Sulphur Dioxide Complexes of the Platinum Metals*

D. M. P. Mingos

Inorganic Chemistry Laboratory, University of Oxford, South Parks Road, Oxford OXI 3QR, U.K.

(Received October 24th, 1977)

Summary

The structural, chemical and theoretical aspects of the coordination chemistry of sulphur dioxide complexes of the platinum metals have been reviewed. A detailed evaluation of the analogy between nitric oxide and sulphur dioxide ligands has been made, and it has been concluded that although the structural properties of complexes of these ligands are closely related, their oxidative-addition and oxidation reactions differ in many important respects.

Introduction

The first sulphur dioxide-transition metal complex was reported almost forty years ago by Gleu in 1938⁽¹⁾, but it was not until the mid-nineteen sixties (2-4) that an extensive series of platinum-metal sulphur dioxide complexes were synthesised and characterised by spectroscopic and x-ray crystallographic studies. The latter demonstrated that the sulphur dioxide ligand has a variable coordination geometry $^{(5-7)}$, which parallels that displayed by the more extensively studied nitrosyl ligand⁽⁸⁻¹⁰⁾. In 1967 Cook⁽¹¹⁾ and Robinson^(3, 12) demonstrated that, when coordinated to zero-valent platinum metal complexes, the sulphur dioxide ligand is oxidised aerobically to sulphate, and the possible relevance of this to the platinum catalysed SO_2 oxidation in the contact process was noted. During the last two years the detrimental environmental effects of sulphur dioxide has resulted in a renewed interest in the chemistry of this ligand, particularly by Ryan and his coworkers (13-15) in the United States.

The coordination chemistry of sulphur dioxide has not been reviewed previously and therefore before discussing the detailed properties of specific complexes, some general aspects of the structures of, and bonding in, these complexes will be described. In particular the coordination chemistry of this ligand will be related to the electronic characteristics of the parent molecule.

Structure and Electronic Properties of Sulphur Dioxide

Electron diffraction⁽¹⁶⁾, x-ray diffraction⁽¹⁷⁾ and microwave studies⁽¹⁸⁾ have established that SO₂ has an angular $C_{2\nu}$ geometry with an O-S-O bond angle of 119° and S-O bond length of 1.431(1) Å. The molecule has a dipole moment⁽¹⁹⁾ of 1.61D and first ionization potential of 12.34 eV⁽²⁰⁾. This value is contrasted with that for some other common ligands below⁽²¹⁾.

Ligand	SO ₂	N_2	CO	C_2H_4	NH3	OH_2
1st I.P. (eV)	12.34	15.58	14.00	10.52	10.85	12.61

The ionization potential of SO₂ is comparable to that of water and smaller than those reported for N₂ and CO and therefore, in a naive way, if the formation of coordinate bonds is associated with the ionization process a reasonably extensive coordination chemistry for SO₂ is anticipated. *Ab initio* molecular orbital calculations by Hillier, Dacre, Siegbahn and their co-workers⁽²²⁻²⁴⁾ have indicated that for SO₂ the ionization process described above originates from an orbital of a_1 symmetry which is concentrated primarily on the sulphur atom but also has contributions from the oxygen 2p orbitals. This orbital, which may be loosely described as the SO₂ "lone pair" orbital, n, is illustrated schematically in (1).



This molecular orbital is weakly antibonding between sulphur and oxygen but bonding between oxygen atoms, therefore electron donation from this orbital to an empty orbital on the metal with the appropriate symmetry could result in some shortening of the S–O bond length and opening up of the O–S–O bond angle.

The theoretical studies noted above have also indicated that SO_2 has a low lying empty orbital of b_1 symmetry suitable for back donation from a metal atom with filled d orbitals. This orbital which is represented schematically



in (2) is thought to lie at ca. -9.00 eV relative to the free electron. This energy is approximately the same as that for the π^* levels in N₂(-7.1 eV) and CO(-6.0 eV). Therefore the SO₂ ligand is expected to show some π -acceptor properties when coordinated to low valent transition metals. The sulphur d_{yz} orbital also makes a contribution to this molecular orbital, and has the effect of rehybridising the sulphur p_y orbital away from the oxygen atoms. The b_1 acceptor orbital (2) is also S–O antibonding and oxygen-oxygen

Reprints of this article are not available.

bonding and therefore back donation into this orbital could result in a lengthening of a S–O bond and a decrease in the O–S–O bond angle. It follows from the discussion above that structural studies on the coordinated SO₂ ligand could lead to a clear separation of competing forward and back donation effects.

Before considering in detail specific bonding situations for the SO_2 ligand, it must be emphasised that the above discussion based as it is on relative energies must be tempered by the knowledge that the overlap integrals between the sulphur orbitals and a transition metal are probably significantly smaller than those for a first row donor atom (e.g. O or N).

Bonding in Sulphur Dioxide Transition Metal Complexes

(a) Mononuclear complexes

Three distinct coordination modes have been identified for the SO₂ ligand and these are illustrated in (3-5). For the purposes of this review these geometries will be distinguished by the following names based on the *hapto* (or *eta*) nomenclature schemes⁽²⁵⁾.

$$\eta^{1}$$
-planar-SO₂ M - S₀ (3)

 η^1 - pyramidal - SO₂

$$\eta^2 - SO_2 \qquad M \swarrow 0 \qquad (5)$$

Structures (3) and (4) closely resemble the *linear* and *bent* geometries which have been observed for coordinated nitric oxide and several workers^(23, 26, 27) have noted that the ambistructural behaviour exhibited by these and related ligands has its origins in the presence of low lying acceptor levels [*e.g.* the π^* for NO, and the b_1 orbital shown in (2) for SO₂]. The η^2 -coordination mode has not been identified for nitric oxide, but has been established for other triatomic ligands, *e.g.* CS₂⁽²⁸⁾.

Figure 1 illustrates schematically the bonding interactions for the square-pyramidal complexes $[IrCl_4(NO)]^{2^-}$ and $[IrCl_4(SO_2)]^{3^-}$ with the nitrosyl and sulphur dioxide ligands coordinated in linear and η^1 -planar geometries respectively, *i.e.* the θ angle defined in (6) and (7) is equal to 180° . The major bonding interactions for the nitrosyl complex arises from the overlap of the metal z^2 orbital with the nitrosyl



"lone pair" orbital, n, and the metal xz and yz orbitals with $\pi^*(NO)$. The sulphur dioxide ligand can enter into a similar σ -type interaction which utilizes its "lone pair" orbital, n, but it has only a single molecular orbital of π -pseudosymmetry, b_1 , as illustrated in (2), and therefore it can only interact with one component of the degenerate metal xz, yz orbital pair. If the SO₂ ligand is taken to lie in the yz plane then the b_1 orbital interacts exclusively with the metal xz orbital as shown in Figure 1. In such a conformation the metal yz orbital remains essentially nonbonding.



(4)

(a)

(b)





Figure 1. The molecular orbital interaction diagrams for the squarepyramidal complexes $[1rCl_4(NO)]^{2-}(1a)$ and $[1rCl_4(SO_2)]^{3-}(1b)$ derived from extended Hückel calculations^(26, 29).

From the Figure it is clear that the metal-nitrosyl and metal-sulphur dioxide σ and π bonding interactions will be maximised for {MB}⁶ complexes. In this review we will follow the suggestion of Enemark and Feltham⁽³⁰⁾ and denote the MB fragment (where B = NO or SO₂) by {MB}^r, where r is the number of electrons associated with the metal d orbitals and the π^* orbitals of the ligand B.

If the number of electrons, r, exceeds six then for both the nitrosyl and SO₂ complexes the additional electrons must be accomodated in the low lying but antibonding orbitals $z^2 - \lambda n$ shown in Figure 1 and (8). The energies of these molecular orbitals are particularly sensitive to a bending distortion which reduces their antibonding character.



If the angle θ defined in (6) and (7) is reduced from its initial value of 180° (the SO₂ bisecting vector moving in the xz plane) then z² will reduce its antibonding interaction with *n* which is no longer colinear with the M-S bond [see (9)]. This distortion also removes the symmetry distinction between the z²- λn and b₁-xz orbitals, which both have a' symmetry when $\theta \neq 180^\circ$. These orbitals also lie close in energy and therefore mix extensively as the distortion proceeds. The lower component of this interacting pair is stabilised by the constructive overlap between z² and the SO₂ b₁ level as illustrated in (9), an interaction which is clearly forbidden for the η^1 -planar geometry. The upper component of the interacting pair is likewise destabilised by the destructive overlap between z² and the π -like orbital of SO₂, b_1 , as illustrated in (10). The importance of these orbital mixings is confirmed by the Walsh diagram for the bending process [derived from extended Hückel calculations⁽²⁶⁾] which is illustrated in Figure 2b.

The corresponding Walsh diagram for the bending distortion in $[IrCl_4(NO)]^{2-}$, which is illustrated in Figure 2a⁽²⁹⁾, indicates that a very similar energetic and symmetry situation exists. On bending, the $z^2 -\lambda n$ orbital mixes with one component of the π^* -xz, yz orbital pair to give one component of lower energy and one of higher energy. The other component of the π^* -xz, yz pair, π^* -yz, remains essentially unaffected by the distortion mode. The large similarities in the Walsh diagrams for the two complexes suggest that the rules which have been developed for predicting the geometries of NO complexes are generally transferable to SO₂ complexes and the following conclusions may be proposed from the work of Mingos and Hoffmann⁽²⁹⁾ and Ryan and Eller⁽²⁶⁾.

