

Convergence of the Quantum Boltzmann Map

R. F. Streater [★]

Bedford College, Regent's Park, London, NW1 5NS, England

Abstract. We consider a non-linear map on the space of density matrices, which we call the Boltzmann map τ . It is the composition of a doubly stochastic map T on the space of n -body states, and the conditional expectation onto the one-body space. When T is ergodic, then the iterates of τ take any initial state to the uniform distribution. If the energy levels are equally spaced, and T conserves energy and is ergodic on each energy shell, then iterates of τ take any initial state of finite energy to a canonical distribution.

1. Introduction

(1.1) This paper is the quantum version of [1]. Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space with $\dim \mathcal{H} = N \leq \infty$. A (normal) state ϱ is then a positive operator with unit trace. We denote the set of trace-class operators by $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_1$ and the normal ¹ states by $\sigma(\mathcal{H})$. A stochastic map is a linear map T from $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ to $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ mapping $\sigma(\mathcal{H})$ to itself and preserving the trace: $\text{Tr}(T\varrho) = \text{Tr}\varrho$, $\varrho \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_1$. A doubly stochastic map is a stochastic map T such that $T1_N = 1_N$, where 1_N is the identity on \mathcal{H} [4].

A unitary or anti-unitary conjugation $\varrho \mapsto T\varrho = U\varrho U^{-1}$ is doubly stochastic, as is any convex combination of such maps.

(1.2) Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space, the one-particle space, and

(1.3) let $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{H}$ (n factors) be the n -particle space.

We shall be interested in a doubly stochastic map $T: \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ that preserves the symmetry under permutations of the factors \mathcal{H} . To such a T we define the corresponding Boltzmann map τ to be the composition of maps:

$$(1.4) \quad \varrho \mapsto \varrho \otimes \dots \otimes \varrho \mapsto T(\varrho \otimes \dots \otimes \varrho) \mapsto \text{Tr}_{2, \dots, n} T(\varrho \otimes \dots \otimes \varrho) = \tau(\varrho).$$

Here, $\text{Tr}_{2, \dots, n}$ means the trace over the second, third, ..., n^{th} factors \mathcal{H} . Obviously, (1.4) defines a non-linear map $\tau: \sigma(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \sigma(\mathcal{H})$.

[★] Present address: Department of Mathematics, Kings College Strand, London WC2 R2LS, England

¹ Normal in the sense [2] of linear functionals on the W^* -algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, not in the sense of [3]

(1.5) Alicki and Messer [5] have suggested a similar map for continuous time, where the analogue of T is completely positive. Our choice is motivated by the following result:

(1.6) **Theorem.** *Let $\rho \in \sigma(\mathcal{H})$ have finite entropy: $S(\rho) = -\text{Tr} \rho \log \rho < \infty$. Then*

$$(1.7) \quad S(\tau \rho) \geq S(\rho).$$

Proof.

$$nS(\tau \rho) = \sum_j S(\text{Tr}_{1 \dots \hat{j} \dots n} T(\rho \otimes \dots \otimes \rho))$$

by symmetry, where \hat{j} means j is omitted

$$\geq S(T(\rho \otimes \dots \otimes \rho))$$

by [6, Proposition 2.5.6]

$$\geq S(\rho \otimes \dots \otimes \rho)$$

by [4, Lemma 2-5, Corollary]

$$= nS(\rho). \quad \square$$

(1.8) To show that $\tau^m \rho$ converges to the uniform distribution if $N < \infty$, we must postulate some ergodic properties. Now, T is a linear operator on the Hilbert space of Hilbert-Schmidt operators on \mathcal{H} . Let us say T is *ergodic* if 1_N is the only fixed-point of T in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Let us say that T has a *spectral gap* Δ , $0 < \Delta < 1$ if it is ergodic and the spectrum of T^*T is contained in $[0, 1 - \Delta] \cup \{1\}$.

2. Entropy Gain Under a Doubly Stochastic Map

We give a sharp estimate which will imply the convergence of $\tau^m \rho$ when T is ergodic.

