

The Fibre of the Prym Map in Genus Three*

Alessandro Verra

Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Torino, via Principe Amedeo, 8, I-10123 Torino, Italy

1

If $\pi: \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ is an étale double cover of a smooth curve of genus g and $\pi_*: J\tilde{C} \rightarrow JC$ is the induced morphism of jacobians one defines the Prym variety as the connected component of the identity in $\text{Ker}(\pi_*)$:

$$P(\tilde{C}, C) = (\text{Ker } \pi_*)^0;$$

$\dim(P(\tilde{C}, C)) = g - 1$ and $P(\tilde{C}, C)$ has a natural principal polarization $\Xi = \frac{1}{2}(P \cdot \theta)$, θ being the theta divisor on $J\tilde{C}$, [12, p. 342]. We denote by

$$\mathcal{R}_g, \mathcal{A}_g$$

respectively the coarse moduli spaces for the pairs (\tilde{C}, C) and for principally polarized abelian varieties of dimension g ; then the morphism

$$P: \mathcal{R}_g \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g-1}$$

which sends the class of (\tilde{C}, C) in the class of $P(\tilde{C}, C)$ is by definition the Prym map. P extends to a morphism $\bar{P}: \bar{\mathcal{R}}_g \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g-1}$; where $\bar{\mathcal{R}}_g$ contains \mathcal{R}_g as a dense open subset and is the moduli space for allowable double covers of stable genus g curves, [2], [8, Definition 2.1].

\bar{P} is known to be generically injective for $g > 6$, [9], and dominant for $g = 6$, [8]. For $g = 5$ it is announced in [7] that the fibre of \bar{P} is a double cover of the Fano surface of the lines of a cubic threefold; while, for $g = 4$, it is known that the fibre of \bar{P} is a 3-dimensional Kummer variety, [16].

In this paper we study the (extended) Prym map:

$$\bar{P}: \bar{\mathcal{R}}_3 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_2.$$

It turns out that, in this case too, the fibre of \bar{P} is rich of geometry; our main result is the following:

Let \tilde{S} be an abelian surface, s its moduli point, $\theta \subset \tilde{S}$ a symmetric theta divisor; assume s general [i.e. $\text{Aut}(\theta) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$], then

* Research partially supported by grants of Italian Ministry of Public Instruction and the C.N.R.

(1) $\bar{P}^{-1}(s)$ is obtained from the Siegel modular quartic threefold V by a sequence of two blowing up's σ_1 and σ_2 . $\sigma_1: \hat{V} \rightarrow V$ blows up V in a point v , while $\sigma_2: \bar{P}^{-1}(s) \rightarrow \hat{V}$ is centered along a curve B isomorphic to $\theta/\text{Aut}(\theta)$. The exceptional divisor of $\sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2$ is the union of two surfaces \mathcal{S}_s and \mathcal{E}_s . The points of $\mathcal{S}_s = (\sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2)^{-1}(v)$ correspond to double covers $\pi: \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ such that \tilde{C} is hyperelliptic. $\mathcal{E}_s = \sigma_2^{-1}(B)$ is entirely contained in $\mathcal{R}_3 - \mathcal{R}_3$, its points correspond to allowable double covers $\pi: \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ such that both \tilde{C} and C are elliptic tails, [7, (3) 2.3 and Sect. 3 (3.16)].

The Siegel modular quartic threefold $V \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ is considered by Van der Geer in [19]. In this paper the author shows that, via theta functions, V is biregular to $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_2^2$; where \mathcal{A}_2^2 is the moduli space for principally polarized abelian surfaces endowed with a level two structure and $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_2^2$ is a minimal compactification of it. Thus we can rephrase our result in this way:

(2) $\bar{P}^{-1}(s)$ is obtained from $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_2^2$ by a sequence of two blowing up's σ_1 and σ_2 as above.

Since σ_1 has its center in a point $v \in \mathcal{A}_2^2$, we are also able to deduce from [19] that $f(v) = s$; $f: \mathcal{A}_2^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_2$ being the forgetful map.

The proof of our theorem relies on the results in [13, 15] and the classical geometry of Kummer surfaces, [4, 11]: If \tilde{C} is a stable curve in the linear system $|2\theta|$ then the quotient morphism $\pi: \tilde{C} \rightarrow \tilde{C}/\langle -1 \rangle$ is allowable and the associated Prym variety is \tilde{S} . Since $|2\theta| = \mathbb{P}^3$ this defines a rational map $\phi: \mathbb{P}^3 \rightarrow \bar{P}^{-1}(s)$ sending \tilde{C} in class of $(\tilde{C}, \tilde{C}/\langle -1 \rangle)$ in \mathcal{R}_3 . We describe the curve $T = \{ \tilde{C} \in |2\theta| / \tilde{C} \text{ is not stable} \}$ which is the fundamental locus of ϕ (Sect. 2) and show that the complementary set of $\phi(\mathbb{P}^3 - T)$ in $\bar{P}^{-1}(s)$ contains exactly the classes of pairs (\tilde{C}, C) such that \tilde{C} is hyperelliptic or both \tilde{C} and C are elliptic tails.

On the other hand the group G of the translation on \tilde{S} by elements of order 2 acts linearly on $|2\theta|$; We use the geometry of Kummer surfaces to show that ϕ is the restriction to $\mathbb{P}^3 - T$ of the quotient morphism $p: \mathbb{P}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3/G$. By [4, Vol. III, p. 210], \mathbb{P}^3/G is biregular to the Siegel modular quartic threefold V , hence $\bar{P}^{-1}(s)$ is birational to V . To complete the description of $\bar{P}^{-1}(s)$ we show in Sect. 3 how to extend ϕ to a finite surjective morphism $\tilde{\phi}$ on a suitable birational model $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}^3$ of \mathbb{P}^3 such that $\bar{P}^{-1}(s) = \tilde{\phi}(\tilde{\mathbb{P}}^3) = \mathbb{P}^3/G = (\sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2)^{-1}(V)$.

We work over the complex field. Nevertheless our result, as it is stated in (1), seems to hold in any characteristic $\neq 2$.

Further Comments and Notations

Two allowable double covers $\pi_i: \tilde{C}_i \rightarrow C_i$, ($i=1, 2$), are said to be isomorphic if there exists isomorphisms $\tilde{\beta}: \tilde{C}_1 \rightarrow \tilde{C}_2$ and $\beta: C_1 \rightarrow C_2$ such that $\beta \cdot \pi_1 = \pi_2 \cdot \tilde{\beta}$.

If $\pi: \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ is an allowable double cover $[\tilde{C}, C]$ stands for its isomorphism class in \mathcal{R}_g . Conversely, $\forall [\tilde{C}, C] \in \mathcal{R}_g$, the corresponding double cover will be denoted by π .

We recall that to give $\pi: \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ is equivalent to give a pair (C, η) where η is a non zero order two element in $\text{Pic}(C)$, [2, Lemma 3.2]; if C is smooth these elements are $2^{2g} - 1$.

If S is a principally polarized abelian variety, $\dim(S) = g$, then $[S]$ stands for its class in \mathcal{A}_g . $-1: S \rightarrow S$ is the involution sending p in $-p$; if $Z \subset S$ then $-Z$ is its

image by -1 . Z is said to be symmetric if $Z = -Z$. We denote by θ a symmetric theta divisor on S and by S_n the set of the elements of order n on S .

If C is a curve J_C stands for its jacobian, ω_C for the dualizing sheaf.

If V is a vector or projective space V^\wedge denotes its dual.

2

Let \tilde{S} be a principally polarized abelian surface, s its class in \mathcal{A}_2 , $\theta \in \tilde{S}$ a symmetric theta divisor; the 3-dimensional linear system $|\tilde{2}\theta|$ will be denoted by

$$\mathbb{P}^3.$$

In this section we construct a dominant rational map

$$\phi: \mathbb{P}^3 \rightarrow \bar{P}^{-1}(s)$$

and study its fundamental locus; ($\bar{P}: \bar{\mathcal{H}}_3 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_2$ is the extended Prym map: see Definition 2.2). With this purpose, let us review some known facts about the geometry of Kummer and abelian surfaces:

Proposition 2.1. (a) *Every element \tilde{C} of \mathbb{P}^3 is a symmetric curve of arithmetic genus 5. \mathbb{P}^3 is a base point free linear system and its general element is a smooth, irreducible curve.*

Let $g: \tilde{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ be the morphism associated to $|\tilde{C}|$ and $R = g(\tilde{S})$, then:

(b) *Either $\deg(g) = 2$ and R is the Kummer quartic surface of \tilde{S} or $\deg(g) = 4$ and R is a smooth quadric.*

(c) $\text{Deg}(g) = 2 \Leftrightarrow \tilde{S} = J\theta$, where θ is a smooth, irreducible genus 2 curve. $\text{Deg}(g) = 4 \Leftrightarrow \tilde{S} = E \times F$, with E, F elliptic curves, $\theta = \{e\} \times F + E \times \{f\}$, (e, f being the identities on E, F).

