EXISTENCE OF THE DISPLACEMENTS FIELD FOR AN ELASTO-PLASTIC BODY SUBJECT TO HENCKY'S LAW AND VON MISES YIELD CONDITION Gabriele Anzellotti and Mariano Giaquinta

We give "necessary" and sufficient conditions on body and traction forces for the existence of the displacements field for an elasto-plastic body subject to Hencky's law and Von Mises yield condition.

Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^3 and let $u:\Omega \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ represent the displacements field of a plastic body occupying the domain Ω in unstrained position, then the deformation energy of the body,assuming the Von Mises yield condition and Hencky's law hold (see $[3]$, $[15]$), is

$$
\int_{\Omega}\varphi(\epsilon^p(u))+\frac{\kappa}{2}\int_{\Omega}(divu(x))^2dx
$$

where

$$
\varphi(\varepsilon^{D}(u)) \left\{\begin{array}{ccc} \frac{1}{2}|\varepsilon^{D}(u)|^{2} & \text{if} & |\varepsilon^{D}(u)| \leq 1 \\ \frac{1}{2}|\varepsilon^{D}(u)| - \frac{1}{2} & \text{if} & |\varepsilon^{D}(u)| \geq 1 \end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
\varepsilon^{D}(u) = \varepsilon(u) - \frac{4}{3} \operatorname{trace} (\varepsilon(u)) \mathbb{I}
$$

is the deviator of the deformation tensor $\mathcal{E}(u)$ whose

OO25-2611/80/OO32/0101/\$O7.20

components are

$$
\mathcal{E}_{ij}(u) = \frac{4}{2} \left(\frac{\partial u^i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u^j}{\partial x_k} \right)
$$

We shall be concerned in this paper with the problem of the existence for the field of displacements u of a plastic body subject to body forces f in Ω , to a traction F on some part \prod_{N} of the boundary (Neumann conditions) and with a prescribed value g for the displacement (Dirichlet conditions) on some other part T_{D} of the boundary. We are led then to the problem

minimize the functional
\n
$$
\begin{cases}\n\mathfrak{F}(u) = \int_{\Omega} \phi(\epsilon^0 u) + \frac{\kappa}{2} \int_{\Omega} (div u)^2 + \int_{\Omega} f u + \int_{\Gamma} F u \\
u = q \text{ on } \Gamma_{\text{D}}\n\end{cases}
$$

The analogy between problem (5) and the problem of finding graphs of prescribed mean curvature

$$
\begin{cases}\n\int_{\Omega} F(\nabla v) + \int_{\Omega} fv + \int_{\mathbb{N}} wv \implies \inf_{\mathbb{N}} \quad , \quad F(\rho) = \sqrt{4 + \rho^2} \\
\int_{\mathbb{N}} \rho \cdot \mathbf{w} \quad \text{on } \Gamma_{\mathbb{D}} \quad , \quad v \in BV(\Omega)\n\end{cases}
$$

or, more generally, $|\nabla v| \leq F(\nabla v) \leq a|\nabla v| + b$ considered for example in [9],[7], is manifest. Therefore one is led to use the direct method of calculus of variations, looking for a solution to problem (P_4) in a suitable space $P(\Lambda)$ where the functional $\mathfrak{I}(u)$ is coercive and lower semicontinuous, and where the minimizing sequences are relatively compact. Following the analogy, one could try to work in the space of the functions u whose first derivatives are measures, and more precisely in the space

$$
\widetilde{P}(\Omega) = BV(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3) \cap \{u \mid div \, u \in L^2(\Omega)\}
$$

$$
\mathbf{2} \\
$$

Unfortunately, no Korn's inequality is available on $H^{1,1}$ see $[12]$, therefore the functional in (P_1) is not coercive on $\widetilde{P}(\Omega)$.

In fact, as suggested in [17], [18], we shall look for a minimum point for problem (P_1) in the space

$$
P(\Lambda) = \left\{ \mu \in L^{1}(\Lambda, \mathbb{R}^{3}) \middle| \text{div} \, u \in L^{2}(\Omega), \, \mathcal{E}_{ij}(u) \text{ is a bounded} \right\}
$$
\n
$$
\text{measure } \forall i, j = 1, 2, 3
$$

Our methods will be very close to those used in [7],[9] $\lceil 8 \rceil$.

We refer to [18] for an approach to problem (P_4) by duality methods and limit analysis.

The paper is divided into three sections.

In section I we collect some properties of the space $BD(\Omega)$ of functions of bounded deformation; this space has been introduced in [12], [17], [20]. Our exposition will parallel closely the theory of BV functions [2], [10] so it will be somewhat different from the quoted ones. A comprehensive reference is [11], so we shall not prove the results proved there.

In section 2 we shall give a semicontinuous extension of the functional $\int_{\mathcal{A}} \phi(\xi^0 \omega)$ to the space BD(Λ) following [7] and we shall relax the Dirichlet boundary condition following $[8]$, $[9]$, $[7]$. We prove then that the original functional and the relaxed one have the same infimum and we give a "necessary" and sufficient condition (theorems 2.4 , 2.5) on the forces f, F for the existence of a generalized solution to our problem.

We note that, as it is mathematically clear and physically reasonable, the functional in $({}^P_4)$ is not bounded from below unless we put some "smallness" conditions on f and F .

Our condition for the existence differs from those given for the mean curvature equation in [6],[9],[4], and the reason why those conditions are not workable here is the lack of a coarea formula and of a sharp trace estimate for BD functions.

Finally, in section 3 , we shall give a few more readable sufficient conditions on f , F for the existence of the displacements field, and we shall discuss a few questions and extensions.

I. Functions of bounded deformation

Let Λ be an open set in \mathbb{R}^n . For a vector valued function $u \in L^1_{\ell_{\infty}}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^n)$ we denote by $\mathcal{E}(u)$ the deformation tensor associated to u . Recall that $\epsilon(\omega)$ is the symmetric tensor of order two whose components are the distributions

$$
\varepsilon_{ij}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial u^i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u^j}{\partial x_i} \right) ; \quad i,j = \lambda, ..., n
$$

For a function $\varphi \in C_o^{\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{n^2})$, $\varphi = {\varphi_{ij}}_{i,j=1,...,n}$ we have $\langle \varepsilon u, \varphi \rangle = -\frac{1}{2} \int \left(u \frac{\partial \varphi_{ij}}{\partial x_i} - u^j \frac{\partial \varphi_{ij}}{\partial x_i} \right) dx$

For every open set $A \subset \Omega$ and for every function u in $\mathfrak{L}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^n)$ we set

$$
\int_{A} |\epsilon(\alpha)| = \sup \left\{ \langle \epsilon(\alpha), \phi \rangle \ : \ \phi \in C_{o}^{\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{n_{1}^{2}}), \ \text{opt}\varphi \subset A \ \ , \ \sum_{i,j} \phi_{ij}^{2} \leq 1 \ \right\}
$$

It is well known that $E(\mathcal{U})$ is a vector valued Radon measure in Ω if and only if $\int_{A} |\mathcal{E}(u)| < +\infty$ for all open sets $A \subset c \Omega$, moreover, in that case, the number \int_{A} \int ϵ (*a*) equals the total variation in A of the measure $f(x)$ so we can define a set function

$$
B \longmapsto \begin{cases} |E(u)| & B \subset \Omega \\ B & \end{cases}
$$

which is a positive (outer) measure in Ω .

DEFINITION **-** BD(I~_) denotes the linear space of the functions $u \in L^4(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ whose deformation tensor is a (Radon) measure of bounded variation in Ω , i.e.

$$
BD(\Omega) = \left\{ u \in L^{1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{n}) \middle| \text{ i.i.d. } s > 0 \right\}
$$

where

$$
\|u\|_{\text{BD}(\Omega)} = \frac{\int |u| \, dx}{\Omega} + \frac{\int |\varepsilon(u)|}{\Omega}
$$

It is easily seen that $BD(\Omega)$ is a Banach space with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\text{BDCA}}$ and that the space $\text{C}^{\text{O}}(\Omega,\,\mathbb{R}^n)$ is not dense in $BD(\Omega)$. Moreover : $u \in BD(\Omega)$ if and only if

$$
\mathcal{E}_{\mathsf{adv}}(\mathbf{u}) = \alpha \cdot \nabla(\alpha \cdot \mathbf{u}) = \alpha^{i} \alpha^{j} \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}^{i}}{\partial x_{j}}
$$

is a bounded Radon measure for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathsf{h}}$

The space BD(Ω) has been introduced in [12] and studied in $[20]$, $[17]$, $[14]$, and $[11]$, where a comprehensive account of the theory can be found.

Obviously, the space $BV(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^n)$, i.e. the space of $Rⁿ$ valued functions whose first derivatives are measures of bounded variation in Ω , is contained in BD(Ω); as we already mentioned this inclusion is strict since no Korn's inequality is available in $H^{1,1}$, see [12], [11] . We have

THEOREM **1 .I -** (lower semicontinuity of the deformation) Let u, u_h be functions in $L'_{bc}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ with $u_h \to u$ weakly, i.e. for each $\gamma \in C^\infty_c(\Lambda)$

$$
\lim_{h\to\infty}\quad\int\limits_{\Omega}u_h^{\iota}\psi=\int\limits_{\Omega}u^{\iota}\psi
$$

then

$$
\begin{array}{ccc} \text{if } \epsilon(u) & \epsilon & \text{limit} & \text{if } \epsilon(u_k) \\ \text{n.} & \text{n.} \end{array}
$$

Proof: for every function $\varphi \in C^{\infty}_{o}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{n})$ with $|\varphi| \leq 1$ we have

$$
\left\langle \xi(u), \varphi \right\rangle = \lim_{h \to \infty} \left\langle \xi(u_h), \varphi \right\rangle \leq \lim_{h \to \infty} \inf_{\Omega} \frac{\left\langle \xi(u_h) \right\rangle}{\Omega}
$$

and taking the supremum for all φ the theorem follows.
q.e.d.

