

Note on Matrices with a Very Ill-Conditioned Eigenproblem

J. H. Wilkinson

Received October 7, 1971

Summary. Gives a bound for the distance of a matrix having an ill-conditioned eigenvalue problem from a matrix having a multiple eigenvalue which is generally sharper than that which has been published hitherto.

In the Algebraic Eigenvalue Problem [1] Wilkinson has discussed the sensitivity of an eigenvalue λ_i of a matrix A in terms of corresponding right-hand and left-hand eigenvectors x_i and y_i . A quantity s_i is defined by the relations

$$s_i = |y_i^H x_i| / \|y_i\|_2 \|x_i\|_2. \tag{1}$$

It will be convenient to assume that $\|y_i\|_2 = \|x_i\|_2 = 1$ so that $s_i = |y_i^H x_i|$ and clearly the vectors can be chosen so that $s_i = y_i^H x_i$.

A zero value of s_i necessarily implies that λ_i is a simple eigenvalue. From rather crude heuristic arguments Wilkinson has conjectured that when s_i is "small" there is a matrix $A + F$ having a multiple eigenvalue and such that $\|F\|_2 / \|A\|_2$ is also "small" and recently Ruhe [2] has shown that this is indeed true. In this note we give a bound for the distance to the nearest matrix having a multiple eigenvalue which is generally much sharper than that of Ruhe. We observe that by means of a diagonal similarity a matrix $B = D^{-1} A D$ could be derived having arbitrarily small s_i . Our result would still be true for the matrix B , however 'unbalanced' the scaling, but of course when a small s_i is 'induced' in this way, $\|B\|_2$ would be artificially large. The result is at its most cogent when the given matrix A is 'balanced' in the sense that $\|A\|_2 \leq \|D^{-1} A D\|_2$ for all non-singular diagonal D , (see eg Parlett and Reinsch [3, 4]) in which case we may say that a small s_i is 'genuine'.

The proof is motivated by considering the case when $s = y^H x = 0$, where

$$A x = \lambda x, \quad y^H A = \lambda y^H. \tag{2}$$

Let P be a unitary matrix such that

$$P x = e_1 \tag{3}$$

where e_1 is the first column of I . Writing

$$B = P A P^H \tag{4}$$

we have

$$P A P^H P x = \lambda P x \quad y^H P^H P A P^H = \lambda y^H P^H \tag{5}$$

giving

$$B e_1 = \lambda e_1 \quad \text{and} \quad z^H B = \lambda z^H \tag{6}$$

where

$$z = P y. \tag{7}$$

The relation $y^H x = 0$ implies that

$$0 = y^H P^H P x = z^H e_1 \tag{8}$$

and hence

$$z_1 = 0. \tag{9}$$

From Eq. (6), B is of the form

$$B = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} \lambda & b^H \\ \hline 0 & B_1 \end{array} \right] \tag{10}$$

while Eq. (9) implies that z^H is of the form

$$z^H = [0 \mid w^H] \tag{11}$$

where w is a unit vector of order $n - 1$. From Eq. (6)

$$[0 \mid w^H] \left[\begin{array}{c|c} \lambda & b^H \\ \hline 0 & B_1 \end{array} \right] = \lambda [0 \mid w^H] \tag{12}$$

giving

$$w^H B_1 = \lambda w^H. \tag{13}$$

Hence λ is an eigenvalue of B_1 showing that λ is an eigenvalue of B of multiplicity at least two. The same is therefore true of A .

Consider now the case when

$$y^H x = \varepsilon \tag{14}$$

where we are particularly interested in small values of ε . Again let $P x = e_1$, $P y = z$ and proceed as before. We now have

$$z^H e_1 = \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad z^H = [\varepsilon \mid w^H] \tag{15}$$

where $\|w\|_2^2 = 1 - \varepsilon^2$. Eq. (12) now becomes

$$[\varepsilon \mid w^H] \left[\begin{array}{c|c} \lambda & b^H \\ \hline 0 & B_1 \end{array} \right] = \lambda [\varepsilon \mid w^H] \tag{16}$$

giving

$$\varepsilon b^H + w^H B_1 = \lambda w^H \tag{17}$$

which may be written in the form

$$w^H [B_1 + \varepsilon w b^H / (w^H w)] = \lambda w^H. \tag{18}$$

Hence λ is an eigenvalue of $B_1 + \varepsilon w b^H / (w^H w)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varepsilon w b^H / w^H w\| &= \varepsilon \|w\|_2 \|b\|_2 / \|w\|_2^2 \\ &\leq \varepsilon \|B\|_2 / (1 - \varepsilon^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \varepsilon \|A\|_2 / (1 - \varepsilon^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

There is therefore a matrix $B + E$ having λ as an eigenvalue of multiplicity at least two, showing that $A + F$ with

$$F = P^H E P, \|F\|_2 = \|E\|_2 \leq \varepsilon \|A\|_2 / (1 - \varepsilon^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (20)$$

has the same property.

Notice that there will often be a much closer matrix having a multiple eigenvalue. In Eq. (19) we have used the inequality

$$\|b\|_2 \leq \|B\|_2 \quad (21)$$

and this could be far from sharp. Indeed if A is normal b is null and E is therefore null assuming $\varepsilon \neq 1$. This is otherwise obvious since if λ is a simple root of a normal matrix then $y = x$ and $y^H x$ must be unity.

We have exhibited a neighbouring matrix having λ itself as the multiple eigenvalue. In general there will be a closer matrix having a multiple eigenvalue not exactly equal to λ . For example the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \theta \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (22)$$

has an eigenvalue $1 + \theta^{\frac{1}{2}}$. The corresponding left hand and right hand vectors are

$$[1, \theta^{\frac{1}{2}}] \quad \text{and} \quad [\theta^{\frac{1}{2}}, 1] \quad (23)$$

giving $s = 2\theta^{\frac{1}{2}}/(1 + \theta) \equiv \varepsilon$ of the above result. If the (1, 2) element is changed from θ to 0 the matrix now has unity as a double eigenvalue; the multiplicity has been induced by a perturbation which is of order ε^2 not ε .

If we proceed as in the proof of the above theorem a matrix is derived having $1 + \theta^{\frac{1}{2}}$ as a double root. Since the trace of this matrix is $2 + 2\theta^{\frac{1}{2}}$, changes of order $\theta^{\frac{1}{2}}$ (i.e. of order ε) are necessarily required. This illuminates a phenomenon of which many practical numerical analysts may be aware. When an s_i is small, A is often surprisingly near a matrix having a multiple eigenvalue.

References

1. Wilkinson, J. H.: The algebraic eigenvalue problem. London: Oxford University Press 1965.
2. Ruhe, A.: Properties of a matrix with a very ill-conditioned eigenproblem. Num. Math. **15**, 57-60 (1970).
3. Parlett, B. N., Reinsch, C.: Balancing a matrix for calculation of eigenvalues and eigenvectors. Num. Math. **13**, 293-304 (1969).
4. Wilkinson, J. H., Reinsch, C.: Handbook for automatic computation, vol. II. Linear algebra. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer 1971.

J. H. Wilkinson
National Physical Laboratory
Teddington
Middlesex, England