1. $ln\{M(B)\}^6$ (B = SO₂ or NO) complexes based on the octahedron or the square-pyramid with B occupying an apical site, the linear and η^1 -planar geometries are energetically preferred. For $\{M(B)\}^8$ complexes the bent or η^1 -pyramidal geometries are more stable

These conclusions follow from the Walsh diagrams illustrated in Figures 2a and 2b and have been confirmed by the following x-ray crystallographic analyses:

Complex	Electronic Configura- tion	Ligand Geometry	Ref.
IRuCls(NO) Cl ₂	{M(NO)} ⁶	NO linear	(8, 30)
[RuCl(NH ₃) ₄ SO ₂]Cl	${M(SO_2)}^6$	$SO_2 \eta^1$ -planar	(5)
[IrCl(CO)(NO)(PPh ₃) ₂] ⁺	{M(NO)} ⁸	NO bent	(9, 30)
IrCl ₂ (NO)(PPh ₃) ₂	${M(NO)}^8$	NO bent	(10, 30)
IrCl(CO)(SO ₂)(PPh ₃) ₂	${M(SO_2)}^8$	$SO_2 \eta^1$ -pyramidal	(6)
$RhCl(CO)(SO_2)(PPh_3)_2$	${M(SO_2)}^8$	$SO_2 \eta^1$ -pyramidal	(7)



Figure 2. Walsh diagrams for the bending distortion in $[IrCl_4(NO)]^{2-}(2a)$ and $[IrCl_4(SO_2)]^{3-}(2b)^{(26, 29)}$.

2. In square-pyramidal $\{M(B)\}^8$ complexes of the type $ML_2DA(B)$ where D is π -donor trans to A a π -acceptor, the NO or SO₂ group will bend in the DMA plane towards the π -acceptor

The x-ray analyses of $[IrCl(CO)(NO)(PPh_3)_2]^* (11)^{(9)}$ and $IrCl(CO)(SO_2)(PPh_3)_2 (12)^{(7)}$ by Ibers and his coworkers have shown that in both complexes the ligand bends towards the π -accepting carbonyl group.



3. In related $\{M(B)\}^8$ trigonal-bipyramidal nitrosyl and sulphur dioxide complexes, the ligand is less likely to bend than when occupying the apical site of the corresponding square-pyramid

The antibonding $z^2 - \lambda n$ combination in the square-pyramid, which it will be recalled was the controlling factor for nitrosyl and sulphur dioxide bending, is significantly higher in energy than in the trigonal-bipyramid geometry. The reason for this can be traced to the reduced symmetry of the trigonal bipyramid fragment $[C_{2\nu}$ as shown in (13)]. In the square-pyramid the z^2 orbital is the only metal orbital of a_1 symmetry. In the trigonal bipyramid fragment both z^2 and $x^2 - y^2$ are of a_1 symmetry. They mix slightly in the fragment but more so when the ligand comes in. The primary interaction in both the square pyramid and the trigonal bipyramid is between n and z^2 and it is antibonding. In the square-pyramid that antibonding cannot be effectively avoided, but in the trigonal bipyramid $x^2 - y^2$ can be util-ized. The linear combination " $z^2 + (x^2 - y^2)$ " shown in (14) takes some of the electron density from the metal-ligand axis and puts it into the relatively harmless region along the y axis, and consequently the driving force towards distortion is diminished⁽²⁹⁾.



4. Nitrosyl and SO₂ ligands in axial positions in trigonal bipyramidal and basal sites in a square-pyramidal $\{M(B)\}^{\aleph}$ complexes prefer to be linearly (or η^1 -planar) coordinated

The well established energy levels for a trigonal bipyramidal fragment (15) are illustrated in Figure 3. There is a low lying degenerate xz, yz set, followed by the $x^2 - y^2$, xy orbitals, which are slightly metal-ligand antibonding and finally the more strongly antibonding metal z^2 orbital. As the nitrosyl or SO₂ ligand approaches to complete the axially substituted trigonal-bipyramid, the ligand orbitals of π -pseudosymmetry will interact with and stabilise one or



Figure 3. Schematic representation of the energy levels of a $C_{3\nu}$ ML₄ fragment⁽²⁹⁾.

both components of the xz, yz set. For five coordinate $\{M(B)\}^8$ complexes the fragment z^2 is unfilled. This is a very different situation from the apical-square-pyramid and the equatorial trigonal-bipyramid complexes, and there is no analogue of (8) which stimulates the bending distortion.



Instead the z^2 orbital actually helps to make the nitrosyl linear and the SO₂ planar by virtue of its strong bonding interaction with the lone pair orbital *n*. The basal site of a square-pyramid represents a perturbation on the above scheme and the same arguments favouring the linear geometry apply.

The available nitrosyl structures are in accord with these conclusions⁽²⁸⁾. In $[IrH(NO)(PPh_3)_3]^{+(10)}$ the hydride ligand was not specifically located but was inferred to lie *trans* to the NO along the axis of a distorted trigonal-bipyramid. In this complex the nitrosyl ligand is linearly coordinated. Structural studies on the $\{M(NO)\}^8$ square-pyramidal dinitrosyl complexes $[RuCl(NO)_2(PPh_3)_2]^{+(31)}$ and $[Os(NO)_2(OH)(PPh_3)_2]^{+(32)}$ have shown that the basal NO has a linear geometry and the apical NO a bent geometry. These conclusions remain to be tested for SO₂ complexes, and an x-ray crystallographic analysis of $IrH(PPh_3)_3(SO_2)$, for example, would be most helpful in this regard.

An x-ray structural determination of $Rh(\eta-C_2H_4)(\eta-Cp)(SO_2)^{(14)*}$, which is an $\{M(SO_2)\}^8$ complex has shown that the SO_2 ligand has an η^1 -planar SO_2 geometry. Ryan, Eller and Kubas⁽¹⁴⁾ have noted that this rhodium cyclopentadienyl complex has a molecular orbital splitting diagram which is closely related to that of $[IrCl_4(SO_2)]^{2-}$ with the SO_2 occupying the axial site of a trigonal bipyramid and have rationalised the observed SO_2 geometry in terms of a high lying and unoccupied orbital $(z^2 - \lambda n)$ similar to that described for the iridium complex above and illustrated in Figure 3.

Cp = cyclopentadienyl.

5. Good π -acceptor ligands, L, in {L₄M(B)}⁸ and {L₃M(B)}¹⁰ complexes in general encourage linear (or η^1 -planar) geometries, whereas good π -donors encourage the bent (or η^1 -planar) geometries

In nitrosyl complexes this conclusion has been supported by x-ray crystallographic analyses on $[Mn(CO)_4(NO)]^8$ and $[Ir(NO)Cl_2(PPh_3)_2]^8$, for example, but an insufficient number of sulphur dioxide structures has been determined to support or refute this conclusion.

Ryan's extended Huckel calculations on related NO and SO_2 complexes⁽¹³⁾ have suggested that for $\{L_3M(B)\}^{10}$ complexes the bending process is energetically more favourable when $B = SO_2$ than when B = NO. X-ray structural studies on some triphenylphosphine platinum metal complexes which are summarised below seem to confirm this conclusion.

$lr(NO)(PPh_3)_3^{(33)}$	$Pt(SO_2)(PPh_3)_3^{(13, 31)}$
Ir-N = 1.67 Å	Pt-S = 2.36 Å
$\theta = 180^{\circ}$	$\theta = 120^{\circ}$
$[Ir(NO)_2(PPh_3)_2]^{+(34)}$	$Pt(SO_2)_2(PPh_3)_2^{(35)}$
Ir-N = 1.77 Å	Pt-S = 2.45 Å
$\theta = 164^{\circ}$	$\theta = 117^{\circ}$

Ryan has noted⁽¹³⁾ that the *n* and b_1 molecular orbitals of SO₂ lie closer in energy than the *n* and π^* orbitals of NO, and has suggested that if this trend is a maintained in complexes of these ligands then the SO₂ ligand will show the greater tendency to distort, because the smaller energy difference will permit more extensive orbital mixings as the bending distortion proceeds.

The discussion above has highlighted some important similarities between SO_2 and NO. There are, however, some important differences which must also be borne in mind. The *n* and π interactions for NO with a transition metal will generally be stronger than those for SO₂ because the metal-ligand overlaps are larger in the former case and therefore smaller metal-ligand bond dissociation energies are predicted for the SO₂ ligand. The presence of only a single acceptor orbital of π -pseudosymmetry in the case of SO₂ also has some interesting conformational and energetic consequences for η^1 -planar and η^1 -pyramidal SO₂ complexes. For example, if the SO₂ ligand is coordinated to an ML_n fragment which does not have axial symmetry then the SO₂ ligand will have a preferred conformation which maximises the bonding interaction between the SO₂ b_1 orbital (2) and the donor orbital on the metal. The following equi-



L = a two electron ligand such as PR₃, CO, etc.

librium conformations may be predicted for η^1 -planar SO₂ complexes from a knowledge of the bonding characteristics of ML_n fragments⁽³⁷⁾.

η^2 -SO₂ complexes

The only structure reported to date where SO₂ is coordinated to the metal through the sulphur atom and one of the oxygen atoms is Rh(NO)(SO₂)(PPh₃)₂ (19)⁽¹⁶⁾. The coordination geometry around the metal is tetrahedral if the adjacent sulphur and oxygen atoms bonded to the metal are considered as a monodentate ligand. The nitrosyl ligand is also non-linear in this compound. The coordinated S–O bond is longer than the uncoordinated S–O bond by *ca*. 0.07 Å in this compound. The bonding in this complex can be viewed in terms of electron donation from a metal orbital to the b_1 SO₂ acceptor orbital as illustrated in (20).



