

# Exceptional Sets and Wavelet Packets Orthonormal Bases

Sandra Saliani

Communicated by Victor Wickerhauser

**ABSTRACT.** We give a partial positive answer to a problem posed by Coifman et al. in [1]. Indeed, starting from the transfer function  $m_0$  arising from the Meyer wavelet and assuming  $m_0 = 1$  only on  $[-\pi/3, \pi/3]$ , we provide an example of pairwise disjoint dyadic intervals of the form

$$I(n, q) = [2^q n, 2^q(n+1)), \quad (n, q) \in E \subset \mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{Z},$$

which cover  $[0, +\infty)$  except for a set  $A$  of Hausdorff dimension equal to  $1/2$ , and such that the corresponding wavelet packets

$$2^{q/2} w_n(2^q x - k), \quad k \in \mathbf{Z}, \quad (n, q) \in E \subset \mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{Z}$$

form an orthonormal basis of  $L^2(\mathbf{R})$ .

## 1. Introduction

Wavelet packets provide a large class of orthonormal bases of  $L^2(\mathbf{R})$ , each one corresponding to a different splitting of  $L^2(\mathbf{R})$  into a direct sum of its closed subspaces.

The definition of wavelet packets is due to the work of Coifman et al. [1]. Starting with a pair of QMFs with transfer functions  $m_0(\theta)$  and  $m_1(\theta) = e^{i\theta} \overline{m_0(\theta + \pi)}$  associated to a multiresolution analysis (MRA) with wavelet  $\psi$  and scaling function  $\phi$ , one defines first the basic wavelet packets, defined recursively by the formulas (for the Fourier transform):

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{w}_0(\theta) &= \hat{\phi}(\theta), \quad \hat{w}_1(\theta) = \hat{\psi}(\theta), \\ \hat{w}_{2n}(\theta) &= m_0\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \hat{w}_n\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right), \\ \hat{w}_{2n+1}(\theta) &= m_1\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \hat{w}_n\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Then the general wavelet packets are given by taking some of the dilation and translation of the basic ones, i.e.,

$$2^{q/2} w_n(2^q x - k), \quad k \in \mathbf{Z}, \quad (n, q) \in E \subset \mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{Z}. \quad (1.1)$$

*Math Subject Classifications.* 42C15.

*Keywords and Phrases.* Wavelet, wavelet packet, QMF, orthonormal basis.

*Acknowledgements and Notes.* Partially supported by 60% funds of M.U.R.S.T.

In the above-mentioned paper, the authors prove that, under the following conditions on  $m_0$ ,

- 1)  $m_0 \in C^\infty([-\pi, \pi])$  is even and  $2\pi$  periodic,
- 2)  $m_0(\theta) = 1$  for  $\theta \in [-\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{3}]$ ,
- 3)  $0 \leq m_0(\theta) \leq 1$ ,
- 4)  $m_0^2(\theta) + m_0^2(\theta + \pi) = 1$ ,
- 5)  $m_0$  is decreasing on  $[0, \pi]$ ,

(1.1) is an orthonormal basis of  $L^2(\mathbf{R})$  provided the set  $E$  satisfies the following assumption: the dyadic intervals

$$I(n, q) = [2^q n, 2^q(n+1)), \quad (n, q) \in E, \quad (1.2)$$

form a disjoint covering of  $[0, +\infty)$  except for a denumerable set  $A$  ( $A$  is called, here and in the sequel, the “exceptional” set).

Each choice of  $E$  corresponds to a different splitting of  $L^2(\mathbf{R})$  and so to a different orthonormal basis:  $E = \{1\} \times \mathbf{Z}$  leads to the wavelet basis,  $E = \mathbf{N} \times \{0\}$  to the basis  $w_n(x - k)$ ,  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . In the first case  $A = \{0\}$ , in the second case  $A$  is the empty set.

However, there are choices of  $E$  where the intervals  $I(n, q)$  form a disjoint covering of  $[0, +\infty)$  and the exceptional set  $A$  is not denumerable: think of  $A$  as a Cantor-like set. It is shown (e.g., [1]) that, with additional hypotheses on  $m_0$ , we obtain wavelet packets orthonormal bases corresponding to some of these particular choices.