Proof. Cf. [3, p. 129, pp. 139–142]; [10, Chap. 6]; [11].

We want to describe the elements \tilde{C} of \mathbb{P}^3 according to their singularities and their stability, therefore let us consider the following subvarieties of \mathbb{P}^3 , [which is canonically identified to its bidual $(\mathbb{P}^3)^\wedge$]:

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} R^\wedge &= \text{dual surface of } R, \\ Z_\tau &= \{\tilde{C} \in \mathbb{P}^3 / \tau \in \tilde{C}\}, \quad \text{with } \tau \in \tilde{S}, \\ Z &= \cup Z_\tau, \quad \tau \in \tilde{S}, \\ T &= Z \cap R^\wedge. \end{aligned}$$

R^\wedge is birational to R ; if $\deg(R) = 2$ then R^\wedge is a smooth quadric. If $\deg(R) = 4$ it is well known that R^\wedge is a Kummer quartic surface. Z_τ is just a plane in \mathbb{P}^3 .

The following fact is a standard consequence of the duality between \tilde{S} and $\tilde{S}^\wedge = \text{Pic}^0(\tilde{S})$ (cf. [14, II.8]):

Let $\tilde{\delta}: \tilde{S} \rightarrow \tilde{S}^\wedge$ be the duality isomorphism sending $x \in \tilde{S}$ in $\tilde{\delta}(x) = x^\wedge =$ class of $\theta_x - \theta_{-x}$ in $\text{Pic}^0(\tilde{S})$. The principal polarization of \tilde{S}^\wedge is $\theta^\wedge = \tilde{\delta}(\theta) = \{x^\wedge / x \in \theta\}$; moreover, by the square theorem on an abelian variety, $\theta_x + \theta_{-x}$ is linearly equivalent to 2θ . Let $g^\wedge: \tilde{S}^\wedge \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ be the morphism sending x^\wedge in $\theta_x + \theta_{-x}$, g^\wedge is

associated to $|\mathcal{O}(-2\theta)|$ and the following diagram commutes:

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{S} & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \tilde{S}^\wedge \\ g \downarrow & & \downarrow g^\wedge \\ R & \xrightarrow{\delta} & R^\wedge \end{array}$$

where δ is the birational map sending R in its dual surface.

Proposition 2.2. (a) $\tilde{C} \in Z \cup R^\wedge \Leftrightarrow \tilde{C}$ is a singular curve.

(b) $\tilde{C} \in R^\wedge \Leftrightarrow \tilde{C} = \theta_a + \theta_{-a}$ for some $a \in \tilde{S}$.

(c) $\tilde{C} \in Z - T \Leftrightarrow \tilde{C}$ is reduced, irreducible with $m \leq 3$ ordinary nodes and $\text{Sing}(\tilde{C}) = \tilde{S}_2 \cap \tilde{C}$.

Proof. (a) Let $S = \tilde{S}/\langle -1 \rangle$ be the Kummer surface of \tilde{S} , $q: \tilde{S} \rightarrow S$ the quotient morphism; the ramification set of q is \tilde{S}_2 and $q(\tilde{S}_2) = \text{Sing}(S)$. Moreover $g = \sigma q$ and either $R = S$, $\sigma = \text{id}_S$ or $\deg(R) = 2$, $\sigma: S \rightarrow R$ is a finite morphism of degree 2. Therefore \tilde{C} singular $\Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{2}q_*\tilde{C}$ singular \Leftrightarrow either $(1/\deg(g))g_*\tilde{C}$ is a singular plane section of R or $g(\tau) \in g(\tilde{C})$ for some $\tau \in \tilde{S}_2 \Leftrightarrow \tilde{C} \in Z \cup R^\wedge$.

(b) Let $g^\wedge: \tilde{S}^\wedge \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ be as above, by (2.2) $g(\tilde{S}^\wedge) = R^\wedge$. This implies (b).

(c) The “if” part follows from the equivalences in (a) and (b). Conversely, assume $\tilde{C} \in Z - T$, then, by definition of R^\wedge , $\tilde{C} \notin R^\wedge \Rightarrow \text{Sing}(\tilde{C}) \subset \tilde{S}_2$. Let us show that $\tilde{S}_2 \cap \tilde{C} \subset \text{Sing}(\tilde{C})$ and that every singular point of \tilde{C} is a node. Let $\tau \in \tilde{S}_2 \cap \tilde{C}$, up to translating by an order 2 element we can assume $\tau = o = \text{identity on } \tilde{S}$ and $o \in \theta$. Notice that $\tilde{S}_2 \cap \theta$ is the set of the six Weierstrass points of θ and that every translate θ_j of θ , ($j = 1, \dots, 6$), by such a point is a symmetric theta divisor containing o . Moreover $2\theta_j \in |\mathcal{O}(2\theta)|$ and any three elements of the set $\{2\theta_j\}, j = 1, \dots, 6$ are linearly independent in $|\mathcal{O}(2\theta)|$. Let us fix $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3$ together with local coordinates u, v at o ; let $p_i = p_i(u, v) = 0$, ($i = 1, 2, 3$), be the local equation of θ_i at o , then every element of Z_0 has a local equation: $x_1 p_1^2 + x_2 p_2^2 + x_3 p_3^3 = 0$; where $x = (x_1 : x_2 : x_3) \in \mathbb{P}^2$. If \tilde{S} is not a product of two elliptic curves θ is smooth and it is immediate to check that θ_i and θ_j are transversal at o , ($i \neq j; i, j = 1, 2, 3$). Therefore we can assume $p_1 = u + \text{higher degree terms}$, $p_2 = v + \dots$, $p_3 = au + bv + \dots$, ($a, b \neq 0$). If $\tilde{S} = E \times F$ then $o = (e, f)$, (e, f being the identities on the elliptic curves E, F) and we can choose θ_1, θ_2 smooth and transversal at o ; $\theta_3 = \theta = \{e\} \times F + E \times \{f\}$. The local equations are as above but for the third which has $a = b = 0$. In both cases \tilde{C} is given by setting $0 = x_1 u^2 + x_2 v^2 + x_3 (au + bv)^2 + \dots$. Notice that $x_1 = x_2 = 0 \Rightarrow \tilde{C} = 2\theta \Rightarrow \tilde{C} \in T$. Hence \tilde{C} has a double point at o and $\text{Sing}(\tilde{C}) = \tilde{S}_2 \cap \tilde{C}$.

To check that o is a node for \tilde{C} we describe explicitly the curve $B \subset Z_0$ of the elements for which this is not true. The equation of B is clearly the discriminant of the quadratic form in $u, v: x_1 u^2 + x_2 v^2 + x_3 (au + bv)^2$ and B is a conic. Notice that the differential of the -1 involution on \tilde{S} acts as the identity on the tangent space $T_{\tilde{S}, o} = H^0(\theta, \omega_\theta)$; hence $o \in \theta_x \cap \theta_{-x} \Rightarrow \theta_x, \theta_{-x}$ are not transversal at o . Moreover $o \in \theta_x \cap \theta_{-x} \Leftrightarrow x \in \theta$, so that $\{\theta_x + \theta_{-x}, x \in \theta\} \subset B$. It is easy to check that the previous inclusion is actually a bijection; hence $B \subset T$ and o is a node for \tilde{C} . In particular:

$$(2.3) \quad B = \{\theta_x + \theta_{-x}, x \in \theta\} = \theta / \langle -1 \rangle.$$

Let $\tilde{C} \in Z - T$, to complete the proof observe that: (1) $\tilde{C} \in Z - T \Rightarrow \tilde{C}$ has finitely many singular points $\Rightarrow \tilde{C}$ is reduced.

(2) Let $D \subset \tilde{C}$ be an irreducible component of \tilde{C} , $\tau \in D \cap \tilde{S}_2$; as for the case $\tau = o$ the differential of the -1 involution of \tilde{S} acts as the identity on $T_{\tilde{S}, \tau}$; hence $-D$ is not transversal to D at τ . Since $-D \subset \tilde{C}$ and τ is a node for \tilde{C} the only possibility is $D = -D$. Moreover D is the unique irreducible component of \tilde{C} through τ . Since \tilde{C} is connected and $\text{Sing}(\tilde{C}) = \tilde{C} \cap \tilde{S}_2$ it follows $D = \tilde{C}$ and \tilde{C} is irreducible.

(3) Assume \tilde{C} has $m \geq 4$ nodes, then $C = \tilde{C} / \langle -1 \rangle$ is a curve of arithmetic genus 3 with at least 4 singular points. Hence C splits and also \tilde{C} splits: contradiction.

