

# Topological Properties of the Addition Map in Spaces of Borel Measures

Andreas Schief

Mathematisches Institut der Universität, Theresienstrasse 39, D-8000 München 2,  
Federal Republic of Germany

## Introduction

Let  $X$  be a topological space,  $M(X)$  the space of non-negative, finite measures on the Borel  $\sigma$ -field of  $X$  endowed with the weak or narrow topology (see [12] or [11]). Let  $P(X)$  be the subspace of probability measures. The map

$$\Phi_X : M(X)^2 \ni (v_1, v_2) \rightarrow v_1 + v_2 \in M(X)$$

is obviously continuous. But since the cone  $M(X)$  fails to be a topological vector space, sets of the form  $\mu + \Gamma$ , apart from exceptional cases, fail to be open for  $\mu \in M(X)$  and open  $\Gamma$ . So it is a non-trivial question whether the map  $\Phi_X$  is open. Eifler has given some partial answers: in [4] he showed for  $0 < \lambda < 1$  the maps  $\Phi_X$  and

$$\Psi_X^\lambda : P(X)^2 \ni (v_1, v_2) \rightarrow \lambda v_1 + (1 - \lambda)v_2 \in P(X)$$

to be open for Polish spaces  $X$  and in [5] he extended this result for  $\Psi_X^\lambda$  to Radon spaces  $X$ , i.e. those spaces where each finite Borel measure is tight. In fact, however, the mappings  $\Phi_X$  and  $\Psi_X^\lambda$  are open for completely arbitrary topological spaces.

The proof of this result will be carried out in two steps:

By investigating a suitable neighbourhood base in  $M(X)$ , in Sect. 2 the original problem will be reduced to a non-topological decomposition problem in the set  $M$  of all finite, non-negative measures on the power set of an auxiliary finite space  $\Omega$ .

This question, which will be answered affirmatively in Sect. 1, reads as follows:

Consider the preordering defined in  $M$  by

$$\mu \succ v \Leftrightarrow \mu(B) \geq v(B) \text{ for each } B \in \mathcal{B},$$

where  $\mathcal{B}$  is a subset of the power set of  $\Omega$  which is stable with respect to unions and intersections. Moreover, let be given measures  $\mu \in M$  and  $v_i \in M$  satisfying  $\mu \succ v_1 + v_2$ . Is it then always possible, to decompose  $\mu$  into two measures  $\mu_i \in M$  such that  $\mu_i \succ v_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ ?

Section 3 is devoted to a proof of the closedness of the map  $\Phi_x$ , which is based again on the decomposition lemma and uses the quasicompactness of the sets  $\Phi_x^{-1}(\{\mu\})$ .

The results of the present paper play a crucial role in the investigation of topological properties of some measure-valued mappings, that are of interest in probability theory:

(1) Given topological spaces  $X, Y$  and a Borel measurable map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  consider the map  $\tilde{f}: M(X) \rightarrow M(Y)$ , which assigns to each Borel measure on  $X$  its image measure under  $f$  (see [3, 4]).

(2) Assign to each measure  $\mu \in M(X \times Y)$  on the product of two topological spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  the pair of its marginal measures (see [6]).

(3) Assign to each measure on a space of continuous functions the collection of its one-dimensional marginals (see [4]).

(4) Given topological spaces  $X, T$  and a measure  $\lambda \in M(T)$  assign to each kernel  $\varphi: T \rightarrow M(X)$  its integral  $\int \varphi d\lambda \in M(X)$  (see [1]).

These mappings will be treated subsequently.

### 0. Notations

For a topological space  $X$ ,

(a)  $\mathcal{G}(X)$  is the family of all open sets in  $X$ ,

(b)  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  is the family of all Borel sets in  $X$ .