(2.1) **Lemma.** *Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space with $\dim \mathcal{H} = N < \infty$, and denote by $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_2$ the Hilbert space of operators on \mathcal{H} with scalar product $\langle A, B \rangle = \text{Tr}(A^*B)$. Let $T: \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_2$ be a doubly stochastic map, ergodic with spectral gap Δ . Let $A \in \sigma(\mathcal{H})$ and let $B = TA$. Then*

$$(2.2) \quad S(B) - S(A) \geq \frac{\Delta}{2} \|A - N^{-1}1_N\|_2^2.$$

Proof. Let $\{\varphi_i, a_i\}$ and $\{\psi_i, b_i\}$ be the orthonormal eigenvectors and eigenvalues of A and B , respectively. Then $0 \leq a_i, b_i \leq 1$. Let $f(x) = x \log x$, $c_{ij} = \langle \varphi_i, \psi_j \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$. Then, as in [6, 2.5.2] we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \varphi_i, \{f(A) - f(B) - (A - B)f'(B) - \frac{1}{2}(A - B)^2\} \varphi_i \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \\ & = \sum_{i,j} |c_{ij}|^2 \{f(a_i) - f(b_j) - (a_i - b_j)f'(b_j) - \frac{1}{2}(a_i - b_j)^2\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, in the range of a_i, b_j we have

$$f(x) - f(y) - (x - y)f'(y) = \frac{1}{2}(x - y)^2 f''(\xi),$$

where $0 \leq \xi \leq 1$ and $f''(\xi) = \frac{1}{\xi} \geq 1$. Thus

$$f(a_i) - f(b_j) - (a_i - b_j)f'(b_j) - \frac{1}{2}(a_i - b_j)^2 \geq 0.$$

Summing over i gives the following sharper form of [6, Proposition 2.5.3]:

$$\text{Tr}\{A \log A - B \log B - (A - B)(\log B + 1) - \frac{1}{2}(A - B)^2\} \geq 0,$$

i.e.

$$(2.3) \quad \text{Tr}\{A(\log A - \log B)\} \geq \frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}(A - B)^2.$$

By [4, Theorem 2-2], there exist unitaries U_α and non-negative numbers w_α with $\sum_\alpha w_\alpha = 1$ and $B = TA = \sum w_\alpha A_\alpha$, $A_\alpha = U_\alpha A U_\alpha^{-1}$. Then for each α , $\text{Tr} A_\alpha(\log A_\alpha - \log B) \geq \frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}(A_\alpha - B)^2$, so multiplying by w_α and summing, and noting that $\text{Tr} A_\alpha \log A_\alpha = \text{Tr} A \log A$ and $\sum_\alpha w_\alpha = 1$:

$$\text{Tr}(A \log A - B \log B) \geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_\alpha w_\alpha \text{Tr}(A_\alpha - B)^2$$

i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} S(B) - S(A) &\geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_\alpha w_\alpha \{ \langle A_\alpha, A_\alpha \rangle - \langle A_\alpha, B \rangle - \langle B, A_\alpha \rangle + \langle B, B \rangle \} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \{ \langle A, A \rangle - \langle B, B \rangle \} = \frac{1}{2} \{ \langle A, A \rangle - \langle A, T^* T A \rangle \}. \end{aligned}$$

Now 1_N is a simple eigenvalue of $T^* T$, and we may write the orthogonal decomposition $A = \frac{1}{N} 1_N \oplus \left(A - \frac{1}{N} 1_N \right)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} S(B) - S(A) &\geq \frac{1}{2} \langle A, (1_N - T^* T) A \rangle \\ &= 2^{-1} \langle A - N^{-1} 1_N, (1_N - T^* T) (A - N^{-1} 1_N) \rangle \\ &\geq \frac{A}{2} \left\| A - \frac{1}{N} 1_N \right\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

