EXPONENTIAL INSTABILITY OF COLLISION ORBIT IN THE ANISOTROPIC KEPLER PROBLEM

HARUO YOSHIDA

Department of Astronomy, Faculty of Sciences, University of Tokyo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113, Japan

and

Centre de Physique Theorique, Ecole Polytechnique, F-91128 Palaiseau, Cedex, France (present address)

(Received 13 November, 1986; Accepted 3 February, 1987)

Abstract. The straight-line collision solution in the anisotropic Kepler problem is extended to a periodic solution by means of Sundman's analytic continuation. It is shown that this collision periodic solution is always exponentially unstable.

1. Introduction

The anisotropic Kepler problem (in two dimension) is a two degrees of freedom Hamiltonian system

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}q}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p}, \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}p}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q},\tag{1.1}$$

with the Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{1}{2}(p_1^2 + p_2^2) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_1 q_1^2 + \mu_2 q_2^2}}.$$
 (1.2)

This system was first introduced by Gutzwiller [7]. The essential parameter of this system is the ratio μ_1/μ_2 , and when $\mu_1 = \mu_2$, this system reduces to usual integrable Kepler problem.

Among the topics studied on this system previously are, for example,

(i) Non-regularizability of collision orbit (Devaney [3], [4]) and

(ii) Heteroclinic and chaotic behaviors. (Gutzwiller [7], [8]).

Almost complete lists of references of the investigations on this system are found in Casasayas and Llibre [2] and a review paper by Devaney [5].

In the present paper, we adopt a complex-analytic approach, and we regard the solution of Equation (1.1) as a complex analytic function defined in the complex t-plane. The straight-line orbit on the q_1 -axis or q_2 -axis is obviously a solution of (1.1), which begins and ends at collision $q_1 = q_2 = 0$, when the value of energy is negative. By the so-called Sundman's analytic continuation ([9], [11], [14], [15]), this solution can be extended beyond the instance of collision and can be considered a periodic solution defined for entire real t, $-\infty < t < +\infty$. Stability of this periodic

Celestial Mechanics **40** (1987) 51–66. © 1987 by D. Reidel Publishing Company. solution is determined by the momodromy matrix M[T] of the associated variational equations (Hill's equation [10], [12]). The solution is exponentially unstable if and only if |trace M[T]| > 2. Our main result of this paper is

THEOREM

In the anisotropic Kepler problem (1.1), the analytically continued collisional periodic solution is exponentially unstable whenever $\mu_1 \neq \mu_2$.

The crucial point which makes the Theorem hold, is the fact that the variational equation can be transformed, by a change of independent variable, to Gauss hypergeometric equation, as in Yoshida [17] and [19]. There must be a close relation between our complex-analytic instability and previous studies on real instability of collision solution or its heteroclinic behaviors, which entirely rely on the blowing up of the so-called collision manifold. ([2], [3], [4], [5], [11]). To make this relation clear, is beyond the reach of present paper.

2. Collision Orbit and its Analytic Continuation

By the form of equations for q_i ,

$$d^{2}q_{i}/dt^{2} = -\mu_{i}q_{i}(\mu_{1}q_{1}^{2} + \mu_{2}q_{2}^{2})^{-3/2}, \quad (i = 1, 2),$$
(2.1)

it is obvious that straight-line orbit $q_1 = 0$ and $q_2 = 0$ are solutions of (1.1) or (2.1). More precisely, let

$$q_1 = c_1 \phi(t), \quad q_2 = c_2 \phi(t),$$
 (2.2)

be a particular solution of (2.1). This is possible when constants c_1 and c_2 satisfy the algebraic equations

$$c_i = \mu_i c_i (\mu_1 c_1^2 + \mu_2 c_2^2)^{-3/2}, \quad (i = 1, 2),$$
 (2.3)

and the function $\phi(t)$ satisfies the differential equation

$$d^2\phi/dt^2 + \phi^{-2} = 0. \tag{2.4}$$

When $\mu_1 \neq \mu_2$, we have two particular solutions (2.2) with

(i)
$$c_1 = \mu_1^{-1/6}, \quad c_2 = 0.$$
 (2.5)

(ii)
$$c_1 = 0, \quad c_2 = \mu_2^{-1/6}.$$
 (2.6)

Particular solution (2.5) is a straight-line solution on the q_1 -axis, and (2.6) on the q_2 -axis.