The set of all Borel measures on  $X$ , which are always assumed to be finite and non-negative, is denoted by  $M(X)$ , the subset of probability measures by  $P(X)$ .  $M(X)$  is endowed with the weak or narrow topology, i.e. the topology generated by the requirements

$$\mu \rightarrow \mu(X) \text{ is continuous,}$$

$$\mu \rightarrow \mu(G) \text{ is lower semicontinuous for each } G \in \mathcal{G}(X).$$

Suppressing the index  $X$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{A}$  the collection of all pairs  $(\mathcal{G}, \varepsilon)$ , where  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$  and  $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{G}(X)$  is finite and closed under the formation of unions and intersections (so in particular  $\bigcup \emptyset = \emptyset$  and  $\bigcap \emptyset = X$  are elements of  $\mathcal{G}$ ). For  $\alpha = (\mathcal{G}, \varepsilon) \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $t > 0$  and  $\mu \in M(X)$  the abbreviations

$$t\alpha = (\mathcal{G}, t\varepsilon),$$

$$A(\alpha, \mu) = \{ \varrho \in M(X) : \varrho(G) > \mu(G) - \varepsilon \text{ for } G \in \mathcal{G} \text{ and } \varrho(X) < \mu(X) + \varepsilon \},$$

$$n_\alpha = 2^{*\mathcal{G}}$$

are used. The family  $\{A(\alpha, \mu) : \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$  is obviously a neighbourhood base of  $\mu$ . By

$$(\mathcal{G}, \varepsilon) \leq (\tilde{\mathcal{G}}, \tilde{\varepsilon}) \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{G} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{G}} \text{ and } \varepsilon \geq \tilde{\varepsilon}$$

$\mathcal{A}$  becomes a directed set.

By  $\varepsilon_x$  we denote the Dirac measure in  $x \in X$ , by  $1_B \mu$  we abbreviate for  $B \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  and  $\mu \in M(X)$  the measure defined by

$$1_B \mu(C) = \mu(B \cap C) \text{ for each } C \in \mathcal{B}(X).$$

### 1. The Decomposition Lemma

For a natural number  $n$  and  $\Omega = \{1, \dots, n\}$  let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a subset of the power set of  $\Omega$ , which is closed under the formation of unions and intersections. Then

$$\mu \succ \nu \Leftrightarrow \mu(B) \geq \nu(B) \text{ for each } B \in \mathcal{B}$$

defines a preordering on the set  $M$  of all non-negative and finite measures on  $\Omega$  equipped with its power set as  $\sigma$ -field.

**(1.1) Proposition.** *If  $\mu, \nu_1$  and  $\nu_2$  are measures of  $M$ , then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\mu \succ \nu_1 + \nu_2$ ,
- (ii) *there are measures  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in M$  such that*

$$\mu = \mu_1 + \mu_2, \mu_1 \succ \nu_1, \mu_2 \succ \nu_2, \text{ and } \mu_2(\Omega) = \nu_2(\Omega).$$

*Proof.* Only (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) requires proof. It is sufficient to show that for  $\nu = \nu_1 + \nu_2$  and

$$\mathcal{D} = \{(\mu', \nu') \in M^2 : \nu_1 \leq \nu' \leq \nu, \mu' \leq \mu, \mu' \succ \nu', \mu - \mu' \succ \nu - \nu' \text{ and } (\mu - \mu')(\Omega) = (\nu - \nu')(\Omega)\}$$

there exists an element  $(\mu', \nu_1) \in \mathcal{D}$ .

Assign to each  $(\mu', \nu') \in \mathcal{D}$  a number

$$i(\mu', \nu') = \# \{x \in \Omega : \mu'(\{x\}) > 0\} + \# \{B \in \mathcal{B} : \mu'(B) > \nu'(B)\} + \# \{x \in \Omega : \nu'(\{x\}) > \nu_1(\{x\})\}.$$

The following statement (\*) clarifies, that the desired element  $(\mu', \nu_1) \in \mathcal{D}$  can be constructed starting with  $(\mu, \nu) \in \mathcal{D}$  in a finite number of steps:

- (\*) For each  $(\mu', \nu') \in \mathcal{D}$  with  $\nu' \neq \nu_1$  there exists a pair  $(\mu'', \nu'') \in \mathcal{D}$  such that  $\nu'' \leq \nu', \mu'' \leq \mu'$ , and  $i(\mu'', \nu'') < i(\mu', \nu')$ .