since A is the smallest eigenvalue of $1 - T^* T$ apart from 0. \square

(2.4) **Corollary.** Let $A = \varrho_{12}$ on $H_1 \otimes H_2$, and $B = \varrho_1 \otimes \varrho_2$, where $\varrho_1 = \text{Tr}_2 \varrho_{12}$, etc. Then $-\text{Tr} A \log A = S_{12}$, $-\text{Tr} A \log B = S_1 + S_2$ in the sub-additive entropy inequality [4, Proposition 2.5.6] gives a quantitative estimate

$$S_1 + S_2 - S_{12} \geq \frac{1}{2} \|\varrho_{12} - \varrho_1 \otimes \varrho_2\|_2^2.$$

(2.5) **Theorem.** The microcanonical limit. Let $\dim K = k < \infty$, and T a symmetry-preserving ergodic doubly stochastic map on $K \otimes \dots \otimes K$. Then for any $\varrho \in \sigma(K)$, $\tau^m \varrho \rightarrow k^{-1} 1_K$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. The entropy $S(\tau^m \varrho)$ is increasing and bounded above, and so converges. Hence the increment $S(\tau^{m+1} \varrho) - S(\tau^m \varrho)$ converges to 0. In finite dimensions $A > 0$, so (2.2) implies that

$$\left\| \tau^m \varrho \otimes \dots \otimes \tau^m \varrho - \bigotimes_1^n k^{-1} 1_{\mathcal{X}} \right\|_2 \rightarrow 0,$$

and so $\tau^m \varrho \rightarrow k^{-1} 1_{\mathcal{X}}$, as $m \rightarrow \infty$.

3. Energy Conservation

(3.0) In order to discuss the canonical Gibbs state, we must introduce an energy operator H on \mathcal{H} (\mathcal{H} can be ∞ -dimensional in what follows). Thus let H have spectrum $0, 1, 2, \dots$ and suppose that the multiplicity $m(j)$ of the energy-level j is finite and that for some $\kappa > 0$ and integer r ,

$$(3.1) \quad m(j) \leq \kappa j^r, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots$$

These conditions ensure that $e^{-\beta H}$, $\beta > 0$, is of trace class. The equal spacing of the energy levels limits the theory to a rather special class; but it does allow thorough mixing to take place by scattering that conserves energy. This would not be possible if, for example, the energy levels were not commensurate.

(3.2) Let $H = \sum_1^\infty j(E_j - E_{j-1})$ be the spectral resolution of H , and let $H_M = \sum_1^M j(E_j - E_{j-1})$. Then $H_M \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. We say that a state ρ on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, not necessarily normal, has finite mean energy \mathcal{E} if

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \text{Tr}(\rho H_M) = \mathcal{E} < \infty.$$

(3.3) We again consider a doubly stochastic map T on $\bigotimes^n \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}$. We require T to mix up states in \mathcal{H} of the same energy, but not to mix up states of differing energy.

Thus let \mathfrak{h} be the generator of $\bigotimes^n e^{iHt}$; then \mathfrak{h} is an operator on \mathcal{H} with spectrum $0, 1, 2, \dots$ and having finite multiplicity. Let \mathcal{H}_η , $\eta = 0, 1, \dots$ be the subspace with energy η . $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\eta)$, called the “energy-shell η ” is a finite-dimensional space that can be identified with the subspace of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_2$ consisting of operators mapping \mathcal{H}_η to \mathcal{H}_η and being zero on \mathcal{H}_η^\perp . In the scalar product of (2.1), we may write $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_2$ as a direct sum of orthogonal subspaces

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_2 = \bigoplus_{\eta=0}^\infty \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\eta) \otimes \mathcal{L},$$

where \mathcal{L} is orthogonal to all energy shells. We consider doubly stochastic maps T that mix up each energy shell $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\eta)$:

(3.4) T maps $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\eta)$ to itself, $\eta = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and maps \mathcal{L} to itself. Restricted to $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\eta)$, T is ergodic. T commutes with permutations of the n factors $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$.