Therefore, Coifman et al. have posed the question if the above result could be generalized to exceptional sets  $A$  with zero Lebesgue measure.

In [2] we gave a positive answer to this question in the case the Hausdorff dimension of  $A$  is strictly less than  $1/2$  with no additional hypothesis on  $m_0$ .

In this note we go a little step further, by showing that, without any other hypothesis on  $m_0$ , we can find a wavelet packet orthonormal basis where the Hausdorff dimension of the exceptional set  $A$  is exactly  $1/2$ .

As always [1], statements about wavelet packets derive from statements about a general Hilbert space that can be decomposed as a direct sum of an infinite number of closed subspaces usually denoted by  $H_I$ ,  $I$  being a dyadic subinterval of  $[0, 1)$ . The “splitting rule” depends on the MRA. (How this works will be explained in Section 2.)

Therefore, it will be sufficient to prove the following:

**Theorem 1.**

Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space. Then there is a collection  $(I_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$  of dyadic subintervals of  $[0, 1)$  which forms a disjoint covering of  $[0, 1)$ , except for a set  $A$  of Hausdorff dimension equal to  $1/2$ , and such that

$$H = \overline{\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbf{N}} H_{I_n}}$$

where the sum is orthogonal.

To prove Theorem 1, we shall use the measure  $\sigma$  on  $[0, 1)$  introduced by Séré in [3]; the definition of  $\sigma$  will be given in Section 2 and in Section 3 we prove a fundamental estimate for it. As for now, we recall that  $\sigma$  is a continuous measure verifying the following:

**Theorem 2.**

Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space. Let  $(I_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$  be a pairwise disjoint dyadic subintervals of  $[0, 1)$ , then the following are equivalent:

- a)  $H = \overline{\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbf{N}} H_{I_n}}$ , and the sum is orthogonal,
- b)  $\sigma([0, 1) \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} I_n) = 0$ .

From Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 it follows that is then sufficient to prove the following:

**Theorem 3.**

Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space. Then we can find a collection  $(I_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$  of dyadic subintervals of  $[0, 1)$  which forms a disjoint covering of  $[0, 1)$ , except for a set  $A$  of Hausdorff dimension equal to  $1/2$ , and such that

$$\sigma(A) = \sigma \left( [0, 1) \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} I_n \right) = 0 .$$

Theorem 3 will be proved in Section 4.

## 2. Notation and Assumptions

We assume that the reader is familiar with the contents of [1]. To fix notation, recall that for

$$m_0(\theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_k u_k e^{ik\theta}, \quad m_1(\theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_k v_k e^{ik\theta}, \quad (2.1)$$

coming from an MRA, the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} m_0(\theta) & m_1(\theta) \\ m_0(\theta + \pi) & m_1(\theta + \pi) \end{pmatrix}$$

is unitary. It is well known [1] that, in this case, any Hilbert space  $H$ , equipped with an orthonormal basis  $(e_k)_{k \in \mathbf{Z}}$ ,  $e_k \in H$ , can be decomposed into a direct sum

$$H = H_0 \oplus H_1$$

where the orthogonal closed subspaces  $H_0$  and  $H_1$  have the following elements as orthonormal basis, respectively,

$$f_{2k} = \sum_h u_{2k-h} e_h, \quad k \in \mathbf{Z}, \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$f_{2k+1} = \sum_h v_{2k-h} e_h, \quad k \in \mathbf{Z}. \quad (2.3)$$

The same recipe, applied each time to any subspace, gives a further decomposition of the given Hilbert space as finite orthogonal sum of closed subspaces.

For the sake of notation, we shall associate a dyadic interval  $I \subset [0, 1)$  to any subspace so obtained. The choice of  $I$  reflects the occurrence of either one of the two sequences, corresponding to an orthonormal basis obtained either by (2.2) or by (2.3). Namely, we set

$$\begin{aligned} H_{(0,1)} &= H, \\ H_{(0, \frac{1}{2})} &= H_0, \quad H_{(\frac{1}{2}, 1)} = H_1, \\ H_I &= H_{(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_j)}, \quad \varepsilon_i = 0, 1, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$I = \left[ \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon_2}{4} + \dots + \frac{\varepsilon_j}{2^j}, \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon_2}{4} + \dots + \frac{\varepsilon_j}{2^j} + \frac{1}{2^j} \right).$$

We shall denote by  $\pi_I : H \rightarrow H_I$  the orthogonal projection onto  $H_I$ .