*Proof of (\*).* Let  $(\mu', \nu') \in \mathcal{D}$  with  $\nu' \neq \nu_1$  be given. We may assume  $\nu'(\{1\}) > \nu_1(\{1\})$ . Consider now the following decomposition of  $\mathcal{B}$ :

$$\mathcal{B}_1 = \{B \in \mathcal{B} : \nu'(B) < \mu'(B)\},$$

$$\mathcal{B}_2 = \{B \in \mathcal{B} : \nu'(B) = \mu'(B)\}.$$

(1) It suffices to show the existence of a point  $x \in \Omega$ , such that

- ( $\alpha$ )  $\mu'(\{x\}) > 0$ ,
- ( $\beta$ )  $1 \in B \Leftrightarrow x \in B$  for each  $B \in \mathcal{B}_2$ ,
- ( $\gamma$ )  $1 \in B \Rightarrow x \in B$  for each  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ .

In this case the definitions

$$0 < \delta = \min(\{\mu'(\{x\}), \nu'(\{1\}) - \nu_1(\{1\})\} \cup \{\mu'(B) - \nu'(B) : B \in \mathcal{B}_1, x \in B, 1 \notin B\}),$$

$$(\mu'', \nu'') = (\mu' - \delta \varepsilon_x, \nu' - \delta \varepsilon_1)$$

yield the desired element of  $\mathcal{D}$  [ $(\beta)$  guarantees  $\mu'' > \nu''$ ,  $(\gamma)$  secures  $\mu - \mu'' > \nu - \nu''$ ].

(2) If  $\mu'(\{1\}) > 0$  nothing has to be shown. Therefore assume  $\mu'(\{1\}) = 0$  and abbreviate

$$B_0 = \bigcap \{B \in \mathcal{B} : 1 \in B\}.$$

The statement  $(\gamma)$  is obviously equivalent to " $x \in B_0$ ".

(3) Given  $C, D \in \mathcal{B}_2$  we get

$$\nu'(C \cup D) + \nu'(C \cap D) = \nu'(C) + \nu'(D) = \mu'(C) + \mu'(D) = \mu'(C \cup D) + \mu'(C \cap D).$$

Since  $C \cup D$  and  $C \cap D$  are elements of  $\mathcal{B}$ , the above equation yields  $C \cup D, C \cap D \in \mathcal{B}_2$ . So if we denote by  $\mathcal{B}_3$  the  $\sigma$ -field generated by  $\mathcal{B}_2$ , and by  $B^0$  the atom of  $\mathcal{B}_3$  containing 1, there exist  $B_2 \in \mathcal{B}_2$  and  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  such that

$$B^0 = B \setminus B_2.$$

(Choose  $B \in \mathcal{B}_2$  if  $1 \in \bigcup \mathcal{B}_2$  and  $B = \Omega$  else.) The statement  $(\beta)$  is evidently equivalent to " $x \in B^0$ ".

(4) Since  $B_0 \cup B_2 \in \mathcal{B}$

$$\mu'(B_0 \cup B_2) \geq \nu'(B_0 \cup B_2).$$

It follows

$$\mu'(B_0 \setminus B_2) \geq \nu'(B_0 \setminus B_2).$$

Combined with  $0 = \mu'(\{1\}) < \nu'(\{1\})$  this means

$$\mu'(B_0 \setminus (B_2 \cup \{1\})) > \nu'(B_0 \setminus (B_2 \cup \{1\})).$$

This yields finally an  $x \in \Omega$  such that  $\mu'(\{x\}) > 0$  and

$$x \in B_0 \setminus (B_2 \cup \{1\}) \subset B_0 \cap (B_0 \setminus B_2) \subset B_0 \cap B^0. \quad \square$$

## 2. Openness of Addition

**(2.1) Theorem.** *Let  $X$  be a topological space. Then the map*

$$\Phi_X : M(X)^2 \ni (v_1, v_2) \rightarrow v_1 + v_2 \in M(X)$$

*is open.*

We consider first the case of finite  $T_0$ -spaces  $X$ , i.e. those finite spaces where each pair of distinct points can be separated by an open set containing one of the two points. Hence every subset of such a space is a Borel set.