Obviously, theorem 1.1 also holds for the deviator $\mathcal{E}^{\rho}(\omega)$ of the deformation tensor, we recall that $\{\epsilon^{D}(u)\}$ is defined as

$$
\varepsilon^{D}(\mu) = \varepsilon(\mu) - \frac{4}{n} \operatorname{trace}(\varepsilon(\mu)) \mathbb{I}
$$

We shall now list a few simple facts whose simple proof we omit.

1)
$$
\begin{aligned}\n\int_{A} |\xi(u)| + \int_{A_2} |\xi(u)| &= \int_{A_2} |\xi(u)| \quad \text{for } A_1, A_2 \text{ disjoint Borel} \\
\int |\xi(u)| &\leq \int |\xi(u)| \quad \text{for } A_1 \subset A_2 \\
\int_{A_4} A_2 &= \int_{A_2} A_3 \quad \text{for } A_4 \subset A_2\n\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\lim_{h \to \infty} \quad \text{if } (u) = \text{if } (u) \text{ for } A_h \subset A_{h+1} \text{, } h \in \mathbb{N}
$$

ii) let $A \subset \Omega$ (i.e. A is open, A is compact, $A \subset \Omega$) then

$$
\begin{cases} |\mathcal{E}(\mu * \gamma)| \leq \int |\gamma| dx & \text{if } |\mathcal{E}(\mu)| \\ A & \text{if } \mathcal{E}(\mu) \end{cases}
$$

for $\forall f \in C^{\infty}_{o}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$ with diam(spt $\forall f \in C^{\infty}_{o}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$, moreover, for every sequence of mollifiers $\{\mathcal{W}_{k}\}$ there exists \bar{h} such that

$$
\begin{cases} |\epsilon(a*\gamma_h)| \leq \int |\epsilon(a)| & \text{for} \quad h \geq h \\ A & \Omega \end{cases}
$$

iii) let $\{\gamma_{h}\}\$ be a sequence of mollifiers, then

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{M}*\gamma_{\mathbf{k}})| \longrightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{M})| \qquad \forall \mathcal{M} \in BD(\mathbb{R}^n)
$$

and for $A \subset \Omega$

$$
\lim_{h \to \infty} \int_{A} \left| \xi(u \star \gamma_{h}) \right| \leq \int_{\overline{A}} \left| \xi(u) \right| \qquad \forall u \in BD(\Omega)
$$

In particular, using the semicontinuity theorem 1.1 , if

$$
\int_{\partial A} |\epsilon(u)| = 0
$$

then

$$
\lim_{h\to\infty} \quad \int_{A} | \epsilon(u \star \gamma_h) | = \int_{A} | \epsilon(u) |
$$

PROPOSITION 1.2 - Let u be a BD(\mathbb{R}^n) function with compact support, then we have:

a) (Poincaré inequality)

$$
\begin{array}{lcl} \int |u| dx &\leq & C_4(n) \ diam(\text{spt } u) \int |E(u)|^m \\ \mathbb{R}^n &\mathbb{R}^n \end{array}
$$

b) (Sobolev-Poincaré inequality) $\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u|^\frac{n}{n-1} dx\right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \leq C_2(n) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\varepsilon(u)| dx$

Proof: due to iii) it is sufficient to show a) and b) for smooth functions with compact support in \mathbb{R}^n . Then a) is almost obvious, for b) see for example [19]. q.e.d.

As already stated, the space $C^{\infty}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^N) \cap BD(\Omega)$ is not dense in BD(Ω), anyway, by iii), for every function $u \in BD~(~\Omega~)$ there exists a sequence ${u_h} \subset C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$
u_{h} \rightarrow u \qquad \text{in} \qquad L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{n})
$$
\n
$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} E(u_{i}) & \longrightarrow & \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} E(u) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathbb{R}^{n} & & \downarrow \\
\end{array}
$$

More generally the following is true. THEOREM 1.3 - Let Ω be an open set in \mathbb{R}^n and let $u \in BD \cap L$), then there exists a sequence $\{u_h\} \subset C^{\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n) \cap BD(\Omega)$ such that

$$
u_{h} \longrightarrow u \qquad \text{in} \qquad L^{n}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{n})
$$

$$
\begin{cases} E(u_{h}) & \Omega \\ \Omega & \Omega \end{cases}
$$

Proof: the idea of the proof is as in [13] and [1] . We take a sequence of open sets $\Omega_{1,1}$ $\Omega_{2,1}$, with regular boundary, such that

$$
\Omega_K \subset \subset \Omega_{K+1} \qquad , \qquad \bigcup_{K=1}^{\infty} \Omega_K = \Omega.
$$

and we set

$$
V_o = \Omega_2 \qquad , \qquad V_K = \Omega_{3K+2} \setminus \overline{\Omega}_{3K-4}
$$

then we take a sequence of functions $~\varphi_{\kappa}~$ with

$$
\varphi_{0} \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega_{4}) \qquad , \qquad \varphi_{0} = A \qquad \text{in } \Omega_{3}
$$

$$
\varphi_{k} \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega_{3k+4} \setminus \overline{\Omega}_{3k}) \qquad \varphi_{k} = A \qquad \text{in } \Omega_{3k+3} \setminus \overline{\Omega}_{3k+4}
$$

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varphi_{k} = A \qquad \text{in } \Omega
$$

and a sequence of functions $\forall_{\tau_k} \in C_o^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$
\psi_{\tau_{\kappa}} \gg 0
$$
, \gg pt $\psi_{\tau_{\kappa}} \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | x_i < \tau_{\kappa}\}$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi_{\tau_{\kappa}} = 1$

Proceeding as in [1] it is now easy to see that one can find the numbers τ_{κ} so that the function

$$
M_{k} = \sum_{k=1}^{k} \gamma_{\tau_{k}} * (\mu \varphi_{k})
$$

verifies

$$
\begin{array}{lcl} \int |u_{h}-u| & < \frac{4}{h} \\ \int |\varepsilon(u_{h})| < \int |\varepsilon(u)| + \frac{4}{h} \\ \Omega \end{array}
$$

and this, together with the lower semicontinuity of the

deformation,proves the theorem.

$$
\tt q.e.d.
$$

Remarks. 1. If u_{μ} is as in theorem 1.3 then one also has

i)
$$
u_h|_{\partial \Omega} = u|_{\partial \Omega}
$$
 for all h

(see the existence of the trace in theorem 1.4 , provided Ω has a Lipschitz boundary)

11)
$$
\begin{aligned}\n\int_{A} |\xi(u_h)| \longrightarrow \int_{A} |\xi(u)| & \text{for all open sets } A \subset \Omega, \\
A & \text{such that } \int_{\partial h} |\xi(u)| = 0\n\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n\text{iii)} & \iint_{\Omega} \{\varepsilon_{ij}(u_{i_k})\} \longrightarrow & \iint_{\Omega} \{\varepsilon_{ij}(u)\} & \text{for all} & i, j = 1, \ldots, n \\
\Omega & \Omega & \Omega\n\end{array}
$$

$$
iv) \qquad \int_{\Omega} |\xi^{D}(\mathcal{U}_{\mu})| \longrightarrow \int_{\Omega} |\xi^{D}(\mathcal{U})|
$$

2. In case $u \in BD$ (Ω) and $\dim \epsilon$ L(Ω) one can find the approximating functions u_h such that

$$
\int_{\Omega} \left(\text{div} \left(u - u_{h} \right) \right)^{2} dx \leq \frac{4}{h}
$$

also holds.

Let Λ be a domain with Lipschitz boundary, then the trace of u on $\partial\Omega$ is well defined for each ue BD(Ω) as an $\mathfrak{L}^{\ell}(\partial\Omega,\mathbb{R}^{n})$ function. In fact the following theorem has been proved by Strang and Temam [17].

THEOREM 1.4 - There exists a linear operator

$$
\gamma: BD(\Omega) \longrightarrow L^{1}(\partial \Omega, \mathbb{R}^{n})
$$

such that

$$
\chi(u) = u\big|_{\partial\Omega}
$$

for all ue BD(Ω .) \cap C^o(Ω , $\mathbb R$). The following trace estima-

te holds

$$
(1.1) \qquad \qquad \int |\nabla u| \, d\, \mathfrak{h}^{n-4} \leq c(n,\Omega) \|u\|_{\text{BD}(\Omega)}
$$

moreover, for all i, j and for every $\varphi \in C^4(\bar{n}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ following Green's formula holds the

$$
(1.2)\quad \int_{\Omega} (u^j \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_i} + u^i \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j}) dx + 2 \int_{\Omega} \varphi \epsilon_{ij}(u) = \int_{\Omega} \varphi(\chi(u)v_j + \chi^j(u)v_i) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}
$$

where $V = (V_1, ..., V_n)$ $\mathcal{O}1$ and $\chi(\mathcal{U})$ is the unit outward normal vector to is the i^{m} component of $\gamma(u)$.

Actually one can prove the estimate

$$
(1.1)! \qquad \int_{\Omega} |\gamma(u)| d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \leq d_{\gamma}(n,L) \int_{\Omega} |\xi(u)| + d_{\gamma}(\Omega) \int_{\Omega} |u| dx
$$

where $d_A(n,L)$ depends only on the dimension n of the ambient space and on the Lipschitz constant L of the boundary of Ω

By the same method used in $[1]$ (see theorem 6) for BV functions, one can prove the continuity of the trace operator in the following sense: if

$$
\begin{cases} u_h \longrightarrow u & \text{in} \quad L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n) \\ \int |\xi(u_h)| \longrightarrow \int |\xi(u)| \\ \Omega & \Omega \end{cases}
$$

then

$$
\mathbf{y}(u_{\mathbf{k}}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{y}(u) \qquad \qquad \text{in} \qquad \mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{1}}\left(\partial \Omega, \mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{N}}\right)
$$

From now on we shall simply denote ${\gamma(\omega)}$ as ${\omega|_{\mathfrak{A}}}$ or ${\omega}$. We shall need in the following an explicit formula for the deformation $\int_{\mathfrak{n}} |\mathcal{E}(\omega)|$ on an (n-1)-dimensional surface \mathbb{T} where u can be discontinuous. We shall obtain such a formula in the next theorem (where we confine ourselves to the case $~\Gamma$ is the boundary of an open set).