We shall assume, as in [1], that the following properties hold for  $m_0$  and  $m_1$ :

- 1)  $m_0 \in C^\infty([-\pi, \pi])$  is even and  $2\pi$  periodic,
- 2)  $m_0(\theta) = 1$  for  $\theta \in [-\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{3}]$ ,
- 3)  $0 \leq m_0(\theta) \leq 1$ ,
- 4)  $m_0^2(\theta) + m_0^2(\theta + \pi) = 1$ ,
- 5)  $m_0$  is decreasing on  $[0, \pi]$ .

Then it follows that, given any unit vector  $x \in H$ , the set function  $\mu_x(I) = \|\pi_I(x)\|^2$ , defined for any dyadic set  $I \subset [0, 1)$ , extends to a continuous measure on Borel sets of  $[0, 1)$ . The same is true for (see [3]):

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \frac{\mu_{e_k}}{2^{|k|}}.$$

We shall identify the Hilbert space  $H$  with the space  $L^2[0, 2\pi]$  of square summable  $2\pi$ -periodic functions equipped with the Lebesgue measure. With this identification, each basis element  $e_k$  of  $H$  corresponds to the function  $e^{ik\theta} \in L^2[0, 2\pi]$  and each basis element  $e_{k,I}$  of  $H_I$  corresponds to the function  $2^{j/2} e^{i2^j k \theta} m_{\varepsilon_1}(\theta) m_{\varepsilon_2}(2\theta) \dots m_{\varepsilon_j}(2^{j-1}\theta)$ .

Hence, for any  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ , we have

$$\mu_{e_k}(I) = \|\pi_I(e_k)\|^2 = 2^j \sum_{p \in \mathbf{Z}} \left| \int_0^{2\pi} m_{\varepsilon_1}(\theta) \dots m_{\varepsilon_j}(2^{j-1}\theta) e^{2^j p \theta i} e^{-k \theta i} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \right|^2. \quad (2.4)$$

We shall study in detail the support of

$$g_n(\theta) = m_{\varepsilon_1}(\theta) m_{\varepsilon_2}(2\theta) \dots m_{\varepsilon_j}(2^{j-1}\theta),$$

(where  $n = \varepsilon_1 + 2\varepsilon_2 + \dots + 2^{j-1}\varepsilon_j$ ), i.e., the closure of the set of  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$  where  $g_n(\theta) \neq 0$  (denoted by  $\text{supp } g_n$ ). By construction, it consists of a finite union of closed intervals. Note that if we define  $M_\varepsilon(\theta) = |m_\varepsilon(\theta)|$ , for  $\varepsilon = 0, 1$ , and

$$W_n(\theta) = M_{\varepsilon_1}(\theta) M_{\varepsilon_2}(2\theta) \dots M_{\varepsilon_j}(2^{j-1}\theta),$$

then  $M_0(\theta) = m_0(\theta)$ ,  $M_1(\theta) = m_0(\theta + \pi)$ , and for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $\text{supp } W_n = \text{supp } g_n$ . Also  $\|W_n\|_2^2 = \|g_n\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{2^j}$ .

For a Lebesgue measurable set  $I$ , we shall denote by  $|I|$  the Lebesgue measure of  $I$ .

### 3. An Estimate for $\sigma$

We start this section by noting that, for any  $f$  in  $L^2[0, 2\pi]$ ,  $f(\theta) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} c_k e^{ik\theta}$ , which is zero outside a fixed interval  $I \subset [0, 2\pi]$ , with  $|I| = 2\pi/m$ ,  $m \geq 2$ , we have, for any  $\theta \in I$

$$f(\theta) = f\left(\theta + \frac{2\pi}{m}\right) + \dots + f\left(\theta + \frac{2(m-1)\pi}{m}\right) = m \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} c_{mk} e^{imk\theta},$$

hence  $m \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} |c_{mk}|^2 = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} |c_k|^2 = \|f\|_2^2$ .