The function $\phi(t)$, which is a solution of Equation (2.4) represents a solution of one-dimensional Kepler problem. If we fix the initial condition at t = 0 as

$$\phi = 1, \quad \mathrm{d}\phi/\mathrm{d}t = 0, \tag{2.7}$$

then, integrating (2.4) once, we find that $\phi(t)$ is the inverse function of

$$t = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{\phi}^{1} \sqrt{u/(1-u)} \, du$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ \frac{\pi}{2} + \sqrt{\phi(1-\phi)} - \arctan[\sqrt{\phi/(1-\phi)}] \right\}.$$
(2.8)

Figure 1 shows the function $\phi(t)$, which begins at $t = -t_0$ and terminates at $t = t_0$, where

$$t_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_0^1 \sqrt{u/(1-u)} \, \mathrm{d}u = \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}.$$
 (2.9)

We now extend the function $\phi(t)$, or the straight-line solution (2.2), beyond the instance of collision at $t = t_0(-t_0)$ so that the solution can be defined in the interval $-\infty < t < +\infty$.

One can easily see that near the instance of collision, say $t = t_0$, $\phi(t)$ has a series expansion of the form

$$\phi(t) = (t_0 - t)^{2/3} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (t_0 - t)^{2n/3}, \qquad (2.10)$$

with real expansion coefficients a_n , which are successively determined by a recursion formula from $a_0 = (9/2)^{1/2}$. In the expression (2.10), $(t_0 - t)^{2/3}$ is real when $t < t_0$, so that $\phi(t)$ represents the real function in Figure 1 for $t < t_0$. Although the point $t = t_0$





Fig. 1. Graph of function $\phi(t)$ in the interval $-t_0 \le t \le t_0$.

HARUO YOSHIDA

is a singularity (algebraic branch point), we shall continue the function $\phi(t)$ for $t < t_0$ across the point t_0 and make its analytic continuation to $t_0 < t$. For this purpose, we define the following path, $T_{3\pi}$ (or $T_{-3\pi}$) in the complex *t*-plane. See Figure 2.

- (i) From t = 0 to $t = t_0 \delta$ (δ is a sufficiently small real positive number), on the real *t*-axis.
- (ii) From $t = t_0 \delta$ to $t = t_0 + \delta$, on a circle C with radius δ and with center at t_0 , where the argument of $(t_0 t)$ is increased by $+3\pi$ (or -3π).
- (iii) From $t = t_0 + \delta$ to $t = 2t_0$, on the real *t*-axis.

From expression (2.10) we see that when $\arg(t_0 - t)$ is increased $\pm 3\pi$ on the circle C, the function $\phi(t)$ again becomes real, since $[(t_0 - t)e^{\pm i3\pi}]^{2/3} = (t_0 - t)^{2/3}e^{\pm i2\pi} = (t_0 - t)^{2/3}$. Figure 2 and Figure 3 show the path of continuation in the complex t-plane and the graph of function $\phi(t)$, thus obtained, in the interval $[0, 2t_0]$. This continuation of solution physically means the elastic bounce of a particle in the one-dimensional Kepler problem, and this is also obtained in the limit of elliptic motion, e (eccentricity) $\rightarrow 1$, in the planer Kepler motion. This real-to-real continuation beyond a singularity, on the basis of series expansion, was first employed by Sundman in his research of collision in the restricted three body problem ([15], [9], [11], [14]), and is now called the Sundman's analytic continuation.

The path $T_{3\pi}$, or $T_{-3\pi}$, is, strictly speaking, not a period of the function $\phi(t)$, since $\arg(\phi)$ is changed by $\pm 2\pi$. We shall constitute a periodic function on its Riemann surface on which $\phi(t)$ is single valued, so that the function is periodic also in its argument. The simplest way to obtain periodic solution is to define the paths (periods) $T^{\langle 1 \rangle}$ and $T^{\langle 2 \rangle}$ by the succession of $T_{3\pi}$ and $T_{-3\pi}$ as

$$T^{\langle 1 \rangle} = T_{3\pi} \cdot T_{-3\pi}, \text{ and } T^{\langle 2 \rangle} = T_{-3\pi} \cdot T_{3\pi}.$$
 (2.11)



\bigcirc



Fig. 2. Paths (a): $T_{3\pi}$ and (b): $T_{-3\pi}$ in the complex *t*-plane.



Fig. 3. Graph of function $\phi(t)$ after collision.

