

How to Conjugate C^1 -Close Group Actions

Karsten Grove and Hermann Karcher*

The aim of this paper is to prove the following stability theorem for group actions.

Theorem A. *Let G be a compact Lie group and let M be a connected compact differentiable manifold. Then any two C^1 -close G -actions on M are conjugate by an explicitly defined diffeomorphism isotopic to the identity map of M .*

The existence of a map conjugating two C^1 -close G -actions has already been proved by Palais [5]. Palais' proof relies essentially on the fact that there exists a representation of G in an orthogonal group $O(n)$ and an equivariant embedding of M in \mathbb{R}^n . The main tool in our approach is to define a "center of mass" for almost constant maps. This enables us to define a specific map conjugating the two group actions if they are C^1 -close.

Using this notion of center we prove in the last paragraph a differential geometric version of the theorem:

If M carries a Riemannian metric and one of the actions is by isometries, then we say in terms of curvature bounds for M , independent of the dimension of M , how C^1 -close the actions have to be.

We hope that this will be useful in e.g. pinching problems, compare the special case $G = \mathbb{Z}_2$ in Grove and Karcher [3] and also Shiohama [6].

A key point in our work was to find a useful "center of mass" of a map. We thank D. Burghilea for stimulating discussions on that. He arrived at such a definition for maps with connected compact domain using properties of the heat equation and harmonic maps, – we believe that his center can also be used to prove Theorem A for connected compact Lie groups.

1. Center of almost Constant Maps

Let N and M be compact Riemannian manifolds and denote by $C^0(N, M)$ the Banach manifold of continuous maps from N to M ; the component of the constant maps is modelled on $C^0(N, \mathbb{R}^n)$. The

* This work was done under the program "Sonderforschungsbereich Theoretische Mathematik" (SFB 40) at the University of Bonn.

tangent bundle $TC^0(N, M)$ is naturally equivalent to $C^0(N, TM)$ and $C^0(N, M)$ carries a Finsler structure given by

$$(1.1) \quad \|X\|_{C^0} := \max_{p \in N} \|X(p)\|_{f(p)} \quad \text{for all } X \in T_f C^0(N, M)$$

see e. g. Eliasson [1].

There is a natural embedding $\hat{e}: M \rightarrow C^0(N, M)$ defined by $\hat{e}(m)(p) := m$ for all $p \in N$ and all $m \in M$. Put $\hat{e}(m) := \hat{m}$ and $\hat{e}(M) := \hat{M}$. Then we have the Banach bundle $TC^0(N, M)|_{\hat{M}}$ over \hat{M} containing the tangent bundle $T\hat{M}$ of \hat{M} as a finite dimensional subbundle. We define now a complementary bundle to $T\hat{M}$ in $TC^0(N, M)|_{\hat{M}}$. Let $P: TC^0(N, M)|_{\hat{M}} \rightarrow TC^0(N, M)|_{\hat{M}}$ be given by

$$(1.2) \quad P(X)(p) = \int_N X, \quad (\text{normalization: } \text{vol}(N) = 1)$$

for all $X \in TC^0(N, M)|_{\hat{M}}$ and all $p \in N$. P is a differentiable bundle map with $P^2 = P$ i.e. a bundle projection. The image of P equals $T\hat{M}$, so $E := \ker P \subseteq TC^0(N, M)|_{\hat{M}}$ is a bundle with $E \oplus T\hat{M} = TC^0(N, M)|_{\hat{M}}$.

The connection on M induces a connection on $C^0(N, M)$ such that the exponential map of $C^0(N, M)$ is given by $C^0(\exp): TC^0(N, M) \rightarrow C^0(N, M)$ i.e. for $X \in T_f C^0(N, M)$ we have $C^0(\exp)(X)(p) = \exp_{f(p)} X(p)$ for all $p \in N$, – see Eliasson [1]. Thus from the bundle projection $\pi: E \rightarrow \hat{M}$ we get a differentiable deformation retraction of a tubular neighborhood $U_\varepsilon := C^0(\exp)(E_\varepsilon)$ of \hat{M} in $C^0(N, M)$ to \hat{M} , – denote this by $\tilde{\pi} := \pi \circ C^0(\exp)^{-1}|_{U_\varepsilon}$.

