

## Effective base point freeness

János Kollár

Department of Mathematics, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT 84112, USA

Received November 10, 1992; in revised form February 16, 1993

*Mathematics Subject Classification (1991):* 14C20, 14J10

Let  $X$  be a smooth projective variety and let  $L$  be an ample divisor on  $X$ . By definition some multiple of  $L$  is very ample. It is frequently useful to know which multiples are very ample. Already for curves one can not give a universal bound; the answer depends on the genus. One way to overcome this problem is to ask about very ampleness of  $K_X + mL$ . In this form a nice and uniform answer emerges:

If  $\dim X = 1$  then  $K_X + 3L$  is very ample (easy);

if  $\dim X = 2$  then  $K_X + 4L$  is very ample [Reider].

In general [Fuj] conjectured that  $K_X + (\dim X + 2)L$  is very ample. A major breakthrough was achieved by [Dem] who proved that  $2K_X + 12nL$  is very ample where  $n = \dim X$ . His methods involve heavy analysis and are rather intricate.

[Reid] pointed out that the algebraic methods developed around the cone theorem (cf. [CKM, #9]) can be used to provide some effective estimates, especially when  $K_X \equiv cL$  for some constant  $c \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Similar ideas were utilised in dimension three in a series of papers [Ben 1, 2; Matsuki; Ogu].

My attention was turned to this problem by Lazarsfeld who observed (jointly with Ein) that several of the results of [Reider] on surfaces can be obtained using the ideas of the base point free theorem. Their approach was successfully extended to threefolds in [EinLaz] where they show that if  $\dim X = 3$  then  $|K_X + 5L|$  is base point free. Their results are in fact more precise, see especially [ibid, Theorem 1\*].

Unfortunately, I was unable to come close to the conjectured bounds. Instead, I would like to present an algebraic approach to a Demailly-type result and to point out some consequences.

The algebraic method works for  $X$  singular and also for certain non ample line bundles. I formulate the most general case; readers interested in smooth varieties should just always set  $\Delta = 0$ . (See the end of the introduction for some definitions.)

The characteristic is assumed to be zero throughout.

**1.1 Theorem** (Effective base point freeness). *Let  $(X, \Delta)$  be proper and klt of dimension  $n$ . Let  $L$  be a nef Cartier divisor on  $X$ . Assume that  $aL - (K_X + \Delta)$  is nef and big for some  $a \geq 0$ .*

Then

$$|2(n+2)!(a+n)L| \text{ is base point free.}$$

An analog of the conjecture [Fuj] says that  $|(a+n+1)L|$  is base point free. Thus the main point of (1.1) is the existence of a universal bound rather than the actual value of the coefficient.

If  $L$  is ample and  $X$  is smooth then  $K_X + (\dim X + 2)L$  is also ample and  $K_X + (\dim X + 2)L - K_X$  is nef and big. By (1.1) a large multiple of  $K_X + (\dim X + 2)L$  is very ample. Thus (1.1) does not imply the result of Demailly mentioned above. However in all applications that I am aware of, they can be used interchangeably.

To go from freeness to very ampleness is rather easy. The following general lemma is essentially due to [Wil, 1.1]:

**1.2 Lemma** (Very ampleness lemma). *Let  $(X, \Delta)$  be klt. Let  $H$  be Cartier, ample and  $|H|$  base point free. Let  $N$  be a Cartier divisor such that  $N - (K_X + \Delta)$  is nef. Then  $(\dim X + 3)H + N$  is very ample.*

*Proof.* Pick  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  and let  $S$  be a general member of  $|H|$  containing both points.  $S$  may be singular, but the relevant vanishings descend from  $X$  to  $S$  and we can apply induction.  $\square$

Using some results of [Cat] the above estimates yield explicit bounds for the number of families of certain varieties. (In fact these applications follow already from [Dem].) Earlier finiteness results were due mostly to [Matsusaka 1, 2], however they did not give any explicit bound. The present bounds are certainly very far from being sharp. Therefore in writing the bounds I tried to make the expressions simple, instead of optimising the estimates.