Note that this reasoning is the starting point for subband coding schemes.

In the following lemma we generalize the above equality in the case the support of  $f$  consists of more intervals, as in the case of  $W_n$ . We take  $m = 2^j$ ,  $j \geq 1$ , since this will be useful in the sequel.

**Lemma 1.**

Let  $f$  be in  $L^2[0, 2\pi]$ . Let us assume that there exists  $j \geq 1$  such that  $\text{supp } f$  is contained in a finite union of pairwise disjoint subintervals of  $[0, 2\pi]$ , say  $I_k$ ,  $k \in \mathcal{I}_j$ , and  $|I_k| \leq \frac{\pi}{2^{j-1}}$ .

Let us denote by  $\alpha_j$  the minimum number of these intervals.

Let us denote by  $c_p$ ,  $p \in \mathbf{Z}$ , the  $p$ th Fourier coefficient of  $f$ , i.e.,

$$c_p = \int_0^{2\pi} f(\theta) e^{-pi\theta} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}.$$

Then:

$$2^j \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} |c_{2^j k}|^2 \leq 2\alpha_j \|f\|_2^2. \quad (3.1)$$

**Proof.** For any  $m \geq 2$  and  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & f(\theta) + f\left(\theta + \frac{2\pi}{m}\right) + \dots + f\left(\theta + \frac{2\pi(m-1)}{m}\right) \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} c_k e^{ik\theta} + \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} c_k e^{ik(\theta + \frac{2\pi}{m})} + \dots + \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} c_k e^{ik(\theta + \frac{2\pi(m-1)}{m})} \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} c_k e^{ik\theta} \left( \sum_{p=0}^{m-1} e^{ip \frac{2\pi}{m} k} \right) = m \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} c_{km} e^{ikm\theta}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Now, by hypotheses,  $\text{supp } f \subset \bigcup_{k \in \mathcal{I}_j} I_k$ ,  $|I_k| \leq \frac{\pi}{2^{j-1}}$  and  $|\mathcal{I}_j| = \alpha_j$ . Let  $J = [0, \frac{2\pi}{2^j})$ , and consider

$$\mathcal{B} = \left\{ h \in \mathbf{N} : 0 \leq h \leq 2^j - 1, \left( J + \frac{2\pi h}{2^j} \right) \cap \bigcup_{k \in \mathcal{I}_j} I_k \neq \emptyset \right\}.$$

For  $h \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $h \leq 2^j - 1$ , we have that  $h \notin \mathcal{B}$  implies  $f(\theta + \frac{2\pi h}{2^j}) = 0$  for all  $\theta \in J$ . Indeed, if for some  $\theta \in J$ ,  $f(\theta + \frac{2\pi h}{2^j}) \neq 0$ , then  $\theta + \frac{2\pi h}{2^j} \in \text{supp } f$  and so  $h \in \mathcal{B}$ .

We show now that  $|\mathcal{B}| \leq 2\alpha_j$ . Indeed, the intervals  $J + \frac{2\pi h}{2^j}$  form a disjoint covering of  $[0, 2\pi]$ . Since each interval has exactly the measure of  $J$ , at most two of them can intersect any  $I_p$  for a fixed  $p$ ; being that the intervals  $I_p$  are disjoint, we have that  $|\mathcal{B}|$  is at most  $2|\mathcal{I}_j| = 2\alpha_j$ .

Now, let us compute:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_J \left| 2^j \sum_h c_{h2^j} e^{ih2^j\theta} \right|^2 \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} &= 2^j \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \sum_h c_{h2^j} e^{ih\theta} \right|^2 \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \\ &= 2^j \sum_h |c_{h2^j}|^2. \end{aligned}$$

From (3.2) and the discussion above:

$$\begin{aligned} 2^j \sum_h |c_{h2^j}|^2 &= \int_J \left| \sum_{k=0}^{2^j-1} f\left(\theta + \frac{2\pi k}{2^j}\right) \right|^2 \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} = \int_J \left| \sum_{k \in \mathcal{B}} f\left(\theta + \frac{2\pi k}{2^j}\right) \right|^2 \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \\ &\leq \int_J |\mathcal{B}| \sum_{k \in \mathcal{B}} \left| f\left(\theta + \frac{2\pi k}{2^j}\right) \right|^2 \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} = |\mathcal{B}| \|f\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 1.** When the support of  $f$  consists exactly of one interval  $I$ , we can take  $J$  next to  $I$  and so  $|B| = \alpha_j = 1$ .