This class of doubly stochastic maps is the quantum analogue of the classical version [1]. Our assumption (3.4) leads to the conservation of mean energy under τ (but not the mean of functions of energy, such as its variance):

(3.5) **Theorem.** *Let T map each $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\eta)$ and \mathcal{L} into itself, and commute with permutations. Then the mean energy is invariant under τ .*

Proof. Let $\rho \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_1$ be such that

$$\text{Tr}(\rho H) = \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \text{Tr}(\rho H_M) = \mathcal{E} < \infty.$$

We note that

$$\mathfrak{h}_M = H_M \otimes 1 \dots \otimes 1 + \dots + 1 \otimes \dots \otimes 1 \otimes H_M.$$

Then by the symmetry of T

$$\text{Tr}(H_M \tau \varrho) = n^{-1} \text{Tr}_{1 \dots n} \{ \mathfrak{h}_M T(\varrho \otimes \dots \otimes \varrho) \}.$$

This is a finite-dimensional trace and it can be evaluated in any basis, e.g. in a basis of eigenvectors of \mathfrak{h}_M . Then it involves only the block diagonal terms of $\varrho \otimes \dots \otimes \varrho$, which are in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\eta)$, $\eta = 0, \dots, nM$. On each of these subspaces, \mathfrak{h}_M is $\eta \cdot 1_{\mathcal{H}_\eta}$, and so commutes with T . Hence

$$\text{Tr}(H_M \tau \varrho) = n^{-1} \text{Tr}_{1 \dots n} (T(\mathfrak{h}_M \varrho \otimes \dots \otimes \varrho)) = n^{-1} \text{Tr}_{1 \dots n} (\mathfrak{h}_M \varrho \otimes \dots \otimes \varrho)$$

as T is trace-preserving

$$= \text{Tr}(H_M \varrho).$$

Hence $\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \text{Tr}(H_M \tau \varrho) = \mathcal{E}$, so $\tau \varrho$ has finite mean energy, \mathcal{E} the same value as ϱ .

(3.6) *Remark.* It has been pointed out by the referee that it is not enough to suppose that T commutes with the time-evolution $A \mapsto e^{i\mathfrak{h}t} A e^{-i\mathfrak{h}t}$ of density matrices $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_1$: the mean energy fails to be conserved in general unless T maps $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\eta)$ and \mathcal{L} to themselves. As a counterexample in two dimensions, let $\mathfrak{h} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $T \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b^* & c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}$. Then T commutes with $[\cdot, \mathfrak{h}]$, but does not leave the diagonal blocks invariant. Average energy is not invariant under T . Physically, such transformations T are “too stochastic” and do not lead to the canonical ensemble.

4. Weak * Convergence

(4.1) The set of all states of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, not necessarily normal ones, is w^* -compact. The sequence $\{\tau^m \varrho\}_{m=0,1,\dots}$ therefore has a w^* -convergent subnet $\{\varrho_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$. If ϱ has mean energy \mathcal{E} , then by (3.5)

$$(4.2) \quad \text{Tr}(\varrho_\alpha H) = \mathcal{E} \quad \text{for } \alpha \in I.$$

(4.3) The entropy of a state $\varrho \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_1$ of finite mean energy is finite and \leq the entropy of the Gibbs state of the same energy. Since the entropy is non-decreasing under τ , $S(\tau^m \varrho)$ converges as $m \rightarrow \infty$, and $S(\varrho_\alpha)$ converges to the same limit as $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$.

(4.4) **Lemma.** Let $\varrho_\infty = w^* \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \varrho_\alpha$. Let $P_j = \varrho_\infty(E_j)$, $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Then $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} P_j = 1$.

(4.5) *Remark.* This is tantamount to showing that ϱ_∞ is normal.

(4.6) *Proof.* Let $p_j = P_j - P_{j-1}$, $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $p_j^\alpha = \text{Tr} \varrho_\alpha(E_j - E_{j-1})$. Then $\mathcal{E} = \text{Tr}(\varrho_\alpha H) = \sum_j j p_j^\alpha$, and $p_j = \lim_{\alpha} p_j^\alpha$. Hence p_j obeys the conditions of [1, (3.15)], and so $\sum_0^\infty p_j = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} P_j = 1$.