**1.3 Theorem.** *The number of different irreducible families of  $n$ -dimensional smooth Fano varieties is at most*

$$(n+1)^{n(4n+3)^n}.$$

**1.4 Theorem.** *The number of different irreducible families of  $n$ -dimensional smooth polarized varieties  $(X, L)$  with  $L^n = d$  and  $K_X \cdot L^{n-1} = \xi$  is at most*

$$(n^n(\xi + (n+2)d))^{(n+1)^{(4n+3)^2}(\xi + (n+2)d)^{(4n+3)^2}}.$$

*Proof.* If  $L$  is ample, then using (1.1) and (1.2) we produce a divisor which is very ample. Thus we obtain an embedding  $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  where we can control the degree of the image of  $X$ . By generic projection we can always assume that  $X$  is embedded into  $\mathbb{P}^{2n+1}$ . We can now utilize the following:

**1.5 Theorem** [Cat, 2.24]. *Let  $\text{Hilb}_{k,d}^{\text{smooth}}(\mathbb{P}^m)$  be the Hilbert scheme parametrizing smooth and irreducible subvarieties of dimension  $k$  and degree  $d$ . Then the number of irreducible components of  $\text{Hilb}_{k,d}^{\text{smooth}}(\mathbb{P}^m)$  is bounded by*

$$(dm+d)^{d^{2m+1}(m+1)^{2m}}.$$

In the Fano case we use  $L = -K_X$  as our ample divisor. A bound for the selfintersection of  $-K_X$  is provided in [KoMiMo]. The rest is just substituting into the above formulas.

In the general polarized case we use the divisor  $D = K_X + (n + 2)L$  which is ample (cf. [Fuj]).  $\square$

**1.6 Remark.** Using the results of [Mil, Thom] and (1.3–4) it is easy to write down explicit bounds for the sum of the Betti numbers of  $n$ -dimensional smooth Fano varieties or of smooth polarized varieties with fixed  $(d, \xi)$ .

**1.7 Notation.**

(1.7.1) The abbreviation “lc” stands for log canonical, and “klt” for Kawamata log terminal [Ko et al., 2.13]. Note that klt is called log terminal in [KaMaMa].)

(1.7.2) A divisor  $D$  on a scheme  $X$  is called *nef* if  $D \cdot C \geq 0$  for every proper curve  $C \subset X$ .

(1.7.3) A divisor  $D$  on a proper scheme  $X$  is called *big* if  $|mD|$  gives a birational map for  $m \gg 1$ . Thus ample implies nef and big.

(1.7.4) Let  $r$  be a real number.  $\lfloor r \rfloor$  (or  $[r]$ ) is the largest integer  $\leq r$  and  $\{r\} = r - \lfloor r \rfloor$ .  $\lfloor r \rfloor$  is called the integral part of  $r$  and  $\{r\}$  the fractional part of  $r$ . If  $D = \sum d_i D_i$  is a linear combination of divisors such that all the  $D_i$  are distinct and irreducible then define

$$\lfloor D \rfloor = \sum \lfloor d_i \rfloor D_i, \quad \text{and} \quad \{D\} = \sum \{d_i\} D_i.$$

(1.7.5)  $\text{Bs} |D|$  denotes the base locus of the linear system  $|D|$ .

## 2. Effective base point freeness

Aside from the explicit coefficient, (1.1) is just the base point free theorem of [Kaw] and [Sho] (cf. [CKM, #9]). The proof of this result starts with some linear system  $|mD|$  and at each step it decreases the base locus  $\text{Bs} |mD|$  by increasing  $m$ . The usual method attacks the “largest multiplicity” point of the base locus (suitably measured), and therefore the number of necessary steps is unclear.

Here I develop a variant which attacks the largest dimensional part of  $\text{Bs} |mD|$ , thus we need at most  $\dim X$  steps to ensure freeness. (See [CKM, #10] or [KaMaMa, 3-1] for the method which I follow closely.)

**2.1 Modified base point freeness method.**

(2.1.1) We are given a klt pair  $(X, \Delta)$ , a Cartier divisor  $N$ , a nef and big  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $M$  and an effective and nef  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $B$ . Assume that

$$N \equiv K_X + \Delta + B + M.$$

Our aim is to relate the singularities of  $B$  to sections of  $N$ .

Let  $X \setminus W$  be the largest open set such that  $(X, \Delta + B)$  is log canonical. Assume that  $W \neq \emptyset$  and let  $Z$  be an irreducible component of  $W$ .

(2.1.2) Take a log resolution  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  (i.e.  $Y$  is smooth and all relevant divisors are smooth and cross normally). Let

$$K_Y \equiv f^*(K_X + \Delta) + \sum e_i E_i, \quad (e_i > -1 \text{ by assumption});$$

$$f^*B \equiv \sum b_i E_i;$$

$$f^*M \equiv A + \sum p_i E_i \quad \text{where } A \text{ is an ample } \mathbb{Q}\text{-divisor and } 0 \leq p_i \ll 1.$$

For any real number  $c$ ,

$$K_Y \equiv f^*(K_X + \Delta + cB) + \sum (e_i - cb_i)E_i.$$

We want to choose the largest value  $c$  such that  $K_X + \Delta + cB$  is lc at the generic point of  $Z$ . For technical reasons we change the coefficients a little and set

$$c = \min \left\{ \frac{e_i + 1 - p_i}{b_i} \mid Z \subset f(E_i); b_i > 0 \right\}.$$

By changing the  $p_i$  slightly we may assume that the minimum is achieved for exactly one index. Let us denote the corresponding divisor by  $E_0$ .