We can now apply Lemma 1 to any

$$g_n(\theta)e^{-ik\theta} = m_{\varepsilon_1}(\theta)m_{\varepsilon_2}(2\theta)\dots m_{\varepsilon_j}(2^{j-1}\theta)e^{-ik\theta}. \tag{3.3}$$

(where  $n = \varepsilon_1 + 2\varepsilon_2 + \dots + 2^{j-1}\varepsilon_j$  and  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ ). We get the following result:

**Corollary 1.**

For

$$G_j = \left[ \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon_2}{4} + \dots + \frac{\varepsilon_j}{2^j}, \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon_2}{4} + \dots + \frac{\varepsilon_j}{2^j} + \frac{1}{2^j} \right),$$

we have

$$\sigma(G_j) \leq \frac{\alpha_j^n}{2^{j-1}},$$

where, with the same notation as in Lemma 1,  $\alpha_j^n$  corresponds to  $W_n(\theta) = M_{\varepsilon_1}(\theta)M_{\varepsilon_2}(2\theta)\dots M_{\varepsilon_j}(2^{j-1}\theta)$ .

**Proof.** Let us recall that

$$\sigma(G_j) = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \frac{\mu_{e_k}(G_j)}{2^{|k|}} = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \frac{\|\pi_{G_j}(e_k)\|_2^2}{2^{|k|}}.$$

On the other hand, from (2.4),

$$\|\pi_{G_j}(e_k)\|_2^2 = 2^j \sum_{p \in \mathbf{Z}} \left| \int_0^{2\pi} g_n(\theta)e^{-ik\theta} e^{i2^j p\theta} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \right|^2 = 2^j \sum_{p \in \mathbf{Z}} |c_{2^j p}^k|^2$$

where  $c_p^k$  denotes the  $p$ th Fourier coefficient of the function  $g_n(\theta)e^{-ik\theta}$ .

From the discussion at the end of Section 2 and Lemma 1 we get for all  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ :

$$\|\pi_{G_j}(e_k)\|_2^2 \leq \frac{\alpha_j^n}{2^{j-1}}$$

and everything is proved.  $\square$

### 4. Proof of Theorem 3

For any  $j$  even we consider the set

$$\mathcal{P}_j = \left\{ n = \sum_{h=1}^j \varepsilon_h 2^{h-1} : \varepsilon_{2i} = 0, i = 1, \dots, \frac{j}{2} \right\}.$$

Also for any  $n \geq 0$ ,  $n = \sum_{h=1}^j \varepsilon_h 2^{h-1}$  we denote the associate interval by  $G_j^n$ . In this way, corresponding to any even  $j \in N$ , we get a decomposition of  $[0, 1)$  in terms of dyadic intervals of length exactly  $1/2^j$ , namely:

$$[0, 1) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathcal{P}_j} G_j^n \cup \bigcup_{n \notin \mathcal{P}_j} G_j^n.$$

Theorem 3 will be proved once we show the following:

1.  $\sum_{n \in \mathcal{P}_j} \alpha_j^n = 4 \cdot 3^{j/2-1}$  ( $\alpha_j^n$  is defined as in Corollary 1),
2.  $\lim_j |\bigcup_{n \in \mathcal{P}_{2j}} G_{2j}^n| = 0$ ,
3.  $\lim_j \sigma(\bigcup_{n \in \mathcal{P}_{2j}} G_{2j}^n) = 0$ ,
4.  $[0, 1) = \bigcup_{j \in \mathbf{N}} \bigcup_{n \notin \mathcal{P}_{2j}} G_{2j}^n \cup A$ , where the set

$$A = \bigcap_{j \in \mathbf{N}} \bigcup_{n \in \mathcal{P}_{2j}} G_{2j}^n,$$

has Lebesgue measure zero, Hausdorff dimension equal to  $1/2$  and  $\sigma(A) = 0$ .

**Proof of 1.** The proof will be done in several steps.

First step.

