

Connes' Analogue of the Thom Isomorphism for the Kasparov Groups

Thierry Fack and Georges Skandalis

Université Pierre et Marie Curie, 4, Place Jussieu, Dép. Mathématiques,
F-75230 Paris Cedex, France

Introduction - Notations

Let (A, G, α) be a C^* -dynamical system ($G = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{Z}).

In [4], Pimsner and Voiculescu give six term exact sequences allowing to compute K and Ext groups of the crossed product $A \rtimes_{\alpha} G$ in the case $G = \mathbb{Z}$.

In [1], A. Connes shows the existence (and the uniqueness) of an isomorphism of degree 1 between $K^*(A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{R})$ and $K^*(A)$. He deduces a new proof of the Pimsner-Voiculescu result about K -groups.

Both Ext and K groups are particular cases of the Kasparov groups [3]. In this paper, we generalise the Thom isomorphism of [1] to these groups. Then, using the mapping torus construction, we generalise Pimsner and Voiculescu exact sequences to the Kasparov groups.

Let (A, \mathbb{R}, α) be a C^* -dynamical system. \mathbb{R} acts on the crossed product by the dual action $\hat{\alpha}$, and $(A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{R}) \rtimes_{\hat{\alpha}} \mathbb{R}$ is naturally isomorphic to $A \otimes \mathcal{K}$, where \mathcal{K} is the algebra of compact operators.

For C^* -algebras A and B , we note $KK^i(A, B)$ ($i \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$) the Kasparov group (cf. [3], §5, Def. 2, p. 606). The product $x \otimes_D y$ is the intersection product of Kasparov (cf. [3], §5, Thm. 6, p. 607).

Recall that for A nuclear separable and B with a countable approximate unit, $\text{Ext}(A, B) \approx KK^1(A, B)$ (cf. [3], §7, Thm. 1, p. 626). The Ext groups are Kasparov ones and coincide with Brown-Douglas-Fillmore ones for $B = \mathbb{C}$. The isomorphism $\text{Ext}(A, B) \approx KK^1(A, B)$ (A nuclear) used here is described in [3] (Thm. 1, §7, p. 626). $\text{Ext}(\mathbb{C}, B)$ is isomorphic to $K_1(B)$ in the following way: to each exact sequence φ of the form

$$0 \rightarrow B \otimes \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \rightarrow 0$$

is associated a connecting map $\delta_{\varphi}: K_0(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow K_1(B)$, and $\varphi \mapsto \delta_{\varphi}$ (positive generator of $K_0(\mathbb{C})$) is an isomorphism from $\text{Ext}(\mathbb{C}, B)$ into $K_1(B)$. We note c_1 the positive generator of $KK(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}) = K^0(p\mathbb{t}) = \mathbb{Z}$.

The Thom Map

Let us first state the axioms for the Thom map we want to construct.

To each C^* -dynamical system (A, \mathbb{R}, α) with A separable, we want to associate maps

$$\varphi_\alpha^i: KK^i(B, A) \rightarrow KK^{i+1}(B, A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{R}) \quad (B \text{ separable})$$

and

$$\Psi_\alpha^i: KK^i(A, B) \rightarrow KK^{i+1}(A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{R}, B)$$

(B with countable approximate unit) which should satisfy:

Axiom 1. If $\alpha_{\mathbb{C}}^0$ is the trivial action of \mathbb{R} on \mathbb{C} , then $\varphi_{\alpha_{\mathbb{C}}^0}^0(c_1)$ is the positive generator e_0 of $K^1(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{Z}$ and $\Psi_{\alpha_{\mathbb{C}}^0}^0(c_1)$ is the positive generator e_1 of $\text{Ext}(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{Z}$.

Axiom 2 (naturality). If $\rho: (A, \alpha) \rightarrow (B, \beta)$ is an equivariant homomorphism, then

$$(\hat{\rho})_* \circ \varphi_\alpha^i = \varphi_\beta^i \circ \rho_*$$

and

$$(\hat{\rho})^* \circ \Psi_\alpha^i = \Psi_\beta^i \circ \rho^* \quad (i \in \mathbb{Z}_{12\mathbb{Z}})$$

where $\hat{\rho}: A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{R} \rightarrow B \rtimes_\beta \mathbb{R}$ is associated with ρ .