(2.1.3) *Claim.* (2.1.3.1)  $0 < c < 1$ ;

(2.1.3.2)  $f(E_0) = Z$ ;

(2.1.3.3) If  $cb_i - e_i + p_i < 0$  then  $E_i$  is  $f$ -exceptional;

(2.1.3.4) If  $cb_i - e_i + p_i \geq 1$  and  $i \neq 0$  then  $Z \not\subset f(E_i)$ .

*Proof.* By assumption  $(X, \Delta + B)$  is not lc at  $Z$ , thus  $c < 1$ . Therefore  $(X, cB + \Delta)$  is klt outside  $W$ , thus  $cb_i - e_i + p_i \geq 1$  implies that  $f(E_i) \subset W$ . Since  $Z$  is an irreducible component of  $W$ , this shows (2.1.3.2) and (2.1.3.4).

If  $cb_i - e_i + p_i < 0$  then  $e_i > 0$  hence  $E_i$  is  $f$ -exceptional.  $\square$

(2.1.4) We can write

$$(2.1.4.1) \quad f^*N \equiv K_Y + A + (1 - c)f^*B + \sum (cb_i - e_i + p_i)E_i, \quad \text{and}$$

$$(2.1.4.2) \quad \sum \lfloor cb_i - e_i + p_i \rfloor E_i = E_0 + H'' - H',$$

where  $E_0, H', H''$  are effective and without common irreducible components. By (2.1.3.3) and (2.1.3.4)

$$(2.1.4.3) \quad H' \text{ is } f\text{-exceptional and } Z \not\subset f(H'').$$

(2.1.5) Set  $N' = f^*N + H' - H''$  and consider the exact sequence

$$(2.1.5.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(N' - E_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(N') \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{E_0}(N') \rightarrow 0.$$

By construction

$$(2.1.5.2) \quad N' - E_0 \equiv K_Y + A + (1 - c)f^*B + \sum \{cb_i - e_i + p_i\}E_i,$$

thus  $h^i(N' - E_0) = 0$  for  $i \geq 1$ . In particular,

$$(2.1.5.3) \quad H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(N')) \rightarrow H^0(E_0, \mathcal{O}_{E_0}(N')) \quad \text{is surjective.}$$

Similarly,

$$(2.1.5.4) \quad N'|E_0 \equiv K_{E_0} + (A + (1 - c)f^*B)|E_0 + \sum \{cb_i - e_i + p_i\}E_i|E_0,$$

thus  $h^i(N'|E_0) = 0$  for  $i \geq 1$ . Therefore

$$(2.1.5.5) \quad h^0(E_0, \mathcal{O}_{E_0}(N')) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_{E_0}(N')).$$

In most applications  $M$  will be a variable divisor of the form  $M_j = M_0 + jL$  where  $M_0$  is nef and big and  $L$  is nef. If  $L$  is an actual line bundle then we get that

$$h^0(E_0, \mathcal{O}_{E_0}(N'_0 + jL)) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_{E_0}(N'_0 + jL))$$

is a polynomial in  $j$  for  $j \geq 0$ . Thus it is nonzero for some value of  $j$  unless we are very unlucky. This is the point where one usually utilises the nonvanishing theorem. Unfortunately, in our case it does not apply because of the presence of  $H''$ .

(2.1.6) Assume for the moment that we established somehow that  $h^0(E_0, \mathcal{O}_{E_0}(N')) \neq 0$ . By (2.1.4) we can lift sections to  $H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}(f^*N + H' - H''))$ . Since  $E_0 \not\subset \text{Supp } H''$ , we get a section  $s \in H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}(f^*N + H'))$  which is not identically zero along  $E_0$ .

