

On the Integral Representation in Convex Noncompact Sets of Tight Measures

Gerhard Winkler

Mathematisches Institut der Universität München, Theresienstr. 39, D-8000 München 2,
Federal Republic of Germany

1. Introduction

We prove a representation theorem of the Choquet type. Let (X, t) be a topological space and H a weakly closed – not necessarily compact – bounded convex set of nonnegative tight Borel measures on X . For $\mu \in H$ there is a probability measure p on the set $\text{ex} H$ of extreme points of H with barycenter μ . The problem is, what “barycenter” means. In [8] Weizsäcker essentially proves the existence of a probability measure p such that

$$\mu(A) = \int_{\text{ex} H} \nu(A) dp$$

for every Baire subset A of X . If X is completely regular and Souslin, this result can easily be obtained from [4], ex. 5.10, if it is Polish, from a remark in [5], § 3.

It is natural to ask for a representing measure p such that the formula holds for every Borel set or even for every μ -measurable set A (compare [8], 5.2). Even in the case where X is compact there does not seem to be a simple way from the results mentioned above to the answer of this question. In this paper we give a positive answer by proving the desired result directly.

2. Preliminaries

If A is a set and \mathcal{F} a set of real functions on A , then $\sigma(\mathcal{F})$ denotes the σ -algebra generated on A by \mathcal{F} . If $B \subset A$, then 1_B is the characteristic function of B on A , and if f is a function on A , then $f|_B$ is the restriction of f on B . If \mathcal{C} is a system of subsets of A , then we define $B \cap \mathcal{C} := \{B \cap C : C \in \mathcal{C}\}$. If C is a convex subset of a linear space, then $x \in C$ will be called extreme point, iff there do not exist distinct points $y, z \in C$ with $x = 1/2(y+z)$. $\text{Ex} C$ is the set of extreme points of C .

Let (X, t) be a topological space. $\mathcal{C}(X, t)$ denotes the set of bounded continuous functions on X , $\mathfrak{B}_0(X, t) := \sigma(\mathcal{C}(X, t))$ ($\mathfrak{B}(X, t)$) the σ -algebra of Baire (Borel) sets and $\mathfrak{B}_0(X, t)$ ($\mathfrak{B}(X, t)$) the set of real bounded $\mathfrak{B}_0(X, t)$ ($\mathfrak{B}(X, t)$)-measurable

functions on X . A nonnegative measure μ on $\mathfrak{B}(X, t)$ is said to be tight iff, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a compact set $K \subset X$ such that $\mu(X - K) < \varepsilon$. The set of bounded tight measures on X will be denoted by $\mathcal{M}_+(X, t)$. If $f \in \mathcal{B}(X, t)$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_+(X, t)$, then the integral $\int_X f d\mu$ is denoted by $\mu(f)$; f' is the mapping $\nu \mapsto \nu(f)$ on $\mathcal{M}_+(X, t)$. $\mathcal{S}(X, t)$ is the set of bounded lower semicontinuous functions on X .

Throughout the paper subsets M of $\mathcal{M}_+(X, t)$ will be endowed with the weak topology $\nu(M, \mathcal{S}(X, t))$, the smallest topology for which every function $f'|M$ with $f \in \mathcal{S}(X, t)$ is lower semicontinuous.

3.

We now formulate the main result:

Theorem. *Suppose that (X, t) is a topological space and H a convex and weakly closed set of tight measures with $\sup\{\nu(X) : \nu \in H\} < \infty$. Then for every $\mu \in H$ there is a probability measure p on the σ -algebra $\sigma(\{f'| \text{ex } H : f \in \mathcal{B}(X, t)\})$ on the set of extreme points of H such that*

$$\mu(f) = \int_{\text{ex } H} \nu(f) dp \quad \text{for every } f \in \mathcal{B}(X, t). \quad (1)$$

This result can be extended to a wider class of functions. A function on X is called μ -measurable, iff it is measurable with respect to the μ -completion of $\mathfrak{B}(X, t)$.