Bond length and angle parameters for mononuclear SO_2 complexes

The important bond lengths and angles for those SO₂ complexes whose structures have been determined x-ray crystallographically are summarised in Tables 1 and 2 below. The following trends are apparent from the structural data: 1. The metal-sulphur bond length is significantly longer (ca. 0.3 Å) in η^1 -pyramidal than η^1 -planar SO₂ complexes. 2. The O-S-O bond angle is consistently smaller for the coordinated SO₂ ligand than for the free ligand, irrespective of whether it coordinated in a planar or pyramidal mode. This consistent trend follows from the nodal characteristics of the lowest occupied orbital of the SO₂ ligand and has been discussed above.

3. The limited number of very accurate structural determinations on metal-SO₂ complexes precludes any definitive statements about the changes in S-O bond lengths which accompany coordination.

4. In the η^1 -pyramidal complexes the angle between the M-S vector and the SO₂ plane is close to 120° for all those complexes which have been studied to date.

(b) Polynuclear Complexes

Single crystal x-ray structure analyses on $Pd_3(t-BuNC)_5(SO_2)_2^{(40)}$ and $Ir(CO)_2H(PPh_3)_2(SO_2)^{(41)}$ have shown that SO_2 can act as a bridging ligand in same platinum metal complexes. Illustrations of these structures are given in (21) and (22) below, and the relevant structural

Compound	Coordination Type	${M(SO_2)}^r$	M–S distance (Å)	Angle between M–S vector and SO ₂ plane (degrees)	Ref.
[RuCl(NH ₃) ₄ SO ₂]Cl	Octahedral	6	2.072(3)	180	(5)
$Rh(\eta - C_2H_4)(\eta - C_5H_5)(SO_2)$	Trigonal bipyramidal	8	2.096(2)	180	(14)
$IrCl(CO)(PPh_3)_2(SO_2)$	Tetragonal pyramidal	8	2.49(1)	121.5(1.5)	(6)
$RhCl(CO)(PPh_3)_2(SO_2)$	Tetragonal pyramidal	8	2,45(2)	120.3(3)	(7)
$Pt(PPh_3)_3(SO_2)$	Tetrahedral	10	2.368(3)	120.3(3)	(13)
$Pt(PPh_3)_2(SO_2)_2$	Tetrahedral	10	2.43(1)	117.0(3)	(35)
$Rh(NO)(PPh_3)_2(SO_2)$	Tetrahedral	10	2.33		(15)

Table 1. Structural parameters for SO2 complexes

Table 2. SO₂ Bond angles and bond lengths in SO₂ complexes

Compound	Coordination mode	O-S-O (degrees)	S-O ₁ (A)	S-O ₂ (Å)	Ref.
free SO ₂		119.0(5)	1.43(1)	1.43(1)	(17)
RuCl(NH ₃) ₄ (SO ₂) Cl	η^1 -planar	113.8(6)	1.462(1)	1.394(1)	(5)
$IrCl(CO)(PPh_3)_2(SO_2)$	η^1 -pyramidal	117.1(1.5)	1.41(2)	1.47(2)	(6)
$RhCl(CO)(PPh_3)_2(SO_2)$	η^1 -pyramidal	113.8(3)	1.446(4)	1.456(4)	(7)
$Pt(PPh_3)_3(SO_2)$	η^1 -planar	122(4)	1.51(5)	1.47(5)	(36)
Pt(PPh ₃) ₃ (SO ₂) (re-examination)	η^1 -pyramidal	114.2(5)	1.428(8)	1.453(7)	(13)
$Pt(PPh_3)_2(SO_2)_2$	η^1 -pyramidal	114.5(3) 116.9(5)	1.453(5) 1.381(6)	1.416(5) 1.368(8)	(35)
$Rh(\eta-C_2H_4)(\eta-Cp)(SO_2)$	η^1 -planar	114.4(5)	1.442(7)	1.430(8)	(14)
$Rh(NO)(PPh_3)_2(SO_2)$	η^2	115	1.41	1.48	(15)
$Pt(Me)(i-SO_2)(PPh_3)_2$	η^1 – to 1	116.1(5)	1.406(8)	1.400(8)	(39)
$Pd_3(t-BuNC)_5(SO_2)_2$	bridging	(i) 113(2) (ii) 109(2)	1.49(3) 1.47(3)	1.45(3) 1.49(2)	(40)
$[1r(CO)_2H(PPh_3)]_2(SO_2)$	bridging	113.7(2)	1.457(7)		(41)







(22)

parameters are presented in Table 2. The average Pd–S bond distance in (21) is 2.26 Å, which is slightly shorter than the values commonly found for chalcogenide palladium complexes (av. 2.30 Å), implying a strong bonding interaction with the metal for the SO₂ bridging ligand⁽⁴⁰⁾.

Each iridium atom in $\{Ir(CO_2)H(PPh_3)\}_2(SO_2)^{(41)}$ is at the centre of a distorted octahedron whose apices are occupied by the other iridium and by atoms S, P, C(1), C(2) and H, the latter is thought to lie at the apex *trans* to C(1). An important feature in this structure is the lengthening of the M-M bond due to the presence of the bridging SO₂, as in the absence of such a bridge a formal covalent bond connects the two metal atoms. The Ir-Ir distance observed here 2.759 Å is longer than those observed in Ir₄(CO)₁₂, 268 Å; in Ir₄(CO)_{12.m} (PPh₃)_m⁽⁴²⁾, 2.72 Å, and in Ir₂(NO)₄(PPh₃)₃⁽⁴³⁾, 2.717 Å. This elongation has been rationalised in terms of the π acid character of the bridging ligand⁽⁴¹⁾.

(c) Coordination of SO_2 to a ligand in a transition metal complex

A novel mode of coordination of SO₂ has been revealed by the crystal structure determination of $PtMe(I-SO_2)(PPh_3)_2^{(9)}$, and is illustrated in (23).

The structure shows that the SO_2 ligand is coordinated to the iodide ligand in the complex. The I-S distance of 3.391 Å is significantly shorter than the Van der Waal's radii sum (3.78 Å) but much longer than their single bond radii sum (2.36 Å). Presumably, SO_2 is acting as a weak Lewis acid in this complex. Similar Lewis acid bonding has



been observed in the trimethylamine adduct of $SO_2^{(44)}$, which is illustrated in (24). The important structural parameters for the PtMe(ISO₂)(PPh₃)₂ complex are given in Table 2.



Ibers and Snow⁽³⁹⁾ have noted that this coordination mode might be present in other halo-transition metal complexes, e.g. $IrCl(CO)(PPh_3)_2(SO_2)_2$, and that it may be recognised by characteristic bonds in the i.r. and u.v. spectra of these adducts. A preliminary communication has shown that the solid samples of $MX(CO)(PPh_3)_2$ (X = Cl, Br or I; M = Rh or Ir) are capable of picking up gaseous SO₂ over the temperature range -5 to -180° at 1 atmosphere pressure. The maximum amount of SO₂ taken up by $IrI(CO)(PPh_3)_2$ is, for example, 2.30 mol. at -5° and 1.98 mol. at 25° (45). This behaviour must be related to the formation of weak I-SO₂ bonds such as those described for the platinum complex above.

Infrared Studies

The symmetric and asymmetric stretching modes for the free SO_2 ligand have been observed at 1151 and

1362 cm⁻¹ ⁽⁴⁶⁾ respectively and the bending mode has been assigned to a band at 518 cm⁻¹. These modes together with their group theoretical symmetry designations are illustrated below:



Coordination of SO_2 to a metal atom generally results in a decrease in the $\nu(SO_2)_{sym}$ and $\nu(SO_2)_{asym}$ frequencies, and two bands in the 1330-1030 cm⁻¹ region are observed. To evaluate whether the positions of the SO_2 stretching vibrations can be used as a diagnostic test for the coordination mode of the ligand, the i.r. data of SO_2 complexes whose structures have been unambiguously determined by x-ray studies are summarised in Table 3.

On the limited data available, it appears that for η^1 -planar complexes the SO₂ frequencies lie in the range, $\nu(SO_2)_{asym}$ 1250–1300 cm⁻¹ and $\nu(SO_2)_{sym}$ at 1090–1105 cm⁻¹ and η^1 -pyramidal complexes $\nu(SO_2)_{asym}$ $1185-1214 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and $\nu(SO_2)_{sym} 1045-1057 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. Therefore the latter are characterised by somewhat lower frequencies. It is doubtful, however, whether the small differences involved provide a satisfactory basis for a general and reliable assignment of bonding mode from i.r. data. When the SO₂ ligand is coordinated in an η^2 mode the $\nu(SO_2)$ frequencies are about 100 cm⁻¹ lower than expected for η^1 -planar or η^1 -pyramidal M-SO₂ complexes. When SO₂ is acting as a bridging ligand the $\nu(SO_2)$ bands are not very different from those observed for η^1 -pyramidal M-SO₂ complexes. $\nu(SO_2)$ is approximately 100 cm⁻¹ higher when SO₂ is coordinated to the metal through a ligand such as iodide. Clearly, i.r. data on SO₂ complexes contain little structural information, and must be treated with caution.