$H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(f^*N + H')) = H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(N))$  since  $H'$  is  $f$ -exceptional. Thus  $s$  descends to a section of  $\mathcal{O}_X(N)$  which does not vanish along  $Z = f(E_0)$ .  $\square$

The following is the crucial technical result needed for (1.1):

**2.2. Lemma.** *Let  $g: X \rightarrow S$  be a proper and surjective morphism with connected fibers. Assume that  $X$  is projective,  $S$  is normal and  $(X, \Delta)$  is klt for some  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $\Delta$ . Let  $D_S^0$  be an ample Cartier divisor on  $S$  and let  $D_S = mD_S^0$  for some  $m > 0$ . Let  $D^0 = g^*D_S^0$  and  $D = g^*D_S$ . Assume that  $aD^0 - (K_X + \Delta)$  is nef and big for some  $a \geq 0$ . Assume that  $|D_S| \neq \emptyset$  and let  $Z_S \subset \text{Bs } |D_S|$  be an irreducible component. Let  $k = \text{codim}(Z_S, S)$ .*

*Then, with at most  $\dim Z_S$  exceptions,  $Z_S \not\subset \text{Bs } |kD_S + (j + a + 1)D_S^0|$  for  $j \geq 0$ .*

*Proof.* Pick general  $B_i \in |D|$  and let

$$B = \frac{1}{2m} B_0 + B_1 + \dots + B_k.$$

(2.2.1) *Claim. Notation as above.*

(2.2.1.1)  $B \equiv \frac{1}{2}D^0 + kD$ ;

(2.2.1.2)  $(X, \Delta + B)$  is lc outside  $\text{Bs } |D|$ ;

(2.2.1.3)  $(X, \Delta + B)$  is not lc at the generic points of  $g^{-1}(Z_S)$ .

*Proof.* The first part is clear from the construction. If  $(X, F)$  is lc and  $H$  is a general member of a base point free linear system then  $(X, F + H)$  is also lc. The general choice of the  $B_i$  implies the second claim.

In order to see the third part assume first that  $X$  is smooth. Let  $W \subset g^{-1}(Z_S)$  be an irreducible component. Blowing up  $W$  we obtain an exceptional divisor  $E'$  whose discrepancy with respect to  $(K + \Delta + B)$  is  $< -1$ . In the singular case we can use [Ko et al., 18.22].  $\square$

We will apply the method of (2.1) with

$$N_j = kD + (j + a + 1)D^0$$

$$M_0 = aD^0 - (K_X + \Delta) + \frac{1}{2}D^0; \text{ and}$$

$$M_j = M_0 + jD^0.$$

Instead of choosing  $Z$  directly, we concentrate on  $Z_S$  and set

$$c = \min \left\{ \frac{e_i + 1 - p_i}{b_i} \mid Z_S \subset \text{gf}(E_i); b_i > 0 \right\}.$$

By changing the  $p_i$  slightly we may assume that the minimum is achieved for exactly one index. Let us denote the corresponding divisor by  $E_0$ . As in (2.1.3.2) and (2.1.4.3) we conclude that  $gf(E_0) = Z_S$  and  $Z_S \not\subset gf(H'')$  where  $H', H''$  are defined as in (2.1.4.2).

By (2.1.5) the crucial point is to show that

$$H^0(E_0, N'_j) = \chi(E_0, N'_j) = \chi(E_0, (gf)^*(kD_S + (j + a + 1)D_S^0) + H' - H''|_{E_0})$$

is not identically zero in  $j$ .

Let  $G \subset E_0$  be a general fiber of  $E_0 \rightarrow gf(E_0) = Z_S \subset S$ . Then  $G \cap H'' = \emptyset$ , thus

$$N'_0|_G = f^*g^*(kD_S + (a + 1)D_S^0) + H' - H''|_G = H'|_G.$$

Hence  $(gf)_*(N'_0)$  is not the zero sheaf, and

$$H^0(E_0, \mathcal{O}(N'_j|_{E_0})) = H^0(Z_S, (gf)_*\mathcal{O}(N'_0) \otimes \mathcal{O}(D_S^0)^{\otimes j}) \neq 0 \quad \text{for } j \geq 1.$$

Therefore,  $h^0(E_0, \mathcal{O}_{E_0}(N'_j))$  is a nonzero polynomial of degree  $\dim Z_S$  in  $j$  for  $j \geq 0$ . Thus it can vanish for at most  $\dim Z_S$  different values of  $j$ .

By (2.1) this implies that

$$f(E_0) \not\subset \text{Bs}|kD + (j + a + 1)D^0| = g^{-1}\text{Bs}|kD_S + (j + a + 1)D_S^0|.$$

Therefore  $Z_S = gf(E_0) \not\subset \text{Bs}|kD_S + (j + a + 1)D_S^0|$ . This is what we wanted.  $\square$

(2.2.2) *Remark.* At first sight the  $\dim Z_S$  exceptions in (2.2) are a small problem. However in general we would like to apply (2.2) to all the irreducible components of  $\text{Bs}|D_S|$  simultaneously. Thus if  $\text{Bs}|D_S|$  has lots of irreducible components, the exceptions may pile up and we may not be able to find a coefficient that works for every component.