(4.7) It does not seem easy to prove that $\sum_j j p_j = \mathcal{E}$ unless, of course, $k = \dim \mathcal{H} < \infty$. This might indicate that, for certain initial states, energy can escape up the energy ladder, say, by “heat solitons”. But since for any M , $\sum_1^M j p_j^\alpha \leq \mathcal{E}$, we have $\sum_1^M j p_j \leq \mathcal{E}$. Hence $\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \varrho_\infty(H_M) = \sum_1^\infty j p_j \leq \mathcal{E}$ and the limit state ϱ_∞ has finite mean energy $\leq \mathcal{E}$.

(4.8) We now give an estimate for the entropy in the tail of a state.

(4.9) **Lemma.** *Let H be as in (3.1), and q be a positive operator of trace class such that $\text{Tr} q = q$ and $\text{Tr}(qH) \leq \mathcal{E}$. Then $-\text{Tr}(q \log q) = O(q \log q)$ as $q \rightarrow 0$.*

(4.10) *Proof.* The largest value of $-\text{Tr} q \log q$, subject to the conditions $\text{Tr}(qH) \leq \mathcal{E}$, $\text{Tr} q = q$ is achieved at the Gibbs-like operator q , diagonal in a basis provided by the eigenvectors of H . Then the problem reduces to the classical case: maximize

$$s = - \sum_0 m(j) p_j \log p_j$$

among sequences of non-negative numbers $\{p_j\}$ obeying the constraints

$$(4.11) \quad \sum_0 m(j) p_j = q, \quad \sum_1 m(j) j p_j \leq \mathcal{E}.$$

When the multiplicity $m(j)$ is 1 for all j , then Lemma 3.19 of [1] shows that

$$s \leq -2q \log q + q(1 + \log \mathcal{E}) = O(q \log q).$$

The same method also works when $m(j) \leq \kappa$. So we have proved the lemma when the index r of (3.1) is zero. We proceed by induction on r . Suppose the lemma is true for all sequences $\{p_j\}$ obeying (3.3) with $m(j) \leq \kappa j^{r-1}$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$. Now let $\{p_j\}$ satisfy (4.11) with $m(j) \leq \kappa j^r$. Write $\{p_j\}$ together with repetitions for multiplicity as the union of sequences $\{p_j^{(\alpha)}\}$, $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots$ defined by

$$(4.12) \quad p_j^{(\alpha)} = \begin{cases} p_j & \text{if } j \geq \alpha, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In the sequence $\{p_j^{(\alpha)}\}_{j=0,1,\dots}$ we repeat $p_j^{(\alpha)}$ with multiplicity $m(\alpha, j)$ which might be 0 or as large as $m(j)/j \leq \kappa j^{r-1}$. It is possible to do this so that $m(j) = \sum_{\alpha} m(\alpha, j)$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} q^{(\alpha)} &= \sum_j m(\alpha, j) p_j^{(\alpha)}, \\ \mathcal{E}^{(\alpha)} &= \sum_j m(\alpha, j) j p_j^{(\alpha)} \leq \mathcal{E}, \\ s^{(\alpha)} &= - \sum_j m(\alpha, j) p_j^{(\alpha)} \log p_j^{(\alpha)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\sum_{\alpha} q^{(\alpha)} = q$, $\sum_{\alpha} \mathcal{E}^{(\alpha)} \leq \mathcal{E}$, $\sum_{\alpha} s^{(\alpha)} = s$.

