

Kac-Moody Lie Algebras and the Universal Element for the Category of Nilpotent Lie Algebras

L. J. Santharoubane

Département de Mathématiques, Université de Poitiers, 40, Avenue de Recteur Pineau,
F-86022 Poitiers, France

0. Introduction

The problem of classifying nilpotent Lie algebras is studied for the first time in 1891 by a student of Engel, Umlauf, who gives the complete list over C up to the dimension 6 and a certain complex family at the dimensions 7, 8 and 9 [15]. Later on, several authors worked out the subject: Chevalley [3], Dixmier [4], Morozov [11], Vergne [16].

The introduction in 1972 by Bratzlavsky [2] and Favre [5, 6] of the root systems for the nilpotent Lie algebras constitutes an important step in the classification (Gurevic applied already this notion to the study of metabelian Lie algebras [7]).

By using these root systems we establish a link between nilpotent Lie algebras and Kac-Moody Lie Algebras (which generalise semi-simple Lie algebras and are of infinite dimension).

In [12] (published in [13]) we started with the maximal rank case and in [14] we continued with certain nilpotent Lie algebras [see 2.11(b)]. Here we give the main theorem (2.10) in the general case.

All the structures are over an algebraically closed field K of characteristic 0.

1. Root System and Cartan Matrix Associated to a Nilpotent Lie Algebra

1.1. All through 1, \mathfrak{g} is a nilpotent Lie algebra of finite dimension, $\text{Der } \mathfrak{g}$ its derivation algebra, $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{g}$ its automorphism group.

1.2. A torus on \mathfrak{g} is a commutative subalgebra of $\text{Der } \mathfrak{g}$ whose elements are semi-simple endomorphisms. We denote $\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ the set of maximal tori on \mathfrak{g} (i.e. tori not contained in any other tori).

1.3. **Mostow's Theorem** (4.1 of [10]). *If $T, T' \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ there exists $\theta \in \text{Aut } \mathfrak{g}$ such that $\theta T \theta^{-1} = T'$.*

1.4. Let $T \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and consider the root space decomposition of \mathfrak{g} relatively to T :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{g} &= \sum_{\beta \in R(T)} \mathfrak{g}^{\beta}, \\ \mathfrak{g}^{\beta} &= \{x \in \mathfrak{g}; tx = \beta(t)x \quad \forall t \in T\}, \\ R(T) &= \{\beta \in T^*; \mathfrak{g}^{\beta} \neq \{0\}\} \quad (\text{root system}). \end{aligned}$$

We denote:

$$\begin{aligned} R^1(T) &= \{\beta \in R(T); \mathfrak{g}^{\beta} \not\subset [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]\}, \\ l_{\beta} &= \dim(\mathfrak{g}^{\beta}/[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] \cap \mathfrak{g}^{\beta}) \quad \forall \beta \in R^1(T), \\ d_{\beta} &= \dim \mathfrak{g}^{\beta} \quad \forall \beta \in R^1(T). \end{aligned}$$

The map: $\beta \mapsto d_{\beta} \quad R^1(T) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^*$ gives the partition:

$$\begin{aligned} R^1(T) &= R^1(T)_{p_1} \cup \dots \cup R^1(T)_{p_q}, \\ p_1 &< \dots < p_q \quad R^1(T)_{p_i} \neq \emptyset, \\ R^1(T)_p &= \{\beta \in R^1(T); d_{\beta} = p\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $s_i = \#R^1(T)_{p_i}$ and $s = s_1 + \dots + s_q$; we number the elements of $R^1(T) : \beta_1 \dots \beta_s$ in such a way that:

$$R^1(T)_{p_1} = \{\beta_1 \dots \beta_{s_1}\}, \quad R^1(T)_{p_2} = \{\beta_{s_1+1} \dots \beta_{s_2}\}, \dots$$

Let $d_i = d_{\beta_i}, l_i = l_{\beta_i}$ and $l = l_1 + \dots + l_s$ (one checks that $l = \dim \mathfrak{g}/[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$). Let $\mathfrak{S}_s^{s_1 \dots s_q}$ be the group of permutations of $\{1 \dots s\}$ which leave $\{1 \dots s_1\}, \{s_1 + 1 \dots s_2\} \dots$ invariant.