We recall that a curve C is said to be *stable* if it is connected with only nodes as its singularities and if every smooth, irreducible, rational component contains three nodes of C .

Definition 2.1. Let $\tilde{S}, \theta, g: \tilde{S} \rightarrow R$ be as above, then, for every $\tau \in \tilde{S}_2$, the curve $g(\theta_\tau)$ is said to be a *trope* of R , [11].

Every trope is a conic and it is biregular to $\theta / \langle -1 \rangle$, [1], [10, Chap. 6].

Corollary 2.1. (a) Let $\tilde{C} \in \mathbb{P}^3$, then \tilde{C} not stable $\Leftrightarrow \tilde{C} \in T \Leftrightarrow \tilde{C} = \theta_{x+\tau} + \theta_{-x+\tau}$, for some $x \in \theta, \tau \in \tilde{S}_2$.

(b) T is the union of the tropes of R . $\text{Sing}(T) = g(\tilde{S}_2)$. In particular: $\tilde{C} \in \text{Sing}(T) \Leftrightarrow \tilde{C} = 2\theta_\tau$, for some $\tau \in \tilde{S}_2$.

Proof. (a) follows from Proposition 2.2 and the proof of its statement (c). (b): from (2.3) and the commutative diagram (2.2) it follows $T = \text{union of the tropes of } R$, $\text{Sing}(T) = g(\tilde{S}_2)$.

We quote from the theory of Prym varieties some basic results and definitions:

Definition 2.2. Let $\pi: \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ be a double cover of stable curves of arithmetic genera $2g - 1$ and g . Let $i: \tilde{C} \rightarrow \tilde{C}$ be the involution induced by $\pi, i_*: J\tilde{C} \rightarrow J\tilde{C}, \pi_*: J\tilde{C} \rightarrow JC$ the induced homomorphisms of (generalized) jacobians. Consider $P(\tilde{C}, C) = \text{Im}(\text{id} - i_*) = \text{Ker}(\pi_*)^0$: π is said to be allowable if the following equivalent conditions hold:

- (1) $P(\tilde{C}, C)$ is a $g - 1$ dimensional abelian variety;
- (2) the only fixed points of i are nodes where the two branches are not exchanged. The number of nodes exchanged by i equals the number of irreducible components exchanged by i .

The equivalence of (1) and (2) is shown in [2, Lemma 5.1]. $P(\tilde{C}, C)$ is principally polarized by $\frac{1}{2}(\theta \cdot P(\tilde{C}, C))$, ($\theta = \text{theta divisor of } J\tilde{C}$).

Let $\bar{\mathcal{R}}_g$ be the coarse moduli space for allowable double covers of genus g curves: $\bar{\mathcal{R}}_g$ exists, is irreducible and contains \mathcal{R}_g as an open subset; moreover the map

$$\bar{P}: \bar{\mathcal{R}}_g \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g-1}$$

sending $[\tilde{C}, C] \in \bar{\mathcal{R}}_g$ in $[P(\tilde{C}, C)]$ is a proper morphism, [2, 8]. \bar{P} is called the extended Prym map; of course $\bar{P} / \mathcal{R}_3 = P = \text{Prym map for etale double covers}$.

Definition 2.3. Let $\pi: \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ be an allowable double cover, $X = P(\tilde{C}, C), j: \tilde{C} \rightarrow J\tilde{C}$ the Abel-Jacobi map. The composition morphism

$$(\text{id} - i_*) \cdot j = \alpha: \tilde{C} \rightarrow X$$

is called the Abel-Prym map.

Proposition 2.3. *Let $\pi : \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ be an allowable double cover, $\alpha : \tilde{C} \rightarrow X = P(\tilde{C}, C)$ the Abel-Prym map, Ξ the theta divisor of X . Then $\alpha_* \tilde{C}$ is a symmetric curve representing the homology class $(2/(d-2)!) \Xi^{d-2}$, $(\dim(X)=d)$. Moreover, if $\alpha_*(\tilde{C})$ is stable, then π is the quotient map $\alpha_*(\tilde{C}) \rightarrow \alpha_*(\tilde{C})/\langle -1 \rangle$.*

Proof. Cf. [15, Lemma 3.2].

The converse of this proposition can be stated in the following way:

Proposition 2.4. *Let X be a d -dimensional abelian variety, Ξ its principal polarization, $\tilde{C} \subset X$ a symmetric curve representing the homology class $(2/(d-2)!) \Xi^{d-2}$. If the quotient morphism $\pi : \tilde{C} \rightarrow \tilde{C}/\langle -1 \rangle$ is allowable then $X = P(\tilde{C}, C)$ as a principally polarized abelian variety.*

Moreover, up to translating by an order 2 element, the inclusion $\tilde{C} \subset X$ is the Abel-Prym map.

Proof. Cf. [15, 5.3], [8, 4.4], [2].

It is easy to apply these results to the situation we considered at the beginning of this section: let \tilde{S}, θ be as above, $\mathbb{P}^3 = |2\theta|$. Consider the quotient morphism $q : \tilde{S} \rightarrow \tilde{S}/\langle -1 \rangle$, then, for every $\tilde{C} \in \mathbb{P}^3$, if the double cover $q/\tilde{C} : \tilde{C} \rightarrow C = \tilde{C}/\langle -1 \rangle$ is allowable it follows from Proposition 2.4 that $P(\tilde{C}, C) = \tilde{S}$. Hence $[\tilde{C}, C] \in \bar{P}^{-1}(s)$.

Proposition 2.5. *Let $\tilde{C} \in \mathbb{P}^3$, then q/\tilde{C} is allowable if and only if \tilde{C} is stable.*

Proof. It follows from the description of stable elements of \mathbb{P}^3 given in Proposition 2.2, Corollary 2.1: \tilde{C} stable $\Leftrightarrow \tilde{C} \in \mathbb{P}^3 - T$; assume \tilde{C} stable, let $i : \tilde{C} \rightarrow \tilde{C}$ be the involution induced by q/\tilde{C} . o is fixed by i iff o is a node and $o \in \tilde{S}_2 \cap \tilde{C}$, since the differential of -1 is the identity on $T_{\tilde{S}, o}$ the two branches of \tilde{C} at o are not interchanged. If \tilde{C} is reducible then $\tilde{C} = \theta_+ + \theta_-$ and it is immediate to check that the number of nodes exchanged by i equals the number of irreducible components exchanged by i .

By Propositions 2.4, 2.5 there exists a map

$$\phi : \mathbb{P}^3 - T \rightarrow \bar{P}^{-1}(s)$$

sending $\tilde{C} \in \mathbb{P}^3$ in $[\tilde{C}, \tilde{C}/\langle -1 \rangle] = \text{class of } q/\tilde{C}$.

Let $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} = \{(\tilde{C}, x) \in (\mathbb{P}^3 - T) \times \tilde{S}/x \in \tilde{C}\}$ be the universal curve over $\mathbb{P}^3 - T$, $\mathcal{C} = \{(\tilde{C}, y) \in (\mathbb{P}^3 - T) \times \tilde{S}/\langle -1 \rangle/y \in \tilde{C}/\langle -1 \rangle\}$ the universal quotient curve, $R : \tilde{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ the obvious quotient morphism; then there exists a commutative diagram

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\mathcal{C}} & \xrightarrow{R} & \mathcal{C} \\ \tilde{p} \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ \mathbb{P}^3 - T & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & \mathbb{P}^3 - T \end{array}$$

(\tilde{p}, p being the natural projections). If $z \in \mathbb{P}^3 - T$ and $\tilde{p}^{-1}(z) = \tilde{C}$ then $p^{-1}(z) = \tilde{C}/\langle -1 \rangle$ and $R/\tilde{p}^{-1}(z) = q/\tilde{C}$. Hence, by the universal property of \mathcal{R}_3 , ϕ is a morphism.

3

Let $\phi : \mathbb{P}^3 - T \rightarrow \bar{P}^{-1}(s)$ be as above: ϕ is a rational map from \mathbb{P}^3 in $\bar{P}^{-1}(s)$ having T as its fundamental locus. In this section we show that ϕ extends to a morphism

$$\tilde{\phi} = \phi \cdot \sigma : \mathbb{P}^3 \rightarrow \bar{P}^{-1}(s)$$

where $\sigma = \sigma_2 \cdot \sigma_1 : \mathbb{P}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$, $\sigma_2 =$ blowing up of \mathbb{P}^3 at $\text{Sing}(T)$ and σ_1 blows up the strict transform of the conics which are components of T .

For sake of simplicity, unless explicitly mentioned, we assume \tilde{S} is not a product (i.e. θ smooth, irreducible). If \tilde{S} is a product the results are analogous (cf. Remark 3.1).