With these periods, $\phi(t)$ becomes completely periodic, cancelling the change of argument. We denote the periodic function with period $T^{\langle 1 \rangle}$ by $\phi^{\langle 1 \rangle}(t)$, and with period $T^{\langle 2 \rangle}$ by $\phi^{\langle 2 \rangle}(t)$. Both $\phi^{\langle 1 \rangle}$ and $\phi^{\langle 2 \rangle}$ have the same value $4t_0$ as the 'length' of period, and are identical in the interval $[0, t_0]$ and $[3t_0, 4t_0]$. In the interval $[t_0, 3t_0]$, arg $\phi^{\langle 1 \rangle} - \arg \phi^{\langle 2 \rangle} = 4\pi$, and this means that $\phi^{\langle 1 \rangle}$ and $\phi^{\langle 2 \rangle}$ are defined on different Riemann sheets. Figure 4 shows the two periods (paths) $T^{\langle 1 \rangle}$ and $T^{\langle 2 \rangle}$. Figure 5 shows the graph of absolute value of periodic functions $\phi^{\langle 1 \rangle}(t)$ and $\phi^{\langle 2 \rangle}(t)$, both of which is identical. Figure 6 represents the change of arguments.





Fig. 4. Paths (Periods) (a): $T^{\langle 1 \rangle}$ and (b): $T^{\langle 2 \rangle}$ in the complex *t*-plane.



Fig. 5. Graph of $|\phi(t)|$ for one period.

3. Monodromy Matrices of the Variational Equations

The (linear) variational equations of (2.1) along the particular periodic solution (2.2)have the form

$$d^{2}\xi_{i}/dt^{2} + \lambda_{i}\phi(t)^{-3}\xi_{i} = 0, \quad (i = 1, 2)$$
(3.1)

with $\xi_i = \delta q_i$, where $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = (\mu_1/\mu_2, -2)$ for the periodic solution with (2.5), and





Fig. 6. Graph of (a): $\arg \phi^{\langle 1 \rangle}(t)$ and (b): $\arg \phi^{\langle 2 \rangle}(t)$.

 $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = (-2, \mu_2/\mu_1)$ for the second one with (2.6). In (3.1), function $\phi(t)$ represents the periodic function $\phi^{\langle 1 \rangle}(t)$ or $\phi^{\langle 2 \rangle}(t)$ defined in section 2. Dropping the subscript *i*, we generally consider the equation, called the Hill's equation ([10], [12]),

$$d^{2}\xi/dt^{2} + A(t)\xi = 0, \qquad (3.2)$$

with periodic coefficient A(t) of period T. Let $\xi^{(1)}(t)$ and $\xi^{(2)}(t)$ be two independent solutions of Equation (3.2), and make the fundamental system of solution $\Xi(t)$, by $\Xi(t) = [\xi^{(1)}(t), \xi^{(2)}(t)]$. Since A(t) is periodic with period T, both $\Xi(t)$ and $\Xi(t+T)$ can be fundamental systems of solution. Therefore, there must be a linear relation of the type,

$$\Xi(t+T) = \Xi(t)M[T]. \tag{3.3}$$

The 2 by 2 constant matrix M[T] is called the monodromy matrix of Equation (3.2), associated with the fundamental system $\Xi(t)$. Since (3.2) is derived by a Hamiltonian, it follows that det M[T] = 1. Therefore, eigenvalues of the matrix M[T], called characteristic multipliers, always appear as a pair, ρ and ρ^{-1} . Solution of Equation (3.2) is stable if |trace M[T]| < 2 (i.e. ρ is a complex number of unit modulus) and is exponentially unstable if and only if |trace M[T]| > 2 (i.e. ρ is real). ([1], [12])

There exists no universal procedure to give the explicit expression of the monodromy matrix M[T], though direct numerical integration of Equation (3.2) could give the value of M[T] up to any desired degree of precision ([1], p. 116). However, in our special case of Hill's equation

$$d^{2}\xi/dt^{2} + \lambda\phi(t)^{-3}\xi = 0, \qquad (3.4)$$

we can write down the monodromy matrix, explicitly. This relies on the fact that Equation (3.4) is transformed, by a change of independent variable t to z, defined by

$$z = 1/\phi(t), \tag{3.5}$$

to the Gauss hypergeometric equation [6], [13], [16]

$$z(1-z)d^{2}\xi/dz^{2} + [c - (a+b+1)z]d\xi/dz - ab\xi = 0,$$
(3.6)

with special values of parameters

$$a + b = 3/2, \quad ab = \lambda/2, \quad c = 2.$$
 (3.7)

Transformation of equation from (3.4) to (3.7) is given in Appendix A, in a more generalized form. It is noted that Equation (3.4) is discussed also by Nahon [18] with its another transformation to Gauss Equation (3.6).