Now, the induction hypothesis implies that $s^{(\alpha)} = O(-q^{(\alpha)} \log q^{(\alpha)})$ uniformly in α . Also, the condition (4.12) implies $\sum_{\alpha} \alpha q^{(\alpha)} \leq \mathcal{E}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\alpha} \alpha q^{(\alpha)} &= \sum_{\alpha} \sum_j \alpha m(\alpha, j) p_j^{(\alpha)} \leq \sum_{\alpha} \sum_j j m(\alpha, j) p_j^{(\alpha)} \\ &\leq \sum_j j \sum_{\alpha} m(\alpha, j) p_j^{(\alpha)} \leq \sum_j j m(j) p_j = \mathcal{E}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\{q^{(\alpha)}\}$ itself obeys the conditions of [1, Lemma 3.19], namely $\sum_{\alpha} q^{(\alpha)} = q$, $\sum_{\alpha} \alpha q^{(\alpha)} \leq \mathcal{E}$. So by [1, (3.19)]:

$$-\text{Tr} q \log q \leq s = 0 \left(\sum_{\alpha} -q^{(\alpha)} \log q^{(\alpha)} \right) = O(-q \log q). \quad \square$$

The main point is that $s \rightarrow 0$ as $q \rightarrow 0$. This result gives an extension of the classical theory [1] to the case with multiplicity $m(j)$ as in (3.1).

5. Convergence to a Gibbs State

(5.1) Suppose now that T maps \mathcal{L} to itself and each $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\eta)$ to itself, and is ergodic on each $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\eta)$. Let $\sigma_m = \tau^m \varrho \otimes \dots \otimes \tau^m \varrho$ and let $\sigma_m(\eta)$ be the diagonal block matrix obtained from σ_m by restricting to \mathcal{H}_η . Then, as in Theorem (2.5), we see that the component of $\sigma_m(\eta)$ orthogonal [in the sense of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_2$] to multiples of the identity $1_{\mathcal{H}_\eta}$, converges to 0 as $m \rightarrow \infty$. In particular, the off-diagonal elements converge to 0. This does not (yet) show that $\sigma_m(\eta)$ converges, as we have not controlled the trace. But along the convergent subnet ϱ_α we also get convergence of σ_α and of $\sigma_\alpha(\eta)$: this must converge to a multiple of $1_{\mathcal{H}_\eta}$. To see clearly why this implies that ϱ_∞ is diagonal in the energy basis, first take $n=2$. Write, in Dirac notation

$$\varrho = \sum \varrho_{ij}^{\mu\nu} |\mu i\rangle \langle \nu j|,$$

where i, j are energy labels and $1 \leq \mu \leq m(i), 1 \leq \nu \leq m(j)$; μ, ν label the multiple states of energy i, j , respectively. Then $\sigma = \varrho \otimes \varrho$ has the off-diagonal terms

$$\varrho_{ij}^{\mu\nu} \varrho_{i'j'}^{\mu'\nu'} |\mu i\rangle |\mu' i'\rangle \langle \nu j| \langle \nu' j'|,$$

including the case $i \neq j$ or $\mu \neq \nu$ where $i' = j, i = j', \mu' = \nu, \mu = \nu'$. Thus the coefficient $\varrho_{ij}^{\mu\nu} \varrho_{ji}^{\nu\mu} = |\varrho_{ij}^{\mu\nu}|^2$ converges to 0 as $m \rightarrow \infty$. This is the general off-diagonal element of ϱ . Thus ϱ_∞ is diagonal in the energy basis.

If $n > 2$ we note at least one diagonal element $\varrho_{kk}^{\mu\mu}$ does not converge to zero, by (4.4). Then if $(n-2)k + i + j = \eta$, the off-diagonal element of $\sigma(\eta)$,

$$\varrho_{kk}^{\mu\mu} \dots \varrho_{kk}^{\mu\mu} \varrho_{ij}^{\lambda\nu} \varrho_{ji}^{\nu\lambda} = |\varrho_{kk}^{\mu\mu}|^{n-2} |\varrho_{ij}^{\lambda\nu}|^2,$$

converges to zero for any $i, \lambda \neq j, \nu$; then $\varrho_{ij}^{\lambda\nu} \rightarrow 0$. Thus ϱ_∞ is diagonal in the energy basis. The argument now reduces to the classical case [1]: in order for $\sigma_\infty = \varrho_\infty \otimes \dots \otimes \varrho_\infty$ to be a multiple of the identity on each H_η , ϱ_∞ being diagonal, we obtain the result: ϱ_∞ is a Gibbs state, ϱ_β . From (4.7), its energy is $\leq \mathcal{E}$. To be precise, we have shown that ϱ_∞ coincides with ϱ_β as a state on $\bigcup_j \mathcal{B}(E_j \mathcal{H})$.