If $[\tilde{C}, C] \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_3$ we will denote by $\pi : \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ the corresponding allowable double cover, by α the Abel-Prym map and by i the involution induced by π on \tilde{C} .

A stable curve C , ($p_a(C) > 1$), will be said *hyperelliptic*, [2, Lemma 4.7] iff there exist $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(C)$ such that $h^0(\mathcal{L}) = \text{deg}(\mathcal{L}) = 2$ on every irreducible component of C . If C is hyperelliptic then \mathcal{L} is unique.

By Proposition 2.3, for every $[\tilde{C}, C] \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{-1}(s)$, $\alpha_* \tilde{C} \in \mathbb{P}^3$; therefore we need to know for which $[\tilde{C}, C] \alpha_* \tilde{C} \in T$.

Let $\sigma_1 : \mathbb{P}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ be the blowing up of \mathbb{P}^3 at $\text{Sing}(T)$. Assume $t \in \text{Sing}(T)$ is the point corresponding to the divisor 2θ (or $2\theta_\tau$, $\tau \in \tilde{S}_2$), let

$$E = \sigma_1^{-1}(t)$$

be the exceptional plane over t . Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}}(\theta)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}}(2\theta)) \xrightarrow{r} H^0(\mathcal{O}_\theta(2\theta)) \rightarrow 0:$$

the restriction homomorphism r defines a natural 1 : 1 correspondence between E and $\mathbb{P}H^0(\mathcal{O}_\theta(2\theta))$. Indeed:

$$(3.1) \quad E = \{\text{pencils } P \in |2\theta| \text{ containing } 2\theta \text{ as an element}\},$$

thus, to every $P = \lambda s_0 + \mu s_1$, ($(\lambda : \mu) \in \mathbb{P}^1$; $s_0, s_1 \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}}(2\theta))$, $\text{div}(s_0) = 2\theta$), it corresponds the one dimensional vector space $V_P \subset H^0(\mathcal{O}_\theta(2\theta))$ generated by $r(s_1) = s_1/\theta$. For every $P = \lambda s_0 + \mu s_1 \in E$ we denote by

$$(3.2) \quad b_P$$

the zero scheme of s_1/θ and by

$$(3.3) \quad \alpha_P : \tilde{C}_P \rightarrow \theta$$

the double covering of θ branched on b_P . Notice that $\mathcal{O}_\theta(2\theta) = \omega_\theta^2 =$ bicanonical sheaf of θ . Moreover \tilde{C}_P has arithmetic genus 5 and it is not difficult to see that \tilde{C}_P is stable but for the following exception:

$$(3.4) \quad b_P = 4\tau, \text{ where } \tau \text{ is a Weierstrass point of } \theta.$$

For every trope (Definition 2.1) $B \subset R$ let \hat{B} its strict transform by σ_1 and

$$(3.5) \quad \hat{T} \subset \mathbb{P}^3$$

the union of the curves \hat{B} , then:

Proposition 3.1. Assume $P \in E = \sigma_1^{-1}(t) \subset \sigma_1^{-1}(\text{Sing}(T)) =$ exceptional divisor of σ_1 ; then \tilde{C}_P is stable if and only if $P \notin \hat{T}$.

Proof. As it is well known θ contains exactly six points of order 2 so that there are six tropes $B_\tau = Z_\tau \cap R$, $\tau \in \tilde{S}_2 \cap \theta$, through the point t . As in the proof of

Proposition 2.2 we can choose local parameters u, v at τ and three symmetric theta divisors $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3 = \theta$ through τ whose equations are given respectively by the polynomials $p_1(u, v) = u + \dots, p_2(u, v) = v + \dots, p_3(u, v) = au + bv + \dots$. Then the curves of Z_τ are given by $x_1 p_1^2 + x_2 p_2^2 + x_3 p_3^2 = 0, ((x_1 : x_2 : x_3) \in \mathbb{P}^2)$ and the equation of B_τ is the discriminant of the quadratic form $x_1 u^2 + x_2 v^2 + x_3 (au + bv)^2$, (cf. proof of Proposition 2.2).

Therefore the tangent line to B_τ at t is the pencil $P = \{b^2 x_1 + a^2 x_2 = 0\}$ and the curve $C = \{a^2 p_1 - b^2 p_2 = 0\}$ belongs to P . Since $\theta = \{au + bv + \dots = 0\}$ C is tangent to θ and $C \cdot \theta = 3\tau + q$. On the other hand $b_p \in |\omega_\theta^2|$ so that the only possibility is $\tau = q, b_p = 4\tau$. Hence \tilde{C}_P is not stable. This implies the statement.

Proposition 3.2. *Assume $P \in \sigma_1^{-1}(\text{Sing}(T)), P \notin \hat{T}$. Then there exists a unique admissible double cover $\pi: \tilde{C}_P \rightarrow C_P$ such that $[\tilde{C}_P, C_P] \in \bar{P}^{-1}(s)$ and $\alpha_P: \tilde{C}_P \rightarrow \theta$ is the Abel-Prym map. Moreover \tilde{C}_P is hyperelliptic.*

Proof. Since $\varrho: \theta \rightarrow \theta/\langle -1 \rangle$ is the canonical map and $b_P \in |\omega_\theta^2|$ it follows that $\varrho(b_P)$ is a degree 2 divisor. Let $\beta: N \rightarrow \theta/\langle -1 \rangle$ be the double cover of $\theta/\langle -1 \rangle$ branched on $\varrho(b_P)$, consider the fibre product:

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{C} & \xrightarrow{\alpha'} & \theta \\ \gamma \downarrow & & \downarrow e \\ N & \xrightarrow{\beta} & \theta/\langle -1 \rangle \end{array}$$

clearly α' is branched on b_P ; hence $\tilde{C}_P = \tilde{C}, \alpha' = \alpha_P$. Since $p_a(N) = 0, \tilde{C}_P$ is hyperelliptic.

Let a and g be the involutions induced by α' and γ ; by (3.6) \tilde{C}_P carries a third involution $i = g \cdot a = a \cdot g$. It is not difficult to check that the quotient morphism $\pi: \tilde{C}_P \rightarrow C_P, (\tilde{C}_P = \tilde{C}_P/\langle -1 \rangle)$, is admissible. Let α be the Abel-Prym map of π : since \tilde{C}_P has a unique hyperelliptic linear series $i(x + g(x)) \sim x + g(x)$ for every $x \in \tilde{C}_P$. Hence $x - i(x) = \alpha(x) = i(g(x)) - g(x) = \alpha(i(g(x))) = \alpha(a(x))$ so that α factors through α' and $\alpha_*(\tilde{C}_P)$ is not reduced. Thus $\alpha_*(\tilde{C}_P)$ has to be twice the theta divisor of the Prym of π . This implies $\text{deg}(\alpha) = 2, \alpha = \alpha', \alpha_*(\tilde{C}_P) = 2\theta$ so that $[\tilde{C}_P, C_P] \in \bar{P}^{-1}(s)$. The uniqueness of the construction is clear.

By the previous proposition there exists a well defined map

$$\hat{\phi}: \hat{\mathbb{P}}^3 - \hat{T} \rightarrow \bar{P}^{-1}(s)$$

such that $\hat{\phi}(\hat{\mathbb{P}}^3 - \sigma_1^{-1}(T)) = \phi \cdot \sigma_1$ and, for every $P \in \sigma_1^{-1}(\text{Sing } T), P \notin \hat{T}$

$$\hat{\phi}(P) = [\tilde{C}_P, C_P] \text{ as in Proposition 3.2.}$$

Proposition 3.3. $\hat{\phi}: \hat{\mathbb{P}}^3 - \hat{T} \rightarrow \bar{P}^{-1}(s)$ is a morphism.

Proof. To show the theorem it is not restrictive to assume $\sigma_1 =$ blowing up of \mathbb{P}^3 in just one of the sixteen points of $\text{Sing}(T)$. Assume also that this point is t and corresponds to the divisor 2θ .

