

Parabolic orbifolds and the dimension of the maximal measure for rational maps

Anna Zdunik

Institute of Mathematics, Warsaw University, PKiN IXp, 00-901 Warsaw, Poland

§ 0. Introduction

Let $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a rational map of the Riemann sphere, $\deg(f) \geq 2$. A natural invariant measure m – the measure of maximal entropy was constructed by Ljubich [Lju] and independently by Freire, Lopes and Mañé [FLM].

The aim of this paper is to compare this measure with some Hausdorff measures. First recall the following definition. For a probability measure ν on \mathbb{C} (or, more generally, on a smooth manifold) the Hausdorff dimension of ν is defined by a formula

$$HD(\nu) = \inf_{Y: \nu(Y) = 1} HD(Y)$$

(where $HD(Y)$ is the Hausdorff dimension of Y).

It was conjectured by Ljubich [Lju2] that Hausdorff dimension of the measure m is strictly smaller than the Hausdorff dimension of the Julia set $J(f)$ (which is a support of m) except for some very special cases, called “critically finite with parabolic orbifold”.

In the present paper we give a proof of this conjecture as well as some related results.

We shall compare the measure m with the Hausdorff measure A_α where $\alpha = HD(m)$.

Recall that a measure ν is said to be absolutely continuous with respect to A_β ($\nu \ll A_\beta$) if

$$\text{for every Borel set } E \subset \mathbb{C} \quad A_\beta(E) = 0 \Rightarrow \nu(E) = 0;$$

ν is said to be singular with respect to A_β ($\nu \perp A_\beta$) if there exists a Borel set $F \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\nu(F) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad A_\beta(F) = 0.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \nu \perp A_\beta &\Rightarrow HD(\nu) \leq \beta \\ \nu \ll A_\beta &\Rightarrow HD(\nu) \geq \beta. \end{aligned}$$

For the measure m and $\alpha = HD(m)$ we know that

$$\begin{aligned}
m \perp A_\beta & \quad \text{for all } \beta > \alpha \\
m \ll A_\beta & \quad \text{for all } \beta < \alpha
\end{aligned}$$

(use the remark above and ergodicity of m).

The question of the relation between m and A_α remains open. The answer to this question turns out to be crucial for the proof of Ljubich’s conjecture.

We prove the following

Theorem 1. *Let $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a rational map of degree $d \geq 2$, m – the measure of maximal entropy, $\alpha = HD(m)$. Then m is singular with respect to the α -dimensional Hausdorff measure A_α except for the case when f is critically finite with parabolic orbifold.*

Theorem 2. *We have $HD(J(f)) > HD(m)$ iff f is not critically finite with parabolic orbifold.*

Remark 1. All maps with parabolic orbifold are classified in [DH], § 9. In § 1 we collect some useful facts on orbifolds.

§ 1. Basic notations and definitions

Orbifolds. An orbifold is a useful tool of describing the dynamics of some rational maps. The notion of orbifold was introduced by Thurston (see [T] for a general definition). We consider only orbifolds homeomorphic to the sphere \mathbf{S}^2 . Such an orbifold can be understood to be the sphere \mathbf{S}^2 with a collection of “singular” points $p_1 \dots p_k \in \mathbf{S}^2$ and positive integers $v(p_1) \dots v(p_k) > 1$ ascribed to these points.

We allow some $v(p_i)$ to be equal ∞ .

Such orbifold is denoted by $(v(p_1), \dots, v(p_k))$.

A notion of Euler characteristic of an orbifold was introduced in [T]. For our type of orbifolds it is given by the formula

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}) = 2 - \sum_{i=1}^k \left(1 - \frac{1}{v(p_i)} \right) \tag{*}$$

An orbifold \mathcal{O} is called parabolic if $\chi(\mathcal{O}) = 0$. Using the formula (*) above, it is easy to write down all parabolic orbifolds homeomorphic to the sphere \mathbf{S}^2 : $(2, 2, 2, 2)$, $(3, 3, 3)$, $(2, 4, 4)$, $(2, 3, 6)$, $(2, 2, \infty)$, (∞, ∞) .

Let f be a rational map such that the trajectories of all critical points are finite (such a map is called critically finite). There is a natural way of constructing an orbifold corresponding to f . The singular points are critical values of f (i.e. the points $f^k(c)$ for some critical point c and some $k \geq 1$). The numbers $v(p_i)$ are chosen so that $v(f(p))$ is a multiple of $v(p) \cdot \deg_p f$. There is exactly one “minimal” way of such a choice. In particular, the orbifold (∞, ∞) corresponds

to the map $z \rightarrow z^{\pm d}$, while $(2, 2, \infty)$ corresponds to Tchebysheff polynomials (up to sign). These are (up to a conjugacy by a Möbius transformation) the only maps with parabolic orbifold and $J(f) \neq \mathbb{C}$.

Notations. Since we are dealing with maps of the Riemann sphere, we use usually the spherical metrics. Also, all the derivatives are computed with respect to this metrics.

If B is a ball of radius r (in the usual or in the spherical metrics), then we denote by $\gamma \cdot B$ the ball with the same center and the radius $\gamma \cdot r$. The open unit disc will be denoted by D . λ denotes two-dimensional Lebesgue measure on the Riemann sphere (i.e. given by a spherical metrics).

By “critical value” we mean the image of a critical point under any iteration of f (for the first image we use rather a term “first critical value”).

Very often we make use of the following Koebe Distortion Theorem:

Theorem (see [Go], Ch. 2, § 4). (1) *For every $0 < \delta < 1$ there exists $C_\delta > 0$ such that for every univalent function f defined in D*

$$\log \left| \frac{f'(x)}{f'(y)} \right| \leq C_\delta |x - y| \quad \text{for } x, y \in D_\delta$$

(where D_δ is a disc of radius δ , centered at 0).

In this formulation the usual derivative (rather than the spherical one) appears. It is easy to check, however, that for spherical metrics the following version is true:

(2) *For every $\gamma > 0$ there exists a constant K_γ such that if $B \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a ball of radius R (with respect to the spherical metrics), the map $f: \gamma \cdot B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is univalent and*

$$\lambda(f(\gamma \cdot B)) < \frac{1}{2} \lambda(\mathbb{S}^2),$$

then

$$\log \frac{|f'(x)|}{|f'(y)|} \leq K_\gamma |x - y| \quad \text{for } x, y \in B$$

(where the distances and derivatives are computed with respect to the spherical metrics).

§ 2. Idea of proof

We start with the well-known L.-S. Young’s formula for Hausdorff dimension of an invariant ergodic measure ν .

We have (see [Y]):

$$HD(\nu) = \frac{h_\nu}{\chi_\nu} \quad \text{provided } h_\nu > 0.$$

χ_ν is the ν -Ljapunov exponent of the map f ; $\chi_\nu = \int \log|f'| d\nu$ (notice that $h_\nu > 0$ implies $\chi_\nu > 0$, by Ruelle's inequality [R]) we have

$$h_\nu(f) \leq \int \max(0, 2\chi(f)(x)) d\nu(x)$$

where

$$\chi(f)(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log|(f^n)'(x)|;$$

this observation was done in [P2]).

Our measure m is the measure of maximal entropy, so

$$h_m(f) = h_{\text{top}}(f) = \log d$$

and

$$\alpha = HD(m) = \frac{\log d}{\int \log|f'| dm}.$$

Define the function

$$\varphi = \alpha \log|f'| - \log d.$$

We have $\int \varphi dm = 0$.

Now, we use the results of [PUZ].

Look at the partial sums

$$S_n \varphi = \varphi + \varphi \circ f + \dots + \varphi \circ f^{n-1}.$$

Notice that

$$\exp(S_n \varphi(x)) = \frac{(|(f^n)'|(x)|)^\alpha}{d^n}.$$

If B is a ball around x such that $f^n|_B$ is univalent and $f^n(B)$ has a big size, then $\exp S_n \varphi(x)$ equals (up to a bounded factor) $\frac{m(B)}{(\text{diam } B)^\alpha}$. (Recall, that the Jacobian of m equals d , see [FLM].)

