

Meromorphic Mappings onto Compact Complex Spaces of General Type

Shoshichi Kobayashi (Berkeley)* and Takushiro Ochiai (Bonn)**

To the memory of Yotaro Tsukamoto

1. Introduction

The main theorem of this paper is the following:

Theorem 1. *Let X be a Moisëzon space and Y a compact complex space of general type. Then the set of surjective meromorphic mappings of X onto Y is finite.^{1,2}*

We recall that a compact complex space X is called a *Moisëzon space* if the transcendence degree of its field of meromorphic functions is equal to the dimension of X . A compact complex manifold Y of dimension n is said to be of *general type* if

$$\sup \lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{m^n} \dim \Gamma(K_Y^m) > 0,$$

where K_Y is the canonical line bundle of Y and $\Gamma(K_Y^m)$ is the space of holomorphic sections of the line bundle K_Y^m . A compact complex space Y is said to be of general type if any (and hence every) non-singular model of Y is of general type.

If $\dim Y = 1$, Theorem 1 reduces to the classical theorem of de Franchis [3; p. 139]. Thus our result settles one of the conjectures of Lang [9]. In the theorem of de Franchis, Y is a curve of genus at least 2. The condition on Y in higher dimension suggested by Lang is that Y be hyperbolic. We assume instead that Y is of general type. It is very likely that a compact hyperbolic space is necessarily of general type.

The proof, easily reduced to the case where both X and Y are non-singular, projective-algebraic, is by a combination of arguments in [2, 3, 6, 12].

Theorem 1 implies that the group of bimeromorphic automorphisms of a compact complex space of general type is finite (see [2, 5] for a direct transcendental proof and [10] for a direct algebraic proof). It is, of course, desirable to find an algebraic proof of Theorem 1.

* Partially supported by NSF Grant GP-42020X.

** Supported by "Sonderforschungsbereich Theoretische Mathematik" at University of Bonn.

¹ Throughout the paper, X and Y denote reduced irreducible complex spaces.

² It has been pointed out by Professor Y. Namikawa that Theorem 1 holds for any compact complex space X which is not necessarily a Moisëzon space. See the argument given at the end of the paper.

Improving on the result in [6], we show the following

Theorem 2. *Let X be a complex space and A a complex subspace of X . Let Y be an n -dimensional compact complex space of general type. Then every meromorphic map $f: X - A \rightarrow Y$ of maximal rank n extends to a meromorphic map $f: X \rightarrow Y$.*

From Theorems 1 and 2, we obtain

Corollary. *Let X and Y be as in Theorem 1. Let A be a complex subspace of X . Then the set of meromorphic maps $f: X - A \rightarrow Y$ of maximal rank n (where $n = \dim Y$) is finite.*

We say that $f: X - A \rightarrow Y$ is of rank n if its differential is of rank n at some regular point of $X - A$ where f is holomorphic. Theorem 1 is proved in §§ 2~6. The proof of Theorem 2 is indicated in § 7.

2. Reduction to the Case where X and Y are Non-Singular, Projective Algebraic

Every Moisëzon space X is bimeromorphic to a projective algebraic variety (see Theorem 1 of [11]). By Hironaka's theorem on resolutions of singularities, X is bimeromorphic to a non-singular projective algebraic variety.

Let Y be a compact complex manifold of general type. Let $\Gamma(K_Y^m)^*$ be the dual space of $\Gamma(K_Y^m)$ and $P(\Gamma(K_Y^m)^*)$ the projective space of lines in $\Gamma(K_Y^m)^*$. The natural map $f_m: Y \rightarrow P(\Gamma(K_Y^m)^*)$ is, in general, meromorphic and its image is a variety of dimension $n (= \dim Y)$ for some $m > 0$, (see [1] for details). By pulling back meromorphic functions of $f_m(Y)$, we obtain n algebraically independent meromorphic functions on Y . We have shown that if Y is of general type, it is a Moisëzon space. Hence, Y is bimeromorphic to a non-singular projective algebraic variety.

3. Schwarz Lemma

In this section we same that X is a compact complex manifold of dimension n and Y is an n -dimensional projective algebraic manifold of general type. As before, K_Y denotes the canonical line bundle of Y .