Table 3. I.r. data for SO₂ complexes whose structures have been determined by x-ray crystallography

Compound	$\nu(SO_2)$ (cm ⁻¹)	Mode of SO ₂ attachment	Ref.
[RuCl(NH ₃) ₄ (SO ₂)]Cl	1301, 1100 1278	η ¹ -planar	(5)
$Rh(\eta-C_2H_4)(\eta-Cp)(SO_2)$	1258, 1105-1093	η^1 -planar	(14)
$IrCl(CO)(PPh_3)_2(SO_2)$	1198, 1185, 1048	η ¹ -pyramidal	(2, 6)
RhCl(CO)(PPh ₃) ₂ (SO ₂)	1208, 1053	η^1 -pyramidal	(2, 7)
$Pt(PPh_3)_3(SO_2)$	1195, 1045	η^1 -pyramidal	(3, 13, 36)
$Pt(PPh_3)_2(SO_2)_2$	1223, 1215, 1050, 1038	η^1 -pyramidal	(11, 35)
Rh(NO)(PPh ₃) ₂ (SO ₂)	1138, 948	η^2	(15)
$Pt(CH_3)(1-SO_2)(PPh_3)_2$	1322, 1138	SO ₂ bonded through iodine	(39)
$Pd_3(t-BuNC)_5(SO_2)_2$	1208, 1060	bridging SO ₂	(40)
$[Ir(CO)_2H(PPh_3)_2]_2(SO_2)$	1190, 1177 1046, 1037	bridging SO ₂	(41)

Thermochemical Studies on Sulphur Dioxide Complexes

Calorimetric studies for the dissociation process: $ML_n(SO_2)(cryst) \rightarrow ML_n(cryst) + SO_2(g)$ (where $ML_n =$ $RhCl(CO)(PPh_3)_2$, $IrCl(CO)(PPh_3)_2$ or $Pt(PPh_3)_3$ have yielded important thermodynamic data on the nature of the metal-ligand bond in sulphur-dioxide complexes. The ΔH_{298}° values for the process indicated by the equations are summarised in Table 4 and contrasted with those reported for the related dioxygen ligand⁽⁴⁷⁾.

Table 4. Thermochemical data on metal-sulphur dioxide complexes

Complex	Ligand removed	ΔH/kJ mol ⁻¹ at 298 K	Metal- sulphur distance (Å)
$\operatorname{IrCl}(\operatorname{CO})(\operatorname{PPh}_3)_2(\operatorname{SO}_2)$	SO ₂	35.00	2.49
$RhCl(CO)(PPh_3)_2(SO_2)$	SO_2	33.00	2.45
$IrCl(CO)(O_2)(PPh_3)_2$	O_2	97.00	_
$Pt(PPh_3)_3(SO_2)$	SO ₂	60.60	2.40

These ΔH° values, which can be approximately equated to metal-ligand bond dissociation energies, clearly indicate that the metal-SO₂ bond in these η^1 -pyramidal complexes is much weaker than the reported value for the related dioxygen complex⁽⁴⁷⁾). This data is consistent with the long metal-sulphur bond lengths reported for these complexes. The corresponding data on a η^1 -planar SO₂ complex, which is known to have a much shorter metal-sulphur bond length, would certainly be most interesting and contribute to an understanding of the different bonding modes in these complexes.

Preparation and Properties of Sulphur Dioxide Complexes

In general, sulphur dioxide complexes have been prepared using the free ligand which is readily available as a starting material. Other potential routes to SO_2 complexes using for example dithionite $[S_2O_4]^{2-}$ or organic sulphones as sources of SO_2 have been little studied. The reactions of the coordinated SO_2 ligand have similarly received little attention. In this section the preparation and properties of sulphur dioxide complexes of the platinum metals will be reviewed. The classification has been made on the basis of the central metal atom.

Ruthenium-sulphur dioxide complexes

A wide range of ruthenium(II) and ruthenium(0) sulphur dioxide complexes have been prepared and studied. Indeed the first SO₂ complex, [RuCl(NH₃)₄(SO₂)]Cl was prepared by Gleu *et al.* in 1938⁽¹⁾. It was obtained by reacting Ru(HSO₃)₂(NH₃)₄ with 1:1 HCl. The molecular structure of this compound has been established by x-ray analysis and is illustrated in (25).

The coordination geometry about the ruthenium is octahedral and the SO₂ and Cl ligands occupy *trans*-positions. The SO₂ has a η^1 -planar coordination geometry, which is consistent with the d⁶ electronic configuration of the metal. The closely related [Ru(NH₃)₅(SO₂)]X₂ (X = Cl, Br or 1) complexes have been reported and the iodide has also been



prepared directly from $[Ru(NH_3)_5(N_2)]^{2+}$ and sulphur dioxide⁽⁴⁹⁾. The insoluble red phosphine ruthenium(II) complex RuCl₂(PPh₃)₂(SO₂) · Me₂CO is formed when SO₂ is bubbled through an acetone solution of RuCl₂(PPh₃)₃⁽⁵⁰⁾. The octahedral complexes RuHX(CO)(PCy₃)₂(SO₂)* (X = Cl or Br) are formed similarly from the five coordinate complexes RuHX(CO)(PCy₃)₂⁽⁵¹⁾. Spencer and Wilkinson⁽⁵²⁾ have reported that the μ^3 -oxo complex [Ru₃O(O₂CMe)₆(MeOH)₃]^{*} reacts slowly with SO₂ to give a variety of blue and green complexes. In one of these complexes it has been proposed that the SO₂ is coordinated directly to the central oxo-ligand. Zerovalent ruthenium sulphur dioxide complexes have been prepared by the following routes:

RuH ₂ (PPh ₃) ₄	+ SO ₂	>	$[\operatorname{Ru}(\operatorname{SO}_2)_2(\operatorname{PPh}_3)_2]_n^{(53)}$
$Ru(CO)_3(PR_3)_3$	+ SO ₂	>	$Ru(CO)_{2}(SO_{2})(PR_{3})_{2}^{(54)}$
R = Ph or p-MeC	C4H4		

The dicarbonyl complex reacts readily with dioxygen to form the sulphato-complex $Ru(CO)_2(PPh_3)_2(SO_4)^{(54)}$. The bright yellow complex $[Ru(SO_2)_2(PPh_3)_2]_n$ has not been fully characterised and could well be polymeric⁽⁵³⁾.

In the presence of traces of water it has been shown that the ruthenium dihydride complex $RuH_2(PPh_3)_4$ reacts with SO₂ to give the sulphato-sulphur dioxide ruthenium(II) complex illustrated in (26). This molecule is polymeric and linked by bridging sulphato-ligands^(38, 55). Wilkinson and

Table 5. I.r. data for ruthenium complexes

Compound	$\frac{v(SO_2)}{(cm^{-1})}$	Colour	Ref.
RuBr(NH ₃) ₄ (SO ₂) Br	1303, 1255 1098	brown	(1)
$[Ru(NH_3)_5(SO_2)]Cl_2$	1303, 1255 1098	red brown	(1)
$[Ru(NH_3)_5(SO_2)]Br_2$	1327, 1301 1117	red brown	(1)
$ Ru(NH_3)_5(SO_2) I_2$	not reported	red brown	(49)
$Ru(PPh_3)_2(SO_2)_2$	not reported	bright yellow	(53)
$ \operatorname{RuCl}_2(\operatorname{PPh}_3)_2(\operatorname{SO}_2) \cdot \operatorname{Me}_2\operatorname{CO}$	1276, 1117 562	red	(50)
$\operatorname{Ru}(\operatorname{CO})_2(\operatorname{PPh}_3)_2(\operatorname{SO}_2)$	1212, 1144 1066	mustard yellow	(54)
RuHCl(CO)(PCy ₃) ₂ (SO ₂)	1290, 1110	yellow	(51)
$RuHBr(CO)(PCy_3)_2(SO_2)Ru(CO)_2{P(p-tolyl)_3}_2(SO_2)$	1292, 1110 1229, 1160, 1072	yellow yellow	(51) (54)

Cy = cyclohexyl

Cole-Hamilton have established that $RuH_2(PPh_3)_4$ is very sensitive to traces of moisture forming the hydroxy-hydride complex $Ru(H)(OH)(PPh_3)_4$ which is presumably an intermediate in the above reaction⁽⁵⁶⁾.

The i.r. frequencies and colours of the ruthenium compounds discussed above are given in Table 5.



Osmium-sulphur dioxide complexes

The osmium sulphur dioxide complexes OsXH(PCy₃)₂(SO₂) (X = Cl or Br) have been prepared by bubbling SO₂ through a benzene solution of OsX(CO)H(PCy₃)₂⁽⁵¹⁾. These yellow crystalline compounds are stable under an N₂ atmosphere and the peaks at 1284 and 1109 cm⁻¹ in the i.r. spectrum have been attributed to the coordinated SO₂ group. The complexes are analogous to the ruthenium complexes described above.

Rhodium-sulphur dioxide complexes

The majority of sulphur dioxide complexes of rhodium have the metal in the +1 oxidation state and have tertiary phosphines also coordinated to the metal. The most common route into the five coordinate complexes has been the addition of SO₂ to coordinatively unsaturated square-planar rhodium(1) complexes as shown below:



 $LL = o - CH_2 = CHC_6H_4PPh_2^{(62)}$

These reactions are generally reversible and the SO₂ ligand can frequently be displaced by heating the complex or by bubbling an inert gas through a solution of the complex. Palazzi *et al.*⁽⁶¹⁾ have studied the desorption temperatures of some of these SO_2 complexes and concluded that the stabilities of the complexes are related to the basicities of the other ligands coordinated to the metal atom. It was also noted that the sulphur dioxide ligand was more readily lost from the rhodium complexes than the corresponding iridium complexes.