Let $u^{(1)}(z)$ and $u^{(2)}(z)$ be a set of independent solutions of hypergeometric Equation (3.6). Then, by defining $U(z) = [u^{(1)}(z), u^{(2)}(z)]$, we see that $\Xi(t) = U(z) =$ $U(1/\phi(t))$ gives a fundamental system of Equation (3.4). Thus, to evaluate the matrix M[T], we have only to express $\Xi(t+T)$ in terms of $\Xi(t)$. A path, $t \to t+T$, in the complex t-plane, with T a period of $\phi(t)$, is mapped by (3.5) to a closed path γ in the complex z-plane. Let the change of the fundamental system U(z) of hypergeometric Equation (3.6) along the closed path γ be

$$U(z\gamma) = U(z)M[\gamma], \qquad (3.8)$$

with a 2 by 2 constant matrix $M[\tau]$, also called the monodromy matrix or circuit matrix of hypergeometric Equation. ([6], [13]) In (3.8), $U(z\gamma)$ means the result of analytic continuation of U(z) along the closed path γ which begins and ends at z. Since $U(z) = \Xi(t)$ and $U(z\gamma) = \Xi(t + T)$ by the definition of closed path γ , (3.3) and (3.8) give $M[T] = M[\gamma]$. The closed path γ is, in generally, not 0-contractable, because of the presence of singularities at $z = 0, 1, and \infty$. We shall denote by γ_0 and γ_1 two closed paths in the complex z-plane with a common fixed base point on the real z-axis (0 < z < 1), which make circuit the singularities z = 0 and z = 1, once in the positive direction (anti-clockwise), respectively. See Figure 7. Then any closed path in the complex z-plane with the same base point is expressed as a noncommutative product of γ_0 , γ_1 and their inverse (inverse circuit), γ_0^{-1} and γ_1^{-1} .

A possible choice of two independent solutions of hypergeometric Equation (3.6) is to take

$$u^{(1)}(z) = \frac{\Gamma(c-b)\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(c)} F(a,b;c;z), \qquad (3.9)$$

$$u^{(2)}(z) = e^{-\pi i b} \frac{\Gamma(a+1-c)\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(a+b+1-c)} F(a,b;a+b+1-c;1-z),$$
(3.10)

where F(a, b; c; z) represents the Gauss hypergeometric function defined by the Gauss hypergeometric series

$$F(a,b;c;z) = 1 + \frac{a \cdot b}{c \cdot 1} z + \frac{a(a+1)b(b+1)}{c(c+1)1 \cdot 2} z^2 + \cdots$$
(3.11)

and its analytic continuation beyond the circle of convergence |z| = 1 ([6], [16]). The scalar factors involving gamma function $\Gamma(x)$ in (3.9) and (3.10) are added to make later manipulations simple. In what follows, we assume that none of parameters *a*, *b* and *c* is zero or negative integer. With this assumption, (3.9) and (3.10)

become, in fact, independent. To see this, let there be a linear relation



Fig. 7. Closed paths γ_0 and γ_1 in the complex z-plane.

 $u^{(1)}(z) = \alpha u^{(2)}(z)$ with some constant α . Then, the function $u^{(1)}(z)$, which is holomorphic at z = 0, also becomes holomorphic at z = 1. Thus, function $u^{(1)}(z)$ becomes holomorphic for entire finite z, $|z| < \infty$. This implies that the hypergeometric series (3.11) terminates at some finite term, and becomes a polynomial. This occurs only when one of a and b is a negative integer or zero.

With these independent solutions, the changes of fundamental system U(z) along the closed paths γ_0 and γ_1 are expressed as

$$U(z\gamma_0) = U(z)M[\gamma_0], \qquad (3.12)$$

and

$$U(z\gamma_1) = U(z)M[\gamma_1], \qquad (3.13)$$

where,

$$M[\gamma_0] = \begin{bmatrix} 1, & e^{-2\pi i b} - e^{-2\pi i c} \\ 0, & e^{-2\pi i c} \end{bmatrix},$$
(3.14)

$$M[\gamma_1] = \begin{bmatrix} e^{2\pi i(c-a-b)}, & 0\\ 1 - e^{2\pi i(c-a)}, & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$
(3.15)

Derivation of (3.13) and (3.14) from the 'connection formula' of hypergeometric function is given in Appendix B, for generic values of parameters a, b and c. In our case of special value of parameter (c = 2), we need a direct proof which makes use of the Euler integral representation of (3.9) and (3.10) as seen, for example, in Plemelj [13], though both of which give the same expressions (3.13) and (3.14).