Corollary. *In the theorem bounded μ -measurable functions f on X can be substituted for the functions $f \in \mathcal{B}(X, t)$.*

Before we give the proofs, we recall some well known facts. Let E be a real locally convex linear space and $K \subset E$ a convex compact subset with the relative topology s . A measure $p \in \mathcal{M}_+(K, s)$ represents $x \in E$, which is denoted by $x = r(p)$, iff $x'x = \int_K x' dp$ for every $x' \in E'$, the topological dual of E . If p is a probability measure, this is equivalent to $a(x) = \int_K a dp$ for every lower semicontinuous function on K

which is affine (i.e. if $c \in (0, 1)$ and $y, z \in K$, then $f(cy + (1 - c)z) = cf(y) + (1 - c)f(z)$) ([6], 9.7). On $\mathcal{M}_+(K, s)$ the Choquet ordering is defined by $p < q$ iff $p(f) \leq q(f)$ for every $f \in \mathcal{C}(K, s)$ which is convex (substitute " \leq " for " $=$ " in the definition of affine). This ordering is inductive. A convenient reference for Choquet theory is [1].

Suppose now that X is compact. $\mathcal{C}(X, t)$ with the norm of uniform convergence is a Banach space. We define the linear space of signed measures $\mathcal{M}(X, t) := \mathcal{M}_+(X, t) - \mathcal{M}_+(X, t)$. By the Riesz representation theorem $\mathcal{M}(X, t)$ can be identified with $\mathcal{C}(X, t)'$ and is locally convex in the weak*-topology. On $\mathcal{M}_+(X, t)$ the weak*-topology coincides with $\nu(\mathcal{M}_+(X, t), \mathcal{S}(X, t))$ ([7], Th. 8.1). Thus H is a convex weak*-compact subset of $\mathcal{M}(X, t)$ and Choquet theory can be applied.

For this compact case we reformulate the notions of barycenter and Choquet ordering in a way which is more convenient in our special situation. First, we define

$$\mathcal{B}_0^\vee(H) := \left\{ \left(\bigvee_{i \leq n} (f'_i + c_i) \mid H : f'_i \in \mathcal{B}_0(X, t); c_i \in \mathbb{R}; i = 1, \dots, n; n \in \mathbb{N} \right) \right\}.$$

Lemma. Suppose (X, t) to be compact and let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_+(X, t)$ and $p, q \in \mathcal{M}_+(H, \nu(H, \mathcal{F}(X, t)))$. Then:

- a) If $f \in \mathcal{B}(X, t)$, then $f'|H$ is measurable with respect to $\mathfrak{B}(H, \nu(H, \mathcal{F}(X, t)))$.
 b) $\mu = r(p)$ if and only if

$$\mu(f) = \int_H \nu(f) dp \quad \text{for every } f \in \mathcal{B}(X, t). \quad (2)$$

- c) $p < q$ if and only if $p(b) \leq q(b)$ for every $b \in \mathcal{B}_0^\nu(H)$.

Proof. Define the sets

$$\mathcal{H} := \{f \in \mathcal{B}(X, t): f'|H \text{ is Borel measurable}\},$$

$$\mathcal{H}' := \{f \in \mathcal{B}(X, t): \mu(f) = \int_H \nu(f) dp\}.$$

According to the definition of the weak topology $(1_A)'$ is lower semicontinuous on H for every $A \in t$. Hence $1_A \in \mathcal{H}$ and if $\mu = r(p)$, then $1_A \in \mathcal{H}'$ too. Since \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{H}' are closed under bounded sequential convergence, this implies $\mathcal{B}(X, t) \subset \mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{B}(X, t) \subset \mathcal{H}'$ ([3], § 0). Thus we have proved a) and the nontrivial implication of b).