Then we have a positive integer m , a subspace W of $\Gamma(K_Y^m)$ and a line bundle L over Y with a holomorphic section $a \in \Gamma(L)$ such that

- (1) $L^{-1}K_Y^m$ is very ample,
- (2) $s \in \Gamma(L^{-1}K_Y^m) \rightarrow as \in \Gamma(K_Y^m)$ defines an isomorphism of $\Gamma(L^{-1}K_Y^m)$ onto W .

For the proof of this assertion, see [7, 6]. Let s_0, s_1, \dots, s_N be a basis for $\Gamma(L^{-1}K_Y^m)$ and t_0, t_1, \dots, t_N the corresponding basis for W . Thus, $t_i = as_i$ for $i=0, 1, \dots, N$. The common zeroes of t_0, t_1, \dots, t_N is equal to the zeroes of the section a , which may or may not be empty.

We define a volume element ω_Y on Y by

$$\omega_Y := (\sum |t_j \wedge \bar{t}_j|)^{1/m}.$$

This formal expression stands for the following $2n$ -form. In terms of a local coordinate system y^1, \dots, y^n of Y , write

$$t_j = h_j (dy^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dy^n)^m,$$

where h_j is a locally defined holomorphic function. Then

$$\omega_Y = \left(\sum |h_j|^2 \right)^{1/m} (\sqrt{-1})^n dy^1 \wedge d\bar{y}^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dy^n \wedge d\bar{y}^n$$

is a globally defined $2n$ -form on Y vanishing at the zeroes of the section $a \in \Gamma(L)$ and positive elsewhere.

Considering L locally as product $U \times \mathbf{C}$ where U is a small open subset of Y , we can represent the section a by a holomorphic function a_U on U . Then the function

$$\frac{H}{|a_U|^2}, \quad \text{where } H := \sum |h_j|^2,$$

is everywhere positive since the zeroes of H cancel out with the zeroes of $|a_U|^2$. Since $\partial \bar{\partial} (\log H) = \partial \bar{\partial} (\log H / |a_U|^2)$, the Ricci tensor

$$R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = -\frac{1}{m} \partial^2 \log H / \partial y^\alpha \partial \bar{y}^\beta$$

is well defined everywhere on Y (even at the zeroes of H) and is negative definite. (The fact that the Ricci tensor is negative definite follows from the condition that $L^{-1} K_Y^n$ is very ample. In fact, from the definition of $R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}$ above one sees immediately that $-R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}$ is the metric tensor induced from the Fubini-Study metric of $P_N(\mathbf{C})$ by the imbedding $Y \rightarrow P_N(\mathbf{C})$ defined by (s_0, s_1, \dots, s_N) .) We may summarize this by saying that the Ricci form associated to the volume form ω_Y is negative-definite everywhere.

Lemma 1. *Let ω be the Poincaré-Bergman volume element of the unit polydisk Δ^n of dimension n . Then there exists a positive constant c such that*

$$f^* \omega_Y \leq c \cdot \omega \quad \text{for every meromorphic map } f: \Delta^n \rightarrow Y.$$

Proof. This is an equi-dimensional generalization of the Schwarz-Pick-Ahlfors lemma. Following Yau [12], we observe that $f^* t_j$, which is holomorphic outside the singularity set (i.e., the set of points of indeterminacy) $A \subset \Delta^n$, extends to a holomorphic “form” on Δ^n by the theorem of Hartogs since $\text{codim } A \geq 2$. It follows that $f^* \omega_Y$ extends also to a smooth $2n$ -form on Δ^n . The usual proof of the equi-dimensional Schwarz lemma for holomorphic mappings can be applied to the function $u = f^* \omega_Y / \omega$ on Δ^n . See [3, 4] for details.

Lemma 2. *There exists an everywhere positive volume form ω_X on X such that*

$$f^* \omega_Y \leq \omega_X \quad \text{for every meromorphic map } f: X \rightarrow Y.$$

Proof. We cover X by a (locally) finite open cover $\{D_i\}$ such that each D_i is biholomorphic to the polydisk Δ^n . Let ω_i be the volume form on D_i corresponding to the volume form on Δ^n . Let $\{\rho_i\}$ be a partition of 1 subordinate to $\{D_i\}$. We set

$$\omega_X := \sum \rho_i \omega_i.$$

Then there is a positive constant c such that $f^* \omega_Y \leq c \cdot \omega_X$ for every meromorphic map $f: X \rightarrow Y$. By normalizing ω_X , we may assume that $c=1$.