Let us consider as in (2.4) the universal curves $\tilde{p}: \tilde{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ in $\tilde{S} \times \mathbb{P}^3, p: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ in $\tilde{S}/\langle -1 \rangle \times \mathbb{P}^3$, together with the universal double cover $R: \tilde{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. Let s_0, s_1, s_2, s_3 be a basis for $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}}(2\theta))$ such that $\text{div}(s_0) = 2\theta$; assume $p_i(u, v) = 0$ is the equation for $\text{div}(s_i), i = 1, 2, 3$, on an open subset of \tilde{S} with local parameters u, v . Then $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ is

locally given in $\mathbb{P}^3 \times \tilde{S}$ by

$$(3.7) \quad z_1 p_1 + z_2 p_2 + z_3 p_3 + p_0^2 = 0,$$

where $p_0(u, v) = au + bv + \dots$ is a local equation for θ ; z_1, z_2, z_3 are affine coordinates on $\mathbb{A}^3 \subset \mathbb{P}^3$. After blowing up \mathbb{P}^3 at $t = (0, 0, 0)$, \mathcal{C} pulls back to a smooth, flat family $\tilde{d}: \tilde{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{P}}^3$ which is locally given by

$$(3.8) \quad z_3 x = z_1, z_3 y = z_2, z_3(p_1 + x p_2 + y p_3) + p_0^2 = 0.$$

After the local base change $\alpha: B \rightarrow \sigma_1^{-1}(\mathbb{A}^3)$ given by

$$\xi^2 = z_3$$

$\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ pulls back again to the family $\beta: \tilde{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow B$ which is given by

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \xi^2 x = z_1, \xi^2 y = z_2, \xi^2 = z_3 \\ \xi^2(p_1 + x p_2 + y p_3) + p_0^2 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

in local parameters $(\xi; x, y; z_1, z_2, z_3; u, v)$ on $\mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^2 \times \mathbb{A}^3 \times \tilde{S}$. Since α is unramified on $z_3 \neq 0$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ is smooth it follows that $\tilde{\mathcal{B}} - \{z_3 = 0\}$ is smooth.

On the other hand it is clear from (3.9) that

$$\text{Sing}(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}) = \{z_3 = 0\}.$$

Let q be any point of $\text{Sing}(\tilde{\mathcal{B}})$, we can always choose u, v so that $u(q) = v(q) = 0$, then the tangent cone to $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ at q is

$$(3.10) \quad \xi^2(\bar{p}_1 + \bar{x}\bar{p}_2 + \bar{y}\bar{p}_3) + (au + bv)^2 = 0, z_1 = z_2 = z_3 = 0,$$

(where $\bar{p}_i, \bar{x}, \bar{y}$ are the values of p_i, x, y at q). Moreover, if $\tilde{\beta}(q) = P''$, then $P = \alpha(P'') \in E = \sigma_1^{-1}(t)$ corresponds, via the bijection in (3.1), to the pencil

$$\{\lambda p_0^2 + \mu(p_1 + \bar{x}p_2 + \bar{y}p_3) = 0\}$$

and, obviously, $\tilde{\beta}^{-1}(P'') = \theta$. Then, by 3.10, it follows that

$$(3.11) \quad \mathcal{B} \text{ has two branches at } q \Leftrightarrow \bar{p}_1 + \bar{x}\bar{p}_2 + \bar{y}\bar{p}_3 = 0 \Leftrightarrow q \in b_p,$$

(where b_p is defined as in 3.2). Therefore the normalization

$$\tilde{p}': \tilde{\mathcal{C}}' \rightarrow B$$

of $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ is a family of curves such that, if $P'' \in B$ and $\alpha(P'') = P \in E$

$$\tilde{p}'^*(P'') = \tilde{C}_P$$

as in (3.3). Otherwise $\tilde{p}'^*(P'') = \tilde{p}'^*(\sigma_1(\alpha(P'')))$.

Consider now the universal quotient curve $p: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$, one can construct in exactly the same way, families $d: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ and $\beta: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow B$ from \mathcal{C} . In this case $q \in \mathcal{B}$ is a not normal double point if and only if $\beta(q) = P''$ and $\alpha(P'') = P \in E$. Moreover, if so, $\beta^{-1}(P'') = \theta / \langle -1 \rangle$. Observe also that $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{P}^3 \times \tilde{S} / \langle -1 \rangle$ is singular (with normal singularities) along $\mathcal{C} \cap (\mathbb{P}^3 \times \text{Sing}(\tilde{S} / \langle -1 \rangle))$ and \mathcal{D} is singular along the pull back of it. In particular, if $P \in E$, $d^{-1}(P) = \theta / \langle -1 \rangle$ intersects $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{D})$ along the six branch points of $q: \theta \rightarrow \theta / \langle -1 \rangle$. Using this remark and the equation for the tangent cone to \mathcal{B} at q as in (3.10) it turns out that

(3.12) \mathcal{B} has two branches at $q \Leftrightarrow q \in \varrho(b_p)$ or q is a branch point for ϱ .

Let $\tilde{p}' : \mathcal{C}' \rightarrow B$ be the normalization of \mathcal{B} : it is not difficult to see that the universal double covering $R : \tilde{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ induces a commutative diagram

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\mathcal{C}}' & \xrightarrow{R'} & \mathcal{C}' \\ \tilde{p}' \searrow & & \swarrow p' \\ & B & \end{array}$$

If $\alpha(P'') = P$ and $P \in E$ then the morphism of fibres $R_{p''} : \tilde{\mathcal{C}}'_{p''} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'_{p''}$ is exactly the allowable double covering considered in Proposition 3.2 (provided that $P \notin \hat{T}$). If $\alpha(P'') \notin E$ then $R_{p''} = R_p : \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_p$. Thus, by the universal property of \mathcal{A}_3 and Proposition 3.2, (3.13) defines a morphism $\psi : B \rightarrow \bar{P}^{-1}(s)$ such that $\hat{\phi} \cdot \alpha = \psi$. Hence $\hat{\phi}$ is a morphism.

Consider in $\bar{P}^{-1}(s)$ the subloci

$$\mathcal{I}_s, \mathcal{F}_s, \mathcal{E}_s,$$

which are defined in the following way:

(3.14) $[\tilde{C}, C] \in \mathcal{I}_s$ if and only if \tilde{C} is a hyperelliptic curve.

(3.15) (see [8, (2) 2.3], [2]): $[\tilde{C}, C] \in \mathcal{F}_s$ if and only if $\tilde{C} = \theta_1 \cup \theta_2$ is the union of two copies θ_j , ($j=1, 2$), of θ intersecting transversally at two points and i/θ_j is the identity isomorphism from θ_1 to θ_2 , (i being the involution induced by $\pi : \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$).

(3.16) (Elliptic tails: see [8 (3) 2.3], [2]): $[\tilde{C}, C] \in \mathcal{E}_s$ if and only if the following conditions hold:

(i) $\tilde{C} = \theta_1 \cup \tilde{E} \cup \theta_2$ is the union of two copies θ_j , ($j=1, 2$), of θ and a curve \tilde{E} of arithmetic genus 1. θ_j intersects \tilde{E} transversally in a point p_j ; $p_1 - p_2$ is a non zero order 2 element on $\text{Pic}^0(\tilde{E})$;

(ii) $i(\theta_1) = \theta_2$ and i/θ_1 is the identity isomorphism between the two copies of θ ; $i(\tilde{E}) = \tilde{E}$ and i/\tilde{E} induces the translation by $p_1 - p_2$ on $\text{Pic}^0(\tilde{E})$;

(iii) $C = \theta \cup E$; $\tilde{E} = E/\langle i \rangle$ and $p_a(E) = 1$. $E \cap \theta = \{p\}$, $p = \pi(p_1) = \pi(p_2)$.

Since \tilde{S} is not a product it follows easily that, for every $[\tilde{C}, C] \in \bar{P}^{-1}(s)$,

(3.17) \tilde{C} is reducible if and only if $[\tilde{C}, C] \in \mathcal{F}_s \cup \mathcal{E}_s$.

Furthermore:

(3.18) (i) $\mathcal{F}_s \cap \mathcal{E}_s = \emptyset$,

(ii) $\mathcal{F}_s \cap \mathcal{I}_s = \{[\tilde{C}, C] \in \mathcal{F}_s / \tilde{C} \text{ is obtained from two copies of } \theta \text{ by gluing the point } x \in \theta \text{ to } -x, (x \neq -x)\}$,

(iii) $\mathcal{E}_s \cap \mathcal{I}_s = \{[\tilde{C}, C] \in \mathcal{E}_s / \tilde{C} = \theta_1 \cup \tilde{E} \cup \theta_2 \text{ as in (3.16) and } \theta_j, (j=1, 2), \text{ is glued to } \tilde{E} \text{ in the Weierstrass point } \tau \in \theta \cap \tilde{S}_2\}$.

Proposition 3.4. $\hat{\phi}(\mathbb{P}^3 - \hat{T}) = \bar{P}^{-1}(s) - \mathcal{E}_s$. In particular:

(1) Let R' be the strict transform of R by σ_1 , then $\hat{\phi}(R' - (R' \cap \hat{T})) = \mathcal{F}_s$;

(2) Let E be an exceptional plane for σ_1 , then $\hat{\phi}(E - (E \cap \hat{T})) = \mathcal{F}_s - (\mathcal{F}_s \cap \mathcal{E}_s)$.