1.5. Let $T' \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ be another maximal torus; then there exists $\theta \in \text{Aut } \mathfrak{g}$ such that $\theta T \theta^{-1} = T'$ (1.3). For T' , we use the notations of 1.4 with prime. The map:

$$\check{\theta} : T^* \rightarrow T'^*, \quad \beta \mapsto \check{\theta}\beta, \quad \check{\theta}\beta(\theta t \theta^{-1}) = \beta(t) \quad \forall \beta \in T^*, \quad \forall t \in T,$$

is a vector space isomorphism and one has obviously:

$$\theta \mathfrak{g}^{\beta} = \mathfrak{g}^{\check{\theta}\beta} \quad \forall \beta \in R(T)$$

therefore $d'_{\check{\theta}\beta} = d_{\beta} \quad \forall \beta \in R^1(T)$ which gives:

$$q' = q, \quad p'_i = p_i, \quad s'_i = s_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq q, \quad s' = s.$$

Since $\theta[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$, one has $l'_{\check{\theta}\beta} = l_{\beta} \quad \forall \beta \in R^1(T)$. The map $\check{\theta}$ induces a bijection between: $R(T)$ and $R(T')$, $R^1(T)$ and $R^1(T')$, $R^1(T)_{p_i}$ and $R^1(T')_{p_i}$, $1 \leq i \leq q$; thus there exists $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_s^{s_1 \dots s_q}$ such that

$$\check{\theta}\beta_a = \beta'_{\tau a} \quad 1 \leq a \leq s.$$

In conclusion, we have the

Lemma. *The integers $q, p_1 \dots p_q, s_1 \dots s_q, s, d_1 \dots d_s, l_1 \dots l_s, l$ defined in 1.4. are invariants of \mathfrak{g} .*

If $T, T' \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ there exists $\theta \in \text{Aut } \mathfrak{g}$ and $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_s^{s_1 \dots s_q}$ such that $\theta \mathfrak{g}^{\beta_a} = \mathfrak{g}^{\beta'_{\tau a}} \quad 1 \leq a \leq s$.

1.6. Let $f: \{1, \dots, l\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, s\}$ be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} f(i) &= 1 && \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq l_1 \\ &= 2 && \text{if } l_1 < i \leq l_1 + l_2 \\ &\dots\dots\dots && \dots\dots\dots \\ &= s && \text{if } l_1 + \dots + l_{s-1} < i \leq l. \end{aligned}$$

(I am thankful to the referee for his suggestion to introduce f instead of a double numbering in the original version.)

Since f is onto, there exists $g: \{1, \dots, s\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, l\}$ such that $f \circ g = \text{Id}$. Obviously

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{S}_s^{s_1 \dots s_a} &\rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_l, \\ \sigma &\rightarrow \hat{\sigma} = g \circ \sigma \circ f, \end{aligned}$$

is an one-to-one group homomorphism. Define an action of $\mathfrak{S}_s^{s_1 \dots s_a}$ on the set of $l \times l$ matrices by setting:

$$\sigma(A_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq l} = (A_{\hat{\sigma}(i)\hat{\sigma}(j)})_{1 \leq i, j \leq l}$$

1.7. **Theorem.** For $i, j \in \{1, \dots, l\}$ $i \neq j$ let

$$-A_{ij}(T) = \text{Min}\{-n \in \mathbb{N}; (\text{adv})^{-n+1}w = 0 \ \forall v \in \mathfrak{g}^{\beta^{f(i)}} \ \forall w \in \mathfrak{g}^{\beta^{f(j)}}\}$$

with $(\text{ad}0)^0 = 0$ and let $A_{ii}(T) = 2$ for $i = 1, \dots, l$. Then

- (1) $A(T) = (A_{ij}(T))_{1 \leq i, j \leq l}$ is a Cartan matrix (2.1).
- (2) The $\mathfrak{S}_s^{s_1 \dots s_a}$ -orbit of $A(T)$ is an invariant of g .