4. Compactness of the Set of Surjective Meromorphic Mappings

Throughout this section we assume again that X is a compact complex manifold of dimension n and Y is an n -dimensional projective algebraic manifold of general type. We set

$M(X, Y)$:= the set of surjective meromorphic mappings of X onto Y .

Let W be the subspace of $\Gamma(K_Y^m)$ defined in §3. As pointed out in the proof of Lemma, the theorem of Hartogs implies that every meromorphic mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ induces a linear map $f^*: W \rightarrow \Gamma(K_X^m)$.

In both W and $\Gamma(K_X^m)$ we define a norm $\| \cdot \|$, (see [2]).

$$\|s\|^2 := \int_X |s \wedge \bar{s}|^{1/m} \quad \text{for } s \in \Gamma(K_X^m),$$

$$\|t\|^2 := \int_Y |t \wedge \bar{t}|^{1/m} \quad \text{for } t \in W.$$

The symbolic expressions $|s \wedge \bar{s}|^{1/m}$ and $|t \wedge \bar{t}|^{1/m}$ should be interpreted in the same way as $(\sum |t_j \wedge \bar{t}_j|)^{1/m}$ in the definition of ω_Y ; they are $2n$ -forms on X and Y , respectively. Although these norms do not satisfy the convexity condition $\|s+s'\| \leq \|s\| + \|s'\|$, we shall call them “norms” by abuse of language.

Lemma 3. *There is a positive constant c such that $\|f^*t\| \leq c\|t\|$ for every $t \in W$ and every meromorphic mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$.*

Proof. Since $\|\lambda t\| = |\lambda| \cdot \|t\|$ for every complex number λ , it suffices to show that there is a constant c such that $\|f^*t\| \leq c$ whenever $\|t\| \leq 1$. Let t_0, t_1, \dots, t_N be the basis for W chosen in §3. Every t in W can be written uniquely as

$$t = \sum \lambda_j(t) \cdot t_j,$$

where $\lambda_0(t), \dots, \lambda_N(t)$ are complex numbers which depend linearly on t . Let c' be the maximum of $\sum |\lambda_j(t)|^2$ as t runs through the compact subset $\|t\| \leq 1$ of W . By Schwarz's inequality, we have

$$|t \wedge \bar{t}| \leq c' \cdot \sum |t_j \wedge \bar{t}_j| \quad \text{on } Y \text{ if } \|t\| \leq 1.$$

Hence,

$$|f^*t \wedge f^*\bar{t}| \leq c' \cdot f^* \left(\sum |t_j \wedge \bar{t}_j| \right) \quad \text{on } X \text{ if } \|t\| \leq 1.$$

Integrating the m -th roots of both sides, we obtain

$$\|f^*t\|^2 \leq c'^{1/m} \int_X f^* \omega_Y \quad \text{if } \|t\| \leq 1.$$

Because of Lemma 2, it suffices to set

$$c = c'^{1/m} \int_X \omega_X.$$

Lemma 4. $\|f^*t\| \geq \|t\|$ for every surjective $f \in M(X, Y)$ and $t \in W$.

Proof. We have

$$\|f^*t\|^2 = \int_X f^*|t \wedge \bar{t}|^{1/m} = (\deg f) \int_Y |t \wedge \bar{t}|^{1/m} = (\deg f) \|t\|^2 \geq \|t\|^2.$$

Two remarks are in order. Although the $2n$ -form $|t \wedge \bar{t}|^{1/m}$ vanishes on a subvariety of Y , it defines a nonzero element of $H^{2n}(Y; \mathbf{R})$. The formula

$$\int_X f^*|t \wedge \bar{t}|^{1/m} = (\deg f) \int_Y |t \wedge \bar{t}|^{1/m},$$

where $\deg f$ denotes the mapping degree of f , is well known when f is smooth. But $\deg f$ can be defined even when f is meromorphic and the formula above is still valid. Let \hat{X} be (a desingularization of) the graph of f and let $\hat{f}: \hat{X} \rightarrow Y$ be the holomorphic lift of f . Then we define $\deg f = \deg \hat{f}$. The meromorphic mapping f is surjective if and only if $\deg f \geq 1$.

Lemma 5. If $f \in M(X, Y)$, then the induced linear map $f^*: W \rightarrow \Gamma(K_X^m)$ is injective.