It is quite likely that the conclusion of (2.2) can be replaced by

$$Z_S \not\subset \text{Bs}|kD_S + (j + a + 1)D^0| \text{ for } j \geq \dim Z_S.$$

This is true if  $\dim Z_S \leq 2$  [Reid].

The following result shows how to circumvent this problem at the expense of increasing the coefficients more.

**2.3 Corollary.** *Notation as in (2.2). Assume in addition that  $m \geq a + \dim S$  and set  $k = \text{codim}(\text{Bs}|D_S|, S)$ . Then*

$$\dim \text{Bs}|(2k + 2)D_S| < \dim \text{Bs}|D_S|.$$

*Proof.* Clearly  $\text{Bs}|(2k + 2)D_S| \subset \text{Bs}|D_S|$ .

Let  $Z_S$  be a maximal dimensional irreducible component of  $\text{Bs}|D_S|$ . Then there is a value  $0 \leq j < \dim S$  such that  $Z_S$  is not in the base loci of

$$|kD_S + (j + a + 1)D^0| \quad \text{and} \quad |kD_S + (2m - j - a - 1)D^0|.$$

Thus  $Z_S$  is not in the base locus of

$$|kD_S + (j + a + 1)D^0 + kD_S + (2m - j - a - 1)D^0| = |(2k + 2)D_S|. \quad \square$$

**2.4 Proof of (1.1).** By the usual base point freeness we know that there exists a morphism  $g: X \rightarrow S$  such that  $L = g^*D_S^0$  for some Cartier divisor  $D_S^0$ .

By vanishing,  $h^0(X, jL) = \chi(X, jL)$  for  $j \geq a$ , thus  $h^0(X, jL) \neq 0$  for  $j \geq a$  with at most  $\dim S$  exceptions. As in (2.3) this implies that  $h^0(X, 2(a+n)L) \neq 0$ .

(2.3) can be used repeatedly to lower the dimension of  $\text{Bs}|mL|$ . This way we obtain that

$$|2^{n+1}(n+1)!(a+n)L|$$

is base point free. This is slightly weaker than (1.1) but for the applications (1.4-5) this does not matter. The coefficient will be improved in (3.6).  $\square$

### 3. Effective nonvanishing

The aim of this section is to derive a rather weak nonvanishing result in the spirit of (2.5.1) which can be used to improve the bound obtained in (2.4). Other consequences will be discussed in [Ko1, 2].

**3.1 Definition.** Let  $X$  be a variety. A subvariety  $Z \subset T \times X$  is called a *covering family* of  $X$  if  $T$  is irreducible, the second projection  $p_X: Z \rightarrow X$  is dominant and the first projection  $p_T: Z \rightarrow T$  is proper and flat with irreducible and reduced fibers.

We will frequently denote a covering family by  $\{Z_t\}$  where  $\{Z_t: t \in T\}$  supposed to run through all fibers of  $p_T: Z \rightarrow T$ . While this is somewhat ambiguous, in the present situation this will not cause any problems.

By the countability of Hilbert scheme we know that there are countably many divisors  $D_i \subset X$  such that if  $Z \subset X$  is an irreducible and reduced subvariety such that  $Z \not\subset \bigcup D_i$  then  $Z$  occurs as a fiber in a covering family.

A point  $x \in A \setminus \bigcup D_i$  will be referred to as a very general point of  $X$ .

**3.2. Theorem.** Let  $g: X \rightarrow S$  be a surjective morphism,  $X$  smooth and projective. Let  $U \subset S$  be a dense open set. Let  $L$  be a nef and big  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor on  $S$ ,  $N$  a Cartier divisor on  $X$ ,  $M$  and  $\Delta$   $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisors on  $X$ . Assume that:

- (3.2.1)  $\text{Supp } \Delta$  is a normal crossing divisor and  $\lfloor \Delta \rfloor = \emptyset$ ;
- (3.2.2) If  $\{Z_t\}$  is a covering family then  $L^{\dim Z_t} \cdot Z_t \geq 1$ ;
- (3.2.3)  $N|_{g^{-1}(U)}$  is linearly equivalent to an effective divisor;
- (3.2.4)  $M$  is nef and either big on the general fiber of  $g$  or numerically trivial on  $X$ ;
- (3.2.5)  $N \equiv K_X + \Delta + M + sg^*L$  for some  $s > \binom{\dim S + 1}{2}$ .

Then  $h^0(X, N) \neq 0$ . More generally, if  $X_g$  is the generic fiber of  $g$  then  $H^0(X, N) \rightarrow H^0(X_g, N|_{X_g})$  is surjective.