Let $u \in BD({\mathbb R}^n)$ and let Ω be an open set with

II0

Lipschitz boundary. Set

$$
u^{\dagger} = \text{trace of } u|_{\hat{\mathbb{R}} \setminus \hat{\mathbb{R}}} \text{ on } \hat{\mathbb{R}}
$$

$$
u^{\dagger} = \text{trace of } u|_{\hat{\mathbb{R}} \setminus \hat{\mathbb{R}}} \text{ on } \hat{\mathbb{R}}
$$

then we have

THEOREM 1.5 - Let $V(x)$ be the outward unit normal vector to $\partial \Omega$ at x and set

$$
\tau_{ij}(p) = \frac{4}{2} (p_i v_j + p_j v_i) \qquad \text{for } p \in \mathbb{R}^n
$$

$$
\tau = \{\tau_{ij}\}_{ij = A_j, \dots, n}
$$

then we have for all $u \in BD(R^n)$

i)
$$
\int_{\partial \Omega} \mathcal{E}_{ij}(\mu) = - \int_{\partial \Omega} \tau_{ij}(\mu^+ - \mu^-) d\mu^{n-1}
$$

 \int $|\epsilon(u)| = \int |\tau(u^{+} - u^{-})| d\mu^{n-1}$
on ii)

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n\text{iii)} & \int |\xi(u)| &= \int |\xi(u)| + \int |\tau(u^+ - u^-)| + \int |\xi(u)| \\
\text{if } & \Omega & \text{if } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega\n\end{array}
$$

Proof: i) Write formula (1.2) for $u|_{\Lambda}$ and $u|_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus\Lambda}$ with $\varphi \equiv 1$ and sum. ii) Using Green's formula (1.2) in Λ and in $\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\Lambda$ we get for $\varphi_{ij} \in C_o^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$
\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \sum_{j \in N} \epsilon_{ij}(u) \varphi_{ij} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \left\{ \sum_{\Lambda} \epsilon_{ij}(u) \varphi_{ij} + \sum_{j \in N \setminus \Lambda} \epsilon_{ij}(u) \varphi_{ij} - \sum_{j \in \Lambda} \tau_{ij} (\omega + \omega) \varphi_{ij} d \mathcal{H}^{n-1} \right\}
$$

taking the supremum of both members for $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \varphi_{ij} \leq 1$ obtain we

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}\n\int |\epsilon(u)| & \epsilon & \int |\tau(u^t-u^r)| d\mathcal{H}^{u-r} \\
\text{an} & \text{an}\n\end{array}
$$

Let now $\varphi_{ij}^h \in C_o^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that $\left(\begin{array}{c} n \\ \frac{1}{k} \\ i_{i+1} \end{array}\right)$ $\left(\begin{array}{c} \varphi_{i,j}^{h} \\ \varphi_{i,j}^{h} \end{array}\right)$ ≤ 1 , \Rightarrow pt $\varphi_{ij}^{h} \in V_{h}$

where we have set $U_h = \{ y \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid dist(y, \partial \Omega) < \frac{1}{h} \}$ suppose moreover that and

$$
\varphi_{ij}^{\mathbf{b}} \longrightarrow \frac{\tau_{ij}(\mathbf{a})}{|\tau(\mathbf{a})|} \qquad \qquad \text{in} \qquad \mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{1}}(\partial \Omega)
$$

For all **h** we have

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n\int T_{ij}(u^{+}-u^{-})\phi_{ij}^{h} d\mathcal{H}^{n-4} & \leqslant \int I\epsilon(u)I + \int I\epsilon(u)I + \int I\epsilon(u)I\\
u_{h} & \mathfrak{L}_{n}U_{h} & (\mathbb{R}^{n}\Lambda) \wedge V_{h}\n\end{array}
$$

and going to the limit for $h \rightarrow \infty$ we get

$$
\int_{\partial\Omega} |\tau(u^{\dagger}-u^{\dagger})| d\mu^{n-1} \leq \int |\epsilon(u)|
$$

which concludes the proof of ii) iii) is obvious.

q.e.d.

We shall also need the analogous of theorem 1.5 for the deviator $\epsilon^b(\omega)$ of $\epsilon(\omega)$.

Set

$$
\tau^{D}(p) = \tau(p) - \frac{4}{n} \operatorname{trace}(\tau(p)) I = \tau(p) - \frac{p \cdot v}{n} I
$$

It is immediate that

$$
\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{E}^{D}(\mu) = \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{L}^{D}(\mu) d\mu^{n-1}
$$

and that

$$
\int_{\Omega} \varepsilon_{ij}^{p}(\omega) \varphi + \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \frac{4}{2} \left(u^{i} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_{j}} + \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_{i}} \right) + \frac{u \cdot \nabla \varphi}{n} \delta_{ij} \right\} dx =
$$
\n
$$
\int_{\Omega} \varphi \tau_{ij}^{p}(\omega) d \mu^{n-1}
$$

moreover we have

THEOREM 1.6 - In the hypotheses of theorem 1.5 we have also $2.8 - 4$

$$
\int |\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{w})| = \int |\mathcal{E}^{\mathsf{D}}(\mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} - \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}})| d\mathcal{H}^{\mathsf{D}}.
$$

Proof: the same as for theorem 1.5.

q.e.d.

 \mathbf{r}

Let us remark here that one has, for regular functions,

$$
|\varepsilon(u)|^2 = |\varepsilon^D(u)|^2 + \left|\frac{divu}{n}\mathbf{I}\right|^2
$$

because $\epsilon^p(w)$ and $\frac{4}{n}(divw)$ are orthogonal with respect to the inner product

$$
a \cdot b = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} b_{ij}
$$

so we get

$$
\int |\varepsilon(u)| = \int_{\Omega} {\left\{ |\varepsilon^{p}(u)|^{2} + |\frac{div u}{n} I|^{2} \right\}}^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

which holds, by approximation, for all $u \in BD(\Lambda)$.

We also have

$$
|\tau(p)| = \left\{ |\tau^{D}(p)|^2 + |\frac{p \cdot \nu}{n} I|^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

PROPOSITION $1.7 - i$) Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $|\alpha| = 4$, $f \in BV(\Lambda)$ and denote by V_{σ} i $+$ the projection of $~$ Y† $~$ on the ortho \cdot gonal space to α , then we have

$$
\int_{\Omega} |\epsilon(\alpha f)| = \int_{\Omega} \left\{ |\nabla_{\alpha} f|^{2} + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla_{\alpha} f|^{2} \right\}^{1/2}
$$

where the right member denotes the total variation in Λ of the $\mathbb{R}^{\times}\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ valued measure ($\sqrt[n]{f}$, $\sqrt[n]{f}$). ii) Let $u \in L^1(\partial \Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and set $\mathcal{M}_v \times \mathcal{M}_v \times \mathcal{M}_v \times \mathcal{M}_v$, then we have

$$
\int |\tau(u)| = \int \left\{ u_v^2 + \frac{4}{2} |u_\tau|^2 \right\}^{V_2}
$$

Proof: i) Take a smooth function f and an orthonormal

basis $\{e_{4},...,e_{n}\}\$ of \mathbb{R}^{n} with $e_{4}=\infty$. We then have

$$
|\epsilon(\alpha f)|^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{4}{4} (\kappa_j \langle \vec{v}f, e_i \rangle + \alpha_i \langle \vec{v}f, e_j \rangle)^2
$$

where $\alpha_{1}=1$ and $\alpha_{2}=\alpha_{3}=\alpha_{4}=\ldots=\alpha_{n}=0$, hence

$$
|\epsilon(\alpha f)| = \left(\langle \nabla f, e_4 \rangle^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=2}^n \langle \nabla f, e_j \rangle^2 \right)^{1/2} = \left(\|\nabla f\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla f\|^2\right)^{1/2}
$$

Integrating over Ω we get i) for smooth functions and, by approximation, we get the result for all $f \in BV(\Omega)$. ii) Take a point x where $V(x)$ is defined and take an orthonormal basis ${e_4,...,e_n}$ of \mathbb{R}^n with $e_4=V(x)$, we then have $\mathcal{V}_4(x) = 4$, $\mathcal{V}_1(x) = 0$ for $j=2, ..., n$ and

$$
|\tau(u, x)|^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{4} (u^i v_j + u^j v_i)^2 = u_v^2(x) + \frac{1}{2} |u_\tau(x)|^2
$$

Integrating over $\partial \Omega$ we get ii).

q.e.d.

 \overline{a}

One can also prove the following:

_n. ~ i~- ~,~

The trace operator $\gamma : BD(\Lambda) \longrightarrow L^{1}(\partial \Lambda,\mathbb{R}^{n})$ is onto, in fact every function $~\phi \in L^1(\partial \Omega,\mathbb{R}^n)$ can be extended, by Gagliardo's theorem, to a function in $H^{1,1}(\Lambda)$ (provided /D_ has a Lipschitz boundary). For our purposes,see next section, a more refined extension result is needed and precisely theorem I .8 below.