4. Proof of Theorem

The images of paths $T^{\langle 1 \rangle}$ and $T^{\langle 2 \rangle}$ of (2.11) in the complex *t*-plane by the conformal mapping (3.5), are closed paths in the complex z-plane, which are expressed as

$$\gamma^{\langle 1 \rangle} = \gamma_0^{-1} \gamma_1 \gamma_0 \gamma_1, \qquad (4.1)$$

for $T^{\langle 1 \rangle}$, and

$$\gamma^{\langle 2 \rangle} = \gamma_1 \gamma_0 \gamma_1 \gamma_0^{-1},$$

for
$$T^{\langle 2 \rangle}$$
. Proof is given in Appendix C. Thus we have

$$M[T^{\langle 1 \rangle}] = M[\gamma^{\langle 1 \rangle}]$$

= $M[\gamma_0]^{-1}M[\gamma_1]M[\gamma_0]M[\gamma_1],$

and

$$M[T^{\langle 2 \rangle}] = M[\gamma^{\langle 2 \rangle}]$$

= $M[\gamma_1]M[\gamma_0]M[\gamma_1]M[\gamma_0]^{-1}.$ (4.4)

In our case of hypergeometric equation with special values of parameters (3.7),

(4.3)

expressions (3.13) and (3.14) reduce to

$$M[\gamma_0] = \begin{bmatrix} 1, & -B \\ 0, & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad M[\gamma_1] = \begin{bmatrix} -1, & 0 \\ A, & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{4.5}$$

with

$$A = 1 - e^{-2\pi i a}, \quad B = 1 - e^{-2\pi i b}.$$
(4.6)

Note that (4.5) with (4.6) is valid at least when none of *a* and *b* is integer. Thus, from (4.3), (4.4) and (4.5), we find that, common to $T^{\langle 1 \rangle}$ and $T^{\langle 2 \rangle}$, the value of trace of monodromy matrix has the explicit expression

trace
$$M[T] = 2 - A^2 B^2$$

= 2 + 4 cos²{ $\sqrt{9 - 8\lambda} \pi/2$ }. (4.7)

Figure 8 shows the graph of trace M[T] as a function of λ . From (4.7), we see that trace M[T] > 2, except for the set of distinct values of λ , i.e.

$$\lambda = 1, 0, -2, -5, -9, \dots,$$
 (4.8)

Therefore, except for the value of parameter λ in (4.8), solution of Equation (3.4) is known to be exponentially unstable. One comment is necessary here. We have derived the expression (4.7) on the assumption that none of *a* and *b* is an integer. In the case when *a* or *b* is an integer, or from (4.6) and (4.7), the case trace M[T] = 2, our assumption becomes false. Nevertheless, expression (4.7) becomes valid for all values of λ , since trace M[T] must be a continuous function of a parameter λ , which enters Equation (3.4) as a coefficient.

trace M(T)



In our original variational Equations (3.1), we find that when $\mu_1 \neq \mu_2$ (i.e. μ_1/μ_2 is a positive integer not equal to one), one of λ_i in (3.1) is always out of values (4.8) for both collision periodic solutions on the q_1 -axis and on the q_2 -axis. This completes the proof of THEOREM.

Appendix A. Derivation of Hypergeometric Equation (3.6). [17]

Let $\phi(t)$ be the solution of the differential equation

$$d^2\phi/dt^2 + \phi^{k-1} = 0. \tag{A.1}$$

with an integer k ($k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$), and the fixed initial condition, $\phi = 1$ and $d\phi/dt = 0$ at t = 0. Then consider the linear equation

$$d^{2}\xi/dt^{2} + \lambda\phi(t)^{k-2}\xi = 0.$$
 (A.2)

Equation (A.2) arises, as in (3.1), as a component of variational equations along homothetic straight-line solution of a Hamiltonian system

$$H = \frac{1}{2}p^2 + V(q), \tag{A.3}$$

with potential V(q), a homogeneous function of degree k. Integrating (A.1) once, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{d}\phi/\mathrm{d}t)^2 + \frac{1}{k}\phi^k = \frac{1}{k},\tag{A.4}$$

which determines $\phi(t)$ as the inverse function of

$$t = \sqrt{\frac{k}{2}} \int_{\phi}^{1} \frac{\mathrm{d}u}{\sqrt{1 - u^{k}}}.$$
 (A.5)

We make the change of independent variable, from t to z by

$$z = [\phi(t)]^k. \tag{A.6}$$

Then, in (A.2),

$$d^{2}\xi/dt^{2} = (dz/dt)^{2} \cdot d^{2}\xi/dz^{2} + d^{2}z/dt^{2} \cdot d\xi/dz.$$
 (A.7)