These two first axioms are exactly the same as those in [1]. The third axiom will be a little more restrictive:

Axiom 3 (tensor product). For $x \in KK^i(A, B)$ and $y \in KK^j(D, E)$, we have

$$\Psi_{\alpha \otimes \text{id}_D}^{i+j}(x \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} y) = \Psi_\alpha^i(x) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} y.$$

For $x \in KK^i(B, A)$ and $y \in KK^j(D, E)$, we have

$$\Psi_{\text{id}_E \otimes \alpha}^{i+j}(y \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} x) = y \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \varphi_\alpha^i(x).$$

Here, D is assumed to be separable and E to have a countable approximate unit.

Remarks. 1) In fact, one needs to use Axiom 3 only with $x = c_1$.

2) Our axioms being stronger than those of [1], we see that for $B = \mathbb{C}$,

$$\varphi_\alpha^i: KK^i(\mathbb{C}, A) = K_i(A) \rightarrow K_{i+1}(A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{R})$$

is A. Connes' Thom map.

The main result of this paper is:

Theorem 1. *There exists a unique Thom map. Moreover, it is an isomorphism.*

Let us first give the existence part of this theorem. For a C^* -dynamical system (A, \mathbb{R}, α) , the algebra A is canonically imbedded in the multipliers algebra $M(A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{R})$. Let $t \rightarrow U_t$ be the canonical representation of \mathbb{R} in $M(A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{R})$ with $U_t x U_t^* = \alpha_t(x)$ ($x \in A$).

In what follows, A is assumed to be separable.

Let F_α be the element defined in any representation of $A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{R}$ by

$$F_\alpha = \frac{1}{i\pi} P V \int_{-1}^{+1} \frac{U}{t} dt.$$

It is easily seen to be an element of $M(A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{R})$ [2] (Prop. 9). We have:

Proposition 1. i) *The pair (id, F_α) defines an element t_α of $KK^1(A, A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{R})$.*

ii) *If $\rho: (A, \alpha) \rightarrow (B, \beta)$ is an equivariant map, then $\hat{\rho}_*(t_\alpha) = \rho^*(t_\beta)$.*

Proof. i) We have $F_\alpha = F_\alpha^*$ and, by Fourier transform, $(F_\alpha^2 - 1) a \in A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{R}$ for $a \in A$. Moreover, if a is C^∞ for the action, $[F_\alpha, a] \in A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{R}$.

ii) trivial. \square

Proposition 2. t_{α_0} is the positive generator of $K^1(\mathbb{R})$.

Proof. As an element of $\text{Ext}(\mathbb{C}, C_0(\mathbb{R}))$, t_{α_0} is the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow C_0(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C_0(\mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}) \xrightarrow{\sigma} \mathbb{C} \rightarrow 0,$$

where σ is evaluation at $+\infty$. The corresponding element of $K^1(\mathbb{R})$ is the image of the positive generator of $K_0(\mathbb{C})$ by the index map associated with this exact sequence. Let then $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\})$ with $f(+\infty) = 1$; then $\exp(2i\pi f)$ determines the positive generator of $K^1(\mathbb{R})$. \square

Proposition 3. t_{α_0} is the positive generator of $\text{Ext}(\mathbb{R})$.

Proof. As an element of $KK^1(C_0(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{C})$, t_{α_0} is the pair (λ, F) where $\lambda: C_0(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbb{R}))$ is the regular representation, and

$$F = \frac{1}{i\pi} P V \int_{-1}^{+1} \frac{V_t}{t} dt$$

where $(V_t \xi)(s) = e^{its} \xi(s)$ ($\xi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$).

Let i be the representation of $L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ by pointwise multiplication. Then $F = i(\varphi)$ with

$$\varphi(s) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-s}^{+s} \frac{\sin t}{t} dt$$

Put $\varepsilon(s) = \text{sgn}(s)$ and $G = i(\varepsilon)$.

Then $G^2 = 1$ and

$$G(F - G) \in i(C_0(\mathbb{R})) \text{ because } \varphi(0) = 0.$$

It follows that $(F - G)\lambda(f)$ is compact for all $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ and (λ, G) determines also t_{α_0} .