Recalling that $\{E_j\}$ is the spectral resolution of H , we have for any j and $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$,

$$\varrho_\infty(A) = \varrho_\infty(E_j A E_j) + \varrho_\infty((1 - E_j) A E_j) + \varrho_\infty(E_j A (1 - E_j)) + \varrho_\infty((1 - E_j) A (1 - E_j)).$$

By Schwarz' inequality for states,

$$|\varrho_\infty((1 - E_j) A E_j)| \leq [\varrho_\infty(1 - E_j)]^{1/2} [\varrho_\infty(E_j A^* A E_j)]^{1/2},$$

and by (4.4), $\varrho_\infty(1 - E_j) \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$, the other factor being bounded. Similarly, the other terms converge to 0 as $j \rightarrow \infty$. But $\varrho_\infty(E_j A E_j) = \varrho_\beta(E_j A E_j)$, and this converges to $\varrho_\beta(A)$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$, as ϱ_β is normal. Hence $\varrho_\infty(A) = \varrho_\beta(A)$ for all $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$.

(5.2) The same argument shows that any other w^* convergent subnet $\{\varrho_\beta\}_{\beta \in J}$ of $\{\tau^m \varrho\}$ converges to a Gibbs state of energy $\leq \mathcal{E}$, but (so far), it could be different from ϱ_∞ . We show they are the same by showing they have the same entropy, namely $\lim_m S(\tau^m \varrho)$.

(5.3) **Theorem.** Under the above conditions, $S(\rho_\alpha) \rightarrow S(\rho_\infty)$, $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Choose $\varepsilon > 0$. Write $\rho_\alpha = E_j \rho_\alpha E_j + A$, $A = \rho_\alpha - E_j \rho_\alpha E_j \geq 0$ and

$$(5.4) \quad q = \text{Tr} A = \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} \text{Tr}(E_{k+1} - E_k) \rho_\alpha \leq j^{-1} \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} \text{Tr} k(E_{k+1} - E_k) \rho_\alpha \\ = j^{-1} \text{Tr}(H \rho_\alpha) = j^{-1} \mathcal{E}.$$

Choose j_0 large enough so that q is small enough so that, by (4.9), $S(A) < \varepsilon$ for all α and all $j \geq j_0$. Then, by the subadditivity of the entropy [7],

$$(5.5) \quad S(\rho_\alpha) \leq S(E_j \rho_\alpha E_j) + S(A) \leq S(E_j \rho_\alpha E_j) + \varepsilon$$

for all α and all $j \geq j_0$. Since $E_j \rho_\alpha E_j$ (j fixed) has finite rank, S is continuous on this subspace. Taking limits of (5.5) gives for $j \geq j_0$:

$$(5.6) \quad s = \lim_{\alpha} S(\rho_\alpha) \leq \lim_{\alpha} S(E_j \rho_\alpha E_j) + \varepsilon = S(E_j \rho_\infty E_j) + \varepsilon.$$

Taking the limit $j \rightarrow \infty$ gives [8, Appendix] $s \leq S(\rho_\infty) + \varepsilon$. Since this is true for every $\varepsilon > 0$, we get $s \leq S(\rho_\infty)$. Now let j be so large that

$$S(\rho_\infty) \leq S(E_j \rho_\infty E_j) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

This is possible [8, Appendix].