Differentiating (A.6) with use of (A.1), (A.4) and (A.6) itself, we find that

$$(dz/dt)^2 = 2k\phi(t)^{k-2}z(1-z),$$
 (A.8)

$$d^{2}z/dt^{2} = 2\phi(t)^{k-2}\{(1-3k/2)z+k-1\},$$
(A.9)

and finally that Equation (A.2) is transformed to Gauss hypergeometric Equation (3.6) with values of parameters

$$a + b = (k - 2)/2k, ab = -\lambda/2k, c = (k - 1)/k.$$
 (A.10)

When k = -1, that is our case, (A.1), (A.2), (A.6) and (A.10) reduce to (2.4), (3.2), (3.5) and (3.7), respectively.

Appendix B. Derivation of (3.14) and (3.15)

Among the Kummer's 24 solutions ([6], [16]) of hypergeometric Equation (3.6), we take the following 4 ones,

$$F_0^{(1)}(z) = F(a, b; c; z), \tag{B.1}$$

$$F_0^{(2)}(z) = z^{1-c}F(a+1-c, b+1-c; 2-c; z),$$
(B.2)

$$F_1^{(1)}(z) = F(a, b; a + b + 1 - c; 1 - z),$$
(B.3)

$$F_1^{(2)}(z) = (1-z)^{c-a-b} F(c-a, c-b; c+1-a-b; 1-z).$$
(B.4)

Since the order of differential equation is two, among any three solution above, there exists a linear relation, called the connection formula of hypergeometric function. One of them is ([6], p. 107, formula (33))

$$F_0^{(1)}(z) = C_{11} F_1^{(1)}(z) + C_{12} F_1^{(2)}(z),$$
(B.5)

with $-\pi < \arg(1-z) < \pi$, and

$$C_{11} = \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c-a-b)}{\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(c-b)}, \quad c_{12} = \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(a+b-c)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)}.$$
 (B.6)

Another one is ([6], p. 107, formula (35))

$$F_1^{(1)}(z) = D_{11}F_0^{(1)}(z) + D_{12}F_0^{(2)}(z),$$
(B.7)

with $-\pi < \arg(z) < \pi$, and

$$D_{11} = \frac{\Gamma(a+b+1-c)\Gamma(1-c)}{\Gamma(a+1-c)\Gamma(b+1-c)}, \quad D_{12} = \frac{\Gamma(a+b+1-c)\Gamma(c-1)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)}.$$
 (B.8)

which is also obtained from (B.5) by the substitutions, $z \rightarrow 1-z$ and $c \rightarrow a + b + 1 - c$. A comment is that formula (B.5) and (B.7) indeed hold for all values of parameters a, b and c, for which the gamma factors in (B.6) and (B.8) are finite. We shall assume this below.

 $F_0^{(1)}(z)$ is holomorphic and single-value in the domain |z| < 1. This implies $F_0^{(1)}(z\gamma_0) = F_0^{(1)}(z)$, and consequently

$$u^{(1)}(z\gamma_0) = u^{(1)}(z),$$
 (B.9)

since, $u^{(1)}(z)$ is only a scalar multiple of $F_0^{(1)}(z)$. Similarly, by the single-valuedness of $F_1^{(1)}(z)$ in the domain |z-1| < 1, we have the identity

$$u^{(2)}(z\gamma_1) = u^{(2)}(z).$$
 (B.10)

We have to take care, when we evaluate $F_1^{(1)}(z\gamma_0)$, for example. In the common regions of |z| < 1 and |z-1| < 1, where the base point of γ_0 exists, we can express $F_0^{(1)}(z)$ uniquely as the right hand side of (B.7). Then, make a circuit $z \rightarrow z\gamma_0$. Because $F_0^{(2)}(z)$ is multiplied $e^{-2\pi i c}$ by this circuit, we have

$$F_1^{(1)}(z\gamma_0) = D_{11}F_0^{(1)}(z) + D_{12}F_0^{(2)}(z)e^{-2\pi i c}.$$
(B.11)

Elimination of $F_0^{(2)}(z)$ in (B.11) with re-use of (B.7), expresses the right hand side of (B.11) in terms of $F_0^{(1)}(z)$ and $F_1^{(1)}(z)$. Then, multiply the scalar factor in (3.10), and use the formula of gamma function

$$\Gamma(x)\Gamma(1-x) = \pi/\sin(\pi x). \tag{B.12}$$

Thus, we have finally

$$u^{(2)}(z\gamma_0) = u^{(1)}(z)[e^{-2\pi i b} - e^{-2\pi i c}] + u^{(2)}(z)e^{-2\pi i c}.$$
(B.13)