I.r. spectral studies^(57, 58) of the rhodium complexes have shown that $\nu(CO)$ increases markedly when SO₂ coordinates to the metal indicative of a substantial increase in the positive charge on the metal atom resulting from effective metal-SO₂ electron donation. Interestingly the SO₂ adduct of RhCl(PF₃)(PPh₃)₂ has been reported⁽⁶⁰⁾ to be thermally less stable than that of RhCl(CO)(PPh₃)₂.

The x-ray crystallographic analysis of RhCl(CO)(PPh₃)₂(SO₂) by Muir and Ibers⁽⁷⁾ has established that this adduct has the square-pyramidal geometry shown in the diagram below (27):



The electronic factors responsible for SO_2 geometry and the rather long rhodium-sulphur bond in this molecule (2.450 Å) have been discussed above. Presumably, the other five co-ordinate complexes listed in Table 6 have similar geometries.

Square-planar rhodium(I) sulphur-dioxide complexes have been isolated⁽¹²⁾ from the following reactions of Wilkinson's compound RhX(PPh₃)₃ (X = Cl, Br or I).

$$RhX(PPh_3)_3 \xrightarrow{SO_2} RhX(PPh_3)_2(SO_2)$$

 $RhCl(PPh_3)_3 \xrightarrow{ArSO_2Cl} Rh_2Cl_2(PPh_3)_2(SO_2)^{(69)}$

In the latter reaction the rhodium(I) complex is behaving as a desulphonating $agent^{(69)}$ and similar decarbonylations have also been reported⁽⁶⁶⁾. The RhX(PPh₃)₂(SO₂) complexes, which may also be prepared from [RhX(PPh₃)₂]₂, are brown crystalline solids which are air-stable in the solid state but react in solution with dioxygen to yield rhodium(III) sulphato sulphato-complexes:

$$RhX(PPh_3)_2(SO_2) + O_2 - RhX(PPh_3)_2(SO_4)$$

Meek and Napier⁽⁶⁷⁾ have shown that the tridentate phosphine complex RhCl{PhP(CH₂CH₂CH₂PPh₂)₂} forms more stable adducts with SO₂, CO and O₂ than the related Wilkinson compound. They have noted that the formation of the rhodium(III) sulphato-complex proceeds more slowly when O₂ is added to RhCl{PhP(CH₂CH₂CH₂PPh₂)₂}(SO₂) than when SO₂ is added to

 $RhCl{PhP(CH_2CH_2PH_2)_2}O_2$. Collman and his coworkers have observed similar effects for iridium complexes, and attributed them to the greater Lewis acidity of SO_2 .

One of the phosphine ligands is displaced from $Rh(CO)H(PPh_3)_3$ by SO_2 to give $Rh(CO)H(PPh_3)_2(SO_2)$.

The absence of peaks in the i.r. and n.m.r. spectra of this compound, which can be attributed to a metal-hydrogen bond, has led Robinson and Levison⁽⁶⁴⁾ to propose the following tautomeric equilibrium:

$(Ph_{3}P)_{2}(CO)Rh(H)SO_{2} \xleftarrow{} (Ph_{3}P)_{2}(CO)Rh\{SO_{2}H\}$

In chloroform solutions a weak band is observed at 2020 cm⁻¹, which indicates that the equilibrium lies further to the left in solution. The corresponding iridium complex has an i.r. band at 1965 cm⁻¹ in the solid state and is therefore formulated as a hydrido-sulphur dioxide complex. SO₂ also displaces one of the ethylene ligands from Rh(η -C₂H₄)₂(η -Cp) to give Rh(η -C₂H₄)(η -Cp)(SO₂). Structural studies⁽¹⁴⁾ have shown that this is an example of a d⁸ complex with η^1 -planar SO₂ group [see (28)] which is in contrast to the two previously reported structures of d⁸-metal complexes where SO₂ is η^1 -pyramidal. Solid and solution samples of Rh(η -C₂H₄)(η -Cp)(SO₂) are robust towards aerial oxidation, which suggests that the η^1 -pyramidal SO₂ geometry may be an important prerequisite for facile oxidation to sulphate.



A significant feature of the structures of Rh(η -C₂H₄)(η -Cp)(SO₂) and Rh(η -C₂H₄)(η -Cp)(η -C₂F₄) is the rotational angle about the Rh-SO₂ and Rh-C₂F₄ bonds. In these two compounds the π -acceptor orbitals have exactly the same orientation with respect to the metal-ethylene and Cp ring. Extended Huckel m.o. calculations favour the observed conformation by 21 kJ mol⁻¹ over the hypothetical orientation with the SO₂ plane parallel to that of the ethylene.

Sulphur dioxide has been shown to interact reversibly with Rh(NO)(PPh₃)₃, crystals of Rh(NO)(PPh₃)₂(SO₂) thus formed are stable in air for several days and have been characterised by x-ray analysis⁽¹⁵⁾. The compound has an η^2 -coordination mode and has been discussed above (see 19).

The rhodium(III) complex $RhCl_2(\eta - C_3H_5)(PPh_3)_2$ dissolves in liquid SO₂ to yield a compound which is 1:1 adduct⁽⁶⁵⁾. The following structure (29) has been proposed for the complex on the basis of i.r. studies:



The characteristic SO_2 i.r. frequencies for rhodium complexes are summarised in Table 6.
 Table 6.
 I.r. data for rhodium SO₂ complexes

Compound	$\nu(SO_2)$ (cm ⁻¹)	Colour	Ref.
RhCl(CO)(PPh ₃) ₂ (SO ₂)	1208, 1053	green	(2)
$RhCl(PF_3)(PPh_3)_2(SO_2)$	1222, 1194, 1064	-	(60)
$RhCl(\eta - C_8H_{12})(PPh_3)(SO_2)$	1218, 1050	red	(61)
$RhCl(\eta - C_7H_8)(PPh_3)(SO_2)$	1215, 1050	red	(61)
$RhCl(\eta-C_8H_{12})(pip)(SO_2)$	1200, 1050	red	(61)
RhCl(CO) ₂ (pip)(SO ₂) Rh(C=CR)(CO)(PPh ₃) ₂ (SO ₂) R = Me or Et	1200, 1050 1200, 1044	red bright vellow	(61) (58)
$RhCl(PPh_3)_2(SO_2)$	1172, 1038, 1033	brown	(12)
RhBr(PPh ₃) ₂ (SO ₂) $\frac{cis}{trans}$	1177, 1036 1288, 1124	brown	(12)
Rh1(PPh ₃) ₂ (SO ₂) cis- trans-	1173, 1030 1287, 1121	brown	(12)
$Rh_2Cl_2(PPh_3)_2(SO_2)$	1090	not reported	(12)
$Rh(CO)H(PPh_3)_2(SO_2)$	1183, 1038	orange vellow	(64)
$[Rh(SO_2)(VP)_2]BPh_4^{a}$	1161, 1140, 1060	yellow	(62)
$Rh(CO)(PPh_3)_2(SC_6F_5)(SO_2)$	1217, 1052	orange	(59)
$Rh(\eta-C_3H_5)Cl_2(PPh_3)_2(SO_2)$	1164, 1120, 1095	_	(65)

VP = o-vinylphenyl(diphenyl)phosphine.

Iridium-sulphur dioxide complexes

The first reported iridium-SO₂ complex was prepared by Vaska⁽²⁾ according to the following equation:

 $IrCl(CO)(PPh_3)_2 + SO_2 \longrightarrow IrCl(CO)(PPh_3)_2(SO_2)$

The $IrCl(CO)(PPh_3)_2(SO_2)$ complex has the following squarepyramidal structure⁽⁶⁾ in the solid state:



The related IrX(CO)(PPh₃)₂ complexes (where X = Br, $I^{(2)}$, SC₆F₅⁽⁵⁹⁾ or C=CR⁽⁵⁸⁾) have also been prepared and their characteristic SO₂ frequencies are reported in Table 7.

Reactions involving addition of SO₂ to Ir^{1} complexes containing π -ligands coordinated to the metal have been studied by Palazzi *et al.*⁽⁶¹⁾, *e.g.*

 $IrCl(diene)(PPh_3) + SO_2 \longrightarrow IrCl(diene)(PPh_3)(SO_2)$

(where diene = 1,5 cyclooctadiene or norbornadiene).

When piperidine replaced the phosphine, SO_2 adducts were formed more easily, suggesting an electrophilic attack by SO_2 on the metal, which was made more basic by the coordinated piperidine.