We will need the following:

**3.3 Theorem** [Ko 3, 2.1, 2.2; EsnVie, 1.12, 3.1]. Let  $g: X \rightarrow S$  be a surjective morphism,  $X$  smooth and projective. Let  $L$  be a nef and big  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor on  $S$ ,  $N$  a Cartier divisor on  $X$  and  $\Delta$  an effective  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor on  $X$  such that  $\lfloor \Delta \rfloor = \emptyset$  and  $\text{Supp } \Delta$  has normal crossings only. Assume that  $N \equiv K_X + \Delta + g^*L$ . Then

- (3.3.1)  $H^j(S, R^i g_* \mathcal{O}_X(N)) = 0$  for  $j > 0, i \geq 0$ ;
- (3.3.2)  $H^j(X, \mathcal{O}_X(N)) \rightarrow H^j(X, \mathcal{O}_X(N + D))$  is injective if  $g: D \rightarrow S$  is not dominant.

**3.4 Proof of (3.2).** We use induction on  $\dim S$ . If  $\dim S = 0$  then  $U = S$  hence we are done by (3.2.3).

By shrinking  $U$  we may assume that  $g: (g^{-1}(U), \Delta) \rightarrow U$  is log smooth (i.e.  $g$  is smooth on  $g^{-1}(U)$ , on  $\Delta_i \cap g^{-1}(U)$  for every irreducible  $\Delta_i \subset \Delta$ , on  $\Delta_i \cap \Delta_j \cap g^{-1}(U)$  for every irreducible  $\Delta_i, \Delta_j \subset \Delta$ , etc.)

If  $\dim S \geq 1$  then pick a very general point  $x \in U$ . Let  $B$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor on  $S$  such that  $mB \in |mL|$  for some  $m \gg 1$  and  $\text{mult}_x B \geq 1 - \varepsilon_B$ . (From now on  $\varepsilon$  with a subscript stands for a very small positive number.)

Choose a log resolution  $f_S: Y_S \rightarrow S$  and write

$$(3.4.1) \quad \varepsilon_L f_S^* L = A + \sum' p_i F_i, \quad A \text{ ample}, \quad 0 \leq p_i \leq 1.$$

(Here the  $\sum'$  is supposed to remind one that the index set of this sum is not the same as the index set of subsequent sums without  $'$ .) We may assume that the first step in constructing the resolution was to blow up  $x$ . The corresponding divisor will be denoted by  $F$ .

Now consider  $Y_S \times_S X \rightarrow X$ . This is a log resolution of  $(X, \Delta + g^*B)$  over  $g^{-1}(U)$ . By further blow-ups outside  $g^{-1}(U)$  we can make it into a resolution

$$(3.4.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} f: Y & \longrightarrow & Y_S \times_S X & \longrightarrow & X \\ q \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ Y_S & \xlongequal{\quad} & Y_S & & \end{array}$$

Let  $E_i = q^\circ(F_i) \subset Y$  be the unique irreducible component of  $q^{-1}(F_i)$  which dominates  $F_i \cap f_S^{-1}(U)$ . For notational simplicity we will denote many other divisors on  $Y$  by  $E_j$ , we drop the  $'$  from the sum notation to indicate this. There will be three kinds of divisors denoted by  $E_i$ :

- (i)  $q^\circ(F_i)$ ; these will be called  $U$ -divisors;
- (ii) the proper transform of  $\Delta_i$  where  $x \in g(\Delta_i)$ ; these will be called  $\Delta$ -divisors;
- (iii) all the other  $E_j$  will have the property that  $gf(E_j) \subset S \setminus U$ . Such divisors will be called negligible.

With this convention in mind let

$$(3.4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} K_Y &\equiv f^*(K_X + \Delta) + \sum e_i E_i, \\ f^*g^*B &\equiv \sum b_i E_i; \\ \varepsilon_L f^*g^*L &\equiv q^*A + \sum p_i E_i. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the coefficient  $p_i$  for a  $U$ -divisor  $E_i = q^\circ(F_i)$  is the same as the  $p_i$  in the formula (3.4.1). We can write

$$(3.4.4) \quad f^*N \equiv K_Y + (s - c - \varepsilon_L) f^*g^*L + f^*M + q^*A + \sum (cb_i - e_i + p_i) E_i.$$

Set

$$(3.4.5) \quad c = \min \left\{ \frac{e_i + 1 - p_i}{b_i} \mid x \in gf(E_i); b_i > 0 \right\}.$$

If  $E_j$  is negligible then  $x \notin gf(E_j)$  and if  $E_j$  is a  $\Delta$ -divisor then  $gf(E_j) = S$  thus  $b_j = 0$ . Therefore the value of  $c$  is determined by the coefficients of the  $U$ -divisors in (3.4.3).