Proof. $[\tilde{C}, C] \in \hat{\phi}(\mathbb{P}^3 - \hat{T}) \Rightarrow \alpha(\tilde{C}) \in |2\theta|$ or \tilde{C} is a finite double cover of θ . In both cases \tilde{C} cannot split as in (3.16); hence $\hat{\phi}(\mathbb{P}^3 - \hat{T}) \subset \bar{P}^{-1}(s) - \mathcal{E}_s$. Conversely let $[\tilde{C}, C] \in \bar{P}^{-1}(s) - \mathcal{E}_s$, assume \tilde{C} is irreducible: If $\deg(\alpha) = 1$ then, by Corollary 2.1, $\alpha_*(\tilde{C})$ is stable; hence, by Proposition 2.3, $[\tilde{C}, C] = [\alpha_*(\tilde{C}), \alpha(\tilde{C}) / \langle -1 \rangle]$, so that $[\tilde{C}, C] \in \hat{\phi}(\mathbb{P}^3 - T)$. If $\deg(\alpha) > 1$ consider $x, y \in \tilde{C}$, ($x \neq y$), such that $\alpha(x) = \alpha(y)$. Then $x - i(x) \sim y - i(y)$ so that $x + i(y) \sim y + i(x)$ and \tilde{C} is hyperelliptic. Thus $\alpha_*(\tilde{C}) = (\deg \alpha) \alpha_*(\tilde{C}) = 2\theta$ and the following diagram commutes:

$$(3.19) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{C} & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \theta \\ \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow e \\ \tilde{C} & \xrightarrow{\beta} & \theta / \langle -1 \rangle \end{array}$$

Since π is admissible $\pi^* \omega_C = \omega_{\tilde{C}}$, [2, Lemma 5.1]; hence it easily follows that β is branched on $w + b$; where $w = \sum \tau$, $\tau \in \theta \cap \tilde{S}_2$ is a Weierstrass point and $\deg(b) = 2$. Therefore $\rho^* b \in |\omega_{\theta}^2|$ and $\rho^* b = b_P$ for some $P \in E$. Moreover α is branched on b_P so that $\tilde{C} = \tilde{C}_P$ and, being \tilde{C} stable, $P \notin E \cap \hat{T}$. By Proposition 3.3 $\hat{\phi}(P) = [\tilde{C}, C]$. In the end assume $[\tilde{C}, C] \in \bar{P}^{-1}(s) - \mathcal{E}_s$, \tilde{C} reducible; then $[\tilde{C}, C] \in \mathcal{F}_s$. Looking to the Abel-Prym map one sees that either $\alpha_*(\tilde{C}) = \tilde{C} = \theta_x + \theta_{-x} \in R$ or α is a double cover of θ branched on $2(x + y)$, ($x \neq y$).

This implies $\hat{\phi}(\mathbb{P}^3 - \hat{T}) = \bar{P}^{-1}(s) - \mathcal{E}_s$ and the statement (1).

To show (2) observe that, since \tilde{S} is not a product, $|2\theta|$ cannot contain elements which are hyperelliptic curves. Hence $[\tilde{C}, C] \in \mathcal{F}_s - (\mathcal{F}_s \cap \mathcal{E}_s) \Rightarrow \alpha_*(\tilde{C}) = 2\theta \Rightarrow [\tilde{C}, C] \in \hat{\phi}(E)$.

Let us consider now \mathcal{E}_s :

Lemma 3.1. $\forall s \in \mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{E}_s = (\theta / \text{Aut}(\theta)) \times \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_1$.

Proof. It follows from the more general Lemma 1.31 of [8]; in fact: to give $[\tilde{C}, C] \in \mathcal{E}_s$ is equivalent to give $x \in \theta / (\text{mod Aut}(\theta))$ such that $\{x\} = \theta \cap E$, where $C = \theta \cup E$ as in (3.16), together with $[\tilde{E}, E] \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_1$.

We recall that

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_1 = \mathbb{P}^1.$$

Assume $[\tilde{C}, C] \in \mathcal{E}_s$, $\tilde{C} = \theta_1 \cup \tilde{E} \cup \theta_2$; since i/\tilde{E} is induced by the translation by a non zero order two element in $\text{Pic}^0(\tilde{E})$ we have:

$$(3.20) \quad \alpha(\tilde{E}) = \text{one point } \tau \in \tilde{S}_2.$$

On the other hand α/θ_i maps θ_i isomorphically onto a copy of θ and clearly

$$\alpha(\theta_1) \cap \alpha(\theta_2) = \{\tau\}$$

or $\alpha(\theta_1) = \alpha(\theta_2)$. Hence, by the description of reducible curves of $|2\theta|$ given in Sect. 2, $\alpha_*(\tilde{C}) = \theta_{\tau+x} + \theta_{\tau-x}$ for some $x \in \theta$. That is:

$$(3.21) \quad \alpha_*(\tilde{C}) \in B \subset T,$$

where B is a trope. We know that $B = \theta / \langle -1 \rangle = \mathbb{P}^1$, (\tilde{S} not a product), and that B is a conic passing through six fundamental points of σ_1 . In particular $B = Z_\tau \cap Q$, (where Q is a quadric), and its strict transform is the complete intersection

$$\hat{B} = \hat{Z}_\tau \cap \hat{Q}$$

(with \hat{Z}_τ, \hat{Q} = strict transforms of Z_τ, Q). The ideal \mathcal{F} of \hat{B} in $\hat{\mathbb{P}}^3$ is $\mathcal{O}_{\hat{\mathbb{P}}^3}(-\hat{Z}) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\hat{\mathbb{P}}^3}(-\hat{Q})$; moreover $\hat{B}^2 = -2$ in \hat{Z}_τ and $\hat{B}^2 = -4$ in \hat{Q} so that the conormal bundle of \hat{B} in $\hat{\mathbb{P}}^3$ is:

$$\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{F}^2 = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(4).$$

Let $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{F}$ be the ideal $\mathcal{O}_{\hat{\mathbb{P}}^3}(-2\hat{Z}) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\hat{\mathbb{P}}^3}(-\hat{Q})$ having \hat{B} as its support: after blowing up $\hat{\mathbb{P}}^3$ along the ideal \mathcal{G} the exceptional divisor is

$$(3.22) \quad \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{G}^2) = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(4) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(4)) = \hat{B} \times \mathbb{P}^1.$$

Since all the \hat{B} 's are two by two disjoint we can blow up all of them as above, in this way we obtain a birational morphism

$$\sigma_2: \hat{\mathbb{P}}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$$

having as exceptional divisor the union of 16 copies of $\theta/\langle -1 \rangle \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Thus, for every \hat{B} , we can identify $\sigma_2^{-1}(\hat{B})$ to $\hat{B} \times \mathcal{R}_1$. This defines in a natural way a map

$$(3.23) \quad \tilde{\phi}: \hat{\mathbb{P}}^3 \rightarrow \bar{P}^{-1}(s)$$

which is surjective and extends $\hat{\phi}$. By definition $\tilde{\phi} = \sigma_2 \cdot \hat{\phi}$ on $\hat{\mathbb{P}}^3 - \sigma_2^{-1}(\hat{T})$; on the other hand, if $P = (\pm x; [\tilde{E}, E]) \in \hat{B} \times \mathcal{R}_1 \subset \sigma_2^{-1}(\hat{T})$, we set $\tilde{\phi}(P) = [\tilde{C}, C]$; where \tilde{C} is obtained by gluing to the copies θ_x, θ_{-x} of θ the curve \tilde{E} in such a way that $\theta_x \cap \tilde{E} = \{x\}$, $\theta_{-x} \cap \tilde{E} = \{-x\}$. Moreover the difference of these two points on \tilde{E} defines an order two translation j whose quotient is E and $C = \tilde{C}/\langle j \rangle$, where $i/\tilde{E} = j$ and $i/(\theta_x \cup \theta_{-x})$ is the -1 involution of \tilde{S} . It is clear that, (up to automorphisms), the quotient map $\pi: \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ is defined as in (3.16) and that $[\tilde{C}, C] \in \mathcal{E}_s$.

In particular

$$\tilde{\phi}(\hat{\mathbb{P}}^3) = \bar{P}^{-1}(s), \phi(\sigma_2^{-1}(\hat{B})) = \mathcal{E}_s.$$

By Lemma 3.1, if $\text{Aut}(\theta) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ then $\tilde{\phi}/(\sigma_2^{-1}(\hat{B}))$ is an isomorphism.