Proof. (1) Since adv is nilpotent, $A_{ij}(T)$ is a well-defined non-positive integer for $i \neq j$; if $[v, w] = 0$ then $[w, v] = 0$, therefore $A_{ij}(T) = 0$ implies $A_{ji}(T) = 0$. Since $A_{ii}(T) = 2$ by definition, $A(T)$ is a Cartan matrix.

(2) Let $T' \in \mathcal{F}_g$, by 1.5 there exist $\theta \in \text{Aut}_g$ and $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_s^{s_1 \dots s_a}$ such that $\theta \mathfrak{g}^{\beta^a} = \mathfrak{g}^{\beta^{\tau a}}$ $1 \leq a \leq s$; if $v \in \mathfrak{g}^{\beta^a}$ and $w \in \mathfrak{g}^{\beta^b}$ and if $i, j \in \{1, \dots, l\}$ are such that $f(i) = a, f(j) = b$, then $(\text{adv})^{-A_{ij}(T)+1}w = 0$; thus $(\text{ad}\theta v)^{-A_{ij}(T)+1}\theta w = 0$ with $\theta v \in \mathfrak{g}^{\beta^{\tau a}} = \mathfrak{g}^{\beta^{f(\tau(i))}}$ and $\theta w \in \mathfrak{g}^{\beta^{\tau b}} = \mathfrak{g}^{\beta^{f(\tau(j))}}$; therefore $-A_{\tau(i)\tau(j)}(T') \leq -A_{ij}(T)$, and by symmetry $A_{\tau(i)\tau(j)}(T') = A_{ij}(T)$ which proves that $\tau A(T') = A(T)$.

1.8. **Definition.** We choose arbitrarily A in the $\mathfrak{S}_s^{s_1 \dots s_a}$ -orbit of $A(T)$ (which has at most $\frac{s!}{s_1! \dots s_a!}$ elements) and we say by an abuse of language: “ g is of type A ” or “ A is the Cartan matrix of g ”. We denote:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_g(A) &= \{T \in \mathcal{F}_g; A(T) = A\}, \\ \mathfrak{S}_s^{s_1 \dots s_a}(A) &= \{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_s^{s_1 \dots s_a}; \sigma A = A\}. \end{aligned}$$

1.9. **Lemma.** If $T, T' \in \mathcal{F}_g(A)$ there exist $\theta \in \text{Aut}_g$ and $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_s^{s_1 \dots s_a}(A)$ such that:

$$\theta \mathfrak{g}^{\beta^a} = \mathfrak{g}^{\beta^{\tau a}} \quad \forall a = 1 \dots s.$$

Proof. By 1.5 there exists $\theta \in \text{Aut}_g$ and $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_s^{s_1 \dots s_a}$ such that $\theta \mathfrak{g}^{\beta^a} = \mathfrak{g}^{\beta^{\tau a}}$; by the proof of 1.7 (2), $\tau A(T') = A(T)$; therefore $\tau A = \tau A(T') = A(T) = A$.

1.10. We denote by $msg(g)$ the set of minimal systems of generators of g ; by [1, Sect. 4, ex. 4, p. 119]: $(x_1 \dots) \in msg(g)$ if and only if $(x_1 + [g, g], \dots)$ is a basis of $g/[g, g]$ therefore each element of $msg(g)$ is an l -tuple $(x_1 \dots x_l)$ where $l = \dim g/[g, g]$.