 Table 7.
 I.r. data for iridium-SO2 complexes

Compound	$\nu(SO_2)$ (cm ⁻¹)	Colour	Ref.
IrCl(CO)(PPh ₃) ₂ (SO ₂)	1198, 1185, 1048	green	(2)
$IrBr(CO)(PPh_3)_2(SO_2)$	1213, 1055	green	(2)
lr(CO)I(PPh ₃) ₂ (SO ₂)	1188, 1041	green	(2)
$Ir(CO)(PPh_3)_2(SC_6F_5)(SO_2)$	1202, 1187, 1047	yellow green	(59)
$IrCl(PPh_3)_2(SO_2)$	1272, 1125	red brown	(63)
$IrCl(PPh_3)_2(SO_2)_2$	1272, 1125, 1198, 1050	red brown	(56, 50 48, 54)
$IrCl(CO)(C \equiv CR)(PPh_3)_2(SO_2)$ (R = Mc or Et)	1190, 1042	green	(58)
$lr(\eta - C_8H_{12})Cl(PPh_3)(SO_2)$	1200, 1050	red	(61)
IrCl(CS)(PPh ₃) ₂ (SO ₂)	1205, 1050	green	(70)
$Ir(\eta-C_2H_4)Cl(PPh_3)_2(SO_2)$	1215, 1190, 1063	yellow green	(71)
$lr(\eta-C_3H_4)Cl(PPh_3)_2(SO_2)$	1215, 1190, 1040	yellow green	(71)
$Ir(CO)H(PPh_3)_2(SO_2)$	1175, 1037	lime green	(64)
${IrCl(PPh_3)_2}_2(SO_2)$	1120, 980, 907	yellow	(54)
$Ir(CO)(ISO_2)(PPh_3)_2(SO_2)$	1323, 1185, 1156, 1040, 1140	yellow green	(39)
Ir(Ph ₂ PCH ₂ CH ₂ PPh ₂) ₂ (SO ₂) ₂ Cl	1276, 1163	white	(4)

An interesting reaction of SO₂ with $IrCl(N_2)(PPh_3)_2$ has been observed by two independent groups of workers^(48, 54). Sulphur dioxide can replace dinitrogen in $IrCl(N_2)(PPh_3)_2$ forming $IrCl(PPh_3)_2(SO_2)$, which further interacts with SO₂ yielding $IrCl(PPh_3)_2(SO_2)_2$. One of the SO₂ groups can be replaced by CO forming $IrCl(CO)(PPh_3)_2(SO_2)$. The overall reaction can be written as follows:

$$IrCl(N_{2})(PPh_{3})_{2} + SO_{2} \longrightarrow IrCl(PPh_{3})_{2}(SO_{2})$$

$$\downarrow SO_{2}$$

$$IrCl(CO)(PPh_{3})_{2}(SO_{2}) \xleftarrow{CO} IrCl(PPh_{3})_{2}(SO_{2})_{2}$$

The $IrCl(PPh_3)_2(SO_2)_2$ complex is oxidised by oxygen in the presence of CO to give the sulphato-complex $IrCl(CO)(PPh_3)_2(SO_4)$. Treatment of solutions of $IrCl(PPh_3)_3$ with SO₂ gives an incompletely characterised yellow solid which has tentatively been assigned the dimeric structure $\{IrCl(PPh_3)_2\}_2(SO_2)$. Surprisingly, this complex does not react with oxygen under mild conditions.

When the carbonyl ligand in Vaska's compound is replaced by C_2H_4 , allene or CS the resultant complexes also form adducts with $SO_2^{(70, 71)}$. The complex $[Ir{Ph_2P}_2C_2H_4]_2]Cl$ picks up two moles of SO_2 , however, the structure of the resultant six-coordinate complex has not been confirmed by a single crystal x-ray analysis⁽⁴⁾.

The i.r. frequencies of the iridium-SO₂ complexes are summarised in Table 7.

An ¹⁸O study of the reaction between iridium and platinum-oxygen complexes and SO₂ to form coordinated sulphate has been reported by Collman *et al.*⁽⁶³⁾. They accomplished oxidation of SO₂ using the ¹⁸O isotopic labelling experiments given below:

$$M^{18}O_2 + S^{16}O_2 \xrightarrow{} MS^{18}O_2^{16}O_2$$
$$M^{16}O_2 + S^{18}O_2 \xrightarrow{} MS^{18}O_2^{16}O_2$$

The highest frequency band in the i.r. spectrum of the iridium sulphate appeared at 1296 cm⁻¹ which was attributed to the antisymmetric stretch of the two external, uncoordinated oxygen atoms. They observed three peaks after isotopic substitution of the iridium-sulphate, at 1296 cm⁻¹, 1280 cm⁻¹ and 1257 cm⁻¹. These three bands were assigned to the external ${}^{16}O{}^{16}O{}, {}^{16}O{}^{18}O{}, {}^{18}O{}^{18}O{}$ isotopic species. The iridium sulphate resulting from reactions (1) and (2) did not have a band at 1257 cm⁻¹ which was attributed to two external ¹⁸O atoms. They concluded therefore that intramolecular rearrangement of sulphate had not taken place since this would scramble the oxygen atoms and afford 20.5% of the species containing two external ¹⁸O atoms (starting with 90.0% O₂ or SO₂ statistically labelled with ¹⁸O). Metaloxygen bond cleavage must have taken place during the reaction and they propose the following reactions to account for the identical isotopic substitution pattern observed for the two external oxygens, starting from either doubly labelled O2 or doubly labelled SO2.



Palladium-sulphur dioxide complexes

Few palladium sulphur dioxide complexes have been isolated to date. The Pd(PPh₃)₃(SO₂) complex has been prepared simply by bubbling SO₂ through a solution of Pd(PPh₃)₃ in benzene⁽³⁾. An alternative formulation [Pd(PPh₃)₄(SO₂)]_n has been proposed⁽⁷²⁾ for this compound but it is not consistent with more recent x-ray fluoresence studies⁽⁶⁴⁾. In the solid state this palladium complex is less air sensitive than its solvated platinum analogue, and undergoes aerobic oxidation only over a period of weeks. In solution, however, oxidation to the sulphatocomplex Pd(SO₄)(PPh₃)₂ occurs rapidly. The palladium complex Pd{(Ph₂P)₂C₂H₄}₂, like its platinum analogue, reacted with sulphur dioxide, but the resultant complexes were too air-sensitive to characterise completely⁽⁶⁴⁾.

The Pd(NCO)₂(PPh₃)₂ complex reacts with SO₂ in methanol to give the polynuclear complex $[Pd(PPh_3)(SO_2)]_3^{(73)}$. The related orange diamagnetic cluster complex $[Pd_3(t-BuNC)_5(SO_2)_2] \cdot 2C_6H_6$ has been prepared from Pd(t-BuNC)₂ and an excess of SO₂. The structure of this complex has been solved using single crystal techniques and is illustrated $(21)^{(40)}$. The sulphur dioxide ligand in this and other polynuclear complexes, is inactive towards oxygen, which is perhaps a reflection of the coordinative saturation of a bridging SO₂ group⁽⁴⁰⁾.

The i.r. frequencies for palladium SO_2 complexes are given in Table 8.

Table 8. I.r. data for palladium-SO2 complexes

Compound	v(SO ₂) (cm ⁻¹)	Colour	Ref.
$Pd(PPh_3)_3(SO_2)$	1195, 1045	purple red	(3)
$[Pd(PPh_3)(SO_2)]_n$	1252, 1237, 1064	_	(73)
$Pd_3(t-BuNC)_5(SO_2)_2$	1208, 1060	orange	(40)

Platinum-sulpbur dioxide complexes

The first well characterised SO₂ complex of platinum, Pt(PPh₃)₃(SO₂), was prepared by Robinson and Levison⁽³⁾. The x-ray crystallographic analysis by Ryan and his coworkers⁽¹³⁾ of this compound has established that the SO₂ ligand is coordinated to the metal in η^1 -pyramidal mode (31).



This result contradicted previous work which proposed a structure⁽⁶⁾ with SO₂ coordinated to the metal in a η^1 -planar-coordination mode (32).



An interesting reaction was observed by Cook and Jauhal⁽¹¹⁾; when they reacted SO₂ with $Pt(\eta \cdot C_2H_4)(PPh_3)_2$, $Pt(PPh_3)_2(SO_2)_2$ was obtained. The x-ray structural analysis⁽³⁵⁾ of this compound has established that coordination geometry around platinum is best described as a severely distorted tetrahedron. Both the SO₂ groups are pyramidal with the average angle between the M–S vector and SO₂ plane being 119° and the average M–S distance is 2.43 Å [see (33)]. The P-Pt-P angle (158.6°) is noticeably larger than the S-Pt-S angle (106.3°) and is the largest P-M-P angle reported for a tetrahedral d¹⁰ complex. The structure has the two SO₂ ligands bent towards opposite Pt-P bonds, a tendency which has also been noted for Os(NO)₂(PPh₃)₂⁽³⁵⁾.

The $Pt(SO_2)(PPh_3)_3$ and $Pt(PPh_3)_2(SO_2)_2$ compounds show a ready reactivity towards dioxygen to form $Pt(PPh_3)_2(SO_4)$ and Ryan has pointed out that all structurally characterised complexes with the η^1 -planar coordination mode are, on the other hand, remarkably inert to this reaction. However, the η^1 -pyramidal geometry is commonly associated with the more basic transition metals which might also promote the attack of electrophiles such as dioxygen. On heating, $Pt(PPh_3)_2(SO_2)_2^{(11)}$ loses one molecule of SO_2 and gives the green complex $Pt(PPh_3)_2(SO_2)$.



The $[Pt(PPh_3)_2(S)(SO_2)]_2$ complex has been obtained by reacting $[Pt(PPh_3)_2S]_2$ with SO₂. Weak bonds between SO₂ and platinum have been proposed for this compound as bubbling O₂ or N₂ through a solution of $[Pt(PPh_3)_2(S)(SO_2)]_2$ results in the displacement of SO₂ and the sulphato-complex is not formed. The following two structures have been proposed for this complex:



Ghatak and Mingos⁽⁵⁵⁾ have established that an identical product results from the reaction of $Pt(PPh_3)_3(SO_2)$ with elemental sulphur. It is interesting to note that this reaction is not analogous to that reported for dioxygen which results in the formation of a sulphato-complex. The i.r. data on this and other platinum-SO₂ complexes are summarised in Table 9.