For this j choose α_0 so large that for all larger α ,

$$S(E_j \rho_\alpha E_j) \geq S(E_j \rho_\infty E_j) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Then

$$S(\rho_\infty) \leq S(E_j \rho_\infty E_j) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \leq S(E_j \rho_\alpha E_j) + \varepsilon \leq S(\rho_\alpha) + \varepsilon$$

for all larger α ,

$$\leq s + \varepsilon.$$

Since this is true for every $\varepsilon > 0$, we have $S(\rho_\infty) \leq s$. This gives $S(\rho_\infty) = s$. \square

(5.8) We can now put together the results.

Theorem. Let H be a self-adjoint operator on \mathcal{K} with spectrum $0, 1, 2, \dots$, and the finite multiplicity $m(j)$ of eigenvalue j obeys $m(j) \leq \kappa j^r$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$. Let $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{K} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{K}$, and let T be a symmetry-preserving doubly stochastic map on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_1$, T mapping \mathcal{L} and each $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\eta)$ to itself and ergodic on each energy shell. Let τ be the corresponding Boltzmann map. Let ρ be any density matrix on \mathcal{K} with finite mean energy \mathcal{E} .

Then $\tau^m \rho$ converges as $m \rightarrow \infty$ in trace norm to a Gibbs state $\rho_\infty = e^{-\beta H} / \text{Tr} e^{-\beta H}$ of energy $\leq \mathcal{E}$, as $m \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Any convergent subnet of $\{\tau^m \rho\}$ converges w^* to a Gibbs state (Sect. 5.1). All such limit states have the same entropy (Sect. 5.3) and are therefore the same.

Therefore, $\{\tau^m \varrho\}$ converges in the w^* topology to a Gibbs state. Its energy is $\leq \mathcal{E}$, by Sect. 4.7. The convergence in trace-norm follows from

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tau^m \varrho - \varrho_\infty\|_1 &\leq \|\tau^m \varrho - E_j \tau^m \varrho E_j\|_1 \\ &\quad + \|E_j \varrho_\infty E_j - E_j \tau^m \varrho E_j\|_1 + \|\varrho_\infty - E_j \varrho_\infty E_j\|_1 \end{aligned}$$

and (5.4), using that $\tau^m \varrho \rightarrow \varrho_\infty$ when restricted to the finite-dimensional space $E_j \mathcal{H}$.

(5.9) If T is not ergodic on the energy shells, but is ergodic when restricted to a smaller slice conserving two numbers (e.g. energy and particle number), we prove convergence to a grand canonical ensemble in a similar way.

(5.10) If $\dim \mathcal{H} < \infty$, then $\text{Tr}(H\varrho)$ is continuous, and so ϱ_∞ has mean energy \mathcal{E} . Then $\lim \tau^m \varrho$ is the same state for all ϱ with mean energy \mathcal{E} .

Acknowledgements. I thank D. Ghikas for discussions about related problems, and the referee for criticism leading to a clearer version of the paper.

References

1. Streater, R.F.: Convergence of the iterated Boltzmann map (to appear in Publ. R.I.M.S., 1984)
2. Dixmier, J.: Les algèbres d'opérateurs sur l'espaces hilbertiennes. Paris: Gauthier-Villars 1969
3. Manuceau, J. et al.: Entropy and normal states. Commun. Math. Phys. **27**, 327 (1972)
4. Alberti, P.M., Uhlmann, A.: Stochasticity and partial order. Dordrecht: Reidel 1982
5. Alicki, R., Messer, J.: Non-linear quantum dynamic semigroups for many-body open systems. J. State Phys. **32**, 299 (1983)
6. Ruelle, D.: Statistical mechanics. New York: Benjamin 1969
7. Lanford, O.E., Robinson, D.W.: Mean entropy of states in quantum-statistical mechanics. J. Math. Phys. **9**, 1120 (1968)
8. Lieb, E.H., Ruskai, M.B.: Proof of the strong subadditivity of quantum-mechanical entropy. J. Math. Phys. **14**, 1938 (1973) (Appendix by B. Simon)

Communicated by J. L. Lebowitz

Received September 29, 1983; in revised form June 14, 1984