Proof. Let t be a nonzero element of W and U an open subset of X in which f is regular and non-degenerate. Being a holomorphic section of K_Y^m , t cannot vanish identically on the open subset $f(U)$ of Y . Hence, f^*t cannot vanish identically on U .

To state the next lemma, let

- $S :=$ the dual space of $\Gamma(K_X^m)$,
- $T :=$ the dual space of $W (\subset \Gamma(K_Y^m))$,
- $i :=$ the natural meromorphic mapping $X \rightarrow P(S)$,
- $j :=$ the natural imbedding $Y \rightarrow P(T)$,

where $P(S)$ and $P(T)$ denote the projective spaces consisting of complex lines through the origin in S and T respectively.

Let $f \in M(X, Y)$. Since $f^*: W \rightarrow \Gamma(K_X^m)$ is injective by Lemma 5, its dual map $f_*: S \rightarrow T$ is surjective. Let $\tilde{f}: P(S) \rightarrow P(T)$ be the meromorphic map induced by f_* . The proof of the following lemma is straightforward.

Lemma 6. Let $f \in M(X, Y)$. Then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P(S) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & P(T) \\ \uparrow i & & \uparrow j \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array}$$

commutes.

Lemma 7. Two distinct elements $f, g \in M(X, Y)$ give rise to distinct meromorphic mappings \tilde{f}, \tilde{g} of $P(S)$ into $P(T)$.

Proof. This follows from Lemma 6 and the fact that $j: Y \rightarrow P(T)$ is an imbedding.

Lemma 8. $\{f^*; f \in M(X, Y)\}$ is a compact subset of $\text{Hom}(W, \Gamma(K_X^m))$.

Proof. Let $M^* = \{f^*; f \in M(X, Y)\}$. Let c be a fixed positive constant. Then the set

$$\Phi := \{\varphi \in \text{Hom}(W, \Gamma(K_X^m)); \|t\| \leq \|\varphi(t)\| \leq c\|t\| \text{ for all } t \in W\}$$

is a compact subset of $\text{Hom}(W, \Gamma(K_X^m))$. Let c be the constant given in Lemma 3. Then $M^* \subset \Phi$ by Lemmas 3 and 4. Let $\{f_k\}$ be an infinite sequence of elements in $M(X, Y)$. By taking a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that $\{f_k^*\}$ converges to an element φ in Φ since Φ is compact. Since φ satisfies $\|\varphi(t)\| \geq \|t\|$, φ is an injective homomorphism $W \rightarrow \Gamma(K_X^m)$. The dual map of φ is a surjective homomorphism $S \rightarrow T$ and induces a meromorphic map $\tilde{\varphi}: P(S) \rightarrow P(T)$. Since $\varphi = \lim f_k^*$ and $j(Y)$ is closed in $P(T)$, it follows that $\tilde{\varphi} \circ i(x) \in j(Y)$ if x is a point in X (not belonging to the singularity set of $\tilde{\varphi} \circ i$). This shows that $\tilde{\varphi} \circ i: X \rightarrow P(T)$ is a meromorphic map of X into $j(Y)$. If we set $f = j^{-1} \circ \tilde{\varphi} \circ i$, then $\varphi = f^*$. Since f^* is in Φ , it satisfies $\|f^*(t)\| \geq \|t\|$ and hence f is of maximal rank. This shows that f is in $M(X, Y)$.

Remark. The proof of Lemma 5 shows that if $f \in M(X, Y)$, then $f^*: \Gamma(K_Y^m) \rightarrow \Gamma(K_X^m)$ is injective. Hence, $\dim \Gamma(K_X^m) \geq \dim \Gamma(K_Y^m)$ if $M(X, Y)$ is nonempty. This shows that X must be of general type if there is a surjective meromorphic mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$.

The compactness of $M(X, Y)$ has been obtained by P. Kiernan independently.

5. Analytic and Algebraic Structures on $M(X, Y)$

Let S and T be the dual spaces of $\Gamma(K_X^m)$ and W , respectively, as in § 4. Let

$$H := \text{Hom}(S, T).$$

Each element of the projective space $P(H)$ induces, in a natural way, a meromorphic map $P(S) \rightarrow P(T)$. If $f \in M(X, Y)$, then f_* is a nonzero element of H by Lemma 5. (In fact, f_* is a surjective map $S \rightarrow T$.) Let \hat{f} be the element of $P(H)$ represented by $f_* \in H$. Let

$$\hat{M} := \{\hat{f}; f \in M(X, Y)\} \subset P(H).$$

By Lemma 7, \hat{M} is in a natural one-to-one correspondence with $M(X, Y)$. By Lemma 8, \hat{M} is a compact subset of $P(H)$.