Put $P = \frac{G+1}{2}$. As an element of $\text{Ext}(\mathbb{R})$, t_{α_0} is then $P\lambda(\cdot)P: C_0(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+))$ where \mathcal{C} is the Calkin algebra. Put $S_t = P\lambda_t P$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}_+$); one has

$$S_t(\xi)(s) = \begin{cases} \xi(s-t) & \text{if } s \geq t \\ 0 & \text{if } s < t \end{cases} \quad (\xi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)).$$

Let

$$f \in C_0(\mathbb{R}), \quad f(t) = \frac{1}{1-it}.$$

Then

$$\lambda(f) = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-t} S_t dt$$

and

$$P\lambda(f)P = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-t} S_t dt.$$

It is clear that $1-2f = -\frac{t-i}{t+i}$ determines the negative generator of $K_1(\mathbb{R})$. Let us compute the index of $S = 1 - 2P\lambda(f)P$. Let (e_n) be the orthonormal basis of $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ obtained by Gram-Schmidt orthonormalization of $\{t^n e^{-t}\}_{n \geq 0}$. One sees that $S e_n = e_{n+1}$, so that $\text{Ind}(S) = -1$. \square

With the notations of [3] (§4, Def. 4, p. 597), we have:

Theorem 2. Put $\sigma_\alpha = t_\alpha \otimes_{A \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathbb{R}}} t_{\hat{\alpha}} \in KK(A, A)$.

Then σ_α does not depend on α and is equal to $\tau_A(c_1)$.

Proof. Let α^t ($t \in [0, 1]$) be the action on A defined by $(\alpha^t)_s = \alpha_{ts}$ ($s \in \mathbb{R}$). Note β the action of \mathbb{R} on $B = A \otimes C([0, 1])$ defined by

$$\beta_t(x)(s) = \alpha_t^s(x(s)).$$

Evaluation at t , $g_t: B \rightarrow A$ is an equivariant homomorphism from (B, β) to (A, α^t) . We deduce

$$\hat{g}_{t^*}(t_\beta) = g_t^*(t_{\alpha^t})$$

and

$$\hat{\hat{g}}_{t^*}(t_{\hat{\beta}}) = \hat{g}_{t^*}(t_{\hat{\alpha}^t}).$$

The functional properties of the intersection-product (cf. [3], Thm. 4, §4, p. 597) imply

$$\hat{\hat{g}}_{t^*}(t_\beta \otimes_{B \rtimes_{\beta, \mathbb{R}}} t_{\hat{\beta}}) = g_t^*(t_{\alpha^t}) \otimes_{A \rtimes_{\alpha^t, \mathbb{R}}} (t_{\hat{\alpha}^t}).$$

Let $f: A \rightarrow B$, $f(x) = x \otimes 1$. We have $g_{t \circ f} = \text{id}_A$, hence $\sigma_{\alpha^t} = f^*(\hat{\hat{g}}_{t^*}(\sigma_\beta))$. By homotopy invariance of the KK -functor ([3], Thm. 3, §4, p. 597), $\sigma_{\alpha^t} = \sigma_{\alpha \circ f}$. But $\sigma_{\alpha \circ f} = \tau_A(\sigma_{\alpha \circ f}) = \tau_A(c_1)$ (Prop. 2 and 3). \square

Remark. In an unpublished proof of his Thom isomorphism, A. Connes had already used this homotopy algebra.

Proof of the Existence and Isomorphism

By Theorem 2, we have

$$t_\alpha \otimes_{A \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathbb{R}}} t_{\hat{\alpha}} = \tau_A(c_1)$$

and

$$t_{\hat{\alpha}} \otimes_A t_{\hat{\alpha}} = \tau_{A \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathbb{R}}}(c_1),$$

so that $t_{\hat{\alpha}} = t_{\alpha}$. Put

$$\Psi^i(x) = x \otimes_A t_{\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_{\alpha}^i(x) = x \otimes_A t_{\alpha}$$

By [3] (Thm. 6, §4), Ψ_{α}^i and φ_{α}^i are isomorphisms.

Axiom 1 is Proposition 2 and 3. Axiom 2 is Proposition 1, ii) and Axiom 3 is given by the associativity of the intersection-product. \square

Proof of the Uniqueness

By Axioms 1 and 3, one has for the trivial action α^0 on A :

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{\alpha^0}^i(x) &= \varphi_{\alpha^0}^i(x \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} c_1) \\ &= x \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \varphi_{\alpha^0}^0(c_1) \\ &= x \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} e_0 \quad (x \in KK^i(D, A)). \end{aligned}$$

This determines $\varphi_{\alpha^0}^i$ for any trivial action.