We note that for  $p$  even:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{supp} \left( M_1 \left( 2^{p-2}\theta \right) M_0 \left( 2^{p-1}\theta \right) + M_0 \left( 2^{p-2}\theta \right) M_0 \left( 2^{p-1}\theta \right) \right) \\ &= \text{supp} \left( M_1 \left( 2^{p-2}\theta \right) M_0 \left( 2^{p-1}\theta \right) \right) \cup \text{supp} \left( M_0 \left( 2^{p-2}\theta \right) M_0 \left( 2^{p-1}\theta \right) \right) \\ &= \text{supp} \left( M_0 \left( 2^{p-1}\theta \right) \right) \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Indeed the first equality in (4.1) holds since the functions are positive. For the second one we have (cfg. [4]):

$$\begin{aligned} M_0 \left( 2^{p-2}\theta \right) M_0 \left( 2^{p-1}\theta \right) &= M_0 \left( 2^{p-2}\theta \right) M_0 \left( 2 \left( 2^{p-2}\theta \right) \right) \\ &= \begin{cases} M_0 \left( 2 \left( 2^{p-2}\theta \right) \right) & \text{for } 2^{p-2}\theta \in \left[ -\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{3} \right] + 2\pi\mathbf{Z} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} M_0 \left( 2^{p-1}\theta \right) & \text{for } \theta \in \left[ -\frac{\pi}{3 \cdot 2^{p-2}}, \frac{\pi}{3 \cdot 2^{p-2}} \right] + \frac{2\pi\mathbf{Z}}{2^{p-2}} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} M_1 \left( 2^{p-2}\theta \right) M_0 \left( 2^{p-1}\theta \right) &= M_1 \left( 2^{p-2}\theta \right) M_0 \left( 2 \left( 2^{p-2}\theta \right) \right) \\ &= \begin{cases} M_0 \left( 2 \left( 2^{p-2}\theta \right) \right) & \text{for } 2^{p-2}\theta \in \left[ \frac{2\pi}{3}, \frac{4\pi}{3} \right] + 2\pi\mathbf{Z} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} M_0 \left( 2^{p-1}\theta \right) & \text{for } \theta \in \left[ \frac{2\pi}{3 \cdot 2^{p-2}}, \frac{4\pi}{3 \cdot 2^{p-2}} \right] + \frac{2\pi\mathbf{Z}}{2^{p-2}} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Now it is easy to verify that the intervals in (4.2) and (4.3) are disjoint. Indeed they all have length equal to  $\frac{\pi}{3 \cdot 2^{p-3}}$  and the distance between two next intervals is  $\frac{\pi}{6 \cdot 2^{p-3}}$  so that a step of  $\frac{\pi}{2^{p-3}}$  brings us out.

Also

$$\text{supp} M_0 \left( 2^{p-1}\theta \right) \subset \left[ -\frac{\pi}{3 \cdot 2^{p-2}}, \frac{\pi}{3 \cdot 2^{p-2}} \right] + \frac{2\pi\mathbf{Z}}{2^{p-1}},$$

i.e., the union of all intervals in (4.2) and (4.3) and so the second equality in (4.1) holds.

Second step.

By repeated use of (4.1) we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{supp} \left( \sum_{\varepsilon_i=0,1} M_{\varepsilon_1}(\theta) M_0(2\theta) M_{\varepsilon_3}(2^2\theta) \dots M_{\varepsilon_{j-1}}(2^{j-2}\theta) M_0(2^{j-1}\theta) \right) \\
&= \text{supp} \left( (M_1(\theta) M_0(2\theta) + M_0(\theta) M_0(2\theta)) \left( \sum_{\varepsilon_i=0,1} M_{\varepsilon_3}(2^2\theta) \dots M_0(2^{j-1}\theta) \right) \right) \\
&= \text{supp} (M_1(\theta) M_0(2\theta) + M_0(\theta) M_0(2\theta)) \\
&\quad \cap \text{supp} \left( \sum_{\varepsilon_i=0,1} M_{\varepsilon_3}(2^2\theta) \dots M_0(2^{j-1}\theta) \right) \\
&= \dots \\
&= \text{supp} (M_1(\theta) M_0(2\theta) + M_0(\theta) M_0(2\theta)) \cap \\
&\quad \dots \cap \text{supp} (M_1(2^{j-2}\theta) M_0(2^{j-1}\theta) + M_0(2^{j-2}\theta) M_0(2^{j-1}\theta)) \\
&= \text{supp} (M_0(2\theta)) \cap \text{supp} (M_0(2^3\theta)) \cap \dots \cap \text{supp} (M_0(2^{j-1}\theta)) \\
&= \text{supp} (M_0(2\theta) M_0(2^3\theta) \dots M_0(2^{j-1}\theta))
\end{aligned}$$

Third step.