By changing the  $p_i$  slightly we may assume that the minimum is achieved for exactly one index. Let us denote the corresponding divisor by  $E_0$ . By looking at the divisor  $q^\circ(F)$  we conclude that  $c \leq \dim S + \varepsilon_S$ . Let

$$(3.4.6) \quad \sum \lfloor cb_i - e_i + p_i \rfloor E_i = E_0 + H'' - H',$$



where  $E_0, H', H''$  are effective and without common irreducible components. If  $E_j$  is a  $\Delta$ -divisor then  $b_j = 0$  and  $0 > e_j > -1$ , thus  $\perp cb_j - e_j + p_j \perp = 0$ . As in (2.1.4.3) we get that

$$(3.4.7) \quad H' \text{ is } f\text{-exceptional and } x \notin gf(H'').$$

Set  $N' = f^*N + H' - H''$  and consider the exact sequence

$$(3.4.8) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(N' - E_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(N') \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{E_0}(N') \rightarrow 0.$$

By construction

$$(3.4.9) \quad N'|E_0 \equiv K_{E_0} + ((s - c - \varepsilon_L)f^*g^*L + f^*M + q^*A)|E_0 + \sum \{cb_i - e_i + p_i\} E_i|E_0.$$

Set

$$(3.4.10) \quad \begin{aligned} X_0 &= E_0, S_0 = q(E_0) \quad \text{and} \quad g_0 = q|E_0, \\ L_0 &= (1 - \varepsilon_0) \binom{\dim S}{2}^{-1} ((s - c - \varepsilon_L)f_S^*L + A)|E_0 \\ N_0 &= N'|E_0, \\ M_0 &= f^*M|E_0, \\ \Delta_0 &= \sum \{cb_i - e_i + p_i\} E_i|E_0. \end{aligned}$$

We claim that all the conditions of (3.2) are satisfied by  $X_0, S_0$ , etc. (3.2.1) is clear.

$$(1 - \varepsilon_0)(s - c - \varepsilon_L) \geq (1 - \varepsilon_0)(s - \dim S - \varepsilon_L - \varepsilon_S) > \binom{\dim S}{2}$$

thus  $L_0 - L$  is nef hence (3.2.2) also holds. (3.2.4-5) hold by definition. Finally if  $G_0 \subset X_0$  is the generic fiber of  $g_0$ , then  $N'|G = f^*N + H' - H''|G = f^*N|G + H'|G$  is effective.

Thus by induction

$$(3.4.11) \quad h^0(E_0, \mathcal{O}_{E_0}(N')) > 0.$$

Furthermore

$$(3.4.12) \quad N' - E_0 \equiv K_Y + (s - c - \varepsilon_L)f^*g^*L + f^*M + q^*A + \sum \{cb_i - e_i + p_i\} E_i.$$

If  $M$  is big on the general fiber of  $g$  then  $f^*M + q^*A$  is nef and big, hence  $h^i(N' - E_0) = 0$  for  $i \geq 1$ . In particular,

$$(3.4.13) \quad H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(N')) \rightarrow H^0(E_0, \mathcal{O}_{E_0}(N'))$$

is surjective and we are done.

If  $M$  is numerically trivial then

$$H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}(N' - E_0)) \rightarrow H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}(N'))$$

is injective by (3.3) since

$$(3.4.14) \quad (s - c - \varepsilon_L) f^* g^* L + q^* A = q^* ((s - c - \varepsilon_L) f_S^* L + A)$$

is the pull back of a nef and big divisor from  $Y_S$  and  $E_0 \subset \text{Supp } q^*(f_S^* B)$ . Thus again the morphism (3.4.13) is surjective.  $\square$

**3.5 Corollary.** *Notation as in (2.2). Assume in addition that  $m \geq a + 1 + \binom{\dim S + 1}{2}$  and set  $k = \text{codim}(\text{Bs } |D_S|, S)$ . Then*

$$\dim \text{Bs } |(k + 1)D_S| < \dim \text{Bs } |D_S| .$$

*Proof.* We need to apply (3.2) instead of (2.3) in the method (2.1).  $\square$

**3.6 Proof of (1.1).** The argument is essentially the same as in (2.4). First we apply (2.3) until we reach  $m$  large enough and then apply (3.5) instead of (2.3).  $\square$

*Acknowledgement.* I would like to thank R. Lazarsfeld for showing me early versions of [EinLaz] and F. Catanese for correcting my original incorrect version of (1.5). Partial financial support was provided by the NSF under grant numbers DMS-8707320 and DMS-8946082 and by an A.P. Sloan Research Fellowship.

