the exterior of J in $S^1 \times D^2$, a surface of genus g , say, with $q + 1$ boundary components. If X is the exterior of K , then the infinite cyclic covering of the exterior of $J(K)$ is $S \times R^1 \cup \bigsqcup_{i=1}^q \tilde{X}_i$, identified in the obvious way along the q copies of $S^1 \times R^1$. Hence $\gamma(J(K)) \cong F *_{\mathbb{Z}} \gamma(K)$, where F is free of rank $2g$. Therefore by [12], $\gamma(J(K))$ is residually nilpotent if and only if $\gamma(K)$ is residually nilpotent. Also, $\gamma(J(K))$ is free if and only if $\gamma(K)$ is free.

Finally, the surjection $\pi(K) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ induces a surjection $\pi(J(K)) \cong \pi(J) *_{\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}} \pi(K) \rightarrow \pi(J(0))$. Hence $\gamma(J(0))$ is a quotient of $\gamma(J(K))$, so that $\gamma(J(K))$ finitely generated implies $\gamma(J(0))$ finitely generated. Hence [16] $\gamma(J(K))$ free implies $\gamma(J(0))$ free.

Collecting the above observations [and calling a knot K residually nilpotent if $\gamma(K)$ is], we have

Proposition 5.3. (1) *If $q=0$, then $J(K)$ is not transfinitely nilpotent.*

(2) *If $q \neq 0$, then $J(K)$ transfinitely nilpotent implies K transfinitely nilpotent, and $J(K)$ fibred implies K and $J(0)$ fibred.*

(3) *If J is fibred, then $J(K)$ is residually nilpotent if and only if K is residually nilpotent, and $J(K)$ is fibred if and only if K is fibred. \square*

Corollary 5.4. *If K is in the class of knots generated by fibred knots and 2-bridge knots under the operations of connected sum and cabling, then K is residually nilpotent. \square*

6. Some Questions

The notion of concordance can be expressed in terms of \geq . For it is well-known that, ordering critical points by their values, any concordance can be isotoped so that its critical points have non-decreasing index, and those of a given index occur in any desired order (see [17], for example). Hence (as is equally well-known), any concordance C from K_0 to K_1 , say, can be adjusted so that $C \cap S^3 \times \{1/2\}$ is a knot K' , $C \cap S^3 \times [0, 1/2]$ is ribbon from K' to K_0 , and $C \cap S^3 \times [1/2, 1]$ is ribbon from K' to K_1 . Thus two knots K_0 and K_1 are concordant if and only if there exists K' such that $K' \geq K_0$ and $K' \geq K_1$. (In other words, if Conjecture 1.1 is true, a concordance class is a directed set with respect to \geq .)

Question 6.1. *Let K_0 be minimal with respect to \geq . Does K concordant to K_0 imply $K \geq K_0$? Equivalently, if $K' \geq K$ and $K' \geq K_0$, is $K \geq K_0$?*

If $K_0 = 0$, the unknot, then Question 6.1 is just the question as to whether all slice knots are ribbon knots [6, Problem 25].

Of course there definitely exist concordant knots K_0, K_1 such that neither $K_1 \geq K_0$ nor $K_0 \geq K_1$. For example, let $K_0 = T + (-T)$, where T is the trefoil, and $K_1 = E + (-E)$, where E is the figure eight knot. Then $K_0 \geq 0, K_1 \geq 0$, so K_0 and K_1

are concordant. But both are transfinitely nilpotent (in fact, fibred), and $d(K_0)=d(K_1)(=4)$, and therefore by Lemma 3.4(ii), either $K_1 \geq K_0$ or $K_0 \geq K_1$ would imply $K_0 = K_1$.

Question 6.2. *If $K_1 \geq K_2 \geq \dots$, does there exist some m such that $K_n = K_m$ for all $n \geq m$?*

An affirmative answer to Question 6.2 would imply that every concordance class contains a minimal representative.

Question 6.3. *Does every concordance class contain a unique minimal representative with respect to \geq ?*

This would follow from affirmative answers to Questions 6.1 and 6.2 and Conjecture 1.1.

Recall [22, Sect. 6] the Gromov-Milnor-Thurston notion of the *volume* $v(M)$ of a compact 3-manifold M , and define $v(K) = v(X)$.

Question 6.4. *Does $K_1 \geq K_0$ imply $v(K_1) \geq v(K_0)$?*

In the proof of Theorem 1.4, we had to show that $\pi_2(Y) = 0$. The following seems reasonable.

Conjecture 6.5. *The exterior of a ribbon concordance is aspherical.*

The exterior Y of a ribbon concordance C is homotopy equivalent to a 2-complex, and therefore the asphericity of Y is equivalent to $\pi_2(Y) = 0$. Note also that if E is a meridian 2-disc of C , then $Y \cup E$ is contractible. Hence Conjecture 6.5 is implied by the Whitehead conjecture [26] that a subcomplex of an aspherical 2-complex is aspherical.

Since the latter is known to be true for a subcomplex with a single 2-cell [3], it follows that Conjecture 6.5 is true for any ribbon concordance from K , say, to the unknot, with a single saddle-point. Equivalently, the exterior of any ribbon disc in B^4 with only one saddle point is aspherical.