Now if $f \in C^0(N, M)$ is sufficiently C^0 -close to a constant map $\hat{m} \in C^0(N, M)$ we have $f \in U_\varepsilon$. We shall say that f is “almost constant”. We define the “center of mass” $\mathcal{C}(f)$ of f by

$$(1.3) \quad \mathcal{C}(f) := \tilde{\pi} \circ f \in \hat{M}.$$

Then for any isometry $A: M \rightarrow M$ on M we have

$$(1.4) \quad A \circ \mathcal{C}(f) = \mathcal{C}(A \circ f).$$

To prove this we just note that for the constant map $\hat{c} = \mathcal{C}(f)$ we have $\int_N \exp_c^{-1} \circ f = 0$ and $A_* \circ \exp_c^{-1} \circ f = \exp_{A(c)}^{-1} \circ A \circ f$, where A_* is the differential of the isometry A .

2. The Conjugation Map

Let now N be a compact Lie group G with right invariant metric and corresponding volume, and consider two differentiable G -actions on M $\mu_i: G \times M \rightarrow M$, $i=1, 2$. Choose a riemannian metric on M such that μ_1 operates by isometries. Let R_h denote right translation on G

by $h \in G$; we have for every $f \in C^0(G, M)$ which has a well defined center $\mathcal{C}(f)$ (i.e. $f \in U_\varepsilon$), that

$$(2.1) \quad \mathcal{C}(f) = \mathcal{C}(f \circ R_h).$$

Define now the map $\eta: G \times M \rightarrow M$ by $\eta(g, m) = \mu_1(g^{-1}, \mu_2(g, m))$ for all $(g, m) \in G \times M$ and let $\hat{\eta}: M \rightarrow C^1(G, M) \subset C^0(G, M)$ be the corresponding map $\hat{\eta}(m) := \eta(m, \cdot)$. It is easy to see that $\hat{\eta}: M \rightarrow C^0(G, M)$ is an embedding and if μ_2 is C^1 -close to μ_1 , then $\hat{\eta}$ is C^1 -close to $\hat{e}: M \rightarrow C^0(G, M)$ ($e: G \times M \rightarrow M$ is the trivial action). Since $\hat{\eta}$ is of course C^0 -close to \hat{e} we have that $\hat{\eta}(M) \subset U_\varepsilon$, so

$$(2.2) \quad S := \tilde{\pi} \circ \hat{\eta}: M \rightarrow \hat{M} \equiv M$$

is a well defined differentiable map. Since π is a bundle projection and $\hat{\eta}$ is C^1 -close to \hat{e} we have $S = \tilde{\pi} \circ \hat{\eta}$ is C^1 -close to $1_M = \tilde{\pi} \circ \hat{e}$, i.e. S is a diffeomorphism on M isotopic to 1_M .

To complete the proof of Theorem A we show that S conjugates μ_1 and μ_2 . To this end, note that $S(m) = \mathcal{C}(\hat{\eta}(m))$ for all $m \in M$, so using (1.4) and (2.1) we get for each $h \in G$

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu_1(h, S(m)) &= \mu_1(h, \mathcal{C}(\hat{\eta}(m))) \\ &= \mathcal{C}(\mu_1(h, \cdot) \circ \hat{\eta}(m)) \\ &= \mathcal{C}(\mu_1(h, \cdot) \circ \hat{\eta}(m) \circ R_h) \\ &= \mathcal{C}(\hat{\eta}(\mu_2(h, m))) \\ &= S(\mu_2(h, m)). \quad \text{Q.E.D.} \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 2.4. *Let $\mu_1, \mu_2: H \rightarrow G$ be two homomorphisms of the compact Lie group H into the Lie group G with biinvariant metric. We can view μ_1 and μ_2 as actions of H on G by isometries, namely left translations $\mu_i(h, g) := \mu_i(h) \cdot g$. If μ_1 and μ_2 are C^0 -close then the map $S(2.2)$ turns out to be a left translation. In other words the subgroups $\mu_1(H)$, $\mu_2(H)$ are conjugate in G .*