Let's first recall a well known fact. Take an open bounded set Λ with a class ζ^2 boundary and set d(x)= dist(x, $\partial \Omega$) for $x \in \Omega$, then there exist a number $\partial x > 0$ such that if $0 < d(x) < 2$ the following is true: i) there exists a unique point $U(x) \in \partial\Omega$ such that

$$
d(x) = dist(x, U(x))
$$

ii) the function
$$
d(x)
$$
 is differentiable at x
iii) $\nabla d(x) = -\nu(U(x))$

THEOREM 1.8 - Let Ω be an open bounded set with a class $\overline{C^2}$ boundary, and let φ be a function in $\overline{L^4(\partial\Omega,\mathbb{R}^n)}$ (or in $\mathfrak{t}^{\prime}(\overline{\mathfrak{p}},\mathbb{R}^{n})$, $\overline{\mathfrak{p}}$ being the intersection of $\partial\Omega$ with an open set A) such that

$$
\vee \varphi = 0 \qquad \qquad \underline{\text{on}} \ \partial \Omega \qquad (\underline{\text{on}} \ \Gamma \)
$$

then there exists a function $\phi \in BD(\Omega)$ with div $\phi \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\varphi = \varphi \qquad \qquad \underline{\text{on}} \ \partial \Omega \qquad (\underline{\text{on}} \ \Gamma \)
$$

and

$$
\varphi(y) \cdot \nabla d(y) = 0
$$

for all points $y = x - \nu t$ where $x \in \partial \Omega$ ($x \in T$) and $0 < t < a$, moreover $spt\varphi \subset \{x \in \Omega \mid d(x) < a\}$

Proof: set

$$
Q = \{ y \in \mathbb{R}^{n} | |y_{i}| < 1, i = 1, ..., n \}
$$

$$
Q^{+} = \{ y \in Q | y_{n} > 0 \}
$$

by a partition of unity argument we reduce to the case of \rightarrow pt φ \subset $\sqrt{2}\Omega$ where V is open and there is a diffeomorphism $\sigma: V \rightarrow Q$ such that

$$
\sigma (V \cap \Omega) = Q^{\dagger}
$$
\n
$$
d\sigma(x) (Vd(x)) = e_n \qquad \text{for} \qquad d(x) < a
$$

and the jacobian of O^+ is bounded and bounded away from zero in V . Set now

$$
\widetilde{\varphi}(y_1,..., y_{n-1}) = \varphi(\sigma^{-1}(y_1,..., y_{n-1}, 0))
$$

and use lemma 1.9 below to get a function $\widetilde{\varphi} \in BD(Q^+)$ with $div \widetilde{\varphi} \in L^2(Q^+)$, $\widetilde{\varphi} = \widetilde{\varphi}$ on $\{x \in Q \mid x_n = 0\}$, $\widetilde{\varphi} \cdot e_n = 0$ in Q^+ it is then easy to see that the function

$$
\varphi(x) = \widetilde{\varphi}(\sigma(x))
$$

is the desired extension of φ .

q.e.d.

LEMMA 1.9 - Let γε**L(K**, K), then there exists a function $\psi \in BD$ () $x \in K$ $\vert x_n > o$) such that

i)
$$
\varphi(x_1,...,x_{n-1},0) = (\varphi(x_1,...,x_{n-1}),0)
$$

ii)
$$
\phi(x) \cdot e_n = 0
$$

 $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ with } x_n > 0$

$$
\mathtt{iii)} \qquad \operatorname{div} \varphi \in \mathbb{L}^2(\{\mathsf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x_n > \circ\})
$$

moreover, if Ω ₄ is an open set in $\mathbb K$ and $\mathcal{P}^{\text{tr}}\varphi\subset\mathcal{L}_A\wedge\{x\in\mathbb{R}^m\mid x_B=\sigma\}$ we can find φ so that $\mathcal{P}^{\text{tr}}\varphi\subset\mathcal{Q}_A$. Proof: take a sequence of functions $\psi_h \in C_o^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ with in $L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ $\gamma_1 \longrightarrow \varphi$

and let $\{\tau_{k}\}\$ be a decreasing sequence of positive numbers with $T_h \rightarrow 0$. Set

$$
\varphi(\xi, x_n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x_n > \tau_o \\ \gamma_h(\xi) + \frac{x_{h} - \tau_h}{\tau_{h+1} - \tau_h} (\gamma_{h+1} - \gamma_h)(\xi) & \text{if } \tau_h > x_n > \tau_{h+1} \end{cases}
$$

where $\xi = (x_1, ..., x_{n-1})$. It's easy to check that for a suitable choice of the τ_h we have

$$
\begin{array}{ccc} \int |\varphi| & + & \int |\varepsilon(\varphi)| & + & \int \left(\text{div}\varphi\right)^2 & < +\infty \\ x_n & & & x_n & & \\ x_n & & & & x_n & & \\ \end{array}
$$

and i) , ii) are also obviously verified.

In case $\Delta A \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | x_n = 0\}$ we can find the functions γ_h so that $\gamma_h \sim W < \Omega_{10} \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | x_h >0 \}$, hence $\gamma_h \sim \in \mathbb{W} \times [0, \zeta]$ and $W \times [0, \tau_o] \subset \Omega_4$ if τ_o is small.

q.e.d.

The last results we are now going to state are Poincaré inequality, a compactness theorem and some corollaries.

Let $\mathcal I$ be the space of infinitesimal rigid motions of \mathbb{R}^n , i.e.

$$
\mathcal{I} = \left\{ T = Ax + b \mid b \in \mathbb{R}^n, A \text{ is a skew-symmetric matrix} \right\}
$$

THEOREM 1.10 - Let
$$
\Omega
$$
 be a Lipschitz domain in \mathbb{R}^n and let

 $T : BD(\Omega) \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}$

be any continuous linear function which fixes the elements of \overline{J} , then there is a constant $c(\Omega,\overline{J})$ such that

$$
\|\boldsymbol{u}-\mathsf{T}\boldsymbol{u}\|_{\mathsf{L}^{M_{n-4}}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^n)}\leq c(\Omega,\mathsf{T})\sup_{\Omega}|\epsilon(\boldsymbol{u})|
$$

Asuitable function \mathcal{T}_{o} can be obtained as follows:

$$
\left[\left(T_{o}u\right)(x)\right]^{j}=\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\rho^{ij}(u)-\rho^{ji}(u)\right)(x-x_{o})_{i}+\sigma^{j}(u)
$$

where x_o is a fixed point in Ω and

$$
\sigma^{j}(u) = \frac{1}{\alpha(n) R^{n}} \int u^{i}(y) dy
$$

$$
\rho^{i,j}(u) = \frac{n+1}{\alpha(n-1)R^{n+1}} \int (u^{i} - \sigma^{i}(u)) dy
$$

\n{yeB_R(x₀) | y-e_j > 0}

where $B_g(x_0) = \{x \mid |x-x_0| < R\} < \Omega, \quad \{e_4, \ldots, e_n\}$ is an $\overline{\text{orthonormal}}$ basis in \mathbb{R}^n and $\alpha(n) = \text{n-dimensional measure}$ of $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid |x| \leq 1\}$.

One also has the following

COROLLARY 1.11 - Let Γ be a subset of $\partial \Omega$ with positive $(n-1)$ -dimensional measure, then there is a constant $c(\Omega, \mathbb{P})$ such that

$$
\|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{L}^{\eta}/n-4}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^{n}) \leq C(\Omega,\mathbb{T}) \sum_{\Omega} |\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{u})|
$$

for all $u \in BD$ (Ω) with $u|_{\pi} = 0$.

Theorem 1.10 has been proved by Kohn [11].

As for BV functions, see $[5]$, $[2]$, theorem 1.10, together with the ϵ -net argument, yields the following compactness theorem, see [11].

THEOREM 1.12 - Let Ω be a Lipschitz domain. Then the inclusion of the space $BD(\Omega)$ in $L(\Omega, K)$ is compact for $p < \frac{n}{n-4}$

For a different proof of the compactness theorem see also [17] , [20] .

A simple consequence of theorems 1.10 , 1.12 is the following (see also [17] for a completely different proof). PROPOSITION 1.13 - Let S be a \mathbb{R}^n -valued distribution in Λ such that $\epsilon(5)$ is a Radon measure in Λ , then S is represented by a function $u \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$, that is

$$
\langle S, \varphi \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \psi \cdot \varphi
$$
 for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$

Proof: for any given open set $A \subset \Omega$ we shall prove that S is represented in A by a function $w_4 \in L^4(A, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and this obviously proves the theorem.

Take an open set A' and a ball $B_p(x_0)$ with $B_R(x_o)$ \leq A \leq A \leq A \leq 1. \leq , take then a sequence of mollifiers γ_{k} and set $S_{k}=0$ * γ_{k} . Then we have

$$
S_h \longrightarrow S \qquad \text{weakly in A}
$$

$$
||S_h - T_o(S_h)||_{L^1(A)} \leq C(A,T_o) \sum_{A} |E(S_h)|
$$

where $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ is as in theorem 1.10. We also have

$$
\begin{cases} |\varepsilon(S_h)| \leqslant \sum_{A'} |\varepsilon(S)| \end{cases}
$$

for h large enough, this implies that the numbers $||S_h - T_o(S_h)||_{BD(A)}$ are bounded independently of h and, by compactness theorem 1.12 (possibly taking a subsequence), we have

$$
S_h - T_o(S_h) \longrightarrow V_A \in L^1(A, \mathbb{R}^n) \quad \text{in} \quad L^1(A, \mathbb{R}^n)
$$