This observation suggests that examining of the partial sums $S_n \varphi$ is a good way of comparing m and A_α .

This was the way chosen in [PUZ] in an analogous situation. We check that $(\varphi \circ f^n)_{n=0}^\infty$ is a sequence of weakly dependent random variables and that the Law of Iterated Logarithm holds under the essential assumption: φ is not homologous to 0 in $L^2(J, m)$. If this assumption is fulfilled, then, using the Law of Iterated Logarithm, one can prove the singularity of m with respect to A_α (and even a stronger singularity, see Theorem 6, § 5 in [PUZ]).

So, we have to study a situation when φ is homologous to 0, i.e. when there exists a function $u \in L^2(J, m)$ such that

(H)
$$\varphi = u \circ f - u.$$

Sects. 5–8 are devoted to this problem.

First we show that u , which is a priori only a measurable function, must be actually much “better”, i.e. continuous in domains not containing critical values (Lemma 2). Studying the possible singularities of u , we describe the behavior of trajectories of critical points in J (Proposition 4).

In the case $J(f) = \mathbb{C}$ we conclude that f must be critically finite with parabolic orbifold.

The remaining case is treated in Sects. 6–8.

We already know (by Proposition 4) that $f|_J$ is an expanding or (so-called) subexpanding map.

Now, in order to control the behavior of remaining critical trajectories, (as it has been done in Prop. 4 for critical trajectories in $J(f)$), we extend u beyond $J(f)$, having still the homology formula (H) fulfilled.

Now, two cases can happen (they are treated in Sects. 7 and 8). In the first case our function can be extended to the open subset Γ of \mathbb{C} containing $J(f)$ (in fact Γ is the whole \mathbb{C} minus sinks and trajectories of critical values).

The only possibility which does not lead to a contradiction is $z \rightarrow z^{\pm d}$ (in expanding case) and Tchebysheff polynomial (up to sign) in subexpanding case. These two maps correspond to the orbifolds (∞, ∞) and $(2, 2, \infty)$ respectively.

The other case is when we manage only to extend our function u to a one-dimensional real-analytic set Γ , consisting of a finite number of curves. This case is eliminated again by studying the singularities of u in Γ .

In Theorem 2 we compare Hausdorff dimension of the Julia set J with Hausdorff dimension α of the measure m . Provided φ is not homologous to zero, we find a subset $X \subset J$ invariant under some iterate f^n of f , such that $f^n|_X$ is expanding and $HD(X) > \alpha$. Roughly speaking, the idea is to use only the “expanding (and rich enough) part” of the dynamics of f .

If $f|_J$ is expanding, then it is easy to conclude the implication (φ not homologous to zero) $\Rightarrow HD(J) > HD(m)$ from the well-known Bowen-Manning-McCluskey picture. Consider the function $t \rightarrow P(-t \log|f'|)$ where P is the usual topological pressure. This function is decreasing and convex. Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} P(-t \log|f'|) &= -\chi_m(f), \\ \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \Big|_{t=0} P(-t \log|f'|) &= \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \cdot \sigma^2. \end{aligned}$$

(where σ^2 is so-called asymptotic variance for the sequence $S_n \varphi$, see Proposition 3, § 4; we have $\sigma^2 = 0$ iff φ is homologous to zero).

The point of intersection of a line tangent at 0 to the graph of this function with t -axis gives us the value

$$t_0 = \frac{h(f)}{\chi_m(f)} = HD(m),$$

while the point of intersection of the graph itself with t -axis gives the value $t_1 = HD(J)$.

These two values are equal iff $\sigma^2 = 0$.

§ 3. Geometric coding tree

The geometric coding tree is a very efficient tool, which allows us to use the methods of symbolic dynamics. This construction was proposed in [J] for expanding maps, the usefulness for arbitrary maps was noticed in [P2]. The tree was the main technical tool in [PUZ]. The proof of convergence is motivated by ideas of [FLM]. Denote by Σ^d the set $\{1, \dots, d\}^{\mathbb{Z}^+}$. Our aim is to use this space as a coding space for the dynamics of f on J . This can be done as follows (see also [PUZ], § 4).

We choose a point $z \in \mathbb{C}$ not being a critical value and curves $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d$ joining z to all points of the set $f^{-1}(\{z\})$, such that $\gamma_i \cap \gamma_j = \{z\}$. These curves have to be chosen so that

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} f^n(\text{Crit } f) \cap \bigcup_i \gamma_i = \emptyset$$

(where $\text{Crit } f$ is the set of critical points of f).

Now, for every sequence $\eta \in \Sigma^d$ we define a sequence $(z_n(\eta))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ by induction. First, let $z_0(\eta)$ be the endpoint of γ_{η_0} different from z . Define also the curve $\gamma_0(\eta)$ to be γ_{η_0} . Now, assume that $z_n(\eta)$ and $\gamma_n(\eta)$ are already defined. We put

$$\gamma_{n+1}(\eta) = f_{v(\eta)}^{-(n+1)}(\gamma_{\eta_{n+1}})$$

where $f_{v(\eta)}^{-(n+1)}$ is a branch of $f^{-(n+1)}$ sending z to $z_n(\eta)$. The point $z_{n+1}(\eta)$ is defined to be endpoint of $\gamma_{n+1}(\eta)$ different from $z_n(\eta)$.

Obviously, the sum $\gamma(\eta) = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_n(\eta)$ is again a curve. The whole set $\Gamma = \bigcup_{\eta} \gamma(\eta)$

forms a tree (with branches possibly intersecting).

There is a natural metrics in the space Σ^d : $d(\eta, \beta) = \frac{1}{2^i}$ where $i = \max \{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \mid \eta_j = \beta_j\}$. Thus, a natural notion of Hausdorff dimension (with respect to this metrics) can be considered.

The following crucial lemma shows, that this tree is a good way of coding the dynamics of f .

Lemma 1. (Przytycki, [P1], compare also [P2]). *For every rational map of degree $d \geq 2$ there exists a geometric coding tree Γ and a subset $E \subset \Sigma^d$ such that $HD(E) = 0$ and for $\eta \in \Sigma^d - E$ the branch $\gamma(\eta)$ converges exponentially fast (i.e. $\text{diam } \gamma_n(\eta)$ converge to zero exponentially).*

In this way, we obtain a coding map $R: \Sigma^d - E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. (It is denoted by R to underline the similarity to boundary value of the Riemann map from the unit disc onto a simply-connected domain). Let s be the left shift on Σ^d . Then (by construction) we have

$$R \circ s = f \circ R.$$

Let μ be the measure of maximal entropy on Σ^d , $h_{\mu} = h_{\text{top}}(s) = \log d$.

We have $HD(\mu) > 0$. By ergodicity of μ , this implies $HD(F) > 0$ for every set \mathcal{P} of positive μ -measure. Thus, $\mu(E) = 0$ (since $HD(E) = 0$).

This implies that the image $m = R_* \mu$ is well-defined. Notice that $\text{supp}(m) = J$.

Proposition 1. *The measure m is the (unique) measure of maximal entropy on $J(f)$.*

Proof is contained in fact in [P2], where one gets $h_m = h = \log d$. On the other hand, $h_{\text{top}}(f) = \log d$. Thus, m is the measure of maximal entropy. Proof of uniqueness is contained in [M] and [Lju]. \square

§ 4. Singularity with respect to A_x

In this Section we collect some fact which have been proved (in a slightly different form) in [PUZ].

Proposition 2 (see [PUZ], § 5, Lemma 4, 5, 6).

(a) *The function $\Psi = \log|f'| \circ R$ is in the class $L^p(\mu)$ for every $0 < p < \infty$.*

(b) *For every $p > 0$ there exist $K > 0, \beta \in (0, 1)$ such that for every $n \geq 0$*

$$(1) \quad \int |\Psi - E_\mu(\Psi | \mathcal{A}_n)|^p d\mu < K \beta^n$$

(where \mathcal{A}^n is a partition into cylinders of length n , $E(\Psi | \mathcal{A}_n)$ is the conditional expectation),

$$(2) \quad \int |(\Psi - \int \Psi d\mu) \cdot (\Psi - \int \Psi d\mu) \circ s^n| \leq K \beta^n. \quad \triangle$$

Let $\varphi = \alpha \cdot \Psi - \log d$.