After some tedious computations, similar to the ones we produced in Proposition 3.3, one shows the following

Proposition 3.5. *The map $\tilde{\phi}: \hat{\mathbb{P}}^3 \rightarrow \bar{P}^{-1}(s)$ is a surjective morphism.*

Remark 3.1. In particular, by Proposition 3.5, $\bar{P}^{-1}(s)$ is irreducible. If \tilde{S} is a product this is no longer true: $\bar{P}^{-1}(s)$ contains a 3-dimensional component \mathcal{F}_s such that $\mathcal{F}_s \cap P^{-1}(s) = \emptyset$, ($P = \bar{P}/\mathcal{R}_3$). The elements of \mathcal{F}_s are defined in [9, Lemma 12, Proposition 1.5]. Nevertheless one can extend the results of this section by constructing a morphism $\tilde{\phi}: \hat{\mathbb{P}}^3 \rightarrow \bar{P}^{-1}(s)$ also when \tilde{S} is a product. In this case it turns out that $\tilde{\phi}(\hat{\mathbb{P}}^3) = \text{Zariski closure of } P^{-1}(s) \text{ in } \bar{P}^{-1}(s)$.

4

To complete the construction of $\bar{P}^{-1}(s)$ we describe now the morphism $\tilde{\phi}$. We assume again that \tilde{S} is not a product (see Remark 4.1). Let G be the group of the translations on \tilde{S} by elements of order two. G is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$. Since $2\theta \sim 2\theta_\tau$, $\tau \in \mathcal{S}_2$, G acts linearly (and faithfully) on $\mathbb{P}^3 = |2\theta|$. Observe that:

- (1) The sixteen points of $\text{Sing}(T)$ form the orbit of the element 2θ ;
- (2) G acts transitively on the sixteen tropes of R^\wedge .

Then, since $\sigma_1 : \mathbb{P}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ is a blowing up centered in $\text{Sing}(T)$, the action of G extends to an action on \mathbb{P}^3 and G acts transitively on the strict transforms of the sixteen tropes of R^\wedge . On the other hand $\sigma_2 : \mathbb{P}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ is a blowing up centered on \hat{T} = union of the strict transforms of the tropes of R^\wedge . Hence the action of G extends to an action on \mathbb{P}^3 .

Proposition 4.1. Assume $\text{Aut}(\theta) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ then: $\forall P_1, P_2 \in \mathbb{P}^3$

$$\tilde{\phi}(P_1) = \tilde{\phi}(P_2) \Leftrightarrow P_1 \text{ and } P_2 \text{ are in the same } G\text{-orbit.}$$

Proof. Let $\tilde{\phi}(P_i) = [\tilde{C}_i, C_i]$, $(i=1, 2)$; assume $\tilde{\phi}(P_1) = \tilde{\phi}(P_2)$. This implies that the allowable double covers $\pi_1 : \tilde{C}_1 \rightarrow C_1$ and $\pi_2 : \tilde{C}_2 \rightarrow C_2$ are isomorphic. Hence there exist isomorphisms $\tilde{\sigma} : \tilde{C}_1 \rightarrow \tilde{C}_2$, $\sigma : C_1 \rightarrow C_2$, such that $\pi_2 \cdot \tilde{\sigma} = \sigma \cdot \pi_1$ and $i_2 \cdot \tilde{\sigma} = \sigma \cdot i_1$, ($i_j, j=1, 2$, being the involution induced by π_j). $\tilde{\sigma}$ extends to an isomorphism $\tilde{\sigma}_* : J\tilde{C}_1 \rightarrow J\tilde{C}_2$ such that $i_{2*} \cdot \tilde{\sigma}_* = \sigma_* \cdot i_{1*}$. Therefore $\tilde{\sigma}_* / \text{Im}(\text{id} - i_{1*}) = t$ is an isomorphism from $\text{Im}(\text{id} - i_{1*})$ to $\text{Im}(\text{id} - i_{2*})$. In other words t is an automorphism of \tilde{S} as a principally polarized abelian surface. Since we can choose Abel-Jacobi embeddings $\tilde{C}_1 \subset J\tilde{C}_1$, $\tilde{C}_2 \subset J\tilde{C}_2$ such that $\tilde{\sigma}_*(\tilde{C}_1) = \tilde{C}_2$ it follows that $t(\alpha_1(\tilde{C}_1)) = \alpha_2(\tilde{C}_2)$, (α_j being the Abel-Prym map for \tilde{C}_j). Hence $|2\theta|$ is changed in itself by t . On the other hand t is induced by an element of $\text{Aut}(\theta)$; since $\text{Aut}(\theta) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ it follows $t = \text{id}$ or $t = -1$ so that t acts as the identity on $|2\theta|$.

Since the Abel-Prym map is defined up to translating by an element of \tilde{S}_2 , we have $\alpha_1(\tilde{C}_1) = \alpha_2(\tilde{C}_2)$ modulo such a translation. Hence P_1, P_2 are in the same G -orbit. Conversely assume $P_1 = P_2 \text{ mod}(G)$, then $\alpha_1(\tilde{C}_1)_\tau = \alpha_2(\tilde{C}_2)$, ($\tau \in \tilde{S}_2$). If $\tilde{\phi}(P_1) \notin \mathcal{S}_s \cup \mathcal{E}_s$ then $\alpha_{i_*} \tilde{C}_i$ is stable and $[\tilde{C}_i, C_i] = [\alpha_{i_*} \tilde{C}_i, \alpha_{i_*} \tilde{C}_i / \langle -1 \rangle]$.

Hence, obviously, $[\tilde{C}_1, C_1] = [\tilde{C}_2, C_2]$. If $P_1 \in \mathcal{S}_s$ then $\alpha_1(\tilde{C}_1) = \theta$ and α_1 is branched on b_{P_1} [which is defined as in (3.3)]. Thus $\alpha_2(\tilde{C}_2) = \theta_\tau$. Let b_{P_2} be the branch divisor of α_2 , since $P_1 = P_2 \text{ mod}(G)$ it follows $b_{P_2} = (b_{P_1})_\tau$ and $\tilde{C}_1 = \tilde{C}_2$. By Proposition 3.2 this implies $[\tilde{C}_1, C_1] = [\tilde{C}_2, C_2]$. A similar argument applies if $P_1 \in \mathcal{E}_s$.

Corollary 4.1. Assume $\text{Aut}(\theta) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, then $\mathbb{P}^3/G = \bar{P}^{-1}(s)$.

The group G and its representation as a subgroup of the projective linear group $PGL(4)$ are classically well known, [4, Vol. III], [11]: there exist finitely many system of coordinates $(x_1 : x_2 : x_3 : x_4)$ on $|2\theta|$, [finitely many bases of $H^0(\tilde{S}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}}(2\theta))$], such that the equation of R^\wedge is

$$(4.1) \quad E(x_1^4 + x_2^4 + x_3^4 + x_4^4) + 2D(x_1x_2x_3x_4) + A(x_1^2x_2^2 + x_3^2x_4^2) + B(x_1^2x_3^2 + x_2^2x_4^2) + C(x_1^2x_4^2 + x_2^2x_3^2) = 0,$$

where $(A : B : C : D : E) \in \mathbb{P}^4$ and satisfies the cubic equation

$$(4.2) \quad E(4E^2 - A^2 - B^2 - C^2 - D^2) + ABC = 0.$$

It is also known that to fix $(x_1 : x_2 : x_3 : x_4)$ as above is equivalent to fix a level two structure on \tilde{S} . Moreover, if the equation of R^\wedge is normalized as in (4.1), then G acts linearly on $\mathbb{P}^3 = |2\theta|$ as the group generated by the involutions sending $(x_1 : x_2 : x_3 : x_4)$ respectively in

$$(x_4 : -x_3 : x_2 : x_1), \quad (x_4 : x_3 : -x_2 : -x_1), \quad (x_3 : x_4 : -x_1 : -x_2), \\ (-x_2 : x_1 : x_4 : -x_3), \quad (x_2 : -x_1 : x_4 : -x_3).$$

We denote by V^\wedge the cubic threefold defined by (4.2) and by

$$V \subset \mathbb{P}^4$$

its dual hypersurface; it is well known [4, Vol. VII], [11] that V^\wedge is the so called Segre primal containing ten nodes as its only singularities. On the other hand V is a (rational) quartic threefold having as its singular locus 15 double lines intersecting three by three in 15 triple points. In the end the space $H^0(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(4))^G$ of the G -invariant quartic forms is 5-dimensional and a basis for it is

$$(x_1^4 + x_2^4 + x_3^4 + x_4^4), (x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4), (x_1^2 x_2^2 + x_3^2 x_4^2), (x_1^2 x_3^2 + x_2^2 x_4^2), (x_1^2 x_4^2 + x_2^2 x_3^2).$$

We denote by

$$\mu: \mathbb{P}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^4$$

the morphism associated to $H^0(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(4))^G$.

Proposition 4.2. (1) $\mu(\mathbb{P}^3) = V$,
 (2) $V = \mathbb{P}^3/G$ and $\mu: \mathbb{P}^3 \rightarrow V$ is the quotient map.

Proof. This is shown in [4, Vol. III, p. 210].