**(2.2) Lemma.** *If  $X$  is a finite  $T_0$ -space,  $v_1, v_2 \in M(X)$ ,  $v = v_1 + v_2$ , and  $\alpha = (\mathcal{A}(X), \varepsilon)$ , then*

$$A(\alpha, v) \subset A(n_\alpha \alpha, v_1) + A(n_\alpha \alpha, v_2),$$

*which especially means that  $\Phi_X$  is open.*

*Proof.* Consider

$$\tilde{v}_k = \sum_{x \in X} (v_k(\{x\}) - \varepsilon)^+ \varepsilon_x, \quad k = 1, 2.$$

Denoting by “ $\succ$ ” the preordering of (1.1) associated with  $\Omega = X$  and  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{G}(X)$ , we show

$$\begin{aligned} A(\alpha, \nu) &\subset \{ \mu \in M(X) : \mu \succ \tilde{\nu}_1 + \tilde{\nu}_2 \text{ and } \mu(X) < \nu(X) + \varepsilon \} \\ &\subset \{ \mu_1 \in M(X) : \mu_1 \succ \tilde{\nu}_1 \text{ and } \mu_1(X) < \nu(X) + \varepsilon - \tilde{\nu}_2(X) \} \\ &\quad + \{ \mu_2 \in M(X) : \mu_2 \succ \tilde{\nu}_2 \text{ and } \mu_2(X) = \tilde{\nu}_2(X) \} \\ &\subset A(n_\alpha \alpha, \nu_1) + A(n_\alpha \alpha, \nu_2). \end{aligned}$$

The first inclusion follows by definition of the measures  $\tilde{\nu}_k$ , the second one by (1.1) and the third one by the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\nu}_k(G) &\geq \nu_k(G) - \# X \cdot \varepsilon \quad \text{for } k=1, 2 \text{ and } G \in \mathcal{G}(X), \\ \nu(X) + \varepsilon - \tilde{\nu}_2(X) &\leq \nu_1(X) + (\# X + 1)\varepsilon, \\ \tilde{\nu}_2(X) &\leq \nu_2(X), \end{aligned}$$

and the fact  $n_\alpha \geq \# X + 1$ , which is due to the already mentioned observation that  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  is the power set of  $X$  or, equivalently,  $\mathcal{G}(X)$  generates a  $\sigma$ -field possessing  $\# X$  atoms.  $\square$

*Proof of the Theorem.* Again for  $\nu_1, \nu_2 \in M(X)$ ,  $\alpha = (\mathcal{G}, \varepsilon) \in \mathcal{A}$ , and  $\nu = \nu_1 + \nu_2$  the inclusion

$$(+) \quad A(\alpha, \nu) \subset A(n_\alpha \alpha, \nu_1) + A(n_\alpha \alpha, \nu_2)$$

will be shown.

Denote by  $B_1, \dots, B_m, m \leq n_\alpha$ , the atoms of the  $\sigma$ -field generated by  $\mathcal{G}$ . Obviously the statement (+) does not depend on the distributions of the mass inside the atoms  $B_k$ , but only on the total mass put there by the considered measures. It is therefore natural to consider the space

$$Y = \{1, \dots, m\}$$

with the topology

$$\mathcal{G}(Y) = \left\{ I \subset Y : \bigcup_{k \in I} B_k \in \mathcal{G} \right\},$$

i.e.  $Y$  is the quotient space of  $X$  endowed with the topology  $\mathcal{G}$ , with respect to the equivalence relation

$$x \sim y \Leftrightarrow x, y \in B_k \text{ for some } k.$$

$Y$  is a finite  $T_0$ -space and the projection  $p: X \rightarrow Y$ , defined by  $p(x) = k$  for  $x \in B_k$ , is obviously continuous.