We shall now prove that $T_{\varrho}(S_{h})$ $R \in \mathcal{I}$, that is D_h $V_A + R$ and $M_A = V_A + R$ represents S in A. Consider a test function $\varphi = (\varphi_1, ..., \varphi_n)$ with $\varphi_2 = ... = \varphi_n = 0$ $\varphi_1 \in D(B_R(x_o))$, $\varphi \neq 0$, $\varphi(x) = \xi(|x-x_o|)$ we then have $\langle S_h, \varphi \rangle \rightarrow \langle S, \varphi \rangle$

$$
\langle S_h, \varphi \rangle - \langle T_o(S_h), \varphi \rangle \longrightarrow \frac{S}{A} Y_A \varphi
$$

hence

$$
\sigma^4(S_h) \underset{A}{\S} \phi_4 = \underset{A}{\S} \Gamma_{\sigma}(S_h) \Big]^4 \phi_4 \longrightarrow \underset{A}{\S} \nu_A \phi_4 - \langle S, \phi \rangle
$$

and

$$
\sigma^{A}(S_{h}) \longrightarrow \left(\frac{1}{A}v_{h}^{A}\phi_{1} - \langle S, \phi \rangle\right)\left(\frac{1}{A}\phi_{1}\right)^{-1} = \overline{\sigma}^{A}
$$

In a similar way one can show that

$$
\lim_{h\to\infty} \sigma(S_h) = \overline{\circ} \in \mathbb{R}^n
$$

We shall now prove that there exist numbers $t_{i,j}$ \in $\mathbb R$ such that

$$
(\star) \qquad \qquad \lim_{h \to \infty} \frac{1}{2} \left(\rho^{ij} (S_h) - \rho^{ji} (S_h) \right) = t_{ij}
$$

In fact, take a test function $~\varphi = (0,\ldots, 0, \dots, \infty) \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B}_{R}(\mathbf{x}_{0}), \mathbb{R})$ with $\int |\varphi_j| > o$ and such that $\varphi_j(x-x_o)$ is odd in the variable $(x-x_0)^t$ and even in the remaining variables, then

$$
\left\langle T_{o}(S_{h}), \phi\right\rangle = \frac{4}{2} \left(\phi^{ij}(S_{h}) - \rho^{ji}(S_{h}) \right) \left\langle (x - x_{o})^{i} \phi_{j} \longrightarrow \int v_{A}^{j} \phi_{j} - \langle S, \phi \rangle \right.
$$

where $\int (x-x_*)^{\iota} \varphi_j \neq o$ and $(*)$ follows.

2. Existence for the displacements field

We are now going to discuss the existence of a solution to problem (P_4) .

Unless otherwise stated, Ω will be a bounded connected open set in R^n with Lipschitz boundary and $V(x)$ will be the outward unit normal vector to $\partial\Omega$ at x.

Let A_1 be a bounded open set and call

$$
T_p = A_1 \cap \partial \Omega \qquad , \qquad T_N = \partial \Omega \setminus T_p
$$

we shall suppose that the set Ω_4 = $\Omega \cup A_4$ is connected, that H^{n-4} ($\overline{T}_D \cap T_N$)= 0 (where H^{n-4} is the (n-1)-dimensional Hausdorff measure) and that T_N coincides with the closure of its interior.

The function $\phi: M_n \longrightarrow [0,\infty)$, defined on the space M, of the nxn matrices as

$$
\phi(a) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} |a|^2 & \text{if } |a| \le 1 \\ |a| - \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } |a| \ge 1 \end{cases}
$$

 $\frac{n}{2}$ where $d = \{d_{ij}\}_{i,j=1,...,n}$, and $|d| = \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{ij}$, is obviously a convex function of a

As we said in the introduction, we shall look for a solution u to problem (P_1) in the space $P(\Omega)$ defined as follows

$$
P(\Omega) = \{u \in BD(\Omega) \mid \text{div} \, u \in L^2(\Omega) \}
$$

Clearly, the term \int_{Ω} (div w)²dx is well defined for ue P(Ω), not so obvious is the meaning of $\int_{\Omega}\!\!\!\!\phi(\xi_{\alpha}^0\!\!\!\!\omega)$ as the $\tilde{\xi}_{ij}^0$ are measures and not functions. To get rid of this diffi-

culty we proceed in a similar way to [7].

First we define a new function $\phi : M_n \times [0, \infty)$ [0, ∞) setting

$$
\frac{1}{\phi(t,a)} = \begin{cases} \phi(\frac{a}{t})t & \text{if } t > 0 \\ \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \phi(\frac{a}{t})t & \text{if } t = 0 \end{cases}
$$

As it is easy to check (see [7]) , $\overline{\varphi}$ is convex and positively homogeneous in (t, a) . Now, for any M_n -valued measure $\mu = {\mu_{ij}}$ in Ω we consider the ($\mathbb{R} \times M_n$)-valued measure $\alpha = (\alpha_o, {\alpha_{ij}})$ where

$$
\alpha_o = \mathcal{L}^{\mathsf{T}} = \text{Lebesgue measure in } \Omega \qquad , \qquad \alpha_{ij} = \mu_{ij}
$$

and we define

$$
\oint_{\Omega} \varphi(\mu) = \oint_{\Omega} \overline{\varphi} \left(\frac{d\alpha_{\circ}}{d|\alpha|}, \frac{d\alpha_{ij}}{d|\alpha|} \right) d|\alpha|
$$

where the positive measure $|\alpha|$ is the total variation of α and the functions $\frac{d\alpha}{d|\alpha|}$, $\frac{d\alpha_{ij}}{d|\alpha|}$ are the Radon-Nicody α and the functions $\frac{d\alpha}{d|\alpha|}$, $\frac{d\alpha}{d|\alpha|}$ are the Radon-Nicodym derivatives.

Using this definition, as a corollary of a theorem by Reschetnyak, see $[16]$, $[7]$, we have the following semicontinuity result.

PROPOSITION 2.1 - Let u, $u_h \in BD(\Omega)$ and set

$$
\alpha = \left(\mathcal{L}^{n}, \, \mathcal{E}_{ij}^{D}(\alpha_{i})\right) , \, \alpha_{h} = \left(\mathcal{L}^{n}, \, \mathcal{E}_{ij}^{D}(\alpha_{h})\right)
$$

Suppose that $u_h \longrightarrow u$ weakly, then $\alpha'_h \longrightarrow \alpha'$ weakly and

$$
\oint_{\Omega} \varphi(\epsilon^p(u)) \leq \liminf_{h \to \infty} \oint_{\Omega} (\epsilon^p(u_h))
$$

As far as the force terms are concerned, let us remark by now that they are certainly defined if

$$
f = f_1 + \nabla q
$$
, $f_1 \in L^n(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$, $q \in L^n(\Omega)$, $\phi \nabla q \subset \Omega$

$$
F \in L^{\infty}(T_{N}, \mathbb{R}^{n})
$$

in which case we have

$$
\left|\begin{array}{c} \int f_{\mathcal{U}} \\ \mathcal{L} \end{array}\right| \leq \|f_{4}\|_{\mu} \|u\|_{BD} + \|q\|_{\mu} \|d\|_{\mu}
$$

$$
\left|\begin{array}{c} \int f_{\mathcal{U}} \\ \Gamma_{\mathcal{U}} \end{array}\right| \leq c(n, \Omega) \|M\|_{BD(\Omega)} \|F\|_{L^{\infty}(T_{\mathcal{U}})}
$$

where $C(n,\Omega)$ is the constant in the trace estimate (1.1).

Actually, it can be physically reasonable and formally useful to suppose that the force $F(x)$ depends on the normal $v(x)$ to $\partial \Omega$ in the following way

$$
F_j(x) = K_{ij}(x) v_i(x)
$$

where $K_{ij}(x)$ is a symmetric tensor; in this case we have

$$
F_j u^j = K_{ij} \tau_{ij}(u)
$$

because K is symmetric, and

(2.1)
$$
K_{ij} \tau_{ij} (\omega) = \left(K_{ij}^{D} + \frac{\text{trace } K}{n} \delta_{ij} \right) \left(\tau_{ij}^{D} + \frac{\omega \cdot \nu}{n} \delta_{ij} \right) =
$$

$$
= K_{ij}^{D} \tau_{ij}^{D} + \mu \cdot \nu \frac{\text{trace } K}{n}
$$

because the matrices of zero trace are orthogonal to the identity matrix.

We shall need the following facts. PROPOSITION 2.2 - Let $u \in P(\Omega_4)$ and set

$$
u^{+} = \underline{\text{trace of}} \quad u|_{\Lambda} \underline{\text{on}} \Gamma_{p}
$$

$$
u^{+} = \underline{\text{trace of}} \quad u|_{\Lambda_{p} \Lambda_{p}} \underline{\text{on}} \quad T_{p}
$$

then we have

1)
$$
u(x) \cdot v(x) = u^+(x) \cdot v(x)
$$
 for u^{n-1} almost all $x \in \Gamma_p$

$$
11) \qquad \int\limits_{\Gamma_D} \varphi(\mathcal{E}^P(u)) = \int\limits_{\Gamma_D} |\mathcal{L}^D(u^+ - u^-)| d \mathcal{H}^{n-1}
$$

Proof: i) recall that u^+ and u^- are $\lfloor -$ funtions on $\lfloor \frac{n}{b} \rfloor$

by the trace theorem 1.4 , and that Green's formulae hold, hence, for all $~\phi \in C^1_o(\Omega_4)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\int \varphi u^{+} \cdot v - \int \varphi u^{-} \cdot v &= \int \text{div}(u \cdot \varphi) + \int \text{div}(u \cdot \varphi) + \\
\Gamma_{D} \quad \Gamma_{D} \quad \Lambda \quad \Lambda \quad \Lambda \quad \end{aligned}
$$
\n
$$
+ \int_{\Gamma_{D}} \text{div}(u \cdot \varphi) = \int_{\Omega_{A}} \text{div}(u \cdot \varphi) = 0
$$

ii) By definition we have

$$
\oint_{\Gamma_{\mathbf{D}}} \phi \left(\varepsilon^p u \right) = \oint_{\Gamma_{\mathbf{D}}} \overline{\phi} \left(\frac{d \mathcal{L}}{d |\mathfrak{a}|} \cdot \frac{d \varepsilon^p_{ij}(u)}{d |\mathfrak{b}|} \right) d |\mathfrak{a}|
$$

where $\alpha = (\mathcal{L}^N, \mathcal{E}_{ij}^D(\omega))$ is a $(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{M}_N)$ -valued measure, but

$$
\mathcal{L}''|_{T_D} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad |d|_{T_D} = |\varepsilon^P(u)||_{T_D}
$$

On the other hand, by Green's formulae

$$
\int_{\Gamma_{\mathsf{D}}} \varepsilon_{ij}^{\mathsf{D}}(u) \varphi = - \int_{\Gamma_{\mathsf{D}}} \tau_{ij}^{\mathsf{D}}(u^+ \omega) \varphi d\,\mathfrak{H}^{n-1}
$$

that is

$$
\mathcal{E}_{ij}^{D}(u)\Big|_{T_D} = -\mathcal{E}_{ij}^{D}(u^+u^-)\,d\,\mathcal{H}^{n-4}
$$

and finally we get

$$
\int_{T_D} \phi(\epsilon^p(u)) = \int_{T_D} \overline{\phi} \left(o, \frac{v_i}{|\epsilon^p(u^+ - u^-)|} \right) |\epsilon^p(u^+ - u^-)| d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} =
$$

$$
= \int_{T_D} |\epsilon^p(u^+ - u^-)| d\mathcal{H}^{m-1}
$$

because $\overline{\phi}(0, a) = |a|$

q.e.d.