Let $T \in \mathcal{F}_g(A)$ and denote:

$$msg(T) = msg(g) \cap ((g^{\beta_1})^{l_1} \times \dots \times (g^{\beta_s})^{l_s}).$$

For all $(x_1 \dots x_l) \in msg(T)$ one has:

$$(\text{ad } x_i)^{-A_{ij}+1} x_j = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \neq j \leq l.$$

2. Kac-Moody Lie Algebras and the Universal Element for the Category of Nilpotent Lie Algebras

2.1. An $l \times l$ matrix with entries in \mathbb{Z} , $A = (A_{ij})$ is a Cartan matrix if:

- a) $A_{ii} = 2 \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, l,$
- b) $A_{ij} \leq 0 \quad \forall i, j = 1, \dots, l, \quad i \neq j,$
- c) $(A_{ij} = 0 \text{ if } A_{ji} = 0) \quad \forall i, j = 1, \dots, l, \quad i \neq j.$

2.2. Let $\|A\| = \text{Sup}\{|A_{ij}|; i \neq j\}$; denote h the Coxeter number of A if A is of finite type and $+\infty$ if not.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|A\| < n \leq h + 1$ let $\text{Nil } p_n(A)$ be the category of nilpotent Lie algebras of nilpotency index n and of type A .

2.3. To A one can associate a Lie algebra (called a Kac-Moody Lie algebra) defined by generators:

$$\{f_1 \dots f_l \ h_1 \dots h_l \ e_1 \dots e_l\},$$

and relations:

$$\begin{aligned} [h_i e_j] &= A_{ij} e_j, & [h_i f_j] &= -A_{ij} f_j, & (\forall i, j = 1 \dots l), \\ [h_i h_j] &= 0, & [e_i f_i] &= h_i, & (\forall i, j = 1 \dots l), \\ [e_i f_j] &= 0, & (\text{ad } e_i)^{-A_{ij}+1} e_j &= 0 = (\text{ad } f_i)^{-A_{ij}+1} f_j, & (\forall i \neq j). \end{aligned}$$

[8, 9]. Actually, this definition is not the usual one (ordinarily one has to take a quotient of the above algebra).

2.4. Let $H = Kh_1 + \dots + Kh_l$; denote $\mathcal{L}_+(A)$ (resp. $\mathcal{L}_-(A)$) the subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(A)$ generated by $\{e_1 \dots e_l\}$ (resp. $\{f_1 \dots f_l\}$). One shows that:

$$\mathcal{L}(A) = \mathcal{L}_-(A) \oplus H \oplus \mathcal{L}_+(A).$$

One can define $\mathcal{L}_+(A)$ by generators: $\{e_1 \dots e_l\}$ and relations:

$$(\text{ad } e_i)^{-A_{ij}+1} e_j = 0 \quad \forall i, j = 1 \dots l, \quad i \neq j.$$

2.5. Let $L \equiv L_n(A) \equiv \mathcal{L}_+(A) / C^{n+1} \mathcal{L}_+(A)$ where $(C^p \mathcal{L}_+(A))_{p \geq 1}$ is the descending central series of $\mathcal{L}_+(A)$ with $C^1 \mathcal{L}_+(A) = \mathcal{L}_+(A)$.

By abuse of notation, write e_i instead of $e_i + C^{n+1} \mathcal{L}_+(A)$. One can define $L_n(A)$ by generators: $\{e_1 \dots e_l\}$ and relations:

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{ad } e_i)^{-A_{ij}+1} e_j &= 0 \quad \forall i, j = 1 \dots l, \quad i \neq j; \\ [e_{i_1} \dots e_{i_{n+1}}] &= 0 \quad \forall i_k = 1 \dots l \quad \forall k = 1 \dots n + 1. \end{aligned}$$

2.6. If A is of finite type $\mathcal{L}_+(A)$ is a nilpotent Lie algebra of nilpotency index $h + 1$; therefore $C^n \mathcal{L}_+(A) \not\cong C^{n+1} \mathcal{L}_+(A)$ (since $n \leq h + 1$) thus $C^n L \neq (0)$. If A is not of finite type then $C^p \mathcal{L}_+(A) \cong C^{p+1} \mathcal{L}_+(A) \forall p \geq 1$ (one says that $\mathcal{L}_+(A)$ is pro-nilpotent) thus $C^n L \neq (0)$.