Using the assertion of Proposition 2 and the mixing properly of μ , we conclude (compare [Ph-St]. Th. 7.1, and [PUZ], Lemma 6, § 5).

Proposition 3. *The limit (called: asymptotic variance)*

$$\sigma^2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int (S_n \varphi)^2 d\mu}{n} \quad \text{exists.}$$

Moreover, if $\sigma^2 \neq 0$, then the sequence $(\varphi \circ s^n)_{n=1}^\infty$ satisfies the Law of Iterated Logarithm. If $\sigma^2 = 0$, then the sequence $n \rightarrow \int (S_n \varphi)^2 d\mu$ is bounded.

Corollary. *If $\sigma^2 \neq 0$, then $m \perp A_x$ and even a stronger singularity (due to the Law of Iterated Logarithm) occurs:*

$$m \perp A_{n_c} \quad \text{for } c > c_0$$

where

$$c_0 = \frac{2\sigma^2}{\int \log|f'| dm}$$

and A_{n_c} is the Hausdorff measure corresponding to the function

$$\eta_c(t) = t^\alpha \exp\left(c \log \frac{1}{t} \log \log \log \frac{1}{t}\right). \quad \triangle$$

Proof can be derived from [PUZ], Theorem 6. \square

Now, we come back to the original space $L^2(J(f), m)$. Denote $\phi = \alpha \log|f'| - \log d$; we have $\varphi = \phi \circ R$. Assume $\sigma^2 = 0$. Then by Proposition 3 we know that the integrals $\int (S_n \phi)^2 dm$ are bounded. Then, by standard consideration (quoted, for example, in [PUZ], Lemma 1, § 1) we conclude that ϕ is homologous to 0 in $L^2(J(f), m)$, i.e. there exists a function $u \in L^2(J(f), m)$ such that

$$(H) \quad \phi = u \circ f - u.$$

§ 5. Properties of the function u

In this section we show that our function u , which was a priori only an element of L^2 , must be actually better. The following lemma is crucial for understanding when (H) can happen.

Lemma 2. *Assume $\phi = u \circ f - u$ for some $u \in L^2(J(f), m)$. If p is not a critical value (i.e. $f^n c \neq p$ for all $n \geq 1$ and all critical points c) then there exists a neighbourhood U of p and a continuous function $w: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $u = w$ m -almost everywhere in U .*

Proof. In the case when $f|_J$ is expanding, one can use the ideas coming from [Li]. (The proof of a similar fact in the expanding situation was given in [PUZ], Lemma 1, § 1).

We try to use an analogous way of reasoning in non-expanding case.

We know that u is m -measurable, thus by Luzin theorem there exists a set F of measure m bigger than $\frac{3}{4}$ such that $u|_F$ is uniformly continuous.

We claim that

$$(*) \quad \text{there exists } \delta > 0 \text{ such that}$$

if B is a disc (small enough) centered at p , then there exists a subset $E \subset B$ of full measure such that if $x, y \in E$, then one can find a sequence $m_i \rightarrow \infty$ and a holomorphic branch $f_v^{-n_i}$ defined on $2 \cdot B$ for which

$$\begin{aligned} \text{diam}(f_v^{-n_i}(B)) &\leq K \exp(-n_i \delta), \\ f_v^{-n_i}(x) &\in F, \quad f_v^{-n_i}(y) \in F. \end{aligned}$$

(K is some constant independent of i).

Assume $(*)$ is true. Then we have

$$u(x) - u(y) = \log \left| \frac{(f^{n_i})'(f_v^{-n_i} x)}{(f^{n_i})'(f_v^{-n_i} y)} \right| + u(f_v^{-n_i} x) - u(f_v^{-n_i} y).$$

The first summand can be estimated by $c \cdot |x - y|$, where c is some constant, by Distortion Theorem. The second summand tends to zero as $i \rightarrow \infty$, since $\text{dist}(f_v^{-n_i} x, f_v^{-n_i} y) \rightarrow 0$ and $u|_F$ is uniformly continuous. Thus, it is enough to prove that $(*)$ is true.

Proof of (*): We have to pass to the natural extension $(\tilde{J}, \tilde{m}, \tilde{f})$. Let $\pi: \tilde{J} \rightarrow J$ be the projection onto 0-th coordinate. We fix a ball B centered at p such that there are no critical values up to order M in $2 \cdot B$ (M is a positive integer to be specified later on). Fix also a positive number K .

Let f_v^{-n} be a branch of f^{-n} defined in a neighbourhood of p . We say that this branch is good if

- (1) f_v^{-n} is well-defined in $2 \cdot B$
- (2) $\text{diam}(f_v^{-n}(B)) < K \exp(-n\delta)$.

We say that $(f_v^{-n})_{n=1}^\infty$ is a sequence of branches if

$$f \circ f_v^{-(n+1)} = f_v^{-n}.$$

The following lemma, motivated by the paper [FLM] was proved in [PUZ] (Lemma 8, § 5). Here, we formulate it in a more convenient form.

Basic lemma. *For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist constants $M > 0$ (fixing the size of B), $\delta > 0$ and a subset $\tilde{K} \subset \pi^{-1}(B)$ such that $\frac{\tilde{m}(\tilde{K})}{m(B)} > 1 - \varepsilon$ and if $(\dots, x_{-k}, x_{-k+1}, \dots, x_0, x_1, \dots)$ is an element of \tilde{K} , then $x_{-k} = f_v^{-k}(x_0)$ for some good branch of f^{-k} .*

Proof. We sketch the proof here, since we shall need the explicit construction of \tilde{K} later on.

The idea is to remove consecutively “bad” branches f_v^{-n} .

We start with d^M branches of f^{-M} defined on $2 \cdot B$. We remove those branches for which $f_v^{-M}(2 \cdot B)$ contains a first critical value. Thus, we remove at most $2d - 2$ branches.

Assume that the good branches $f_v^{-(n-1)}$ have been already chosen and the images $f_v^{-(n-1)}(2 \cdot B)$ do not contain critical values. We consider all branches $f_\eta^{-1} \circ f_v^{-(n-1)}$ (i.e. good branches $f_v^{-(n-1)}$ are composed with d possible branches f_η^{-1} defined on $f_v^{-(n-1)}(2 \cdot B)$).

Among them the branches to be removed are those branches f_v^{-n} for which

$$\lambda(f_v^{-n}(B)) > \exp(-2n\delta)$$

or $f_v^{-n}(2 \cdot B)$ contains a first critical value.

We procede by induction.

A straightforward computation (compare [PUZ], § 5, Lemma 8) shows, that the remaining set \tilde{K} (consisting of sequences $(\dots, x_{-k}, x_{-k+1}, \dots, x_0, x_1, \dots)$ such that $x_0 \in B$ and $x_{-k} = f^{-k}(x_0)$ for some branch f^{-k} which has not been removed) has measure m as close to $\tilde{m}(B)$ as we want (if δ is small and M large enough). Notice, that every branch chosen in this way is good (use the Koebe Distortion Theorem). \square

Notice that the set \tilde{K} has a natural product structure. There is a bijection $\varphi_{x,y}$ between the fibres $\pi^{-1}(\{x\}) \cap \tilde{K}$ and $\pi^{-1}(\{y\}) \cap \tilde{K}$, namely:

$$\varphi_{x,y}((\dots x_{-k}, x_{-k+1}, \dots, x_0, x_1, \dots)) = (\dots y_{-k}, y_{-k+1}, \dots, y_0, y_1, \dots)$$

if x_{-k} and y_{-k} are obtained by use of the same branch of f^{-k} defined in B .