We claim that, for  $j \geq 4$  even,  $\text{supp}(M_0(2\theta) M_0(2^3\theta) \dots M_0(2^{j-1}\theta))$  is contained in  $2 \cdot 3^{j/2-1} - 1$  disjoint intervals of length  $\frac{4\pi}{3 \cdot 2^{j-1}}$  plus two disjoint intervals of length  $\frac{2\pi}{3 \cdot 2^{j-1}}$ .

Indeed we already know that the support of  $M_0(2\theta)$  is contained in one interval of length  $\frac{2\pi}{3}$ , namely  $[-\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{3}]$ , plus two intervals of length  $\frac{\pi}{3}$ , namely  $[-\pi, -\frac{2\pi}{3}]$  and  $[\frac{2\pi}{3}, \pi]$ .

Passing from  $M_0(2\theta)$  to  $M_0(2\theta) M_0(2^3\theta)$  it is not hard to see, by direct calculations, that only three intervals of the support of  $M_0(2^3\theta)$  fall in the “big” interval of  $M_0(2\theta)$ , and only one and a half in the “small” ones. Hence, we get  $3 \cdot 1 + 1 \cdot 2$  disjoint intervals of length  $\frac{4\pi}{3 \cdot 2^3}$  plus two disjoint intervals of length  $\frac{2\pi}{3 \cdot 2^3}$ .

Hence, by a recurrence argument,  $\text{supp}(M_0(2\theta) M_0(2^3\theta) \dots M_0(2^{j-1}\theta))$  is contained in  $3(2 \cdot 3^{(j-2)/2-1} - 1) + 2 = 2 \cdot 3^{j/2-1} - 1$  disjoint intervals of length  $\frac{4\pi}{3 \cdot 2^{j-1}}$  plus two disjoint intervals of length  $\frac{2\pi}{3 \cdot 2^{j-1}}$ .

Fourth step.

Let us recall that  $\alpha_j^n$ ,  $n = \varepsilon_1 + 2\varepsilon_2 + \dots + 2^{j-1}\varepsilon_j$ , is defined as the minimum number of intervals in the support of  $W_n(\theta) = M_{\varepsilon_1}(\theta) M_{\varepsilon_2}(2\theta) \dots M_{\varepsilon_j}(2^{j-1}\theta)$  of length less than  $\frac{\pi}{2^{j-1}}$ . Also, from (4.2) and (4.3) we deduce that for  $n, m \in \mathcal{P}_j$ ,  $n \neq m$  the support of  $W_n$  and  $W_m$  are disjoint. Hence,  $\sum_{n \in \mathcal{P}_j} \alpha_j^n$  is the minimum number of intervals in the support of

$$\sum_{\varepsilon_i=0,1} M_{\varepsilon_1}(\theta) M_0(2\theta) M_{\varepsilon_3}(2^2\theta) \dots M_{\varepsilon_{j-1}}(2^{j-2}\theta) M_0(2^{j-1}\theta)$$

of length less than  $\frac{\pi}{2^{j-1}}$ . By the second and third step we get that this number is exactly  $2(2 \cdot 3^{j/2-1} - 1) + 2 = 4 \cdot 3^{j/2-1}$  and 1 is proved.  $\square$