Proof. By assumption the map $\hat{\eta}_g: H \rightarrow G$, $\hat{\eta}_g(h) := \mu_1(h^{-1}, \mu_2(h, g)) = \mu_1(h^{-1}) \cdot \mu_2(h) \cdot g$ is almost constant so that the center $\mathcal{C}(\hat{\eta}_g) := S(g)$ is defined. Now (1.4) and $\hat{\eta}_g = R_g \circ \hat{\eta}_e$ imply $\mathcal{C}(\hat{\eta}_g) = \mathcal{C}(\hat{\eta}_e) \cdot g$ or $S(g) = S(e) \cdot g$. The left translation S is a diffeomorphism of G which conjugates the actions μ_1, μ_2 and $S(e) \in G$ conjugates the subgroups $\mu_1(H), \mu_2(H)$.

Remark. As example consider $O(n)$ with scalar product $\langle A, B \rangle := \frac{1}{2} \cdot \text{trace } AB^*$. The metric is so normalized that the shortest closed geod-

esics – e. g. $\exp t \cdot A$, $0 \leq t \leq 2\pi$,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^-$$

have length 2π . The cutlocus distance is π and for the sectional curvatures K holds $0 \leq K \leq \frac{1}{2}$. It follows from (3.1) and (3.3) that a map into $O(n)$ has a well defined center if its image is contained in a ball of radius $\pi/4$ (actually $\pi/3$ with a refinement of (3.3)). Two subgroups $\mu_1(H)$, $\mu_2(H)$ are therefore conjugate, if $\max_H d(\mu_1(h), \mu_2(h)) \leq \frac{\pi}{3}$.

Corollary 2.5. *Let M be compact, The identity $1_M \in \text{Diff}(M)$ has a neighborhood U_ε in the C^1 -topology, such that any homomorphism $\tau: H \rightarrow U_\varepsilon \subset \text{Diff}(M)$ of a compact Lie group H is trivial.*

Proof. By Theorem A τ is conjugate to the trivial action of H on M .

Remark. The notion of almost constant map with a well defined center can be extended to more general situations. Consider a map $f: X \rightarrow C^0(N, M)$, X of finite volume, N compact. We call f almost constant, if for each $n \in N$ the map $f_n: X \rightarrow M$, $f_n(x) = f(x)(n) \in M$ is almost constant. We define the center of f to be the map $\mathcal{C}(f) \in C^0(N, M)$ given by $\mathcal{C}(f)(n) = \mathcal{C}(f_n)$. The interpretation of the center is given by the following: For every $n \in N$ holds $\int_X \exp_{\mathcal{C}(f)(n)}^{-1} f(x)(n) dx = 0$. For example an almost constant $f: X \rightarrow \text{Diff}(M)$ has a center in the space of maps from M to M ; according to the proof of Theorem A the center is actually in $\text{Diff}(M)$ if f is almost constant in the C^1 -sense.

3. A Differential Geometric Version of the Previous Construction

In this section we assume that M is a Riemannian manifold and that the action μ_1 of the compact Lie group G is by isometries. We describe in terms of the Riemannian metric how close another action μ_2 (by diffeomorphisms) has to be to μ_1 , so that the center-map is a well defined diffeomorphism conjugating μ_1 and μ_2 .

Let δ be the minimum and Δ the maximum of the sectional curvatures K of M , and let D be the minimum of the cut-locus distance $d(p, C(p))$ (a continuous function on M). Put $\rho := \frac{1}{2} D$ if $\Delta \leq 0$ or $\rho := \text{Min}(\frac{1}{2} D, \frac{1}{2} \pi \Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}})$ if $\Delta > 0$. Then every metric ball B of radius $< \rho$ is strongly convex, i. e. for any points $p, q \in B$ there is a unique minimizing geodesic from p to q in M and this geodesic segment lies in B , see e. g. Karcher [4].

Proposition 3.1. *Let X be a measure space of volume 1 and $f: X \rightarrow M$ a measurable map such that the image $f(X)$ of f in M is contained in a*

metric ball B of radius $\leq \rho'$ determined below, Then f has in B a well defined center $\mathcal{C}(f) \in B$.