Moreover,

$$(\varphi_{x,y})_* \tilde{m}_x = \tilde{m}_y$$

where \tilde{m}_x, \tilde{m}_y are conditional measures on fibres of the partition into sets

$$\pi^{-1}(\{x\}) \cap \tilde{K} \quad (x \in B).$$

Now, from the ergodicity of \tilde{m} it follows that there exists a subset $\tilde{E} \subset \tilde{K}$ of full measure such that for $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{E}$

$$\tilde{f}^{-n}(\tilde{x}) \in \tilde{F} = \pi^{-1}(F)$$

happens with frequency $m(F)$ (bigger than $\frac{3}{4}$).

Since $\tilde{m}(\tilde{E}) = \tilde{m}(\tilde{K})$, for m -almost all $x \in B$

$$\tilde{m}_x(\tilde{E} \cap \pi^{-1}(\{x\}) \cap \tilde{K}) = \tilde{m}_x(\pi^{-1}(\{x\}) \cap \tilde{K}).$$

Thus, for almost all $y \in B \cap \pi(\tilde{E})$

$$\tilde{m}_y(\varphi_{x,y}(\tilde{E} \cap \pi^{-1}(\{x\}) \cap \tilde{K})) = \tilde{m}_y(\pi^{-1}(\{y\}) \cap \tilde{K})$$

i.e. $\varphi_{x,y}(\tilde{E} \cap \pi^{-1}(\{x\}) \cap \tilde{K})$ has a full measure in the fibre $\pi^{-1}(\{y\}) \cap \tilde{K}$ (due to the product structure of \tilde{K}).

It follows, that for these x, y there exists a common sequence of branches f_v^{-n} such that both $f_v^{-n}(x)$ and $f_v^{-n}(y)$ fall into F with a frequency bigger than $\frac{3}{4}$. Thus, one can find a sequence n_i such that

$$f_v^{-n_i}(x) \in F \quad \text{and} \quad f_v^{-n_i}(y) \in F.$$

In this way, (*) is proved, completing the proof of Lemma 2. \square

As a corollary, we get an important

Proposition 4.

(1) If $f^k(d_1) = f^l(d_2)$ for some $d_1, d_2 \in J$, d_1, d_2 not being critical values, then

$$\text{deg}_{d_1}(f^k) = \text{deg}_{d_2}(f^l).$$

(2) If c is a critical point in J and $f^k(c) = f(x)$ for some x , then x is either a critical point or a critical value.

(3) The trajectories of all critical points in J are finite.

Proof. (1) It follows from Lemma 2, that u is bounded a.e. in some neighbourhood of d_1 . Using the homology formula (H) we conclude that u has a singularity

$$\frac{s-1}{s} \log|y-f^k(d_1)| \quad (s = \text{deg}_{d_1} f^k)$$

in the neighbourhood of $f^k(d_1)$, i.e. $u(y) - \frac{s-1}{s} \log|y-f^k(d_1)|$ is bounded for y close to $f^k(d_1)$. By the same reasoning we get a singularity

$$\frac{t-1}{t} \log|y-f^l(d_2)| \quad \text{where } t = \text{deg}_{d_2} f^l$$

in the neighbourhood of $f^l(d_2) = f^k(d_1)$. Comparing these two results we get $t = s$.

Now, (3) follows easily from (2) while (2) is a consequence of (1). \square

Remark 2. Denote $\mathcal{P}_f = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} f^n$ (critical points in J). Assume ϕ is homologous to zero. Then to every point $b \in \mathcal{P}_f$ we can ascribe a positive integer $v(b)$ such that

$$(1) \quad v(f(b)) = \text{deg}_b f \cdot v(b)$$

and

$$(2) \quad u(x) \approx \alpha \left(1 - \frac{1}{v(b)} \right) \log|x-b|$$

in the neighbourhood of b (the sign \approx means here: the difference is bounded).

This is possible by Proposition 4.

We get an important

Corollary. *If ϕ is homologous to zero and $J(f)$ is the whole \mathbb{C} , then f is critically finite with parabolic orbifold.*

Proof. The numbers $v(b)$ are precisely the numbers ascribed to critical values in the definition of an orbifold. Moreover Proposition 4 together with the property (1) of Remark 2 above show that $f: \mathcal{O}_f \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_f$ is a covering map of orbifolds (see [T] for the definition; for our orbifolds it means just, that $v(f(b)) = \text{deg}_b f \cdot v(b)$). Thus (as it is shown in [T])

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_f) = d \cdot \chi(\mathcal{O}_f), \quad \text{hence } \chi(\mathcal{O}_f) = 0. \quad \square$$

Next, we assume that ϕ is homologous to 0 and $J(f) \neq \mathbb{C}$.

First, notice that there are neither Siegel discs nor Herman rings in the complement of $J(f)$ (since the boundary of such domain is contained in the

closure of trajectories of critical points in $J(f)$ and here the trajectories of critical points in $J(f)$ are finite.

Thus, there are only basins of sinks and their preimages in the complement of $J(f)$. Moreover, parabolic basins are also excluded, since we have

Lemma 3. (a) *If $p \in J$ is a periodic point of period n and there exists a “good way back” from p (i.e. a sequence $(x_i)_{i=1}^\infty$ of points such that $f(x_1) = p, f(x_{i+1}) = x_i$ for $i \geq 1$ and none of x_i is a critical point), then*

$$|(f^n)'(p)|^\alpha = d^n \quad (\text{thus, } p \text{ is a source}).$$

(b) *If $p \in J$ is a periodic point of period n and $p = f^k(c)$, where c is a critical point not being a critical value, then*

$$|(f^n)'(p)|^\alpha = d^{ns} \quad \text{where } s = \deg_c f^k$$

(thus, p is also a source).

Proof relies on a straightforward computation and will be omitted. \square

§ 6. Case $J(f) \neq \mathbb{C}$

Here, we want to describe the trajectories of critical points outside $J(f)$.

Our first step will be to extend u beyond $J(f)$ as far as possible; we require the extended function to satisfy the homology formula:

$$u(f(x)) - u(x) = \alpha \log |f'(x)| - \log d$$

whenever $u(f(x)), u(x)$ are defined.

We have two (slightly different) cases: either there are no critical points in J (expanding case) or critical points in J satisfy the statement of Proposition 4, in particular their trajectories are finite (subexpanding case).

For a subexpanding map it is convenient to introduce a new, “adapted” metrics (compare [DH 2]) defined by the function

$$v(x) = \sum_{b \in \mathcal{P}_f} \frac{1}{|x - b| \left(1 - \frac{1}{v(b)}\right)}$$

The derivative in this new metrics is $Df = |f'| \frac{v \circ f}{v}$ and $\log Df$ is homologous to $\log d, \log Df = \log d + w \circ f - w$ where $w = \log v + u$.

The function w is bounded (see Prop. 4 for a discussion of singularities of u). In particular, we have $Df^n > 1$ for some n (since $Df^n = n \log d + w \circ f^n - w$).

Both cases (expanding and subexpanding ones) will be treated in the same way.

First, remind that a sequence of branches is a sequence such that

$$f(f_v^{-(n+1)}(x)) = f_v^{-n}(x).$$

Define a set $\Gamma = \{x \in \mathbb{C} : x \text{ is neither a critical point nor a sink and for } x_0 \in J \text{ not being a critical value and an arbitrary curve joining } x \text{ to } x_0 \text{ and not passing through critical values and sinks the formula}$

$$(\#) \quad \bar{u}(x) = u(x_0) + \alpha \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\log |f'(f_{v,\gamma}^{-i} x)| - \log |f'(f_{v,\gamma}^{-i} x_0)|)$$

gives the same result (i.e. independent of the choice of x_0, γ and a sequence of branches $f_{v,\gamma}^{-i}$ along γ).

In the following lemmas we list some properties of the set Γ .

Lemma 4. (1) *If $x \in J - \{\text{critical values in } J\}$ then $x \in \Gamma$ and $\bar{u}(x) = u(x)$.*

(2) *$f^{-1}(\Gamma) \subset \Gamma$ and the function \bar{u} satisfies the homology formula (H) whenever $x, f(x) \in \Gamma$.*

Proof. Let $x \in J$. Choose $x_0 \in J$ and a curve γ as in definition of Γ . We have

$$f_{v,\gamma}^{-n}(\gamma) \rightrightarrows J$$

Indeed, remind that there are only basins of sinks and their preimages in the complement of J . Hence, for n large $f_{v,\gamma}^{-n}(\gamma)$ is contained in a neighbourhood V of J in which f is expanding with respect to the usual metrics or to the adapted one (in the subexpanding case).