We shall now relax the Dirichlet boundary condition in

a similar way to what has been done in [8],[7] for the minimum area problem.

$$
\mathcal{F}_{(\alpha)} = \oint_{\alpha} (\mathcal{E}^{\beta}(\alpha)) + \frac{\kappa_{e}}{2} \int_{\Omega} (\text{div}\,\alpha)^{2} dx + \oint_{\alpha} \text{div}\,\alpha dx + \int_{\alpha} \text{div
$$

If moreover we introduce the problem

$$
\begin{cases}\n\mathcal{F}_{(u)} = \int \phi(\epsilon^{p}(v)) + \frac{\kappa_{e}}{2} \int (div v)^{2} dx + \int \tilde{f} v dx + \int F v \rightarrow \inf \{\kappa_{e}\} \\
\psi \in P(\Omega_{A}) \quad , \qquad v = q \quad \text{in } A_{1} \setminus \overline{\Omega} \\
\tilde{f} = \begin{cases}\n\tilde{f} & \text{in } \Omega \\
0 & \text{in } A_{1} \setminus \overline{\Omega}\n\end{cases}\n\end{cases}
$$

then, by proposition 2.2 ii) , problem (P_3) is equivalent to problem (P_2) . In fact, if $v \in P(\Omega_4)$ with v=g in Ω Λ $\overline{\Lambda}$ (or u \in P(Ω) with $\mathcal{M} \vee q$ on T_p and set v=u in Ω , v=g in Ω ₁ \ $\tilde{\Omega}$) we have

$$
\mathfrak{T}''(v) = \mathfrak{T}'(v) + \frac{\kappa_0}{2} \int (div \phi)^2 dx + \int \phi(\epsilon^p(u)) dx
$$

We want to emphasize the fact that the possible solution to problem (P_2) needs not take the prescribed boundary value on T_p , more precisely, the normal component

u.v of u will take the value g.v while,in general, the tangential component u_{τ} of u will not be equal to

 $9t$.

The following theorem justifies our relaxed form (P_2^-) or $(\begin{matrix} P_3 \end{matrix})$ of problem $(\begin{matrix} P_4 \end{matrix})$.

THEOREM 2.3 - Let the boundary of Ω be of class \mathcal{C}^2 , then we have

Proof: obviously we have λ in λ is λ is that we have the converse. Let \Diamond >o and take uE P(\Box) with u \lor = $q \cdot v$ on T_p and such that

 $7'_{(4)} \times 8 + \text{inf } 3'$

We have $(a-q) \in L(l_{D}^*, K^*)$ and $(a-q) \cdot v = 0$ on p so, by theorem 1.7 , we can find a function $\gamma \in P(\Lambda)$ such that

 $\gamma \cdot v = 0$ in Ω , $\gamma = q-u$ on Γ_D

Set then

$$
w = u + \eta_{\kappa} \psi
$$

where

$$
\eta_K(x) = max(0, 1 - K dist(x, PL))
$$

We have
$$
w \in P(\Lambda)
$$
, in fact

$$
\begin{array}{l}\n\int_{\Omega}|\epsilon(w)| \leq \int_{\Omega}|\epsilon(w)| + \eta_{\kappa}\int_{\Omega}|\epsilon(\gamma)| + \frac{4}{2}\int_{\Omega}\gamma^j\overline{v}_{\xi}\eta_{\kappa} + \gamma^i\overline{v}_{j}\eta_{\kappa}\mid dx \\
\Omega & \Omega\n\end{array}
$$

$$
\int_{\Omega} |div w|^{2} \leq \cosh \left\{ \int_{\Omega} (div u)^{2} + \int_{\Omega} |\psi \cdot \nabla \eta_{k}|^{2} dx + \int_{\Omega} \eta_{k}^{2} (div \psi)^{2} dx \right\}
$$

where $\gamma \cdot \nabla_{\eta_K} * \gamma \cdot \nu_K < 0$. We also have

$$
\mathcal{F}(w) \leq \mathcal{F}(u) + \frac{4}{2} \int_{(\mathcal{F}_0)_{\mathcal{H}}} |\nu_i \gamma^j + \nu_j \gamma^i| dx + o(\delta)
$$

where $(T_0)_{\gamma_k} = \{ x \in \Omega \mid dist(x, \partial \Omega) < \gamma_k \}$ and

$$
\lim_{\delta \to 0^+} \sigma(\delta) = 0
$$
\n
$$
\lim_{\kappa \to \infty} \frac{4}{2} \kappa \int |v_i \psi^j + v_j \psi^i| dx = \int |t^p(u - \phi)| d\mu^{n-1}
$$
\n
$$
\lim_{\kappa \to \infty} \frac{4}{(T_b)} \frac{1}{\gamma_k}
$$

that is, for k large enough

$$
\mathfrak{F}(w) \leq \inf \mathfrak{S}^1 + \sigma(\delta) + 2\delta
$$

and, this holding for all positive δ , the theorem is proved.

q.e.d.

We shall now give a sufficient condition on the forces f , F in order problem (P_2) (or equivalently (P_3)) be defined and have a solution, this condition is also almost necessary in view of proposition 2.5 . We shall suppose that F is expressed in the form

$$
F_j = - (H_{ij} + p \delta_{ij}) v_i
$$

with $H_{ij} = H_{ji}$, $\sum_{n=0}^{n} H_{ii} = 0$ (see (2.1)), hence, if V_o denotes the space of the traces of functions $u \in P(\Omega)$, we could more precisely allow F to be only a function in the dual space of V_o instead of being in $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{T}_{N}^{},\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Then we have

THEOREM 2.4 - Assume that the functions H_{ij} and P can be extended to functions still called H_{ij} , p on $\bar{\Lambda}$ in such a way that

1.
$$
H_{ij} = H_{ji}
$$
 $\sum_{i=1}^{n} H_{ii} = 0$

2.
$$
|H| = \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} H_{ij}^{2}\right)^{1/2} \le 1 - \epsilon_{o}
$$
 for some $\epsilon_{o} > o$
\n3. $||p||_{L^{2}(\Omega)} < +\infty$
\n4.
$$
\begin{cases} \nabla_{i} (H_{ij} + p\delta_{ij}) = f_{j} & \text{in } \Omega \\ \nabla_{i} H_{ij} + p\delta_{ij} & \text{in } \Omega \n\end{cases}
$$

then there exists a solution valently (K) u to problem $\binom{P}{2}$ or equi-

Proof: we have

$$
\int f u + \int F u = \int (H_{ij} + \rho \delta_{ij}) \tau_{ij}(u) - \int (H_{ij} + \rho \delta_{ij}) \epsilon_{ij}(u) =
$$

\n
$$
= -\int f u_{ij} \epsilon_{ij}^D(u) - \int \rho \, dv \, u - \int f u_{ij} \tau_{ij}^D(u - q) - \int (H_{ij} + \rho \delta_{ij}) \tau_{ij}(q)
$$

hence

$$
\mathcal{F}^{\mu}(u) = \int \oint_{\Omega} (\mathcal{E}^{\rho}(u)) + \frac{\kappa_{e}}{2} \int (div u)^{2} - \int p \,div u - \Omega_{1}
$$

-
$$
\int_{\Omega} H_{ij} \mathcal{E}_{ij}^{\rho}(u) - \int_{\Gamma_{0}} H_{ij} \mathcal{F}_{ij}^{\rho}(u-q) - \int_{\Gamma_{0}} (H_{ij} + p \delta_{ij}) \mathcal{F}_{ij}(\rho)
$$

If we now extend H_{ij} and \uparrow to Ω_{4} with no 'discontinuity' on \overrightarrow{r}_p , still calling them H_{ij} and p , we get

$$
\mathcal{F}^{\mu}_{(\mu)} = \mathcal{J}(\mu) + \int \text{Hij } \tau_{ij}^{p}(\varrho) + \int \rho \, \text{div} \varrho \, - \int \int \left(\text{Hij} + \rho \, \delta_{ij} \right) \tau_{ij}(\varrho)
$$

where

$$
J(\omega) = \int \varphi(\epsilon^p(\omega)) - \int H_{ij} \tau_{ij}^p(\omega) + \frac{\kappa_o}{2} \int (div\omega)^2 - \int pdiv\omega
$$

therefore problem (P_2) is equivalent to problem

$$
\begin{array}{c}\n\begin{array}{c}\n\text{minimize} & \mathfrak{I}(u) & \text{in the class of the functions} \\
u \in P(\Omega_1) & \text{such that} & u = g & \text{in } \Omega_1 \setminus \overline{\Omega}\n\end{array}\n\end{array}
$$