In both cases, one has $C^{n+1} L = (0)$; therefore $L_n(A)$ is a nilpotent Lie algebra of nilpotency index n .

2.7. One defines derivations $\partial_1 \dots \partial_l$ of L by setting $\forall i, j = 1 \dots l$

$$\partial_i e_j = \begin{cases} e_i & i=j \\ 0 & i \neq j \end{cases}$$

Let $(\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_l)$ be the dual basis of $(\partial_1 \dots \partial_l)$ and denote $D = K\partial_1 + \dots + K\partial_l$. It is easy to show that:

$$D \in \mathcal{F}_L, \quad R^1(D) = \{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_l\}, \quad E^i = Ke_i \quad \forall i = 1 \dots l, \\ d_i = l_i = 1 \quad \forall i = 1 \dots l, \quad q = 1, \quad p_1 = 1, \quad s_1 = l, \quad \mathfrak{S}_s^{s_1 \dots s_q} = \mathfrak{S}_l$$

(permutation group of $\{1 \dots l\}$). We are therefore in the case 2.11(b).

2.8. Let $i, j \in \{1 \dots l\} \quad i \neq j$ and assume that $(\text{ad } e_i)^{-A_{ij}} e_j = 0$ in L , then $(\text{ad } e_i)^{-A_{ij}} e_j \in C^{n+1} \mathcal{L}_+(A)$ in $\mathcal{L}_+(A)$; but $C^{n+1} \mathcal{L}_+(A)$ is spanned as a vector space by the $[e_{i_1} \dots e_{i_r}]$'s such that $r \geq n + 1$, thus $-A_{ij} + 1 \geq n + 1$ (since $(\text{ad } e_i)^{-A_{ij}} e_j = [e_{i_1} \dots e_{i_r}]$ with $-A_{ij} + 1$ terms); this contradicts $\|A\| < n$; therefore $(\text{ad } e_i)^{-A_{ij}} e_j \neq 0$, it follows by 2.11(b) that $A(D) = A$; so L is of type A and $D \in \mathcal{F}_L(A)$.

2.9. **Lemma.** *The Lie algebra $L_n(A)$ is an object of $\text{Nil } p_n(A)$.*

Proof. It follows from 2.6 and 2.8.

2.10. **Theorem.** *The Lie algebra $L_n(A)$ is an universal element for the category $\text{Nil } p_n(A)$ i.e. any object of $\text{Nil } p_n(A)$ is a quotient of $L_n(A)$.*

More precisely: for any object \mathfrak{g} of $\text{Nil } p_n(A)$, for any $T \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A)$ and any $x = (x_1 \dots x_l) \in \text{msg}(T)$, the map $\{e_1, \dots, e_l\} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \quad e_i \mapsto x_i$ extends uniquely to an homomorphism $\pi \equiv \pi(T, x) : L_n(A) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ which is onto; and one has $\mathfrak{g} \cong L_n(A)/\text{Ker } \pi$.

Proof. In \mathfrak{g} one has at least the relations: $(\text{ad } x_i)^{-A_{ij}+1} x_j = 0$ (1.10) and $[x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_{n+1}}] = 0$; whereas in L the defining relations are $(\text{ad } e_i)^{-A_{ij}+1} e_j = 0$ and $[e_{i_1} \dots e_{i_{n+1}}] = 0$ (2.5); whence the existence of an homomorphism $\pi : L \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ such that $\pi e_i = x_i$; π is onto because $(x_1 \dots x_l) \in \text{msg}(\mathfrak{g})$; π is unique because $(e_1 \dots e_l) \in \text{msg}(L)$.