In both cases we have

$$\text{diam}(f_{v,\gamma}^{-n}(B)) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

It follows that

$$u(f_{v,\gamma}^{-n}(x)) - u(f_{v,\gamma}^{-n}(x_0)) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & u(x_0) + \alpha \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\log |f'(f_{v,\gamma}^{-i}(x))| - \log |f'(f_{v,\gamma}^{-i}(x_0))|) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\left(u(x_0) + \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n \log |f'(f_{v,\gamma}^{-i}(x))| - n \log d \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left(\alpha \sum_{i=1}^n \log |f'(f_{v,\gamma}^{-i}(x_0))| - n \log d \right) \right) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (u(x_0) + u(x) - u(f_{v,\gamma}^{-n}(x)) - u(x_0) + u(f_{v,\gamma}^{-n}(x_0))) = u(x) \end{aligned}$$

and obviously the result does not depend on the way we have chosen.

Proof of (2) is based on a straightforward computation and will be omitted. \square

Lemma 5. *Let y_0 be neither a critical value nor a sink. Take a disc B around y_0 containing no critical values. Then $\Gamma \cap B$ is*

- (1) *the whole B or*
- (2) *an empty set or*
- (3) *the sum of a finite number of real-analytic curves and isolated points.*

Proof. Fix a point $x_0 \in J$ and a curve γ joining x_0 and y_0 as in the definition of Γ .

Notice that the formula $(\#)$ defines a harmonic function on B (where $f_{v,\gamma}^{-n}(y)$ is understood to be that branch of f^{-n} on B which maps y_0 to $f_{v,\gamma}^{-n}(y_0)$).

Consider two such functions \bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2 (obtained by a procedure above). Then the set

$$V_{\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2} = \{z : \bar{u}_1(z) = \bar{u}_2(z)\}$$

is the set of zeros of a harmonic function, thus either the whole B or the sum of a finite number of real-analytic curves.

Now, take another pair $V_{\bar{u}_3, \bar{u}_4}$ and consider the set $V_{\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2} \cap V_{\bar{u}_3, \bar{u}_4} \cdot V_{\bar{u}_3, \bar{u}_4}$ is again a sum of a finite number of analytic curves $t_1 \dots t_k$ (or the whole B). Moreover, if $s_i \cap t_j$ has a condensation point then $s_i = t_j$. Indeed, t_j is described by the \mathbb{R} -analytic parametrization $\phi = (\phi_1, \phi_2)$. Hence, the function $(\bar{u}_1 - \bar{u}_2) \circ \phi$ is \mathbb{R} -analytic and equals zero on a set having a condensation point. Thus, it equals zero everywhere and $t_j \subset V_{\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2}$. It follows that $V_{\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2} \cap V_{\bar{u}_3, \bar{u}_4}$ is a sum of a finite number of real-analytic curves and isolated points (or the whole B). It is easy to see that the same is true for the full intersection $\Gamma = \bigcap_{(\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2)} V_{\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2}$ (the intersection is taken over all possible pairs as above). \square

Now, we have two cases which will be treated in §§ 7, 8. In the first case the set Γ consists of a finite number of real-analytic curves. In the second case Γ is an open subset of \mathbb{C} .

§ 7. One-dimensional set Γ

In this section we assume that

$$\text{int } \Gamma \cap J = \emptyset.$$

Under this assumption we have

Lemma 6. (a) *Take a point $y_0 \in J$ not being a critical value. If a ball $B(y_0, \rho)$ is small enough and does not contain critical values, then $\Gamma \cap B(y_0, \rho)$ is a real-analytic curve.*

(b) *If $y \in \mathbb{C}$ and the ball $B(y, \rho)$ does not contain critical values, then $B(y, \rho) \cap \Gamma$ contains at most one analytic curve or is a set (possibly empty) of isolated points.*

Proof. (a) Since $y_0 \in J$ and $J \subset \Gamma$, then y_0 is not an isolated point in Γ . Thus, one can assume that there are no isolated points of Γ in B . We have to check that Γ cannot contain two analytic curves intersecting at y . Assume that two such curves exist. But one can find an infinite number of branches $f_v^{-n_i}$ defined in B such that $f_v^{-n_i}(B) \subset B$ and the set of points $(f_v^{-n_i}(y))_{i=1}^\infty$ is infinite. All these points are in Γ (by Lemma 4) and all of them are points of intersection of curves contained in Γ . This contradicts Lemma 5.

(b) We fix a point $y_0 \in J$ and a ball B as in (a). There exists a branch f_v^{-n} such that $f_v^{-n}(B(y, \rho)) \subset B$. Since $B \cap \Gamma$ is an analytic curve, then $\Gamma \cap B(y, \rho)$ is contained in the analytic curve $f^n(\Gamma \cap B)$. \square

Now, we describe connected components of Γ .

Lemma 7. *Assume $y_0 \in J$ is not a critical value. If s is a connected component of y_0 in Γ , then \bar{s} is either an analytic Jordan curve, or an embedded closed interval with endpoints being critical points or sinks.*

Proof. y_0 is not an isolated point in Γ . Thus, by Lemma 6 we conclude that s is locally a real-analytic curve. Notice that the curve s may have only two (perhaps coinciding) condensation points not belonging to s . (For, by Lemma 6 (b) a condensation point must be either a critical value or a sink). Thus, \bar{s} is an embedded closed interval (if such points exist) or an analytic Jordan curve (if $\bar{s} - s = \phi$). \square

Denote by S the set of all connected components of Γ intersecting J . S is a finite set (since in the neighbourhood of every point $y_0 \in J$ we have only a finite number of curves in Γ (even if y_0 is a critical value).

Lemma 8. *Let p be an endpoint of the curve $s \in S$. Then $\lim_{\substack{x \rightarrow p \\ x \in S}} \bar{u}(x) = -\infty$.*

Proof. Use the homology formula

$$\bar{u}(x) = \bar{u}(f_v^{-n}(x)) + \alpha \log |(f^n)'(f_v^{-n}(x))| - n \log d$$

for an appropriate branch of f^{-n} . \square

Now, using singularities of \bar{u} we describe the dynamics of f on the curves belonging to S .

Proposition 5. *$f|S$ is a permutation of curves, i.e. for every $s \in S$ \bar{s} is mapped onto \bar{s}' (for some $s' \in S$). Moreover, a curve of a given type (i.e. a closed one or homeomorphic to the interval) is mapped onto a curve of the same type.*

Proof. Let $s \in S$. Obviously, there exists a curve $s' \in S$ such that $f(s) \cap s' \neq \emptyset$.

First we assume that s' is homeomorphic to the interval. If there exists a point $y \in s$ such that $f(y)$ is an endpoint of s' then y must be a critical point (because $\bar{u}(x)$ tends to $-\infty$ as $x \rightarrow f(y)$ and by the homology formula). Thus, $f^{-1}(s')$ contains an arc passing through the point y , hence a neighbourhood of y in Γ .

This implies that

$$f(\bar{s}) \subset \bar{s}'.$$

Actually, we have $f(\bar{s}) = \bar{s}'$, since otherwise some endpoint of $f(s)$ (being a critical value or a sink) would lie in s' . But there are neither critical values nor sinks in s' .

If s' is a Jordan curve, then s must be Jordan curve, too (since the endpoints of s are critical values or sinks and there are no such points in s'). As before, one can check that $f(s) \subset s'$. Thus, there are no critical points in s (since there are no critical values in s') and $f|_s$ is locally one-to-one.

Hence, $f(s) = s'$.

Obviously, a curve s homeomorphic to the interval is mapped onto a curve of the same type. Thus, a Jordan curve $t \in S$ must be mapped onto a Jordan curve (because each curve is the image of some other curve). \square

Corollary. *There exists a component $t \in S$ periodic for f (i.e. $f^k(t) = t$ and $f^{-k}(t) = t$ for some k). \triangle*

First, assume that t is a Jordan curve.