Now let  $\mu \in A(\alpha, \nu)$  and denote the image measures of  $\mu, \nu, \nu_1$ , and  $\nu_2$  under the mapping  $p$  by  $\tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\nu}, \tilde{\nu}_1$ , and  $\tilde{\nu}_2$ . Clearly  $\tilde{\mu} \in A(\beta, \tilde{\nu})$  holds for  $\beta = (\mathcal{G}(Y), \varepsilon)$ . Lemma (2.2) supplies measures  $\tilde{\mu}_1 \in A(n_\alpha \beta, \tilde{\nu}_1)$  and  $\tilde{\mu}_2 \in A(n_\alpha \beta, \tilde{\nu}_2)$  such that  $\tilde{\mu}_1 + \tilde{\mu}_2 = \tilde{\mu}$  (observe  $n_\alpha = n_\beta$ ). We transform the measures back to  $X$  by setting

$$\mu_l = \sum_{k \in J} \tilde{\mu}_l(\{k\}) (\mu(B_k))^{-1} 1_{B_k} \mu, \quad l=1, 2$$

( $J = \{k: \mu(B_k) > 0\}$ ). Since  $\mu = \mu_1 + \mu_2$  and  $\mu_l \in A(n_\alpha \alpha, v_l)$  for  $l=1,2$ , the proof is complete.  $\square$

Though restrictions of open mappings need not to be open, this is true in the following special case.

**(2.3) Corollary.** *Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $0 < \lambda < 1$ .*

(a) *The map*

$$\Psi_X^\lambda: P(X)^2 \ni (v_1, v_2) \rightarrow \lambda v_1 + (1 - \lambda)v_2 \in P(X)$$

*is open.*

(b) *The restrictions of  $\Phi_X$  and  $\Psi_X^\lambda$  to the subspaces consisting of all regular,  $\tau$ -smooth or tight measures are open (see [12, p. XII], for the definitions).*

The results of [4] and [5] are therefore special cases of Corollary (2.3).

*Proof.* Since multiplying by a real  $d > 0$  is a homeomorphism in  $M(X)$ , to get (a) it is sufficient to show that the map

$$\Phi_X: P(X)^2 \rightarrow 2P(X) = \{\mu \in M(X): \mu(X) = 2\}$$

is open. This is a consequence of

$$(++) \quad A(\alpha, v) \cap 2P(X) \subset A(2n_\alpha \alpha, v_1) \cap P(X) + A(2n_\alpha \alpha, v_2) \cap P(X)$$

for  $v_i \in P(X)$ ,  $v = v_1 + v_2$  and  $\alpha = (\mathcal{G}, \varepsilon) \in \mathcal{A}$ .

To prove  $(++)$  let  $\mu \in A(\alpha, v) \cap 2P(X)$ . By the inclusion  $(+)$  in the proof of (2.1) there are measures  $\mu_k \in A(n_\alpha \alpha, v_k)$  such that  $\mu = \mu_1 + \mu_2$ . Since

$$\mu_1(X) - 1 = 1 - \mu_2(X) \quad \text{and} \quad |\mu_1(X) - 1| < n_\alpha \varepsilon$$

a slight modification of the measures  $\mu_k$  leads to probability measures  $\mu'_k$  with  $\mu = \mu'_1 + \mu'_2$  and  $\mu'_k \in A(2n_\alpha \alpha, v_k)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mu'_1 &= \mu_1 - \frac{t}{\mu_1(X)} \mu_1, & \mu'_2 &= \mu_2 + \frac{t}{\mu_1(X)} \mu_1 & \text{if } t \geq 0, \\ \mu'_1 &= \mu_1 - \frac{t}{\mu_2(X)} \mu_2, & \mu'_2 &= \mu_2 + \frac{t}{\mu_2(X)} \mu_2 & \text{if } t < 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the abbreviation  $t = \mu_1(X) - 1$  is used.