Now we have

(2.2) g(~ < +~

and, for all $v \in P(\Omega_4)$ with v=g on $\Omega_4 \backslash \overline{\Omega}$

$$
(2.3) \qquad \mathfrak{I}(v) \geq \epsilon_{\bullet} \left\{ \bigcup_{\Omega_1} \left[\epsilon^0(v) \right] + \frac{\kappa}{2} \bigcap_{\Omega_1} (\text{div } v)^2 \right\} - c(\Omega, \beta)
$$

Let's now take a minimizing sequence $\{v_h\} \subset P(\Omega_4)$ with $v_{h} = q$ in Λ ₁ \ Ω then, by proposition 2.2 i) , we have that

 $V_h \nightharpoonup q \nightharpoonup$ on T_b , and, by (2.2), (2.3) and corollary 1.11, the quantities $||\mathbf{v}_h||_{BD(\Omega_4)}$, $||\mathbf{v}_h||_{L^2(\Omega_4)}$ are bounded independently of h . By compactness theorem 1.12 possibly taking a subsequence, we have

$$
V_h \longrightarrow V \qquad \text{in} \quad L^1(\Omega_A, \mathbb{R}^N)
$$

div $v_h \longrightarrow \text{div } v \qquad \text{weakly}$

where $v \in P(\Omega_4)$ by semicontinuity, $v = g$ in $\Omega_4 \setminus \Omega$ and $V \cdot V = Q \cdot V$ on T_p (see proposition 2.2 i)).

It is now sufficient to remark that the functional $\Im(v)$ is lower-semicontinuous with respect to the weak convergence in order to conclude the proof.

q.e.d.

Let us remark that problem (P_2) can always be solved in case f=0, $F=0$ as one can see directly minimizing T^{II} or just taking $H_{ij} = 0$, $p = 0$ in the preceding theorem. In particular we can always solve $(\begin{matrix} P_2 \end{matrix})$ in case f=0 and only Dirichlet boundary conditions are given.

THEOREM 2.5 - Suppose u is a solution to problem (\mathfrak{r}_2) and suppose that $#$ C (ii) \wedge C (ii), then there exist functions $\{\overline{H_{ij}}\}_{i,j=1,\ldots,n}$, P such that

128

$$
\nabla_{i} (H_{ij} + p \delta_{ij}) = f_{j} \qquad \text{in } \Omega
$$

\n
$$
(H_{ij} + p \delta_{ij}) v_{i} = -F_{j} \qquad \text{on } T_{N}
$$

and that $P\in L^2(\Omega)$, $H1\leq 1$.

Proof: we have for every function $\varphi \in C^{1}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^{n})$ with $\varphi = 0$ on $\Gamma_{\mathbf{D}}$

$$
\int \beta' (|\mathcal{E}(\omega)|) \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{\mathcal{E}_{ij}^{\nu}(\omega)}{|\mathcal{E}(\omega)|} \mathcal{E}_{ij}^{\nu}(\varphi) + \kappa_{o} \int \text{div}\omega \, \text{div}\varphi + \int \text{f}\varphi + \int_{\Gamma_{N}} \text{f}\varphi \, \text{div}^{n-1} = 0
$$

where β is such that φ (a)=β(l^{al}) . Integrating by parts
and recalling that Σ ε_{ίί}ωιδ_{ί =} trace(ε^ρωι)=0 we get

$$
\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \left\{ \int_{\beta} \beta'(1 \xi^{2} \omega) \right\} \frac{\xi_{ij}^{D}(\omega)}{1 \xi^{D}(\omega)} \gamma_{j} \varphi^{i} - \int_{\Omega} \nabla_{L} \left(\beta'(1 \xi^{2} \omega) \right) \frac{\xi_{ij}^{D}(\omega)}{1 \xi^{D}(\omega)} \rho^{j} + \right.
$$

$$
+ \kappa_0 \int \text{div} u \, \delta_{ij} v_i \varphi^j - \kappa_0 \int \nabla_i (\text{div} u \, \delta_{ij}) \varphi^j + \int f_j \varphi^j + \int F_j \varphi^j = o
$$

Setting now $p = K_0 \text{div}\mathbf{u}$, $\mathbf{H}_{ij} = \beta \left(\left| \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{u}) \right| \right) - \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n}$ and choosing suitable test functions $\,\phi\,$ we get our result. q.e.d.

3. Additional remarks

What is essentially needed to solve problem $({}^P_3)$ by the direct method of calculus of variations, besides the lowersemicontinuity of the functional $\mathfrak{I}^{\prime\prime}$, is a condition on the forces f , F that yield a bound of the type

$$
(3.1) \qquad \left| \begin{array}{cc} \int f u & + \int F u \\ \Omega & \end{array} \right| \leq (1-\epsilon_o) \left(\begin{array}{cc} \int \phi(\epsilon^p u) + \frac{\kappa_o}{2} & \int (div u)^2 \\ \Omega & \end{array} \right)
$$

We have given such a condition in theorem 2.4 , we shall see now some other sufficient conditions that can be useful in special cases.

a) Suppose
$$
T_N = \emptyset
$$
, or $T_N \neq \emptyset$ and $F = 0$. If $f = f_1 + \nabla q$
\n $\Rightarrow ptq \in \Omega$ then

$$
\left|\int_{\Omega} f u\right| \leq \|f_{1}\|_{L^{n}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^{n})} \|u\|_{B(\Omega)} + \|q\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \|div u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}
$$

so that (3.1) is verified in case $\|\mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{f}}\|_{\mathfrak{L}^0(\Omega,\,\mathbb{R}^N)}$ is sufficiently small, and the functional $3^{\prime\prime}$ is obviously lowersemicontinuous.

A sharp estimate on how small $\|f_4\|_{\ell^1(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^N)}$ has to be depends on the best constant γ in the Sobolev-Poincaré inequality for functions with compact support

$$
(3.2) \left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^{n}x-1\right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \leq \gamma \int_{\Omega} |\xi(u)|
$$

While the best constant γ is the isoperimetric constant in case u is a scalar function, it doesn't seem to be known in the more general case (3.2) .

b) Neumann boundary conditions are much better handled if a term of the type $\int \left| \int u \right|^2 dx$ is added to the energy functional (this behaviour is well known for instance for elliptic linear equations or for the capillarity problem). In that case we look for a solution to

$$
\begin{cases}\n\mathfrak{I}''(u) + \int |u|^2 \longrightarrow \inf \\
\Omega \\
u \in P(\Omega) \cap L^2(\Omega) \\
u \cdot v = g \cdot v \qquad \text{on } \mathbb{T}_p\n\end{cases}
$$

One can still proceed as in theorem 2.4 and find a -sufficient condition for the existence of a solution, the only difference is that the functions $(H_{ij} + p \delta_{ij})v_i - f_j$ are now only required to be in $\int_{a}^{b}(\Lambda)$. But in this case another sufficient condition for the existence can be

obtained as follows. Suppose

 $f = f_1 + \nabla q$ $F_j = (K_{ij} + \sigma \delta_{ij})v_i$ where $f_4 \in L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$, $q \in L^2(\Omega)$, $q = 0$ on T_p , $K \in L^{\infty}(T_{N,R} n^2)$ $(q+\sigma) \in H^{\frac{4}{2}}(\mathbb{T}_N)$, then we have $\left| \int f u + \int F u \right| \leq \|f_t\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \|u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} + \|q\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \|du\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} +$ + $\|K\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{T}_n)} \|\tau^{\rho}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}_n)} + \|q+\sigma\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{T}_n)} \|\omega_{\gamma}\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{T}_n)}$

where we used the fact (see for example[21]) that if $u \in L^2(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^n)$ and diver $L^2(\Omega)$ then $u \cdot v \in H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial \Omega)$ Recall now that (see (1.1) ')

$$
\|u_{y}\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \leq \alpha_{3}(\Lambda) \left\{\|u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^{n})} + \|\text{div}u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}\right\}
$$

$$
\|\tau^{D}(\omega)\|_{L^{1}(T_{M})} \leq \alpha_{1}(n, L) \int |\epsilon(\omega)| + \alpha_{2}(n) \int |u|
$$

so that we have

$$
\left|\int_{\Omega} f_{\mu} + \int_{\Gamma_{N}} F_{\mu}\right| \leq \delta \left\{\|\mu\|_{\mathcal{X}_{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^{n_{1}}}\int_{\mathcal{X}_{\Omega}} f_{\mu}\right\} + \frac{\delta \left\{\|\mu\|_{\mathcal{X}_{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^{n_{1}}}\int_{\mathcal{X}_{\Omega}} f_{\mu}\right\}}{\delta \left\{\|\mu\|_{\mathcal{X}_{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^{n_{1}}}\int_{\Omega} f_{\mu}\left(\mu\right) \, d\mu + \text{const}(\delta)\right\}}
$$

where δ is any positive number, and in case

$$
\alpha_1(n,L) \parallel K \parallel_{L^{\infty}(T_M,\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq 1 - \epsilon_0
$$

we can choose δ so that

$$
\mathfrak{T}^{\parallel}(u) + \mathfrak{z}^{[u]}\Rightarrow \xi_{0} \mathfrak{z}^{[i]}\xi(u)] + c_{4} \mathfrak{z}^{[u]} + c_{2} \mathfrak{z}^{[i]}\xi(u) + c_{3}
$$

with $c_4, c_2 > 0$, $c_5 > 0$

Of course, in order to have a good condition on F one needs to know the best value of the constant $\prec_4(n, L)$ in

the trace estimate. More precisely, a sharp condition on F would follow from a trace estimate (on smooth domains) of the type

$$
(3.3) \quad \int_{\partial\Omega} |\zeta^{p}(u)| d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \leq \int_{\Omega} |\xi^{p}(u)| + C(\Omega) \int |u|
$$