Let Z be the set of elements of $P(H)$ such that the induced meromorphic mappings $P(S) \rightarrow P(T)$ send $i(X)$ into $j(Y)$. Then Z is an algebraic variety in $P(H)$. Let $\xi = (\dots, \xi_\alpha, \dots)$ and $\eta = (\dots, \eta_\lambda, \dots)$ be homogeneous coordinate systems for $P(S)$ and $P(T)$, respectively. Let $\zeta = (\dots, \zeta_\lambda^i, \dots)$ be the naturally induced homogeneous coordinate system for $P(H)$. If J is the ideal of homogeneous polynomials $Q(\eta)$ defining the variety $j(Y) \subset P(T)$, then Z is defined by the set of homogeneous polynomials

$$\{Q_a(\zeta) := Q(\zeta \cdot \xi(a)); Q \in J \text{ and } a \in i(X)\},$$

where $\zeta \cdot \xi$ denotes the matrix multiplication of ζ and ξ .

Clearly, \hat{M} is the subset of Z consisting of those elements which map $i(X)$ surjectively onto $j(Y)$. This shows that \hat{M} is an open subset of Z . On the other hand, \hat{M} is compact. Hence, \hat{M} is an algebraic subvariety of $P(H)$.

Now, we are in a position to prove the main lemma.

Lemma 9. *Let X be an n -dimensional compact complex manifold and Y an n -dimensional projective algebraic manifold of general type. Then $M(X, Y)$ is finite.*

Proof. The C^* -bundle $H - \{0\} \rightarrow P(H)$, restricted to any algebraic subvariety of $P(H)$, is (even topologically) non-trivial unless the subvariety is 0-dimensional. Over the subvariety \hat{M} this bundle has a section, namely $\tilde{f} \in \hat{M} \rightarrow f_* \in H - \{0\}$. Hence, \hat{M} is 0-dimensional, i.e., finite. Being in one-to-one correspondance with \hat{M} , $M(X, Y)$ is finite.

6. The Case $\dim X > \dim Y$

In this section we assume that X is a p -dimensional projective algebraic manifold and Y is an n -dimensional projective algebraic manifold of general type. We continue to denote the set of surjective meromorphic mappings $f: X \rightarrow Y$ by $M(X, Y)$.

If $p < n$, then $M(X, Y)$ is empty. The case $p = n$ was settled in the preceding section. We assume therefore that $p > n$. Assuming that $M(X, Y)$ is infinite, we choose a countable infinite subset $\{f_1, f_2, \dots\}$ of $M(X, Y)$ and fix it once and for all.

For each point x of X , let $G_n(X)_x$ be the Grassmannian of n -planes in the tangent space $T_x(X)$. Then $G_n(X) := \bigcup_{x \in X} G_n(X)_x$ is a bundle over X whose standard fibre is the Grassmannian of n -planes in \mathbf{C}^p . We say that an n -plane $V \in G_n(X)_x$ is *transversal* (with respect to $\{f_1, f_2, \dots\}$) if every f_j induces an isomorphism $V \rightarrow T_{f_j(x)}(Y)$.

Lemma 10. *There exists a transversal $V \in G_n(X)$ such that $Tf_1|V, Tf_2|V, \dots$ are mutually distinct. (Here Tf_j denotes the differential of f_j and maps $T(X)$ into $T(Y)$.)*

Implicit in the statement is that f_1, f_2, \dots are all regular at x when $V \in G_n(X)_x$.

Proof. For each j , let S_j be the singularity set of the meromorphic mapping f_j . For each j , let N_j be the set of $V \in G_n(X)_x$ such that f_j is regular at x and $Tf_j: V \rightarrow T_{f_j(x)}(Y)$ is not an isomorphism. For each pair (i, j) , let P_{ij} be the set of $V \in G_n(X)_x$ such that both f_i and f_j are regular at x and $Tf_i|V = Tf_j|V$. Clearly, $\pi^{-1}(S_j)$ is a subvariety of $G_n(X)$, where $\pi: G_n(X) \rightarrow X$ is the projection. The set N_j is a subvariety of $G_n(X) - \pi^{-1}(S_j)$. The set P_{ij} is a subvariety of $G_n(X) - \pi^{-1}(S_i \cup S_j)$. Hence