The statement (b) is due to the fact, that the sum of two measures is regular ( $\tau$ -smooth or tight) if and only if each of the measures is regular ( $\tau$ -smooth or tight).  $\square$

The following easy application of Theorem (2.1) generalizes results of Degens and Eifler ([2] and [4]).

**(2.4) Corollary.** *Let  $X$  be a topological space such that  $M(X)$  fulfills the first axiom of countability and  $\mu, v \in M(X)$ . Let  $q_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , be a sequence in  $M(X)$  which converges to  $\mu + v$ . Then there exist sequences  $\mu_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $v_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , in  $M(X)$  such that  $\mu_n + v_n = q_n$  for each  $n$  and  $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu, v_n \rightarrow v$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\Gamma_i, i \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\Delta_i, i \in \mathbb{N}$ , be descending neighbourhood bases of  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  respectively. Since  $\Gamma_i + \Delta_i$  is a neighbourhood of  $\mu + \nu$  there is an increasing sequence of numbers  $N_i$  such that  $\varrho_n \in \Gamma_i + \Delta_i$  holds for each  $n \geq N_i$ . Choose now  $\mu_n \in \Gamma_i$  and  $\nu_n \in \Delta_i$  with  $\mu_n + \nu_n = \varrho_n$  for each  $N_i \leq n < N_{i+1}$ , and  $\mu_n = \varrho_n, \nu_n = 0$  for  $n < N_1$  to finish the proof.  $\square$

Finally it is worth mentioning, that  $M(X)$  fulfills the first axiom of countability if  $X$  fulfills the second one (see [12, p. 49]). But there are spaces such that  $X$  fulfills the first axiom of countability and  $M(X)$  does not: Let  $X$  be the space of all countable ordinals endowed with the order topology. Then the Dieudonné measure on  $X$  (see [9, p. 231]) has no countable neighbourhood base in  $M(X)$  (see [10, (1.8)]).

### 3. Closedness of Addition

The following result will be used to investigate  $\Phi_X$ :

A mapping  $f: Y \rightarrow Z$  is closed if and only if for each  $G \in \mathcal{G}(Y)$  the set  $\{z \in Z: f^{-1}(\{z\}) \subset G\}$  is open in  $Z$  [7, Theorem 1.4.13]. At first we inspect the sets  $\Phi_X^{-1}(\{\varrho\})$ .

**(3.1) Lemma.** *Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $\varrho \in M(X)$ . Then the subspaces*

$$(a) \quad D(\varrho) = \{\mu \in M(X): \mu \leq \varrho\} \subset M(X)$$

and

$$(b) \quad E(\varrho) = \{(\mu, \nu) \in M(X)^2: \mu + \nu = \varrho\} \subset M(X)^2$$

are quasicompact.

*Proof.* To get (a) we show that  $D(\varrho)$  is the continuous image of a closed subspace of the compact space

$$Y = \prod_{B \in \mathcal{B}(X)} [0, \varrho(B)].$$

Let  $p_B, B \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ , be the projections of  $Y$ . The subspace

$$Y_0 = \{y \in Y: p_B(y) + p_C(y) = p_{B \cup C}(y) \text{ for each pair of disjoint Borel sets } B, C \in \mathcal{B}(X)\}$$

is closed in  $Y$ , the mapping  $\Psi: Y_0 \rightarrow D(\varrho)$  with

$$\Psi(y)(B) = p_B(y)$$

is well defined since  $\sigma$ -additivity of  $\Psi(y)$  follows by

$$\Psi(y)(B) - \sum_{k=1}^n \Psi(y)(B_k) = \Psi(y)\left(B \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^n B_k\right) \leq \varrho\left(B \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^n B_k\right)$$

for each sequence of pairwise disjoint sets  $B_k \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  and  $B = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k$ . Since  $\Psi$  is a continuous surjection, the quasi compactness of  $D(\varrho)$  is established.