Proposition 6. *If there is a Jordan curve $t \in S$ periodic under f , then f equals $z \rightarrow z^{\pm d}$ up to a Möbius transformation.*

Proof. Passing to some iterate of f , one can assume that $f(t) = f^{-1}(t) = t$. Then the Julia set is just our curve t . To see that, take a point $x \in J \cap t$. Then $J = \text{cl}(\bigcup_n \{y: f^n y = t\}) \subset t$ since $f^{-n}(t) \subset t$. On the other hand, if $y \in t - J$ then $f^n(y)$

tends to a sink and belongs to t . This is impossible, since t is separated from sinks.

Thus, the situation must be as follows: J is an analytic Jordan curve dissecting \mathbf{S}^2 into two simply-connected domains D_1, D_2 . One can assume (taking $f \circ f$) that $f(D_1) = D_1, f(D_2) = D_2$. Then f is conjugate by Möbius transformation to the Blaschke product and J is a circle (by the argument due to Sullivan [Su]).

Now, among these map there is only one (up to a conjugacy by a Möbius transformation) for which $\log|f'|$ is homologous to $\log d$, this is $z \mapsto z^d$.

Since we have passed to some iterate f^k , we know up to now that f^k equals (up to a Möbius transformation) $z \rightarrow z^{dk}$. But then f itself equals $z \mapsto z^d$ or $z \mapsto z^{-d}$ (up to a Möbius transformation). \square

Now, we assume that there exists a curve $s \in S$ periodic under f and homeomorphic to the interval.

Suppose $f^k(s) = s$. Obviously, the endpoints of s are mapped by f^k to the endpoints. Thus, there exists an endpoint p of s periodic for f ; one can assume that f is a fixed point.

First, notice that p cannot be a superattractive fixed point. To see this, take a small annulus \mathcal{P} around p . Since p is the endpoint of s and Γ is invariant under f^{-1} , there exists a dense subset of \mathcal{P} contained in Γ . This is a contradiction (we already know, that $\Gamma \cap \mathcal{P}$ consists of analytic curves).

Thus, $\lambda = |f'(p)| \neq 0$. We already know, that

$$\lim_{\substack{x \rightarrow p \\ x \in S}} \bar{u}(x) = -\infty.$$

It is easy to compute that in the neighbourhood of p

$$\bar{u}(x) \approx \log|x - p| \left(\alpha - \frac{\log d}{\log \lambda} \right).$$

On the other hand, p has a preimage q different from p and not being a critical value.

If $f^n(q) = p$ and $\deg_q f^n = t$, then $\bar{u} \approx \alpha \left(1 - \frac{1}{t} \right) \log|x - p|$ in the neighbourhood of p (see Corollary after Lemma 2).

This gives $t = \alpha \frac{\log \lambda}{\log d}$. Thus, $\lambda > 1$ and p is a source. As in the proof of Proposition 6 we check that the curve s and the Julia set J coincide.

Obviously, we can assume that the endpoints of s are $-1, 1$ and that $\infty \notin s$. Consider a two-sheet cover of \mathbb{S}^2 ramified over $1, -1$, given by the map $\pi: \bar{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$,

$$\pi(z) = \frac{z + z^{-1}}{2}.$$

The preimage of s under π is the piecewise smooth Jordan curve t dissecting $\bar{\mathbb{C}}$ into two topological discs D_1, D_2 , each of them being mapped by π onto the complement of s .

The map \tilde{f} defined by $\pi^{-1} \circ f \circ \pi$ on D_1 and D_2 extends to a continuous (and thus also analytic and rational) map on $\bar{\mathbb{C}}$ with $J(\tilde{f}) = t$. Moreover, $\log|\tilde{f}'|$ is homologous to $\log d$ and we conclude from Proposition 6 that t is a geometric circle and \tilde{f} is conjugate to $z \rightarrow z^d$ by some homography \tilde{h} . Since $\tilde{f}\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) = \frac{1}{\tilde{f}(z)}$, t must be the unit circle S^1 and h may be taken as $\tilde{h}(z) = \frac{z - a}{1 - \bar{a}z}$; $a \in D$ is the superattractive fixed point of f . The other fixed point is $\frac{1}{a}$ (since $\tilde{f}\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) = \frac{1}{\tilde{f}(z)}$); it must be equal to $\tilde{h}^{-1}(\infty) = \frac{1}{\bar{a}}$, hence $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $\tilde{h}\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) = \frac{1}{\tilde{h}(z)}$ and there exists a homography h such that $\pi \circ \tilde{h} = h \circ \pi$. This homography gives the conjugacy between f and the Tchebysheff polynomial.

In fact, we have only checked that some iterate of f is conjugate to Tchebysheff polynomial. But now we already know that $J(f)$ is an interval (since $J(f) = J(f^k)$) and repeating the reasoning above we conclude that f or $-f$ is the Tchebysheff polynomial.

Thus, we have proved

Proposition 7. *If there exists a curve $s \in S$ homeomorphic to the interval and periodic under f , then f is conjugate by Möbius transformation to the Tchebysheff polynomial (up to sign).*

Actually, it is easy to check that in both cases described in Propositions 6 and 7 the set Γ is two-dimensional (i.e. $\text{int } \Gamma \cap J \neq \emptyset$). Thus we have

Corollary. *If $\alpha \log|f'|$ is homologous to $\log d$ then $\text{int } \Gamma \cap J \neq \emptyset$.*

So, it remains to consider the case $\text{int } \Gamma \cap J = \emptyset$. This will be done in the next section.

§ 8. Two-dimensional set Γ

Throughout this section we assume that $\text{int } \Gamma \cap J \neq \emptyset$.

Lemma 8. *If $\text{int } \Gamma \cap J \neq \emptyset$, then Γ is an open connected set and every point in $\partial\Gamma$ is either a critical value or a sink.*

Proof. There exists $y \in J \cap \text{int } \Gamma$. Let s be (as before) the connected component of y in Γ . We claim that s is an open set. Indeed, the set $\{x \in s : x \in \text{int } \Gamma\}$ is open and closed in Γ . (If $x_n \in \text{int } \Gamma$ and $x_n \rightarrow x \in \Gamma$, then x must be in $\text{int } \Gamma$. Otherwise (by Lemma 5) in the neighbourhood of $x \in \Gamma$ consists of a finite number of analytic curves, thus $x_n \notin \text{int } \Gamma$ for large n).

Now, let z belong to ∂s . We claim that z is a critical value or a sink. Otherwise, as $z \in \partial s \subset \bar{s}$, then $z \in \Gamma$ (by definition of Γ). Thus, in a small ball B around z the set Γ is a sum of a finite number of curves and isolated points (but then there are no points of $s = \text{int}(s)$ in B) or the whole B (but then $z \in \partial s$).

It follows that $s \cup \partial s = \bar{C}$ (since ∂s is at most countable). This ends the proof. \square

The next lemma is in fact a repetition of Proposition 4 of Sect. 5 and therefore the proof will be only sketched.

Lemma 9. *If $c \notin J$ is a critical point then $c \in \partial s$ and c is periodic.*

Proof. Since the function u can be extended to the whole set $s = \bar{C} - \partial s$, we can use the same method (studying of singularities of \bar{u}) as in the proof of Proposition 4, § 5. If $c \notin \partial s$, then the function \bar{u} is bounded in the neighbourhood of c and we conclude (as in Proposition 4 and Lemma 3b) that some image of c is a source. But there are no sources outside of J . Thus, $c \in \partial s$ and c is a critical value by Lemma 8 above. Since there are only finitely many critical points, it follows that c is periodic.