## References

- [Ben1] Benveniste, X.: Sur les varietes de dimension 3 . . . . Math. Ann. **266**, 479–497 (1984)
- [Ben2] Benveniste, X.: Sur les applications pluricanoniques . . . . Am. J. Math. **108**, 433–449 (1986)
- [Cat] Catanese, F.: Chow varieties, Hilbert schemes and moduli spaces of surfaces of general type. J. Algebra Geom. **1**, 561–596 (1992)
- [CKM] Clemens, H., Kollár, J., Mori, S.: Higher dimensional complex geometry. (Astérisque, vol. 166) Paris: Soc. Math. Fr. 1988
- [Dem] Demailly, J.P.: A numerical criterion for very ample line bundles. J. Differ. Geom. **37**, 323–374 (1993)
- [EinLaz] Ein, L., Lazarsfeld, R.: Global generation of pluricanonical and adjoint linear systems on smooth projective threefolds. J. Am. Math. Soc. (to appear)
- [EsnVie] Esnault, H., Viehweg, E.: Revêtements cycliques II, In: Arocca, J.-M. et al. (eds.) Géométrie Algébrique et Applications II. La Rábida, pp. 81–94. Paris: Herman 1987
- [Fuj] Fujita, T.: On polarized manifolds whose adjoint bundles are not semipositive. In: Oda, T. (ed.) Algebraic Geometry, Sendai. (Adv. Stud. Pure Math., vol. 10, pp. 167–178) Tokyo: Kinokuniya and Amsterdam: North-Holland 1987
- [KaMaMa] Kawamata, Y., Matsuda, K., Matsuki, K.: Introduction to the minimal model problem. In: Oda, T. (ed.) Algebraic Geometry, Sendai. (Adv. Stud. Pure Math., vol 10, pp. 283–360) Tokyo: Kinokuniya and Amsterdam: North-Holland 1987
- [Kaw] Kawamata, Y.: On the finiteness of generators of the pluri-canonical ring for a three-fold of general type. Am. J. Math. **106**, 1503–1512 (1984)
- [Ko1] Kollár, J.: Log surfaces of general type; some conjectures. In: L'Aquila Conference Proceedings. Contemp. Math. (to appear)
- [Ko2] Kollár, J.: Shafarevich maps and plurigenera of algebraic varieties. Invent. Math. (to appear)
- [Ko3] Kollár, J.: Higher direct images of dualizing sheaves. I. Ann. Math. **123**, 11–42 (1986); II. Ann. Math. **124**, 171–202 (1986)
- [Ko et al.] Kollár, J. et al.: Flips and abundance for algebraic threefolds. Astérisque (to appear)
- [KoMiMo] Kollár, J., Miyaoka, Y., Mori, S.: Rational connectedness and boundedness of Fano manifolds. J. Differ. Geom. **36**, 765–779 (1992)

- [Matsuki] Matsuki, K.: On pluricanonical maps for threefolds of general type. *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **38**, 339–359 (1986)
- [Matsusaka 1] Matsusaka, T.: Polarised varieties with a given Hilbert polynomial. *Am. J. Math.* **94**, 1027–1077 (1972)
- [Matsusaka 2] Matsusaka, T.: On polarized normal varieties. I. *Nagoya Math. J.* **104**, 175–211 (1986)
- [Mil] Milnor, J.: On the Betti numbers of real varieties. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **15**, 275–280 (1964)
- [Ogu] Oguiso, K.: On polarised Calabi- Yau 3-folds. *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo* **38**, 395–429 (1991)
- [Reid] Reid, M.: Projective morphisms according to Kawamata. University of Warwick (Preprint 1983)
- [Reider] Reider, I.: Vector bundles of rank 2 and linear systems on algebraic surfaces. *Ann. Math.* **127**, 309–316 (1988)
- [Sho] Shokurov, V.: The nonvanishing theorem. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat.* **49**, 635–651 (1985); *Math. USSR. Izv.* **19**, 591–604 (1985)
- [Thom] Thom, R.: Sur l'homologie des variétés algébriques réelles. In: *Differential and combinatorial topology*, pp. 255–265. Princeton: Princeton University Press 1965
- [Wil] Wilson, P.M.H.: On complex algebraic varieties of general type. In: *Int. Symp. on Algebraic Geometry. (Symp. Math., vol. 24, pp. 65–74)* London New York: Academic Press 1981