$\mathcal{B}_0(X, t)$ is the smallest class of bounded functions on X which contains $\mathcal{C}(X, t)$ and is closed under bounded sequential convergence ([2], ex. 56.9). By applying this argument for each $i \leq n$ separately, we see that $p(b) \leq q(b)$ holds for every b of the form

$$b = \bigvee_{i \leq n} (f_i' + c_i)|H$$

with $c_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f_i \in \mathcal{B}_0(X, t)$ if and only if it holds for every b with $f_i \in \mathcal{C}(X, t)$. The latter condition is equivalent to $p < q$ by [1], I.1.3.

Proof of the Theorem. I. First we assume (X, t) to be compact.

1. H is a convex weak*-compact subset of $\mathcal{M}(X, t)$. Choquet's theorem ([1], I.4.8) provides the existence of a probability measure $q \in \mathcal{M}_+(H)$ (we omit the topology) which represents μ and is maximal in the Choquet ordering. By the lemma, (2) holds for q . If $M \subset \mathcal{M}_+(X, t)$ define

$$\mathfrak{B}(M) := \sigma(\{f'|M: f \in \mathcal{B}(X, t)\}).$$

We will show that $q(W) = 0$ for every $W \in \mathfrak{B}(H)$ with $W \cap \text{ex } H = \emptyset$. Hence the outer measure of $q|_{\mathfrak{B}(H)}$ induces a probability measure p on $\mathfrak{B}(\text{ex } H)$ for which (1) holds.

2. Let $W \in \mathfrak{B}(H)$ be disjoint from $\text{ex } H$. It is easily verified that

$$\mathfrak{B}(H) = \bigcup \{ \sigma(\{f'|H: f \in \mathcal{F}\}) : \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{B}(X, t) \text{ countable} \}.$$

Hence there is a countable family $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{B}(X, t)$ such that

$$W \in \sigma(\{f'|H: f \in \mathcal{F}\}).$$

By use of Lusin's theorem ([2], ex. 68.5) a sequence of mutually disjoint compact sets $(K_n: n \in \mathbb{N})$ in X can be constructed which has the following properties:

$$(a) \mu(X - \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} K_n) = 0;$$

$$(b) f|_{K_n} \in \mathcal{C}(K_n, K_n \cap t) \text{ for every } f \in \mathcal{F} \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

This is already done in [8], proof of Satz 1.

3. We define

$$X_1 := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} K_n, \quad t_0 := X_1 \cap t.$$

t_1 denotes the topology on X_1 characterised by: $G \in t_1$ iff $G \cap K_n \in K_n \cap t$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

(X_1, t_1) is a locally compact σ -compact space. Furthermore

$$\mathfrak{B}(X_1, t_0) = \mathfrak{B}(X_1, t_1)$$

and the sets $\mathcal{M}_+(X_1, t_0)$ and $\mathcal{M}_+(X_1, t_1)$ are equal.

Define (X_2, t_2) to be the Alexandrov compactification of (X_1, t_1) ,

$$\mathcal{M}_{X_1}(X, t) := \{v \in \mathcal{M}_+(X, t) : v(X - X_1) = 0\},$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{X_1}(X_2, t_2) := \{v \in \mathcal{M}_+(X_2, t_2) : v(X_2 - X_1) = 0\}$$

and $i: X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ the natural embedding.

By a well known lemma ([4], 5.3) the mappings

$$\iota_1: \mathcal{M}_{X_1}(X, t) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_+(X_1, t_0), \quad v \mapsto v|_{\mathfrak{B}(X_1, t_0)},$$

$$\iota_2: \mathcal{M}_+(X_1, t_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{X_1}(X_2, t_2), \quad v \mapsto v \circ i^{-1}$$

are homeomorphisms.