Take an arbitrary critical point $c_0 \notin J$. We can assume that $f(c_0) = c_0$ (replacing f by some iterate of f). We claim that $\deg_{c_0} f = d$. Otherwise, there exists a point $x \neq c_0$ such that $f(x) = c_0$. The point x must be a critical value (again by a reasoning like in the proof of Prop. 4). Thus, there exists a critical point $c_1 \neq c_0$ such that $f^k(c_1) = c_0$. Obviously, one can require that c_1 is not a critical value. But this contradicts Lemma 9 above. \square

Now, we have again two cases. The first possibility is that there are no critical points in J . Then f must have two superattractive points with maximal

degree. Then the Julia set is a circle and f is conjugate by a Möbius transformation to $z \mapsto z^d$ (compare the proof of Proposition 6). Since we have replaced f by some iterate f^k , actually we know that f^k is conjugate to $z \mapsto z^{dk}$. This implies that f itself is conjugate to $z \mapsto z^d$ or $z \mapsto z^{-d}$. Notice that the corresponding orbifold is $\mathcal{O}=(\infty, \infty)$ and $\chi(\mathcal{O})=0$, thus \mathcal{O} is parabolic.

The second possibility is that there are critical points in J . Then there is only one critical superattractive point of maximal degree in $\partial\Gamma$ and (sending this point to ∞ by a rotation) we can assume that f is a polynomial.

Moreover, the map $f: \mathcal{O}_f \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_f$ is a covering map of orbifolds. It follows (as in the corollary after Proposition 4) that \mathcal{O}_f is parabolic. The only parabolic orbifold corresponding to the polynomial with critical points in the Julia set is $(2, 2, \infty)$. It corresponds to the Tchebysheff polynomial (up to sign). (Compare [DH], § 9).

We summarize the results of this section in

Proposition 8. *If $\alpha \log|f'|$ is homologous to $\log d$ and $\text{int } \Gamma \cap J \neq \emptyset$, then f is conjugate by a Möbius transformation to one of the following maps:*

$$\begin{aligned} z \mapsto z^d & \text{ or} \\ z \mapsto z^{-d} & \text{ or} \end{aligned}$$

\pm Tchebysheff polynomial.

In this way, the proof of Theorem 1 has been completed.

§ 9. Hausdorff dimension of the Julia set

In this section we shall prove

Theorem 2. *Hausdorff dimensions of the Julia set J and of the measure m are equal iff f is critically finite with parabolic orbifold (i.e. $\alpha \log|f'| - \log d$ is homologous to zero).*

Proof. We shall work in the natural extension $(\tilde{J}, \tilde{m}, \tilde{f})$.

Let B be a ball in \mathbb{C} . Recall that in § 5 we introduced a notion of good branches of f^{-n} defined on B ; a branch f_v^{-n} is good if

$$f_v^{-n} \text{ is well-defined in } 2 \cdot B$$

and

$$\text{diam } f_v^{-n}(B) < K \exp(-n\delta).$$

In the Basic Lemma (§ 5) we proved the following: there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for every $\tilde{\varepsilon} > 0$ there is $M \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ so that if there are no critical values up to order M in B then one can find a subset $\tilde{K}_B \subset \tilde{B} = \pi^{-1}(B)$ of \tilde{m} -measure bigger than $(1 - \tilde{\varepsilon})m(B)$ and consisting of “good” trajectories. (The trajectories $(\dots x_{-k}, x_{-k+1}, \dots, x_0, x_1, \dots)$ is good if x_{-k} is an image of x_0 under some “good” branch of f^{-k} defined on B .)

Let p_1, \dots, p_s be critical values up to order M . Take $r > 0$ small and $\varepsilon > 0$.

Let B_1, \dots, B_s be balls centered at p_i 's with radius r . Let \mathcal{B} be a cover of the remaining set $\bar{\mathbb{C}} \cup B_i$ with balls of radius $\frac{r}{4}$. If r is small enough then

$$\tilde{m}\left(\bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} \tilde{K}_B\right) > 1 - \varepsilon.$$

Fix a ball $B \subset \bar{\mathbb{C}}$.

Let \mathcal{F}_n be the set of branches f_v^{-n} defined in B such that f_v^{-n} is well-defined in $2 \cdot B$, $\text{diam } f_v^{-n}(B) \leq \exp\left(-n \frac{\delta}{2}\right)$ and $f_v^{-n}(B) \subset \frac{1}{2} B$.

For $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we define

$$S_n^t(B) = \sum_{v \in \mathcal{F}_n} \sup_{x \in B} |(f_v^{-n})'(x)|^t.$$

Assume that $\alpha \log|f'| - \log d$ is not homologous to zero. Then the asymptotic variance σ^2 (see Prop. 3, § 4) is non-zero.

Proposition 9. *If $\sigma^2 \neq 0$, then there exists a ball B such that the sequence $S_n^2(B)$ is unbounded.*

Proof. We know that the sequence $\phi, \phi \circ f, \dots, \phi \circ f^n, \dots$ satisfies the Central Limit Theorem, since $\sigma^2 \neq 0$ (compare Prop. 3, § 4), (recall that $\phi = \alpha \log|f'| - \log d$). It follows that

$$\tilde{m}(\{\tilde{x} \in \tilde{J}: S_n \phi(\tilde{x}) < -A\sigma\sqrt{n}\}) \rightarrow \Psi(-A)$$

where Ψ is the distributant of the normal distribution.

Obviously (if ε is small) there exists a ball B of our cover \mathcal{B} such that the inequality

$$\tilde{m}(\{\tilde{x} \in \tilde{J}: S_n \phi(\tilde{x}) < -A\sigma\sqrt{n} \text{ and } \tilde{f}^n(\tilde{x}) \in \tilde{K}_B\}) > \beta > 0$$

holds for some β and infinitely many n .

By the topological exactness of f we know that for some $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ $f^l(\frac{1}{4}B) \supset J$.

Let $q_1 \dots q_m$ be critical values up to order l .

Let $D_i (i = 1, \dots, m)$ be a ball of radius ρ around q_i .

Choose $\rho > 0$ small enough to have

(*) $\tilde{m}(\{\tilde{x} \in \tilde{J}: \pi(\tilde{x}) \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^m 2 \cdot D_i, S_{n-l} \phi(\tilde{x}) < -A\sigma\sqrt{n-l}, \tilde{f}^{n-l}(\tilde{x}) \in \tilde{K}_B\}) > \beta'$ for some $\beta' > 0$ and infinitely many n .

Denote by \mathcal{D}_n the set of points satisfying the condition above. For every $\tilde{x} \in \mathcal{D}_n$ we choose a preimage of $x_0 = \pi(\tilde{x})$ under f^l lying in $\frac{1}{4}B$ (this can be done since $f^l(\frac{1}{4}B) \supset J$). This preimage will be denoted by x^l .

The point x^l corresponds to some branch f_v^{-n} defined on $2 \cdot B$; this is a composition of a branch $f_t^{-(n-l)}$ sending $x_{n-l} = \pi(f^{n-l}(\tilde{x}))$ to $x_0 = \pi(\tilde{x})$ and a branch f_n^{-l} sending x_0 to x^l . This branch is well-defined on the image $f_t^{-(n-l)}(B)$

for n large, because $f_\tau^{-(n-l)}$ is a good branch, i.e. $\text{diam}(f_\tau^{-(n-l)}(B)) < K \exp(-(n-l)\delta)$. Since x_0 lies outside $2 \cdot D_i$, the whole image $f_\tau^{-(n-l)}(B)$ does not intersect D_i . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{diam}(f_v^{-n}(B)) &\leq \sup_{z \notin \cup D_i} |(f_\eta^{-l})'(z)| \cdot \text{diam}(f_\tau^{-(n-l)}(B)) \\ &\leq \sup_{z \notin \cup D_i} |(f_\eta^{-l})'(z)| \cdot K \exp(-\delta(n-l)) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{\delta}{2}n\right) \end{aligned}$$

if n is large. Also, $f_v^{-n}(B) \subset \frac{1}{2}B$ for large n , since $x^l \in \frac{1}{4}B$ and $\text{diam}(f_v^{-n}(B)) < \exp\left(-\frac{\delta}{2}n\right)$.