$$G := G_n(X) - \left(\left(\bigcup_j \pi^{-1}(S_j) \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_j N_j \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{i,j} P_{ij} \right) \right)$$

is dense in $G_n(X)$. (In fact, G is the intersection of countably many dense open subsets $G_n(X) - \pi^{-1}(S_j)$, $G_n(X) - (\pi^{-1}(S_j) \cup N_j)$ and $G_n(X) - \pi^{-1}(S_i \cup S_j) \cup P_{ij}$, $i, j = 1, 2, \dots$.) Any element V in G satisfies the requirements of Lemma 10.

To complete the proof of Theorem 1, let $V \in G_n(X)_x$ be as in Lemma 10. Let X' be a subvariety of X passing through x such that $T_x(X') = V$. (This is where we use the assumption that X is projective algebraic.) By Lemma 10, $f_1|_{X'}, f_2|_{X'}, \dots$ are mutually distinct elements of $M(X', Y')$. On the other hand, we know that $M(X', Y')$ is finite since $\dim X' = \dim Y'$. This contradiction arose from the assumption that $M(X, Y)$ is infinite.

7. Proof of Theorem 2

As in § 2, we may assume that Y is a non-singular projective algebraic manifold of general type and that X is non-singular. We may also assume that $f: X - A \rightarrow Y$ is holomorphic since the points of indeterminacy may be included in A .

We shall show that the proof can be reduced to the case where A is also non-singular. Let B be the singular locus of A so that $A - B$ is a non-singular subspace of $X - B$. Suppose that $f: X - A \rightarrow Y$ extends to a meromorphic map $f: X - B \rightarrow Y$. Fix an imbedding $Y \subset P_N(\mathbb{C})$ and let w^0, \dots, w^N be a homogeneous coordinate system for $P_N(\mathbb{C})$. The meromorphic functions $f^*(w^j/w^k)$ on $X - B$ extends to meromorphic functions on X since B has codimension at least 2 in X . Hence, f extends to a meromorphic map $f: X \rightarrow Y$.

Localizing f , we may further assume that X is a unit polydisk D^p in \mathbb{C}^p and A is the polydisk $\{0\} \times D^{p-1} \subset D^p$. We denote the punctured disk $D - \{0\}$ by D^* so that $D^p - (\{0\} \times D^{p-1}) = D^* \times D^{p-1}$. Since the second Cousin problem is solvable for the domain $D^* \times D^{p-1}$, we can lift the holomorphic map $f: D^* \times D^{p-1} \rightarrow Y \subset P_N(\mathbb{C})$ to a holomorphic map $\tilde{f}: D^* \times D^{p-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{N+1}$. Then \tilde{f} is given by a system of $N+1$ functions $\varphi^0(z^1, \dots, z^p), \dots, \varphi^N(z^1, \dots, z^p)$ holomorphic in $0 < |z^1| < 1, |z^2| < 1, \dots, |z^p| < 1$.

Now we use the particular imbedding $Y \subset P_N(\mathbb{C})$ constructed in § 3. We recall that the imbedding was defined using a certain $(N+1)$ -dimensional subspace W of $\Gamma(K_Y^m)$. It suffices to prove the following

Lemma 11. *Let*

$$\varphi^j(z^1, \dots, z^p) = \sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty} A_h^j(z^2, \dots, z^p) (z^1)^h, \quad j=0, 1, \dots, N,$$

be Laurent expansions with respect to the variable z^1 with holomorphic coefficients $A_h^j(z^2, \dots, z^p)$. Then $A_h^j(z^2, \dots, z^p) = 0$ for $h \leq -m$.

Proof. This lemma was proved when $p=n$ and the map $f: D^* \times D^{n-1} \rightarrow Y$ is of maximal rank in our earlier paper [6], where the particular construction of the imbedding $Y \subset P_N(\mathbb{C})$ was used strongly. We note that the integer m appearing in Lemma 11 is the exponent in K_Y^m .

Assume that $p > n$. Since $f: D^* \times D^{p-1} \rightarrow Y$ is of rank n , there exists an n -dimensional plane P in \mathbb{C}^p (not necessarily through the origin) such that the restriction of f to the intersection $P \cap (D^* \times D^{p-1})$ is of rank n .