Let (B, β) and g_t ($t \in [0, 1]$) as in Theorem 2.

By equivariance and Axiom 2, one has

$$\hat{g}_{t*} \circ \varphi_{\beta}^i = \varphi_{\alpha^t}^i \circ g_{t*}.$$

But g_{t*} and \hat{g}_{t*} are isomorphisms by the existence part, so that

$$\varphi_{\alpha}^i = \hat{g}_{1*} \hat{g}_{0*}^{-1} \varphi_{\alpha^0}^i \quad (g_{0*} = g_{1*})$$

is uniquely determined. The same proof works for Ψ_{α}^i . \square

Consequences

Theorem 1 is a generalization of [1] (Thm. 2, §IV).

An other immediate consequence is the following:

Corollary 1. *Let (A, \mathbb{R}, α) be a C^* -dynamical system with A nuclear and separable. Then, $\text{Ext}(A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{R})$ is naturally isomorphic to $\text{Ext}_0(A) = \text{Ext}(A \otimes C_0(\mathbb{R}))$*

By induction, one has the following corollary

Corollary 2 (cf. [1], corollary 7, §V, p. 27). *Let (A, G, α) be a C^* -dynamical system with A separable and G solvable, connected and simply connected Lie group of dimension $j \pmod{2}$.*

Then, we have natural isomorphisms

$$KK^i(A, B) \approx KK^{i+j}(A \rtimes_{\alpha} G, B)$$

and

$$KK^i(D, A) \approx KK^{i+j}(D, A \rtimes_{\alpha} G)$$

(Usual assumptions on B and D).

Remark. Let us consider the exact sequence of the pseudo differential operators associated with an action of \mathbb{R}^n on A (see [2], Prop. 9):

$$0 \rightarrow A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{-\sigma} A \otimes C(S^{n-1}) \rightarrow 0.$$

To compute the index of an elliptic pseudo differential operator $P \in \mathcal{E}$, one may use the intersection product.

Let $\Psi^{n-1} \in KK^{n-1}(S^{n-1}, \mathbb{C})$, be the Bott element, and $t_{\alpha} \in KK^n(A, A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{R}^n)$ be the Thom element. Then,

$$\text{Ind}(P) = [\sigma(P)] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \Psi^{n-1} \otimes_A t_{\alpha}. \quad (\text{See [2], Thm. 10.})$$

To get the analogue of the Pismar and Voiculescu six term exact sequences, we need the following:

Lemma. *Let B be a C^* -algebra and θ be an automorphism of B . Let $e_0 \in KK^1(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{R})$ be the element associated with the exact sequence:*

$$0 \rightarrow C_0([0, 1]) \rightarrow C_0([0, 1]) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \rightarrow 0.$$

Then $(\text{id}^* - \theta^*) \tau_B(e_0)$ is associated with the mapping Torus exact sequence.

$$0 \rightarrow C_0([0, 1]) \otimes B \xrightarrow{-i} A \xrightarrow{-\sigma} B \rightarrow 0$$

where $A = \{x \in C(\mathbb{R}) \otimes B \mid x(s+1) = \theta(x(s))\}$ and σ is evaluation at 0.

Proof. $\tau_B(e_0)$ is associated with the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow C_0([0, 1]) \otimes B \rightarrow C_0([0, 1]) \otimes B \xrightarrow{-\Pi_1} B \rightarrow 0.$$

For an automorphism γ of B , put $\mu(\gamma) \in KK^1(B, B \otimes C_0(\mathbb{R}))$ given by

$$0 \rightarrow C_0([0, 1]) \otimes B \rightarrow C_0([0, 1]) \otimes B \xrightarrow{-\gamma \circ \Pi_0} B \rightarrow 0$$

where Π_0 and Π_1 are evaluations at 0 and 1.