Acknowledgements. This work was done while the author was visiting the University of California, at Berkeley and Santa Barbara, and the University of Cambridge. I should like to thank these institutions for their hospitality.

References

1. Baumslag, G.: Groups with the same lower central sequence as a relatively free group. II. Properties. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **142**, 507–538 (1969)
2. Brauner, K.: Zur Geometrie der Funktionen zweier komplexer Veränderlichen. *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg* **6**, 1–54 (1928)
3. Cockcroft, W.H.: On two-dimensional aspherical complexes. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **4**, 375–384 (1954)
4. Cockcroft, W.H., Swan, R.G.: On the homotopy type of certain two-dimensional complexes. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **11**, 193–202 (1961)
5. Crowell, R.H.: The group G'/G'' of a knot group G . *Duke Math. J.* **30**, 349–354 (1963)
6. Fox, R.H.: Some problems in knot theory. In: *Topology of 3-manifolds and related topics* (Proc. The Univ. of Georgia Inst. 1961), pp. 169–176. Englewood Cliffs: Prentice-Hall 1962

7. Gerstenhaber, M., Rothaus, O.S.: The solution of sets of equations in groups. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.* **48**, 1531–1533 (1962)
8. Levine, J.: Knot cobordism groups in codimension two. *Comment. Math. Helv.* **44**, 229–244 (1969)
9. Levine, J.: Invariants of knot cobordism. *Invent. Math.* **8**, 98–110, 355 (1969)
10. Litherland, R.A.: Signatures of iterated torus knots. In: *Topology of low-dimensional manifolds (Proc. Second Sussex Conf., 1977)*, pp. 71–84. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Vol. 722. Berlin, Heidelberg, New York: Springer 1979
11. Magnus, W., Karrass, A., Solitar, D.: *Combinatorial group theory*. New York: Dover 1976
12. Mal'cev, A.I.: Generalized nilpotent groups and their adjoint groups. *Matt. USSR Sb.* **25**, 347–366 (1949) (Russian), *AMS Transl. (series 2)* **69**, 1–21 (1968)
13. Matumoto, T.: On the signature invariants of a non-singular complex sesquilinear form. *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **29**, 67–71 (1977)
14. Mayland, E.J., Jr.: Two-bridge knots have residually finite groups. *Proc. Second Internat. Conf. Theory of Groups, Canberra, 1973*, pp. 488–493. In: *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Vol. 372. Berlin, Heidelberg, New York: Springer 1974
15. Milnor, J.: Infinite cyclic coverings. In: *Conference on the topology of manifolds*, pp. 115–133. Boston: Prindle, Weber, and Schmidt 1968
16. Neuwirth, L.: Knot groups. *Ann. Math. Stud.* **56** (1965)
17. Rourke, C.P.: Embedded handle theory, concordance, and isotopy. *Topology of Manifolds (Proc. The Univ. of Georgia Inst. 1969)*, pp. 431–438. Chicago: Markham 1970
18. Rudolph, L.: Non-trivial positive braids have positive signature. Columbia University, 1980 (preprint)
19. Scharlemann, M.: The fundamental group of fibered knot cobordisms. *Math. Ann.* **225**, 243–251 (1977)
20. Stallings, J.: Homology and central series of groups. *J. Algebra* **2**, 170–181 (1965)
21. Strebel, R.: A note on groups with torsion-free abelianization and trivial multiplier. *Comment. Math. Helv.* **54**, 147–158 (1979)
22. Thurston, W.P.: *The geometry and topology of 3-manifolds*. *Lecture Notes*, Princeton University
23. Tristram, A.G.: Some cobordism invariants for links. *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **66**, 251–264 (1969)
24. Waldhausen, F.: On irreducible 3-manifolds which are sufficiently large. *Ann. Math.* **87**, 56–88 (1968)
25. Waldhausen, F.: Algebraic K -theory of generalized free products, Part 2. *Ann. Math.* **108**, 205–256 (1978)
26. Whitehead, J.H.C.: On adding relations to homotopy groups. *Ann. Math.* **42**, 409–428 (1941)

Received December 9, 1980

Notes added in proof. (1) In the proof of Lemma 3.1, instead of using [7] and the fact that knot groups are residually finite, we may use the main result of Howie, J.: On pairs of 2-complexes and systems of equations over groups. *J. reine angew. Math.* **324**, 165–174 (1981), and the fact that knot groups are locally indicable [this follows from Scott, G.P.: Compact submanifolds of 3-manifolds. *J. London Math. Soc.* **7**, 246–250 (1973)].

(2) Regarding Question 5.2, Theorem B of Mayland, E.J., Jr. and Murasugi, K.: On a structural property of the groups of alternating links. *Can. J. Math.* **28**, 568–588 (1976) asserts that if K is an alternating knot with $\Delta(0) = p'$, p prime, then $\gamma(K)$ is residually a finite q -group for all primes $q \neq p$, and hence residually nilpotent. It follows that such knots may be included with fibered and 2-bridge knots in statement of Corollary 5.4.