### The continuous surjection

$$\Psi': Y_0 \ni y \rightarrow (\Psi(y), \Psi((\varrho(B) - p_B(y))_{B \in \mathcal{B}(X)})) \in E(\varrho)$$

yields finally assertion (b).  $\square$

Similar to Theorem (2.1) the reduction to the finite case is the main idea in the proof of the following

**(3.2) Theorem.** *The mapping  $\Phi_X$  is closed for any topological space  $X$ .*

*Proof.* As already mentioned, it is sufficient to prove the openness of the set

$$\Gamma_2 = \{\varrho \in M(X) : E(\varrho) \subset \Gamma_1\}$$

for open sets  $\Gamma_1 \subset M(X)^2$ . To this end let  $\varrho \in \Gamma_2$  be given. By Lemma (3.1) there are  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k, \nu_1, \dots, \nu_k \in M(X)$  such that  $\mu_i + \nu_i = \varrho$  for each  $i$  and

$$\begin{aligned} E(\varrho) \subset \bigcup \{A(\alpha_i, \mu_i) \times A(\alpha_i, \nu_i) : i \leq k\} \\ \subset \bigcup \{A(2\alpha_i, \mu_i) \times A(2\alpha_i, \nu_i) : i \leq k\} \subset \Gamma_1. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing an  $\alpha = (\mathcal{G}, \varepsilon)$  such that  $\alpha \geq \alpha_i$  for each  $i$ , we show for  $K = (4n_\alpha)^{-1}$  the inclusion

$$A(K\alpha, \varrho) \subset \Gamma_2.$$

To this end let  $\mu_0, \nu_0$  be measures such that  $\varrho_0 = \mu_0 + \nu_0 \in A(K\alpha, \varrho)$ . To prove  $\varrho_0 \in \Gamma_2$  we have to establish  $(\mu_0, \nu_0) \in \Gamma_1$ . This will be done by constructing measures  $\mu, \nu \in M(X)$  such that  $\mu + \nu = \varrho$  and  $\mu_0 \in A(\alpha, \mu)$ ,  $\nu_0 \in A(\alpha, \nu)$ . Indeed, if the index  $i$  is chosen such that  $(\mu, \nu) \in A(\alpha_i, \mu_i) \times A(\alpha_i, \nu_i)$  holds, this means

$$(\mu_0, \nu_0) \in A(2\alpha_i, \mu_i) \times A(2\alpha_i, \nu_i) \subset \Gamma_1.$$

In the proof of Theorem (2.1) the complementary problem was solved: The measures  $\mu_0$  and  $\nu_0$  were constructed for given  $\mu, \nu$ . So to apply Lemma (2.2) the inequality signs have to be converted or, equivalently, open and closed sets have to change roles. Let therefore  $B_1, \dots, B_m, p$ , and  $Y$  be as in the proof of (2.1).  $Y$  is now endowed with the topology

$$\mathcal{G}(Y) = \left\{ I \subset Y : X \setminus \bigcup_{k \in I} B_k \in \mathcal{G} \right\}.$$

Since  $p$  remains Borel measurable the image measures  $\tilde{\mu}_0, \tilde{\nu}_0, \tilde{\varrho}_0$  and  $\tilde{\varrho}$  of  $\mu_0, \nu_0, \varrho_0$  and  $\varrho$  under the mapping  $p$  can be considered.  $\varrho_0 \in A(K\alpha, \varrho)$  yields now  $\tilde{\varrho}_0 \in A(2K\beta, \tilde{\varrho}_0)$  for  $\beta = (\mathcal{G}(Y), \varepsilon)$  since  $X \in \mathcal{G}$  implies

$$\tilde{\varrho}(Y) = \varrho(X) < \varrho_0(X) + K\varepsilon = \tilde{\varrho}_0(Y) + K\varepsilon,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\varrho}(I) &= \tilde{\varrho}(Y) - \tilde{\varrho}(Y \setminus I) \\ &= \varrho(X) - \varrho(X \setminus \bigcup \{B_k : k \in I\}) \\ &> (\varrho_0(X) - K\varepsilon) - (\varrho_0(X \setminus \bigcup \{B_k : k \in I\}) + K\varepsilon) \\ &= \tilde{\varrho}_0(I) - 2K\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$