Further we define

$$\iota := \iota_2 \circ \iota_1, \quad H_0 := H \cap \mathcal{M}_{X_1}(X, t), \quad H_2 := \iota H_0,$$

\bar{H}_2 the closure of H_2 in $\mathcal{M}_+(X_2, t_2)$,

$$\mathfrak{A} := \sigma(\{f'|_H : f \in \mathcal{B}(X, t), f|_{K_n} \in \mathcal{C}(K_n, K_n \cap t) \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{N}\})$$

and state some properties:

- (c) H_0, H_2 and \bar{H}_2 are convex; \bar{H}_2 is compact.
- (d) $\text{ex } H_0 = \text{ex } H \cap \mathcal{M}_{X_1}(X, t)$, $H_2 = \bar{H}_2 \cap \mathcal{M}_{X_1}(X_2, t_2)$,
 $\text{ex } H_2 = \text{ex } \bar{H}_2 \cap \mathcal{M}_{X_1}(X_2, t_2)$.
- (e) $\iota \text{ex } H_0 = \text{ex } H_2$.
- (f) $\iota^{-1}(\mathfrak{B}_0(\bar{H}_2)) = \mathfrak{A} \cap H_0 \supset \mathfrak{B}_0(H) \cap H_0$; $\iota^{-1}|_{H_2}: H_2 \rightarrow H_0$ is continuous.

We prove (c)–(f).

(c) The convexity of the sets is clear. \bar{H}_2 is bounded and closed in the weak*-topology, hence compact.

(d) If $v \in \text{ex } H \cap \mathcal{M}_{X_1}(X, t)$, then $v \in \text{ex } H_0$. Conversely, let $v \in \text{ex } H_0$ and $v_1, v_2 \in H$ with $v = 1/2(v_1 + v_2)$. $v(X - X_1) = 0$ implies $v_i(X - X_1) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$, hence $v_i \in H_0$, $v = v_1 = v_2$ and $v \in \text{ex } H$.

As H_0 is relatively closed in $\mathcal{M}_{X_1}(X, t)$ and ι_1 is a homeomorphism, H_1 is closed with respect to $v(\mathcal{M}_+(X_1, t_0), \mathcal{F}(X_1, t_0))$, hence with respect to the finer topology $v(\mathcal{M}_+(X_1, t_1), \mathcal{F}(X_1, t_1))$. Since ι_2 is a homeomorphism too, H_2 is relatively closed in $\mathcal{M}_{X_1}(X_2, t_2)$ which means

$$H_2 = \bar{H}_2 \cap \mathcal{M}_{X_1}(X_2, t_2).$$

From this the last equation follows exactly like the first one.

(e) holds, since ι is affine and injective.

(f) We recall that H and \bar{H}_2 are compact. By use of the Stone-Weierstraß theorem we find

$$\mathfrak{B}_0(H) = \sigma(\{f' | H : f \in \mathcal{C}(X, t)\}), \quad \mathfrak{B}_0(\bar{H}_2) = \sigma(\{f' | \bar{H}_2 : f \in \mathcal{C}(X_2, t_2)\}).$$

Hence $\mathfrak{B}_0(H) \cap H_0 \subset \mathfrak{A} \cap H_0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{A} \cap H_0 &= \iota^{-1}(\sigma(\{f' | \bar{H}_2 : f \in \mathcal{B}(X_2, t_2), f|_{K_n} \in \mathcal{C}(K_n, K_n \cap t_2) \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{N}\})) \\ &= \iota^{-1}(\mathfrak{B}_0(\bar{H}_2)). \end{aligned}$$

$\iota^{-1}|_{H_2}$ is continuous because of

$$v(H_1, \mathcal{F}(X_1, t_0)) \subset v(H_1, \mathcal{F}(X_1, t_1)).$$

4. The relation

$$0 = \mu(X - X_1) = \int_H v(X - X_1) dq$$

implies $q(H - H_0) = 0$. Because of this and (f) a probability measure is defined by

$$\bar{q}(B) := q(\iota^{-1}(B)) \quad \text{for every } B \in \mathfrak{B}_0(H_2).$$