Then $\tau_B(e_0) + \mu(\gamma)$ is given by the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow C_0([0, 1]) \otimes B \otimes M_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{-\Pi} B \rightarrow 0$$

where

$$\mathcal{E} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} [x_1, x_2] \\ [x_3, x_4] \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{l} x_1, x_2 \in C_0([0, 1]) \otimes B, x_4 \in C_0([0, 1]) \otimes B, \\ x_2, x_3 \in C_0([0, 1]) \otimes B, \Pi_1(x_1) = \gamma \circ \Pi_0(x_4) \end{array} \right\}$$

and

$$\Pi \left(\begin{array}{l} [x_1, x_2] \\ [x_3, x_4] \end{array} \right) = \Pi_1(x_1) = \gamma \circ \Pi_0(x_4).$$

Let

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} \sin \frac{\Pi}{2} t & -\cos \frac{\Pi}{2} t \\ \cos \frac{\Pi}{2} t & \sin \frac{\Pi}{2} t \end{bmatrix} \in M(C_0([0, 1]) \otimes B \otimes M_2).$$

$\tau_B(e_0) + \mu(\gamma) = R(\tau_B(e_0) + \mu(\gamma))R^{-1}$, which is the mapping torus exact sequence for γ . This shows that $\mu(\text{id}) = -\tau_B(e_0)$ and that $(\text{id}^* - \theta^*)\tau_B(e_0)$ is associated with the mapping torus exact sequence. \square

Theorem 3 (cf. [4], Thm. 2.4 and 3.5). *Let (B, θ, \mathbb{Z}) be a C^* -dynamical system with B nuclear and separable. Let D be nuclear and separable and E with countable approximate unit.*

Then, we have the following exact sequences:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \text{a)} \quad \begin{array}{ccc}
 & KK(D, B) \xrightarrow{\text{id}_* - \theta_*} & KK(D, B) \\
 & \swarrow & \searrow \\
 KK^1(D, B \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z}) & & KK(D, B \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z}) \\
 & \swarrow & \searrow \\
 & KK^1(D, B) \xleftarrow{\text{id}_* - \theta_*} & KK^1(D, B)
 \end{array} \\
 \\
 \text{b)} \quad \begin{array}{ccc}
 & KK(B, E) \xleftarrow{\text{id}^* - \theta^*} & KK(B, E) \\
 & \swarrow & \searrow \\
 KK^1(B \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z}, E) & & KK(B \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z}, E) \\
 & \swarrow & \searrow \\
 & KK^1(B, E) \xrightarrow{\text{id}^* - \theta^*} & KK^1(B, E)
 \end{array}
 \end{array}$$

Proof. We have $KK^i(D, B \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z}) = KK^i(D, A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{R})$ by strong Morita equivalence, so that

$$KK^i(D, B \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z}) = KK^{i+1}(D, A).$$

To compute $KK^i(D, A)$, we only have to compute the connecting maps of the exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 KK(D, B \otimes C_0(\mathbb{R})) & \longrightarrow & KK(D, A) \\
 \delta \swarrow & & \searrow \\
 KK^1(D, B) & & KK(D, B) \\
 \swarrow & & \searrow \delta \\
 KK^1(D, A) & \longleftarrow & KK^1(D, B \otimes C_0(\mathbb{R}))
 \end{array}$$

which are by [3] (§7, Thm. 2, p. 629) intersection products by $(\text{id}^* - \theta^*)\tau_B(e_0)$. Analogue proof for b . (Use [3], §7, Thm. 3, p. 631.) \square

Remarks. 1) One may use Theorem 3 to compute $\text{Ext}(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathcal{O}_B)$, where \mathcal{O}_A is Cuntz' algebra (cf. [5], Thm. 3.11, p. 19).

2) By using Theorem 1 and 3, one may also extend the universal coefficient formula and the Künneth formula [6] allowing crossed products by \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{Z} .

References

1. Connes, A.: An analogue of the Thom isomorphism for cross products of a C^* -algebra by an action of \mathbb{R} . Preprint I.H.E.S., 1980
2. Connes, A.: C^* -algèbres et géométrie différentielle, Note aux CRAS, t. 290, 1980
3. Kasparov, G.G.: Operator K -functor and extensions of C^* -algebras, Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSR, Ser. Mat. 44, 571–636 (1980) (in Russian)
4. Pimsner, M., Voiculescu, D.: Exact sequences for K groups and Ext groups of certain crossed product C^* -algebras, Preprint INCREST
5. Cuntz, J.: A class of C^* -algebras and topological Markov chains II: reducible Markov chains and the Ext-functor for C^* -algebras, Preprint 1980
6. Rosenberg, J., Schochet, C.: The classification of extensions of C^* -algebras. Preprint 1980

Received January 26, 1981