for each  $I \in \mathcal{G}(Y)$ . By Lemma (2.2) there exist measures

$$\tilde{\mu} \in A(2^{-1}\beta, \tilde{\mu}_0), \quad \tilde{\nu} \in A(2^{-1}\beta, \tilde{\nu}_0)$$

such that  $\tilde{\mu} + \tilde{\nu} = \tilde{\varrho}$ . After putting them back to  $X$  as in the proof of (2.1) measures  $\mu, \nu \in M(X)$  with image measures  $\tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\nu}$  are obtained. As above  $\mu_0 \in A(\alpha, \mu)$  and  $\nu_0 \in A(\alpha, \nu)$  follows and finishes the proof.  $\square$

Let us conclude with some remarks.

(1) Theorem (3.2) can easily be carried over to the spaces of regular,  $\tau$ -smooth or tight measures as in (2.3).

(2) The following easy application of (3.2) is sometimes useful. Let  $X$  be a topological space,  $\varrho_j, j \in J$ , a net in  $M(X)$  which converges to  $\varrho \in M(X)$  and  $\Gamma$  an open set in  $M(X)$  with  $D(\varrho) \subset \Gamma$ . Then  $D(\varrho_j) \subset \Gamma$  holds eventually. Indeed, since  $E(\varrho) \subset \Gamma \times \Gamma$  and the set  $\{\mu \in M(X) : E(\mu) \subset \Gamma \times \Gamma\}$  is open by Theorem (3.2),  $E(\varrho_j) \subset \Gamma \times \Gamma$  holds eventually and implies  $D(\varrho_j) \subset \Gamma$ .

(3) Straightforward considerations provide generalizations of the Theorems (2.1) and (3.2), which yield that the map

$$M(X)^n \ni (\mu_k)_{k \leq n} \rightarrow \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_k \in M(X)$$

is open and closed for arbitrary natural numbers  $n \geq 1$ . Openness and closedness of countable addition are easily obtained by choosing reasonable domains in  $M(X)^{\mathbb{N}}$  and ranges in  $M(X)$  (see [10, (1.9) and (2.6)]). The result of Groemig [8] is therefore a consequence of the results of this paper (see [10, (2.7)]).

## References

1. Chang, S.M.: On continuous image averaging of probability measures. *Pac. J. Math.* **65**, 13–17 (1976)
2. Degens, P.O.: Clusteranalyse auf topologisch-maßtheoretischer Grundlage. Dissertation, LMU Munich, 1978
3. Ditor, S., Eifler, L.Q.: Some open mapping theorems for measures. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **164**, 287–293 (1972)
4. Eifler, L.Q.: Open mapping theorems for probability measures on metric spaces. *Pac. J. Math.* **66**, 89–97 (1976)
5. Eifler, L.Q.: Convex averaging of Radon probability measures. *Glasnik Mat., III. Ser.* **12**(32), 21–24 (1977)
6. Eifler, L.Q.: Some open mapping theorems for marginals. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **211**, 311–319 (1975)
7. Engelking, R.: *General topology*. Warsaw: Polish Scientific Publ. 1977
8. Groemig, W.: On a weakly closed subset of the space of  $\tau$ -smooth measures. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **43**, 397–401 (1974)
9. Halmos, P.R.: *Measure theory*. New York: Van Nostrand 1950
10. Schief, A.: *Topologische Eigenschaften maßwertiger Abbildungen und stochastische Anwendungen*. Dissertation, LMU Munich, 1986
11. Schwartz, L.: *Radon measures*. London: Oxford University Press 1973
12. Topsøe, F.: *Topology and measure*. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Vol. 133. Berlin, Heidelberg, New York: Springer 1970

Received May 26, 1987