Such an estimate seems to be reasonable but we don't know whether it is true or not. Actually, one would only need to have (3.3) with $\mathcal{E}(u)$ instead of $\mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{P}}(u)$, notice however that the estimate

$$
\int \int M\,dM^{n-1} \leq \int |\mathcal{E}(u)| + C(\Omega) \int M\|
$$

is false in general as we can see taking

$$
\Omega = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \middle| x_2 < 0 \right\} \qquad , \qquad u(x) = \alpha \varphi_{T_{\alpha}}(x)
$$

where \P ^(x) is the characteristic function of the set

$$
T_{\alpha} = \left\{ x \in \Omega \mid x_2 > -\frac{x_4}{\text{tg } \chi} , x_2 > (x_4 - d) \text{tg } \chi \right\}
$$

$$
\alpha = (\cos \chi, \text{ sgn } \chi) \in \mathbb{R}^2 , \text{ } \chi \in (0, \mathbb{Z})
$$

and choosing γ such that

$$
\cos \gamma > \sin \gamma + \frac{\cos \gamma}{\sqrt{2}}
$$

In fact we have

$$
\int |\varepsilon(u)| = \left(\operatorname{sen}_\gamma + \frac{\cos \gamma}{\sqrt{2}}\right)d
$$

$$
\int |u| = \frac{d^2}{2} \cos \gamma \cos \gamma
$$

$$
\int |u| \ge \int |u_{\tau}| = d \cos \gamma
$$

$$
\int \int |u| \ge \int |u_{\tau}| = d \cos \gamma
$$

and it cannot exist a constant $~<$ such that for all d >0

$$
d\cos\gamma \leq d\left(\cos\gamma+\frac{\cos\gamma}{\sqrt{2}}\right)+C\frac{d^2}{2}\cos\gamma\cos\gamma
$$

TO conclude, we have to remark that in this case the

term $\int_{\mathbb{R}}$ Fu the condition is not lower-semicontinuous, anyway, under

$$
\alpha_4(n,L) \parallel K \parallel_{\infty} \leq 1
$$

the functional $J^{\sharp}_{(4)}$ on the whole is still lower-semicontinuous with respect to $l^4(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ convergence (see[9] for the area functional). In fact, for δ >0 set

$$
\Omega_{\delta} = \left\{ x \in \Omega \mid \text{dist}(x, \partial \Omega) > \delta \right\}
$$

for almost all $~\delta$ we have

$$
\int |\xi(x)| = 0
$$

Now, by the trace estimate (1.1)' and (3.4) , we have for u, $u_h \in P(\Omega) \cap L^2(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$
\int_{\Omega} \phi(\epsilon^{p}(u)) + \frac{k_{e}}{2} \int_{\Omega} (divu_{h}^{2} + \int Fu - \int_{\Omega} \phi(\epsilon^{p}(u_{h})) - \frac{k_{e}}{2} \int_{\Omega} (divu_{h}^{2} - \int Fu_{h} \leq \int_{\Omega} \phi(\epsilon^{p}(u)) + \frac{k_{e}}{2} \int_{\Omega} (divu_{h}^{2} - \int_{\Omega} \phi(\epsilon^{p}(u_{h})) - \frac{k_{e}}{2} \int_{\Omega} (divu_{h}^{2})^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \epsilon(u - u_{h}) \Big| + c \int_{\Omega} 1 - u_{h} \Big| \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \epsilon(u - u_{h}) \Big| + c \int_{\Omega} 1 - u_{h} \Big| \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \epsilon(u - u_{h}) \Big| + c \int_{\Omega} 1 - u_{h} \Big| \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \epsilon(u - u_{h}) \Big| + c \int_{\Omega} \phi(\epsilon^{p}(u_{h})) + \int_{\Omega} (divu_{h}^{2})^{2} \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \phi(\epsilon^{p}(u) - \int_{\Omega} \phi(\epsilon^{p}(u_{h})) + \frac{k_{e}}{2} \int_{\Omega} (divu_{h}^{2})^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \phi(\epsilon^{p}(u_{h})) + \frac{k_{e}}{2} \int_{\Omega} (divu_{h}^{2})^{2} - \frac{k_{e}}{2} \int_{\Omega} (divu_{h}^{2})^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \epsilon(u) \Big| + c \int_{\Omega} (divu_{h}^{2})^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \phi(\epsilon^{p}(u_{h}^{2})) + \frac{k_{e}}{2} \int_{\Omega} (divu_{h}^{2})^{2} - \frac{k_{e}}{2} \int_{\Omega} (divu_{h}^{2})^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \phi(\epsilon^{p}(u_{h}^{2})) + \frac{k_{e}}{2} \int_{\Omega} (\epsilon^{p}(u_{h}^{2})) + \frac{k_{e}}{2} \int_{\Omega} (\epsilon^{p}(u_{h}^{2})) + \frac{k_{e}}{2} \int_{\Omega}
$$

Supposing now $u_{h} \longrightarrow u$ in $\mathcal{L}^{n}(\Lambda, \mathbb{R}^{n})$, going to the limit

for h--~ and taking arbitrarily small we get our result.

c) Finally, by the same methods we have used so far, we can also study the case of a deformation energy of the type

$$
\int_{\Omega} \varphi(x, \epsilon^p(u)) + \int_{\Omega} (div u)^2
$$

where $\phi : \overline{\Omega} \times M_n \longrightarrow [\varphi, \infty)$ is a continuous function of (x, ξ^p) and a convex function of ξ^p , and

$$
M_{d}[a] \leq |\varphi(x,a)| \leq M_{4}(1+a)
$$

holds. We can again define a function

$$
\overline{\phi}(x,t,a) = \begin{cases} \phi(x,\frac{a}{t})t & \text{if } t > 0 \\ \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \phi(x,\frac{a}{t})t & \text{if } t = 0 \end{cases}
$$

to get a semicontinuous extension of the functional $\int_{\Omega} \phi(x, \xi^D(u))$ to BD(Ω), and we can solve a mixed boundary value problem with relaxed Dirichlet conditions:

onA ,

Work partially supported by GNAFA , CNR .

References

[1] ANZELLOTTI G., GIAQUINTA M. : Funzioni BV e tracce. Rend. Sem. Mat. Padova, 60 , 1-21 (1978)

- **[2]** ANZELLOTTI G. , GIAQUINTA M. , MASSARI U. , MODICA MODICA G. ,PEPE **L. :** Note sul problema di Plateau. Editrice Tecnico Scientifica , Pisa (1974)
- $\lceil 3 \rceil$ DUVAUT G. , LIONS J.L. : Les inéquations en mécanique et en physique. Dunod , Paris (1972)
- **[4]** EMMER M. : Esistenza unicità e regolarità delle superfici di equilibrio nei capillari. Ann. Mat; Univ. di Ferrara, 18 , 79-94 (1973)
- [5] FLEMING W. : Functions whose partial derivatives are measures. Illinois J.Math. 4, 452-478 (1960)
- **s** GIAQUINTA M. : On the Dirichlet problem for surfaces of prescribed mean curvature. Manuscripta Math. 12 , 73-86 (1974)
- [71 GIAQUINTA **M. , MODICA G. , SOUCEK J. :** Functionals with linear growth in the calculus of variations Comm.Math.Univ.Carolinae, 20 , 143-171 (1979)
- [8] GIUSTI E. : Superfici cartesiane di area minima. Rend.Sem.Mat. e Fis. Milano, 40 , 3-21 (1970)
- **[9]** GIUSTI E. : Boundary value problems for nonparametric surfaces of prescribed mean curvature Ann.Sc.Norm.Sup. Pisa, serie IV, vol III, n.3, 501-548 (1976)
- $[10]$ GIUSTI E. : Minimal surfaces and functions of bounded variation. Notes on pure math. , 10 , Camberra (1977)
- [1 1]
[1 2] KOHN R. : Ph.D. Thesis, Princeton University (1979)
- MATTHIES H. , STRANG G. , CHRISTIANSEN E. : The saddle point of a differential program. In: Energy methods in finite element analysis, ed. by Glowinski,Rodin and Zienkiewicz, John Wiley & Sons (1979)
- 13 I MEYERS **N.J. , SERRIN J. :** H = W . Proc.Nat.Acad.Sci. U.S.A., 51 ,1055-1056 (1964)
- $[14]$ PARIS L. : Etude de la regularité d'un champ de vecteurs à partir de son tenseur déformation. Seminaire d'Analyse Convexe, Univ.de Montpellier 12 (1976)
- **[15]** PRAGER W. , HODGE P. : Theory of perfectly plastic solids. John Wiley & Sons,New York (1951)
- **[16]** RESCHETNYAK G. : On the weak convergence for vector valued measures. (in Russian) Sibirskij Mat.J., 6 , 1386- (1968)
- [17] STRANG **G. , TEMAM R. :** Functions of bounded deformation. To appear in Arch.Rat.Mech.
- **[18]** STRANG G. , TEMAM R. : Duality and relaxation in the variational problems of plasticity. To appear in J.Méc.
- **[19]** STRAUSS **M.J. :** Variations of Korn's and Sobolev's inequalities. In: Berkeley symposium on PDE , AMS symposia, 23 (1971)
- **D0]** SUQUET P. : Existence et régularité des solutions des équations de la plasticité parfaite. Thèse de troisième cycle, Université de Paris VI (1978) et C.R. Acad.Sc.Paris, 286 , ser. D , 1201-1204 (1978)

[21] TEMAMR. : Navier-Stokes equations. North Holland, New York (1977)

Gabriele Anzellotti Dipartimento di Matematica Libera Università degli Studi di Trento 38050 POVO (TRENTO) (Italia)

Mariano Giaquinta Istituto di Matematica Applicata, Facoltà di Ingegneria Università di Firenze Viale Morgagni, 44 50134 FIRENZE (Italia)

(Received June 18, 1980)