Next, expressing $F_0^{(1)}(z)$ in the right hand side of (B.5) and making the circuit $z \rightarrow z\gamma_1$, we have

$$F_0^{(1)}(z\gamma_1) = C_{11}F_1^{(1)}(z) + C_{12}F_1^{(2)}(z)e^{2\pi i(c-a-b)}.$$
(B.14)

Similar manipulation as above gives

$$u^{(1)}(z\gamma_1) = u^{(1)}(z)e^{2\pi i(c-a-b)} + u^{(2)}(z)[1 - e^{2\pi i(c-a)}].$$
(B.15)

Combination of (B.9) and (B.13) proves the expression of $M[\gamma_0]$ in (3.14), and combination of (B.10) and (B.15), proves that of $M[\gamma_1]$ in (3.15).

Appendix C. Proof of (4.1) and (4.2)

Points $t = 0, 2t_0, 4t_0, ...$, in the complex t-plane are mapped by (3.5) to z = 1, which is a singularity of hypergeometric Equation (3.6), and this makes some difficulty in evaluating the images of mapping. To avoid this difficulty, we re-define the path $T_{3\pi}$ as a composition of the following five parts, with introduction of a sufficiently small real positive number ε . (The limit $\varepsilon \to 0$ will be taken finally.) [Figure 9]

- (a) : Path on the circle $t = \varepsilon e^{i\theta}$, where θ increases from $-\pi/2$ to 0.
- (b) : Path on the real *t*-axis, from $t = \varepsilon$ to $t = t_0 \delta$.
- (c) : Path on the circle $t = t_0 \delta e^{i\psi}$, where ψ increases from 0 to 3π .
- (d) : Path on the real t-axis, from $t = t_0 + \delta$ to $t = 2t_0 \varepsilon$.
- (e) : Path on the circle $t = 2t_0 \varepsilon e^{i\theta}$, where θ increases from 0 to $\pi/2$.





Fig. 9. Modification of paths $T_{3\pi}$ and $T_{-3\pi}$ in the complex *t*-plane.



Fig. 10. Image of $T_{3\pi}$ by the mapping $w = \phi(t)$ in the complex w-plane.

Definition of $T_{-3\pi}$ is made only by replacing -3π instead of 3π in (c). First we examine the image of above path $T_{3\pi}$, by the mapping $w = \phi(t)$ in the complex w-plane. For the images of (a) and (e), we need the expansion of the function $\phi(t)$,

$$\phi(t) = 1 - (1/2)\tau^2 + O(\tau^4), \quad \tau = t - t_*, \tag{C.1}$$

around the points $t_* = 0, 2t_0, 4t_0, ... \bigcirc$ For the image of (c), we make use of the expansion (2.10). These tell us that the image of path $T_{3\pi}$ in the complex w-plane becomes as follows. [Figure 10]

- $\phi(a)$: Path on the circle $w = 1 + \varepsilon_1 e^{i\theta}$, where θ increases from 0 to π , and $\varepsilon_1 = (1/2)\varepsilon^2 + 0(\varepsilon^4)$.
- $\phi(b)$: Path on the real w-axis from $w = 1 \varepsilon_1$ to $w = \delta_1$, where $\delta_1 = \sqrt{2/9} \delta^{2/3} \{1 + 0(\delta^{2/3})\}$.
- $\phi(c)$: Path on the circle $w = \delta_1 e^{i\psi}$, where ψ increases from 0 to 2π .
- $\phi(d)$: Path on the real w-axis from $w = \delta_1$ to $w = 1 \varepsilon_1$.





Fig. 11. Image of $T_{3\pi}$, by the mapping $z = 1/\phi(t)$, in the complex z-plane.



Fig. 12. Image of $T_{-3\pi}$, by the mapping $z = 1/\phi(t)$, in the complex z-plane.

 $\phi(e)$: Path on the circle $w = 1 + \varepsilon_1 e^{i\theta}$, where θ increases from π to 2π .

Finally, by the mapping z = 1/w, we have the following image in the complex z-plane. [Figure 11]

- $\phi(a)^{-1}$: Path on the 'small' circle $z = 1 + \varepsilon_2 e^{i\theta}$, where θ increases from $-\pi$ to 0, and $\varepsilon_2 = \varepsilon_1 + 0(\varepsilon_1^2)$.
- $\phi(b)^{-1}$: Path on the real z-axis from $z = 1 + \varepsilon_2$ to $z = \delta_1^{-1}$.