Lemma 4.1. (1) $\mu(\text{Sing}(T)) = o$, where o is a smooth point of V ,
 (2) $\mu(R^\wedge) = R^\vee$,
 (3) $\mu(R^\wedge) = H_0 \cap V$ where H_0 is the tangent hyperplane to the quartic threefold V at the point o ,
 (4) $\mu(T) = Q$, where Q has an ordinary sextuple point in o and the normalization of Q in o is isomorphic to $\theta/\langle -1 \rangle$.

Proof. (1) By Proposition 4.2 $\text{deg}(\mu) = 16$, since $\# \mu^{-1}(o) = \# \text{Sing}(T) = 16$ o is not in the branch locus of μ hence o is smooth. (2) It is clear that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{S}^\wedge & \xrightarrow{\mu_2} & \tilde{S}^\vee \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ R^\wedge & \xrightarrow{\mu} & R^\vee \end{array}$$

where μ_2 is the multiplication by 2 on the dual \tilde{S}^\wedge of \tilde{S} and the vertical arrows are the quotient map from \tilde{S}^\wedge to $R^\wedge = \tilde{S}^\wedge/\langle -1 \rangle$. Hence $\mu(R^\wedge) = \text{Kummer surface of } \tilde{S}^\vee = R^\vee$ [recall also that R^\vee is G -invariant: for instance its Eq. (4.1) is given by an invariant quartic form]. (3) Since the equation of R^\wedge is given by an element of $H^0(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(4))^G$ it follows that $\mu(R^\wedge)$ is a hyperplane section of V . The general hyperplane section of V is a quartic surface with 15 nodes; on the other hand R^\wedge is a quartic surface with 16 nodes, $\text{Sing}(R^\wedge) = \text{Sing}(T)$ and $\mu(\text{Sing}(R^\wedge)) = o$. Hence o is singular for $\mu(R^\wedge)$. Since o is smooth for V the result follows. (4) Let $B \subset T$ be a trope, then $\mu(B) = \mu(T)$. It is immediate to check that $\mu(B) = Q$: μ/B is 1 : 1 except for the six points of $B \cap \text{Sing}(T)$ which are contracted to o . This implies (4).

Theorem 4.1. Assume $\text{Aut}(\theta) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, then, with the same notations as above, $\bar{P}^{-1}(s) = (\zeta_1, \zeta_2)^{-1}(V)$; where $\zeta_1: \hat{V} \rightarrow V$ blows up the point $o = \mu(\text{Sing}(T))$ and $\zeta_2: \bar{P}^{-1}(s) \rightarrow \hat{V}$ is a blowing up of \hat{V} centered in the strict transform of the curve $Q = \mu(T)$.

Proof. By Proposition 4.1 $\bar{P}^{-1}(s) = \hat{\mathbb{P}}^3/G$ and $\tilde{\phi}: \hat{\mathbb{P}}^3 \rightarrow \bar{P}^{-1}(s)$ is the quotient map. Hence we have a commutative diagram:

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \hat{\mathbb{P}}^3 & \xrightarrow{\sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2} & \mathbb{P}^3 \\ \tilde{\phi} \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu \\ \bar{P}^{-1}(s) & \xrightarrow{\zeta} & V. \end{array}$$

σ_1, σ_2 are defined as in Sect. 3: $\sigma_1: \hat{\mathbb{P}}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ blows up $\text{Sing}(T)$, $\sigma_2: \hat{\mathbb{P}}^3 \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{P}}^3$ is a blowing up centered on \hat{T} = union of the strict transforms of the irreducible components of T . Since μ is not ramified along T it follows that $\zeta = \zeta_1 \cdot \zeta_2$.

Corollary 4.2. *Let $\zeta = \zeta_1 \cdot \zeta_2$ be as above, then $\mathcal{F}_s = \zeta^{-1}(o)$; $\mathcal{E}_s = \zeta_2^{-1}(Q)$, where Q' is the strict transform of Q by ζ_1 ; \mathcal{F}_s = strict transform of $\mu(R)$ by ζ .*

Proof. It follows from Proposition 3.4, Proposition 2.2 (b) and the commutativity of the diagram (4.3).

It is interesting to remark that \mathbb{P}^3/G is well known from another point of view, [19]:

Let \mathcal{H}_2 be the Siegel upper half space of degree 2 and $\Gamma_2(2)$ the 2-congruence subgroup of the symplectic group $\text{Sp}(4, \mathbb{Z})$. The quotient $\mathcal{H}_2/\Gamma_2(2)$ is the moduli space for principally abelian surfaces endowed with a level 2 structure. Its minimal compactification \mathcal{A}_2^2 is biregular, via modular forms, to the quartic threefold $V = \mathbb{P}^3/G$. For this reason V is also called Siegel modular quartic threefold.

By the results of [19] the condition $R^* = T_{V,o} \cap V$ of Lemma 4.1 implies that, under the previous isomorphism $g: V \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_2^2$, the point $g(o)$ is one of the isomorphism classes of \tilde{S} endowed with a level two structure. Notice also that the orbit of $g(o)$ by $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{A}_2^2)$ is exactly the set of all such isomorphism classes. Hence we can reformulate the results of Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 4.2 in the following way:

Theorem 4.2. *Let $s \in \mathcal{A}_2$, assume $\text{Aut}(\theta) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$; fix a point $o \in \mathcal{A}_2^2$ corresponding to the isomorphism class of \tilde{S} and a level two structure on it. Then there exists a sequence of blowing up's*

$$\bar{P}^{-1}(s) \xrightarrow{\zeta_2} \hat{\mathcal{A}} \xrightarrow{\zeta_1} \mathcal{A}_2^2$$

such that: (1) ζ_1 is the blowing up of \mathcal{A}_2^2 in o . (2) The exceptional divisor of ζ_2 is $\mathcal{E}_s = \theta/\langle -1 \rangle \times \mathcal{H}_1$ and $\zeta_2(\mathcal{E}_s) = \theta/\langle -1 \rangle$. (3) $(\zeta_1 \cdot \zeta_2)^{-1}(o) = \mathcal{F}_s$.

Remark 4.1. If \tilde{S} is not a product $\text{Aut}(\theta) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ but for finitely many exceptions, [5]. If \tilde{S} is a product we have $\text{Aut}(\theta) = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$ for general \tilde{S} . Under this assumption the results of this section extend to products (with modified proofs) if one considers instead of $\bar{P}^{-1}(s)$ the Zariski closure of $P^{-1}(s)$, ($P = \bar{P}/\mathcal{H}_3$); (cf. Remark 3.1).

References

1. Beauville, A.: Variétés de Prym et jacobiniennes intermédiaires. Ann. Sc. Ec. Norm. Sup. **10**, 309–391 (1977)
2. Beauville, A.: Prym varieties and the Schottky problem. Invent. math. **41**, 149–196 (1977)

3. Beauville, A.: Surfaces algébriques complexes. *Asterisque* **54**, 1–162 (1978)
4. Baker, H.: Principles of geometry. Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press 1922
5. Bolza, O.: On binary sextics with linear transformations into themselves. *Am. J. Math.* **10**, 47–70 (1888)
6. Catanese, F.: On the rationality of certain moduli spaces related to curves of genus 4. *Lect. Notes Math.* **1008**, pp. 30–50. Berlin, Heidelberg, New York: Springer 1981
7. Donagi, R.: The terragonal construction. *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.* **4**, 181–185 (1981)
8. Donagi, R., Smith, R.: The structure of the Prym map. *Acta Math.* **146**, 25–109 (1981)
9. Friedman, R., Smith, R.: The generic Torelli theorem for the Prym map. *Invent. math.* **74**, 473–490 (1982)
10. Griffiths, P., Harris, J.: Principles of algebraic geometry. New York: Wiley 1978
11. Hudson, R.: Kummer's quartic surface. Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press 1905
12. Mumford, D.: Prym varieties. I. *Contributions to analysis*. pp. 325–350. New York: Academic Press 1974
13. Mumford, D.: Curves and their jacobians. Ann Arbor: Univ. of Michigan Press 1974
14. Mumford, D.: Abelian varieties. Oxford: Oxford Univ. Press 1970
15. Masiewicki, L.: Universal properties of Prym varieties with an application to algebraic curves of genus 5. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **222**, 221–240 (1976)
16. Recillas, S.: Ph. D. thesis: Brandeis Univ., 1974
17. Teixidor, M.: For which Jacobi varieties is $\text{Sing}(\theta)$ reducible? Univ. de Barcelona, preprint No. 17, 1983
18. Tjurin, A.: On the intersection of quadrics. *Russian Math. Surv.* **27**, 51–105 (1975)
19. Van der Geer, G.: On the geometry of a Siegel modular threefold. *Math. Ann.* **260**, 317–350 (1982)

Received June 13, 1985; in revised form August 5, 1986