We denote the unique tight extension of \bar{q} to $\mathfrak{B}(\bar{H}_2)$ by \bar{q} as well and show that it is maximal in the Choquet ordering. Suppose $\bar{m} \in \mathcal{M}_+(\bar{H}_2)$ with $\bar{q} < \bar{m}$. The compact sets K_n being closed and open in X_2 , we have $X_1 \in \mathfrak{B}_0(X_2, t_2)$ which implies

$$(1_{X_2 - X_1})' \in \mathfrak{B}_0^\vee(\bar{H}_2) \cap (-\mathfrak{B}_0^\vee(\bar{H}_2)),$$

hence

$$\int_{\bar{H}_2} (1_{X_2 - X_1})' d\bar{m} = \int_{\bar{H}_2} (1_{X_2 - X_1})' d\bar{q}$$

which means $\bar{m}(\bar{H}_2 - H_2) = 0$.

In (f) we showed that ι^{-1} is continuous on H_2 ; thus a tight measure m is defined on H by

$$m(B) := \bar{m}(\iota(B \cap H_0)) \quad \text{for every } B \in \mathfrak{B}(H).$$

If $f \in \mathcal{B}_0(X, t)$ let $\bar{f} \in \mathcal{B}_0(X_2, t_2)$ be an extension of $f|_{X_1}$. Suppose $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathcal{B}_0(X, t)$ and $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{R}$. By part c) of the lemma

$$\int_{\bar{H}_2} \bigvee_{i \leq n} (\bar{f}_i' + c_i) d\bar{q} \leq \int_{\bar{H}_2} \bigvee_{i \leq n} (\bar{f}_i' + c_i) d\bar{m},$$

hence

$$\int_H \bigvee_{i \leq n} (f_i' + c_i) dq \leq \int_H \bigvee_{i \leq n} (f_i' + c_i) dm.$$

By the lemma this is equivalent to $q \prec m$. By hypothesis q is maximal which implies $q = m$, hence $\bar{q} = \bar{m}$. Thus \bar{q} is maximal.

5. If $W \in \mathfrak{B}(H)$ is disjoint from $\text{ex } H$ we have $W \cap \text{ex } H_0 = \emptyset$ by (d) and $\iota(W \cap H_0) \cap \text{ex } \bar{H}_2 = \emptyset$ by (d) and (e). From the construction of X_1 and t_1 follows $W \in \mathfrak{A}$, hence $\iota(W \cap H_0) \in \mathfrak{B}_0(\bar{H}_2)$ by (f). The maximal measure \bar{q} vanishes on every Baire set disjoint from $\text{ex } \bar{H}_2$ ([1], p.38), especially $\bar{q}(\iota(W \cap H_0)) = 0$ which implies $q(W) = 0$.

This completes the proof in the compact case.

II. Now we consider an arbitrary topological space.

1. As μ is tight there is a sequence of mutually disjoint compact sets $(K_n; n \in \mathbb{N})$ in X such that

$$X_1 := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} K_n$$

has measure $\mu(X)$. Exactly like in part I we can construct the compact space (X_2, t_2) , the mapping ι and the set H_2 such that (c), (d) and (e) keep valid. Again we have $X_1 \cap \mathfrak{B}(X, t) = X_1 \cap \mathfrak{B}(X_2, t_2)$, hence

$$(g) \quad \iota \mathfrak{B}(\text{ex } H_0) = \mathfrak{B}(\text{ex } H_2).$$

According to part I, for $\nu \in \bar{H}_2$ there is a probability measure \bar{p} on $\mathfrak{B}(\text{ex } \bar{H}_2)$ for which

$$\iota \mu(A) = \int_{\text{ex } H_2} \nu(A) d\bar{p} \quad \text{for every } A \in \mathfrak{B}(X_2, t_2).$$

$$\iota \mu(X_2 - X_1) = 0 \text{ implies}$$

$$1 = \bar{p}(\{\nu \in \text{ex } \bar{H}_2 : \nu(X_2 - X_1) = 0\}) = \bar{p}(\text{ex } H_2).$$