2.11. *Particular cases.* (a) Assume

$$l_a = 1 \quad \forall a = 1, \dots, s,$$

then $s = l$ (since $l_1 + \dots + l_s = l$), $f = \text{Id}$, $\hat{\sigma} = \sigma \quad \forall \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_l^{s_1 \dots s_q}$ and for $i \neq j$:

$$-A_{ij}(T) = \text{Min} \{ -n \in \mathbb{N}; (\text{ad } v)^{-n+1} w = 0 \quad \forall v \in \mathfrak{g}^{\beta_i} \quad \forall w \in \mathfrak{g}^{\beta_j} \}.$$

(b) Assume that

$$d_a = 1 \quad \forall a = 1, \dots, s,$$

(since $l_a \leq d_a$, it is a subcase of the preceding one). Then, with the notations of 1.4, we have $q=1$, $p_1=1$, $s_1=l$, $\mathfrak{S}_s^{s_1 \cdots s_q} = \mathfrak{S}_l$. Furthermore for all $i=1 \dots l$ there exists $x_i \in \mathfrak{g}^{b_i} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\mathfrak{g}^{b_i} = Kx_i$, therefore

$$\forall i \neq j, \quad -A_{ij}(T) = \text{Min} \{ -n \in \mathbb{N}; (\text{ad } x_i)^{-n+1} x_j = 0 \},$$

thus $-A_{ij}(T)$ is the unique integer such that $(\text{ad } x_i)^{-A_{ij}(T)} x_j \neq 0$ and $(\text{ad } x_i)^{-A_{ij}(T)+1} x_j = 0$ which is the definition given in [12-14]. This subcase is studied in detail in [14].

Acknowledgements. All my thanks to Professors M. Vergne and V. G. Kac for their kind invitation to the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (Cambridge, USA). It is during this stay that this work began with the help of Professor V. G. Kac. I also thank D. H. Peterson (MIT, Dept. of Math.) for the interest he took in my work.

References

1. Bourbaki, N.: Groupes et algèbres de Lie. Chap. 1. Paris: Hermann 1968
2. Bratzlavsky, F.: Sur les algèbres admettant un tore d'automorphismes donné. J. Algebra **30**, 305-316 (1974)
3. Chevalley, C.: Unpublished work [quoted by J. Dixmier in (4)]
4. Dixmier, J.: Sur les représentations unitaires des groupes de Lie nilpotentes III. Canad. J. Math. **10**, 321-348 (1958)
5. Favre, G.: Système de poids sur une algèbre de Lie nilpotente. Thesis 1972, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne
6. Favre, G.: Système de poids sur une algèbre de Lie nilpotente. Manus. Math. **9**, 53-90 (1973)
7. Gurevic, G.R.: On metabelian Lie algebras. Trudy Sem. Vektor. Tenzor. Anal. **12**, 9-61 (1963)
8. Kac, V.G.: Simple irreducible graded Lie algebras of finite growth. Math. USSR-Izv. **2**, 1271-1311 (1968)
9. Moody, R.V.: A new class of Lie algebras. J. Algebra **10**, 211-230 (1968)
10. Mostow, G.D.: Fully reducible subgroups of algebraic groups. Am. J. Math. **78**, 200-221 (1956)
11. Morozov, V.V.: Classification of nilpotent Lie algebras of sixth order (in Russian; MR 24A 190). Izv. Uceb. Zave denii Mat. n° 4 (5), 161 (1958), USSR
12. Santharoubane, L.J.: Structure et cohomologie des algèbres de Lie nilpotentes. Thesis (1979), University of Paris 6
13. Santharoubane, L.J.: Kac-Moody Lie algebras and the classification of Nilpotent Lie algebras of maximal rank. Canadian J. of Math. (to appear)
14. Santharoubane, L.J.: Kac-Moody Lie algebras and the classification of certain nilpotent Lie algebras. Manuscript
15. Umlauf, K.A.: Über die Zusammensetzung der endlichen kontinuierlichen Transformationsgruppen, insbesondere der Gruppen vom Range Null. Leipzig SS 80, 1891
16. Vergne, M.: Variétés des algèbres de Lie nilpotentes. Thesis, University of Paris (1966)

Received November 30, 1982; in revised form January 11, 1983