Thus, f_v^{-n} is in \mathcal{F}_n . Denote the set of branches obtained in this way by \mathcal{G}_n . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{y \in B} |(f_v^{-n})'(y)|^\alpha &\geq |(f_v^{-n})'(f^n(x^l))|^\alpha = \exp(-\alpha \log |(f^n)'(x^l)| \\ &\quad + n \log d - n \log d) = \frac{1}{d^n} \exp(-S_n \phi(x^l)) \geq \frac{1}{d^n} \exp(A' \sigma \sqrt{n}) \\ &= m(f_v^{-n}(B)) \cdot \exp(A' \sigma \sqrt{n}) \end{aligned}$$

(the constant $A' < A$ was introduced here to neglect the derivative of f^l).

Moreover,

$$m\left(\bigcup_{v \in \mathcal{G}_n} f_v^{-n}(B)\right) = \frac{1}{d^l} m\left(\bigcup_{v \in \mathcal{G}_n} f_v^{-(n-l)}(B)\right) \geq \frac{1}{d^l} m(\pi(\mathcal{D}_n)) = \frac{1}{d^l} \beta'.$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{v \in \mathcal{F}_n} \sup_{x \in B} |(f_v^{-n})'(x)|^\alpha \geq \sum_{v \in \mathcal{G}_n} \sup_{x \in B} |(f_v^{-n})'(x)|^\alpha \geq \exp(A' \sigma \sqrt{n}) \frac{1}{d^l} \beta'.$$

and the sequence $S_n^\alpha(B)$ is unbounded. \square

Remark. In fact, $S_n^\alpha(B)$ grows exponentially with n . \triangle

We fix this ball B . (Actually, the statement of Proposition 9 is true for every small ball B). Keeping the assumption $\sigma^2 \neq 0$ we have

Proposition 10. *There exists a subset $X \subset J$ invariant under f^n (for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$) such that $f^n|_X$ is expanding and $HD(X) > \alpha$.*

Proof. Fix n large (to be precised later on).

Define a set

$$X_1 = \bigcup_{v \in \mathcal{F}_n} f_v^{-n}(B).$$

Now, we define X :

$$X = \{x \in B : \forall k \geq 1 f^{nk}(x) \in X_1\},$$

i.e. X is an intersection of a descending sequence of sets X_k ; every X_k is a sum of topological discs and

$$X_{k+1} = \bigcup_{v \in \mathcal{F}_n} f_v^{-n}(X_k).$$

The set X is invariant under forward iterations of f^n and $f^n|X$ is expanding (by the definition of \mathcal{F}_n).

We estimate the usual topological pressure $P_X(-\alpha \log|(f^n)'|)$ for the map $f^n|X$ and the function $-\alpha \log|(f^n)'|$ (which is Lipschitz continuous on X).

In the following computation D is a component of X_k ; C is a component of X_1 .

$$\begin{aligned} P_X(-\alpha \log|(f^n)'|) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k} \log \left(\sum_D \inf_{x \in D} \frac{1}{|(f^{nk})'|^\alpha(x)} \right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{k} \log \left(\sum_C \inf_{x \in C} \frac{1}{|(f^n)'(x)|^\alpha} \right)^k \\ &= \log \left(\sum_{v \in \mathcal{F}_n} \inf_{y \in B} |(f_v^{-n})'(y)|^\alpha \right) \geq -\log L \\ &\quad + \log \left(\sum_{v \in \mathcal{F}_n} \sup_{y \in B} |(f_v^{-n})'(y)|^\alpha \right) \geq -\log L + \log S_n^\alpha(B) \end{aligned}$$

where L is an estimate of a distortion of f^{-n} in B (common for all branches, by the Distortion Theorem).

We fix n so that

$$\log S_n^\alpha(B) - \log L > 0$$

(this is possible since, by the previous Proposition, the sequence S_n is unbounded).

By the variational principle we know that

$$P_X(-\alpha \log|(f^n)'|) = \sup_{\kappa} (h_{\kappa} - \alpha \int \log|(f^n)'| d\kappa)$$

where supremum is taken over all measures κ f^n -invariant and ergodic. Thus, there exists a measure κ f^n -invariant ergodic with $\text{supp } \kappa \subset X$ such that

$$h_{\kappa}(f^n) - \alpha \int \log|(f^n)'| d\kappa > 0.$$

Hence, $HD(X) \geq HD(\kappa) = \alpha \frac{h_{\kappa}}{\log|(f^n)'| d\kappa}$.

This completes the proof of Proposition 10. \square

To finish the proof of Theorem 2, it remains to check that for maps with parabolic orbifold we have $HD(J) = HD(m)$.

For the map $z \mapsto z^{\pm d}$ m is just the Lebesgue measure on the circle. For Tchebysheff polynomials m is equivalent to the Lebesgue measure on the interval. Thus, we have $\alpha = 1 = HD(J) = HD(m)$.

If f has a parabolic orbifold and $J(f) = \mathbb{C}$, then $\alpha = HD(m) = 2 = HD(J)$. It is so, because every parabolic orbifold can be obtained as a quotient space

of action of a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ on \mathbb{C} . The lifted map $\tilde{f}: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is of the form $z \rightarrow az + b$, where $|a|^2 = \deg f$ (see [DH], § 9). The maximal entropy measure for f can be obtained as an image of the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{C} and is equivalent to the usual Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{C} .

Acknowledgement. I am very indebted to Feliks Przytycki who influenced very much this work and to whom I owe many ideas.

References

- [DH] Douady, A., Hubbard, J.H.: A proof of Thurston's characterization of rational functions (Preprint Institut Mittag-Leffler)
- [FLM] Freire, A., Lopes, A., Mañé: An invariant measure for rational maps. *Bol. Soc. Bras. Mat.* **14** (1983)
- [Go] Goluzin, G.M.: Geometric theory of functions of a complex variable. Translations of Mat. Monographs **26**, Am. Math. Soc. 1969
- [J] Jakobson, M.Yu.: Markov partition for rational endomorphisms of the Riemann sphere (in Russian), *Mnogokomponentnyje Slučajnyje Sistiemy*, Izdat. Nauka, Moscow 1978
- [M] Mañé, R.: On the uniqueness of the maximizing entropy measure for rational maps. *Bol. Soc. Bras. Mat.* **14** (1983)
- [Li] Livšic, A.N.: Cohomology of dynamical systems, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat.* **36**, 6 (1972)
- [Lju 1] Ljubich, M.Iu.: Private communication
- [Lju 2] Ljubich, M.Iu.: Entropy properties of rational endomorphisms of the Riemann sphere. *Ergodic Theory Dyn. Syst.* **3** (1983)
- [Ma-Mc] Manning, A., McCluskey, H.: Hausdorff dimension for horseshoes. *Ergodic Theory Dyn. Syst.* **3** (1983), *Errata* **5** (1985)
- [P1] Przytycki, F.: unpublished
- [P2] Przytycki, F.: Riemann map and holomorphic dynamics. *Invent. Math.* **85**, 439–455 (1986)
- [P3] Przytycki, F.: On holomorphic perturbations of $z \rightarrow z^n$. *Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci. Ser. Math.* **34**, 127–132 (1986)
- [Ph-St] Philipp, W., Stout, W.: Almost sure invariance principles for partial sums of weakly dependent random variables, *Memoirs of AMS* **161** (1975)
- [PUZ] Przytycki, F., Urbański, M., Zdunik, A.: Harmonic, Gibbs and Hausdorff measures on repellers for holomorphic maps. Preprint Univ. Warwick 1986; Part 1 in *Ann. of Math.* **130**, 1–40 (1989)
- [R] Ruelle, D.: An inequality for the entropy of differentiable maps. *Bol. Soc. Bras. Mat.* **9** (1978)
- [Su] Sullivan, D.: Seminar on conformal and hyperbolic geometry by D.P. Sullivan (Notes by M. Baker and J. Seade); Preprint IHES (1982)
- [Y] Young, L.S.: Dimension, entropy and Lyapunov exponent. *Ergodic Theory Dyn. Syst.* **2** (1982)
- [DH2] Douady, A., Hubbard, J.H.: Etude dynamique des polynomes complexes. I, *Publications Mathématiques d'Orsay* 84-02