The following oxidative addition reactions of $Pt(PPh_3)_3(SO_2)$ have also been studied:

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{Pt}(\mathsf{PPh}_3)_3(\mathsf{SO}_2) + X_2 & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{Pt}X_2(\mathsf{PPh}_3)_2 + \mathsf{PPh}_3 + \mathsf{SO}_2\\ (X = \mathsf{Cl}, \, \mathsf{Br} \text{ or } 1) \end{array}$

and shown to proceed with loss of SO_2 , even when stoichiometric quantities of halogen are used. With organic halides, Pt(PPh₃)₃(SO₂) gives a more complex series of oxidative

Table 9. I.r. data for platinum-SO2 complexes

Compound	$\nu(SO_2)$ (cm ⁻¹)	Colour	Ref.
$Pt(PPh_3)_3(SO_2)$	1195, 1045	dark purple	(3)
$Pt(PPh_3)_2(SO_2)_2$	1223, 1215, 1050, 1030	brown red	(11,35)
$Pt(PPh_3)_2(SO_2)$	1182, 1149, 1035	green	(11)
$\left\{ Pt(PPh_3)_2(SO_2) \right\}_2$	1210, 1060	deep orange	(74)
$Pt_2Cl_4(PPh_3)_4(SO_2)$	1090, 1100	-	(75)

addition reactions. With methyl iodide the predominant product is *trans*-Pt(Me)I(PPh₃)₂, but the S-sulphoxylato complex (35) is also isolated in low yields from the reaction⁽⁵⁵⁾:



If methyl iodide is replaced by the more strongly electrophilic MeSO₃F then attack at the ligand site occurs almost exclusively to give the bridged sulphoxylato complex illustrated in (36).



The corresponding reaction with allyl chloride gives initially an ionic η^1 -allyl sulphur dioxide complex, which readily loses SO₂ to form an η^3 -allyl complex as shown below⁽⁵⁵⁾.



The insertion products cis- and

trans-[Pt(SO₂CH=CHMe)Cl(PPh₃)]₂ were also isolated from the above reaction in low yields. Volger and Vrieze have shown that identical insertion products result when [Pt(η -C₃H₅)(PPh₃)₂]Cl is dissolved in liquid sulphur dioxide⁽⁷⁵⁾.

In view of the similar bonding characteristics of NO and SO_2 in transition metal complexes, it is of interest to contrast the oxidative addition reactions of $Pt(PPh_3)_3(SO_2)$ with those of the pseudo-isoelectronic nitrosyl complex $Ir(NO)(PPh_3)_3$. The latter, as shown below, generally proceed with retention of the nitrosyl coordinate bond and occur at the metal centre:



The different products observed for the nitrosyl and sulphur dioxide complexes arise primarily from the weaker metalligand bond in the latter case, which encourages the dissociation of the SO₂ ligand in the oxidative addition product. If strong electrophiles, *e.g.* Me⁺ or H⁺, are used, attack at the ligand rather than the metal becomes preferred for both the NO and SO₂ complexes, although the point of attack in the two types of complexes is quite different.

The reactions of $Pt(PPh_3)_3(SO_2)$ with small unsaturated molecules such as CO, CO₂, CS₂ and NO have been studied^(55, 77, 78) and are summarised below:



These reactions may be contrasted with those which have been reported for the related metal dinitrosyl and dinitrogen dioxide complexes which are illustrated below⁽⁷⁹⁻⁸³⁾:

$$Pt (CO_3)(PPh_3)_2 \xrightarrow{CO_2} Pt (N_2O_2)(PPh_3)_2 \xrightarrow{CO} Pt (CO)_2(PPh_3)_2 + N_2O + CO_2$$

$$Pt(S_2CS)(PPh_3)_2 + Pt(SC_2O)(PPh_3)_2 + Pt(SO_3)(PPh_3)_2$$

$$[Ir(NO)_2(PPh_3)_2]^{+} \xrightarrow{CO} [Ir(CO)_3(PPh_3)_2]^{+} + N_2O + CO_2$$

From this comparison it is clear that the platinum sulphur dioxide complex is much less effective at oxidising small inorganic molecules such as CO and CO_2 than are the

dinitrosyl and dinitrogen dioxide complexes. Only with CS_2 and NO, which are more reactive, are oxidation processes observed.

From the comparisons made above it is clear that the analogous bonding capabilities of the nitrosyl and sulphur dioxide ligand is not reflected in the chemistries of these coordinated ligands to any marked extent. In particular, the relatively weak metal-sulphur coordinate bond limits the scope of the oxidative addition reactions of complexes of this ligand, and it is only with strong electrophiles which encourage attack at the ligand rather than the metal, that interesting products are observed.

Platinum-selenium dioxide complex

It has recently been shown in our laboratories⁽⁵⁵⁾ that SeO₂ reacts with a benzene solution of $Pt(PPh_3)_3$ to give a mononuclear selenium dioxide complex $Pt(PPh_3)_2(SeO_2)$, which does not react with molecular oxygen under mild conditions to give a platinum(II) selenate complex. The latter, can however, be formed in the following oxidation process:

$$Pt(O_2)(PPh_3)_2 + SeO_2 \longrightarrow Pt(SeO_4)(PPh_3)_2$$

The SeO_2 complex has been characterised on the basis of infrared and x-ray fluorescence data.

Acknowledgements

The financial support of the Scientific Affairs Division of N.A.T.O. which permitted a useful interchange of ideas with Prof. R. Hoffmann (Cornell University) is gratefully acknowledged. Mrs. Indira Ghatak is also thanked for her help with the literature survey and the experimental contributions described in the review.

References

- V. K. Gleu, W. Brunel and K. Rehm, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 235, 201, 211 (1938).
- (2) L. Vaska and S. S. Bath, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 88, 1333 (1966).
- (3) J. J. Levison and S. D. Robinson, Chem. Commun., 199 (1967).
- (4) L. Vaska and D. L. Catone, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 88, 5324 (1966).
- (5) L. H. Vogt, Jr., J. L. Katz and S. E. Wiberley, *Inorg. Chem.*, 4, 1157 (1965).
- (6) S. J. LaPlaca and J. A. Ibers, Inorg. Chem., 5, 405 (1966).
- (7) K. W. Muir and J. A. Ibers, Inorg. Chem., 8, 1921 (1969).
- (8) B. A. Frenz and J. A. Ibers, M. T. P. Int. Rev. Sci.; Phys. Chem. Ser. one, 11, 33 (1973) and references therein.
- (9) D. J. Hodgson and J. A. Ibers, Inorg. Chem., 7, 2345 (1968).
- (10) D. M. P. Mingos and J. A. Ibers, Inorg. Chem., 10, 1035 (1971).
- (11) C. D. Cook and G. S. Jauhal, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 89, 3066 (1967).
- (12) J. J. Levison and S. D. Robinson, Inorg. Nucl. Chem. Lett., 4, 407 (1968).
- (13) R. R. Ryan, P. G. Eller and D. C. Moody, *Inorg. Chem.*, 15, 2442 (1976).
- (14) R. R. Ryan, P. G. Eller and G. J. Kubas, *Inorg. Chem.*, 15, 797 (1976).
- (15) R. R. Ryan and D. C. Moody, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun., 503 (1976).
- (16) J. Haase and M. Winnewisser, Z. Naturforsch. A, 23, 61 (1968).
- (17) B. Post, R. S. Schwartz and I. Fankuchen, Acta Crystallogr., 5, 372 (1952).
- (18) Y. Morino, Y. Kikuchi, S. Saito and E. Hiroto, J. Mol. Spectrosc., 13, 95 (1964).
- (19) J. E. Boggs, C. M. Crain and J. E. Whiteford, J. Phys. Chem., 61, 482 (1957).
- (20) S. Rothenbergy and J. F. Schaefer, J. Chem. Phys., 53, 3014 (1970).
- (21) D. W. Turner, C. Baker, A. D. Baker, and C. R. Brundle, Molecular Photoelectron Spectroscopy, Wiley – Interscience, London 1970.
- (22) I. Hillier and V. R. Saunders, Chem. Phys. Lett., 4, 163 (1969).
- (23) P. D. Dacre and M. Elder, Theor. Chim. Acta, 25, 254 (1972).
- (24) B. Roos and P. Siegbahn, Theor. Chim. Acta, 21, 368 (1971).
- (25) F. A. Cotton, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 90, 6230 (1968).
- (26) R. R. Ryan and P. G. Eller, Inorg. Chem., 15, 495 (1976).
- (27) D. M. P. Mingos, Nature Phys. Sci., 229, 193 (1971).
- (28) M. C. Baird, G. Hartwell, R. Mason, A. I. M. Rae and G. Wilkinson, *Chem. Commun.*, 92 (1967).
- (29) R. Hoffmann, D. M. P. Mingos, M. M. L. Chen, and A. R. Rossi, *Inorg. Chem.*, 13, 2666 (1974)