Using (g) we define the image measure $\bar{p} \circ \iota$ on $\mathfrak{B}(\text{ex } H_0)$ and denote its canonical extension to $\mathfrak{B}(\text{ex } H)$ by p . If $A \in \mathfrak{B}(X, t)$, then

$$\mu(A) = \iota \mu(A \cap X_1) = \int_{\text{ex } H_2} \nu(A \cap X_1) d\bar{p} = \int_{\text{ex } H} \nu(A) dp.$$

Hence (1) holds and the theorem is proved.

Proof of the Corollary. Let f be a bounded μ -measurable function on X . There are $g \in \mathfrak{B}(X, t)$ and $N \in \mathfrak{B}(X, t)$ with $f|X - N = g|X - N$ and $\mu(N) = 0$. From

$$\int_{\text{ex } H} \nu(N) dp = \mu(N) = 0$$

follows

$$p(\{\nu \in \text{ex } H : \nu(N) > 0\}) = 0.$$

We denote this null-set by M . f' is defined on $\text{ex } H - M$ (and can be trivially extended to $\text{ex } H$) and equal to g' there. Thus

$$\mu(f) = \mu(g) = \int_{\text{ex } H - M} v(g) dp = \int_{\text{ex } H - M} v(f) dp = \int_{\text{ex } H} v(f) dp.$$

This completes the proof.

4. Remarks

1. The proof yields a stronger result as stated in the theorem: There is a tight probability measure q on the Borel sets of H such that (2) holds for q and $q|\sigma(\{f'|H: f \in \mathfrak{B}(X, t)\})$ induces p by outer measure construction.

2. Our final remark concerns uniqueness of representing measures. We introduce

$\mathcal{M} := \{q \in \mathcal{M}_+(H): \text{there is } \lambda \in \mathcal{M}_+(X, t) \text{ such that}$

$$\lambda(f) = \int_H v(f) dq \text{ for every } f \in \mathfrak{B}(X, t)\}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{B}^\vee(H) := \left(\bigvee_{i \leq n} (f'_i + c_i) \mid H: f_i \in \mathfrak{B}(X, t); c_i \in \mathbb{R}; i = 1, \dots, n; n \in \mathbb{N} \right).$$

On \mathcal{M} an inductive ordering is defined by

$$p \prec q \text{ iff } p(b) \leq q(b) \text{ for every } b \in \mathfrak{B}^\vee(H).$$

If X is compact, then it is equivalent to the Choquet ordering. In (\mathcal{M}, \prec) we can prove analoga of the classical uniqueness theorems, e.g. [1], II.3.6 ([9], p. 62).

I am indebted to Prof. Kellerer for a simplification in the proof of the theorem and to H. v. Weizsäcker for several discussions.

References

1. Alfsen, E.M.: Compact Convex Sets and Boundary Integrals. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer 1971
2. Berberian, S.K.: Measure and Integration. New York: Chelsea 1970
3. Blumenthal, R.M., and Gettoor, R.K.: Marcov Processes and Potential Theory. New York: Academic Press 1968
4. Bourbaki, N.: Intégration, Chapitre IX. Paris: Hermann 1969
5. Edgar, G.A.: Extremal Integral Representations. *J. functional Analysis* **23**, 145–161 (1976)
6. Phelps, R.R.: Lectures on Choquet's Theorem. New York: Van Nostrand 1966
7. Topsøe, F.: Topology and Measure. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 133. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer 1970
8. Weizsäcker, H. v.: Der Satz von Choquet-Bishop-de Leeuw für konvexe nicht kompakte Mengen straffer Maße über beliebigen Grundräumen. *Math. Z.* **142**, 161–165 (1975)
9. Winkler, G.: Über die Integraldarstellung in konvexen nicht kompakten Mengen straffer Maße. Thesis, Universität München