 $\phi(c)^{-1}$: Path on the 'large' circle $z = (1/\delta_1)e^{i\psi}$, where ψ decreases from 0 to -2π .

- $\phi(d)^{-1}$: Path on the real z-axis from $z = \delta_1^{-1}$ to $z = 1 + \varepsilon_2$.
- $\phi(e)^{-1}$: Path on the 'small' circle $z = 1 + \varepsilon_2 e^{i\theta}$, where θ increases from 0 to π .

The closed path, thus obtained, has the base point at $z = 1 - \varepsilon_2$, and makes a circuit z = 0 only once, in the negative direction. Therefore, the image can be written as γ_0^{-1} . Similar consideration, which only needs the change of $\phi(c)$ and $\phi(c)^{-1}$, shows that the image of $T_{-3\pi}$ is expressed as $\gamma_1 \gamma_0 \gamma_1$. [Figure 12] Thus, the definition of $T^{\langle 1 \rangle}$ and $T^{\langle 2 \rangle}$ in (2.11) proves the expressions (4.1) and (4.2).

Acknowledgements

The author thanks Dr. H. Ito, Dr. H. Kinoshita and Dr. G. Hori for useful discussions.

References

- 1. Arnold, V. I.: 1978, Mathematical Method of Classical Mechanics, Springer, New York.
- 2. Casasayas, J. and Llibre, J.: 1984, 'Qualitative Analysis of the Anisotropic Kepler Problem', Memoirs of Amer. Math. Soc. 52.

HARUO YOSHIDA

- 3. Devaney, R. L.: 1978, 'Collision Orbits in the Anisotropic Kepler Problem', Inventiones Math. 45, 221-251.
- 4. Devaney, R. L.: 1978, 'Nonregularizability of the Anisotropic Kepler Problem', J. Diff. Eq., 29, 253-268.
- 5. Devaney, R. L.: 1982, 'Blowing up Singularities in Classical Mechanical Systems', Amer. Math. Monthly, 89, 535-552.
- 6. Erdelyi, A., Magnus, W., Oberhettings, F., and Tricomi, F. G.: 1953, *Higher Transcendental Functions*, Vol. I, McGraw-Hill, New York.
- 7. Gutzwiller, M. C.: 1973, 'The Anisotropic Kepler Problem in Two Dimension', J. Math. Phys., 14, 139-152.
- 8. Gutzwiller, M. C.: 1977, 'Bernoulli Sequence and Trajectories in the Anisotropic Kepler Problem', J. Math. Phys. 18, 806-823.
- 9. Hagihara, Y.: 1976, Celestial Mechanics, Vol. 5, Part 1: Topology of the Three Body Problem, Japan Society for the Promotion of Science, Tokyo.
- 10. Halanay, A.: 1966, Differential Equations: Stability, Oscillations, Time Lags, Academic press, New York.
- 11. McGehee, R.: 1975, 'Triple Collision in Newtonian Gravitational Systems', in J. Moser (ed.), *Dynamical Systems, Theory and Applications*, Lecture note in physics, **38**, 550-572.
- 12. Magunus, W. and Winkler, S.: 1966, Hill's Equation, Interscience Publishers, New York.
- 13. Plemelj, J.: 1962, Problems in the Sense of Riemann and Klein, Interscience Publishers, New York.
- 14. Siegel, C. L. and Moser, J. K.: 1971, Lectures on Celestial Mechanics, Springer, New York.
- 15. Sundman, K.: 1913, 'Memoire sur le probleme des trois corps', Acta. Math., 36, 105-179.
- 16. Whittaker, E. T. and Watson, G. N.: 1962, A course of modern analysis, Cambridge University Press, London.
- Yoshida, H.: 1984, 'A Type of Second Order Linear Ordinary Differential Equations with Periodic Coefficients for Which the Characteristic Exponents Have Exact Expressions', Celestial Mech. 32, 73– 86.
- Nahon, F.: 1985, 'Sur une equation de Hill singuliere', in V. Szebehely (ed.), Stability of the Solar System and its Minor Natural and Artificial Bodies, D. Reidel Publ. Co., Dordrecht, Holland, pp. 201– 210.
- 19. Yoshida, H.: 1986, 'Existence of Exponentially Unstable Periodic Solutions and the Non-integrability of Homogeneous Hamiltonian Systems', *Physica D* 21, 163-170.

66