**Proof of 2.** The set  $\mathcal{P}_{2^j}$  contains  $2^j$  elements; hence,

$$\left| \bigcup_{n \in \mathcal{P}_{2^j}} G_{2^j}^n \right| = \frac{|\mathcal{P}_{2^j}|}{2^{2^j}} = \frac{1}{2^j}$$

and so 2 is proved.  $\square$

**Proof of 3.** By Corollary 1 and 1:

$$\sigma \left( \bigcup_{n \in \mathcal{P}_{2^j}} G_{2^j}^n \right) \leq \sum_{n \in \mathcal{P}_{2^j}} \alpha_{2^j}^n \frac{1}{2^{2^j-1}} = \frac{4 \cdot 3^{j-1}}{2^{2^j-1}} = \frac{8}{3} \left[ \frac{3}{4} \right]^j$$

and so 3 is proved.  $\square$

**Proof of 4.** For any  $j \geq 1$ ,

$$[0, 1) \setminus \bigcup_{n \notin \mathcal{P}_{2^j}} G_{2^j}^n = \bigcup_{n \in \mathcal{P}_{2^j}} G_{2^j}^n;$$

hence,

$$\begin{aligned} [0, 1) &= \left[ \bigcup_{j \in \mathbf{N}} \bigcup_{n \notin \mathcal{P}_{2^j}} G_{2^j}^n \right] \cup [0, 1) \setminus \left[ \bigcup_{j \in \mathbf{N}} \bigcup_{n \notin \mathcal{P}_{2^j}} G_{2^j}^n \right] \\ &= \left[ \bigcup_{j \in \mathbf{N}} \bigcup_{n \notin \mathcal{P}_{2^j}} G_{2^j}^n \right] \cup \left[ \bigcap_{j \in \mathbf{N}} \bigcup_{n \in \mathcal{P}_{2^j}} G_{2^j}^n \right] \\ &= \left[ \bigcup_{j \in \mathbf{N}} \bigcup_{n \notin \mathcal{P}_{2^j}} G_{2^j}^n \right] \cup A. \end{aligned}$$

Now, for any  $j \geq 1$ , we have  $A \subset \bigcup_{n \in \mathcal{P}_{2^j}} G_{2^j}^n$ , and so, by 2 we get  $|A| = 0$ , while by 3 we

get  $\sigma(A) = 0$ . Also, we deduce that, for any  $j \geq 1$ ,  $A$  can be covered by  $2^j$  disjoint intervals of Lebesgue measure equal to  $1/2^{2^j}$ ; hence,  $A$  has Hausdorff dimension equal to  $1/2$  and everything is proved.  $\square$

**Remark 2.** Unfortunately there are examples of other exceptional sets of Hausdorff dimension equal to  $1/2$  for which Lemma 1 does not give the desired estimate. One such example is obtained if we naturally start from the set ( $j$  even),

$$\mathcal{S}_j = \left\{ n = \sum_{h=1}^j \varepsilon_h 2^{h-1} : \varepsilon_{2i} = 1, i = 1, \dots, \frac{j}{2} \right\}.$$

In this case one can easily prove, as done above, that  $\sum_{n \in \mathcal{S}_j} \alpha_j^n = C5^{j/2}$ , where  $C$  is an absolute constant independent of  $j$ . Therefore, we obtain the estimate

$$\sigma \left( \bigcup_{n \in \mathcal{S}_j} G_j^n \right) \leq \sum_{n \in \mathcal{S}_j} \alpha_j^n \frac{1}{2^{j-1}} = 2C \left[ \frac{5}{4} \right]^{j/2}$$

and we cannot conclude that  $\sigma(A) = 0$ .

## References

- [1] Coifman, R.R., Meyer, Y., and Wickerhauser, V. (1992). Size properties of wavelet-packets, in Ruskai, M.B., Ed., *Wavelets and their applications*, Jones and Bartlett Inc.
- [2] Saliani, S. (1995). On the possible wavelet packets orthonormal bases, in Singh, S.P., Ed., *Approximation Theory, Wavelets and Applications*, vol. I, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 433–442.
- [3] Séré, E. (1994). Bases orthonormées de paquets d'ondelettes, *Rev. Mat. Iber.*, **10**, 349–362.
- [4] Séré, E. (1995). Localisation fréquentielle des paquets d'ondelettes, *Rev. Mat. Iber.*, **11**, 334–354.

---

Received January 7, 1998  
Revision received December 1, 1998

Dipartimento di Matematica, Università degli Studi della Basilicata, 85100 Potenza, Italia  
e-mail: saliani@unibas.it