By moving P slightly if necessary, we may assume that P intersects the hyperplane $z^1=0$ transversally. By a linear change of the coordinate system in \mathbf{C}^p , we may further assume that P is defined by

$$z^{n+1} = a^{n+1}, \dots, z^p = a^p,$$

where a^{n+1}, \dots, a^p are constants. We define

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= (a^{n+1}, \dots, a^p), \\ f_\alpha(z^1, \dots, z^n) &= f(z^1, \dots, z^n, a^{n+1}, \dots, a^p), \\ \varphi_\alpha^j(z^1, \dots, z^n) &= \varphi^j(z^1, \dots, z^n, a^{n+1}, \dots, a^p). \end{aligned}$$

Then $(\varphi_\alpha^0, \dots, \varphi_\alpha^N)$ gives the lift of f_α . The Laurent expansions of φ_α^j are given by

$$\varphi_\alpha^j(z^1, \dots, z^n) = \sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty} A_h^j(z^2, \dots, z^n, a^{n+1}, \dots, a^p) (z^1)^h.$$

Since Lemma 11 holds for $p=n$ and hence for f_α , we obtain

$$A_h^j(z^2, \dots, z^n, a^{n+1}, \dots, a^p) = 0 \quad \text{for } h \leq -m.$$

Since f_α remains to be of rank n when $\alpha = (a^{n+1}, \dots, a^p)$ is moved slightly, we have

$$A_h^j(z^2, \dots, z^n, z^{n+1}, \dots, z^p) = 0 \quad \text{for } h \leq -m$$

for (z^{n+1}, \dots, z^p) in a neighborhood of (a^{n+1}, \dots, a^p) and hence for all (z^{n+1}, \dots, z^n) . This completes the proof of Lemma 11.

As stated in Footnote (2), we shall extend Theorem 1 to an arbitrary compact complex space X . Let $\mathfrak{M}(X)$ and $\mathfrak{M}(Y)$ be the fields of meromorphic functions on X and Y , respectively. Let X^* be a projective algebraic variety with $\mathfrak{M}(X) = \mathfrak{M}(X^*)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Mer}(X, Y) &\subset \{\varphi: \mathfrak{M}(Y) \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}(X); \text{ injective morphism}\} \\ &= \{\varphi: \mathfrak{M}(Y) \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}(X^*); \text{ injective morphism}\} \\ &= \text{Mer}(X^*, Y). \end{aligned}$$

Since we have shown that $\text{Mer}(X^*, Y)$ is finite, we may conclude that $\text{Mer}(X, Y)$ is also finite.

References

1. Iitaka, S.: On D -dimensions of algebraic varieties. *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **23**, 356–373 (1971)
2. Kobayashi, S.: On the automorphism group of a certain class of algebraic manifolds. *Tohoku Math. J.* **11**, 184–190 (1959)
3. Kobayashi, S.: Volume elements, holomorphic mappings and Schwarz lemma. *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.* **11** (1968), Amer. Math. Soc. Entire Functions and Related Parts Analysis, pp. 253–260
4. Kobayashi, S.: *Hyperbolic manifolds and holomorphic mappings*. New York: Marcel Dekker 1970
5. Kobayashi, S.: *Transformation groups in differential geometry*, *Ergebnisse der Math.*, Vol. 70. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer 1972
6. Kobayashi, S., Ochiai, T.: Mappings into compact complex manifolds with negative first Chern class. *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **23**, 137–148 (1971)

7. Kodaira, K.: Holomorphic mappings of polydiscs into compact complex manifolds. *J. Diff. Geometry* **6**, 33–46 (1971)
8. Lang, S.: *Diophantine geometry*. Wiley 1962
9. Lang, S.: Higher dimensional diophantine problems. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **80**, 779–787 (1974)
10. Matsumura, H.: On algebraic groups of birational transformations. *Accad. Naz. dei Lincei* **34**, 151–155 (1963)
11. Moišezon, B.G.: On n -dimensional compact varieties with n algebraically independent meromorphic functions, I, II, III. *Amer. Math. Soc. Translations, ser. 2*, **63**, 51–177 (1967)
12. Yau, S.T.: Intrinsic measures of compact complex manifolds. *Math. Ann.* **212**, 317–329 (1975)

Received February 24, 1975

S. Kobayashi
University of Berkeley
Department of Mathematics
Berkeley, California 94720
USA