- (30) J. H. Enemark and R. D. Feltham, Coord. Chem. Rev., 13, 339 (1974) and refs. therein.
- (31) C. G. Pierpont and R. Eisenberg, Inorg. Chem., 11, 1088 (1972).
- (32) J. H. Waters and K. R. Whittle, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun., 518 (1971).
- (33) V. G. Albano, P. L. Bellon and M. Sansoni, J. Chem. Soc. A, 2420 (1971).
- (34) D. M. P. Mingos and J. A. Ibers, Inorg. Chem., 9, 1105 (1970).
- (35) D. C. Moody and R. R. Ryan, Inorg. Chem., 15, 1823 (1976).
- (36) J. P. Linsky and C. G. Pierpont, Inorg. Chem., 12, 2959 (1973).
- (37) D. M. P. Mingos, Advan. Organometal. Chem., 15, 1 (1977).
- (38) I. Ghatak, M. B. Hursthouse and D. M. P. Mingos, unpublished observations.
- (39) M. R. Snow and J. A. Ibers, Inorg. Chem., 12, 224 (1973).
- (40) S. Otsuka, Y. Tatsuno, M. Miki, T. Aoki, M. Matsumoto, H. Yoshioka and K. Nakatsu, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun., 445 (1973).
- (41) M. Angoletta, P. L. Bellon, M. Manasero and M. Sansoni, J. Organometal. Chem., 81, C40 (1974).
- (42) V. G. Bellon, P. L. Bellon and V. Scatturin, Chem. Commun., 730 (1967).
- (43) M. Angoletta, G. F. Ciani, M. Manasero and M. Sansoni, *Chem. Commun.*, 789 (1973).
- (44) J. Grundness and S. D. Christian, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 90, 2239 (1968).
- (45) E. C. Moroni, J. Organometal. Chem., 21, 23 (1970).
- (46) E. R. Lippincott and F. E. Welsh, Spectrochim. Acta, 17, 123 (1961).
- (47) S. J. Ashcroft and G. Beech, *Inorganic Thermodynamics*, Van Nostrand – Reinhold Co. Ltd., London, 117 (1973).
- (48) A. C. Jesse, J. F. Van Baar, D. J. Stufkens and K. Vrieze, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 17, L13 (1976).
- (49) J. Chatt, G. J. Leigh and N. Thankarajan, J. Chem. Soc. A, 3168 (1971).
- (50) S. Cenini, A. Fusi and G. Capparella, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 33, 3576 (1971).
- (51) F. G. Moers, R. W. M. ten Hoedt and J. P. Langhout, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 36, 2279 (1974); Inorg. Chem., 12, 2196 (1973).
- (52) A. Spencer and G. Wilkinson, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans., 7861 (1974).
- (53) T. I. Eliades, R. O. Harris and M. C. Zia, Chem. Commun., 1709 (1970).
- (54) J. Valentine, D. Valentine, Jr., and J. P. Collman, *Inorg. Chem.*, 10, 219 (1971).
- (55) I. Ghatak and D. M. P. Mingos, unpublished results.
- (56) D. Cole-Hamilton and G. Wilkinson, Nouv. J. Chimie., 1, 133 (1977).
- (57) A. A. Grinberg, M. M. Singh and Y. S. Varashvski, Russ. J. Inorg. Chem., 1399 (1968).
- (58) C. K. Brown, D. Georgiou and G. Wilkinson, J. Chem. Soc. A, 3120 (1971).
- (59) M. H. B. Stiddard and R. E. Townsend, J. Chem. Soc. A, 2719 (1970).
- (60) M. A. Bennett and T. W. Turney, Aust. J. Chem., 26, 2321 (1973).
- (61) A. Palazzi, M. Graziani, L. Busetto, G. Carturan and U. Belluco, J. Organometal. Chem., 25, 249 (1970).
- (62) P. R. Brookes, J. Organometal. Chem., 43, 415 (1972).
- (63) R. W. Horn, E. Weissberger and J. P. Collman, *Inorg. Chem.*, 10, 2361 (1970).
- (64) J. J. Levison and S. D. Robinson, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans., 2013 (1972).
- (65) H. C. Volger and K. Vrieze, J. Organometal. Chem., 13, 479 (1968).
- (66) Ju. S. Varshavski, N. V. Kiseleva and N. A. Buzina, J. Organometal. Chem., 31, 119 (1971).
- (67) T. E. Napier, Jr. and D. W. Meek, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 94, 306 (1972).
- (68) R. Cramer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 89, 5377 (1967).
- (69) J. Blum, Tetrahedron Lett., 3041 (1966).
- (70) M. P. Yagupsky and G. Wilkinson, J. Chem. Soc. A, 2813 (1968).
- (71) A. Van der Ent and A. L. Onderdelinden, *Inorg. Chim. Acta, 7*, 203 (1973).
- (72) T. Kashiwagi, N. Yasouka, T. Ucki, N. Kasai, M. Kakudo, S. Takahashi and N. Hagihara, Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan, 41, 296 (1968).

- (73) K. Werner, W. Beck and U. Bohner, Chem. Ber., 107, 2434 (1974).
- (74) R. Ugo, G. La Monica and S. Cenini, J. Chem. Soc. A, 522 (1971).
- (75) H. C. Volger and K. Vrieze, J. Organometal. Chem., 13, 495 (1968).
- (76) C. A. Reed and W. R. Roper, J. Chem. Soc. A, 3054 (1970).
- (77) I. Ghatak, M. B. Hursthouse, P. Raithby and D. M. P. Mingos, *Transition Met. Chem.*, 1, 119 (1976).
- (78) B. F. G. Johnson, S. Bhaduri, I. Ghatak and D. M. P. Mingos, unpublished results.
- (79) B. F. G. Johnson and S. Bhaduri, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun., 650 (1973).
- (80) B. L. Haymore and J. A. Ibers, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 96, 3326 (1974).
- (81) S. Bhaduri, B. F. G. Johnson, C. J. Savory, J. A. Segal and R. J. Walter, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun., 809 (1974).
- (82) S. Cenini, R. Ugo, G. LaMonica and S. D. Robinson, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 6, 182 (1972).
- (83) S. Bhaduri, B. F. G. Johnson, A. Pickard, P. R. Raithby, G. M. Sheldrick and C. I. Zuccaro, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun., 354 (1977).

TMC 77/119(R)

Triphenylphosphineoxide Nitrosyl Complexes of Molybdenum

Kadhim H. Al-Obaidi* and Thana J. Al-Hassani

Department of Chemistry, College of Sciences, Baghdad, Iraq

(Received September 5th, 1977)

Summary

Nitric oxide gas reacts with biscarbonyl(bisdialkyldithiocarbamatc)triphenylphosphinemolybdenum complexes to give new complexes, formulated as $Mo(NO)(S_2CNR_2)_2Ph_3PO$ (R = Me and Et) on the basis of their i.r. spectra and elemental analyses. With nitrosyl bromide, the same molybdenum complexes give $Mo(NO)_2Br_2(Ph_3PO)_2$.

Introduction

Two types of nitrosyl(dialkyldithiocarbamate)molybdenum complexes are known:

a. the dinitrosyldialkyldithiocarbamatemolybdenum complexes of general formula $Mo(NO)_2(S_2CNR_2)_2^{(1-2)}$ (R = Me and Et); (these are considered to be octahedral in which the two nitrosyl groups are cis to each other), and b. the heptacoordinated mononitrosyl(trisdialkyldithiocarbamate)molybdenums, $Mo(NO)(S_2CNR_2)_3$ (R = Me, Et, and n-Bu), whose crystal structure has been established recently⁽³⁾. On the other hand, as far as we are aware, no metal nitrosyldialkyldithiocarbamates containing triphenylphosphine are known. Such complexes containing mixed ligands were reported for metal carbonyls, in particular the carbonyl(bisdialkyldithiocarbamate)molybdenum species, $Mo(CO)_2(S_2CNR_2)_2Ph_3P(R = Me \text{ or }Et)^{(7)}$. Complexes of the general formula M(NO)₂Br₂(Ph₃P)₂ have been prepared by direct interaction of $[M(NO)_2Br_2]_n$ (where M = Mo or W) with triphenylphosphine⁽⁵⁾. In this work, we describe the reaction of both nitric oxide and nitrosyl bromide with the dicarbonyl(dialkyldithiocarbamate)molybdenums containing a triphenylphosphine ligand, *i.e.* Mo(CO)₂(S₂CNR₂)₂Ph₃P, where R = Me or Et.

Results and Discussion

In the first reaction, NO gas was passed through a dichloromethane solution of $Mo(CO)_2(S_2CNR_2)_2Ph_3P$ (R = Me or Et) and yellow crystals were obtained. The product was formulated on the basis of i.r. spectra and elemental analyses as $M_0(NO)(S_2CNR_2)_2Ph_3PO$, where R = Me and Et. Both the methyl and ethyl derivatives exhibit a single NO stretching frequency at 1640 cm⁻¹ together with characteristic C-N partial double bond stretching frequencies at 1540 cm^{-1} (6). Other bands, observed in the 1150–1190 cm⁻¹ range, were attributed to PO stretching frequencies⁽⁷⁾. Since both methyl and ethyl complexes exhibit NO stretching frequencies at 1640 cm⁻¹, the coordinated NO may be considered as $NO^{+(8)}$ and molybdenum to be in a univalent state. In the other reaction, in which nitrosyl bromide was employed, both the methyl and ethyl derivatives of $Mo(CO)_2(S_2CNR_2)_2Ph_3P$ gave the compound $Mo(NO)_2Br_2(Ph_3PO)_2$. In both cases the product was identified by its i.r. spectrum and elemental analysis. Two bands at 1780 and 1670 cm⁻¹, due to NO stretching frequencies, were observed. This indicates, as pointed out previously, that the NO groups occupy mutually cis positions⁽⁵⁾. Bands observed in the 1150–1190 cm⁻¹ region were assigned to PO stretching frequencies as also pointed out for complexes previously. Although the reaction pathway is not yet known, the isolation of the pure dinitrosyldibrombistriphenylphosphineoxidemolybdenum complex in each case reveals that triphenylphosphine forms complexes of superior stability to those containing the dithiocarbamate ligand.

Although these reactions were carried out in an inert atmosphere, oxidation of the coordinated triphenylphosphine occurred. This phenomenon was ascribed recently to the presence of nitric oxide or nitrosyl bromide in which NO behaves as an oxidant (9-10).

^{*} Author to whom all correspondence should be directed.