Proof. For every $p \in B$ we define a tangent vector v_p at p by

$$(3.2) \quad v_p := \int_X \exp_p^{-1} f(x) dx.$$

We claim that the vector field $p \rightarrow v_p$ is differentiable and vanishes only at one point of B . Therefore in B the center $\mathcal{C}(f)$ is well defined. To prove the claim we need the following:

Lemma 3.3. For $q \in M$ let $c: [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ be a geodesic with $\|\dot{c}\| = \beta < 2\rho$ and $c(0) = q$. Let J be a Jacobi field along c with $J(0) = 0$ (i.e. $J'' + R(J, \dot{c})\dot{c} = 0$ where R is the curvature tensor and $\dot{}$ denotes covariant derivative). Then for $\delta > 0$ we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \|J(t) - tJ'(t)\| &\leq \left(\frac{\Delta}{\delta}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \cdot \frac{\sin \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \beta t - \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \beta t \cos \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \beta t}{\sin \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \beta t} \cdot \|J(t)\| \\ &\leq k_1(\Delta, \delta, \beta) \cdot \|J(t)\| \quad \left(\text{e.g. } k_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \leq \frac{\pi^3}{48}\right) \end{aligned}$$

and similar formulas for other combinations of signs of δ and Δ (as will be seen from the proof).

Proof. $\frac{d}{dt} \langle J - tJ', J - tJ' \rangle = 2 \langle -tJ'', J - tJ' \rangle$ implies that

$$(3.4) \quad \|J(t) - tJ'(t)\| \leq \int_0^t \|t \cdot J''\| dt \leq \max |K| \cdot \beta^2 \cdot \int_0^t t \cdot \|J\| dt.$$

For $\|J\|$ we have the Rauch-estimates, see e.g. Gromoll, Klingenberg, Meyer [2],

$$\|J(t)\| \leq \begin{cases} \|J'(0)\| \cdot \delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} \beta^{-1} \sin \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \beta t & \text{if } \delta > 0 \\ \|J'(0)\| \cdot t & \text{if } \delta = 0 \\ \|J'(0)\| \cdot (-\delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \beta^{-1} \sinh(-\delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} \beta t & \text{if } \delta < 0 \end{cases}$$

and similar lower bounds with δ replaced by Δ . Insert these estimates in (3.4) and integrate:

$$\begin{aligned} \|J(t) - tJ'(t)\| &\leq \|J'(0)\| \max |K| \cdot \beta^2 (\delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \beta)^{-3} \cdot (\sin \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \beta t - \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \beta t \cos \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \beta t), \\ (\text{resp. if } \delta < 0: &\leq \|J'(0)\| \max |K| \beta^2 ((-\delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} \beta)^{-3} \\ &\quad \cdot ((-\delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} \beta t \cosh(-\delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} \beta t - \sinh(-\delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} \beta t) \text{ etc.}). \end{aligned}$$

Now use the lower Rauch-estimates to prove the lemma:

$$\|J(t) - tJ'(t)\| \leq \|J(t)\| \cdot \max |K| \beta^2 (\delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \beta)^{-3} \\ \cdot (\sin \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \beta t - \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \beta t \cdot \cos \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \beta t) \cdot \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \beta (\sin \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \beta t)^{-1}$$

and similarly for $\Delta = 0$ or $\Delta < 0$.

Remark. In pinching situations or if $\Delta < 0$ the lemma can be improved by estimating $\|\cos kt \cdot J - k^{-1} \sin kt \cdot J'\|$ with e.g. $2k^2 = (\Delta + \delta) \beta^2$.

To continue the proof of Proposition 3.1 we choose $\rho' < \rho$ such that $\beta \leq 2\rho'$ in Lemma 3.3 implies that $\|J(t) - tJ'(t)\| \leq q \cdot \|J(t)\|$ for some $q < 1$. We consider now the vector field v along a geodesic γ defined by $\gamma(\varepsilon) = \exp_p \varepsilon \cdot A$ with $A \in T_p M$ and $\|A\| = 1$. For each $x \in X$ we get the contribution $\exp_{\gamma(\varepsilon)}^{-1} f(x)$ to the integral $v_{\gamma(\varepsilon)}$. Therefore define the family of geodesics $c(\varepsilon, t) = \exp_{\gamma(\varepsilon)}(1-t) \exp_{\gamma(\varepsilon)}^{-1} f(x)$ which join $c(\varepsilon, 0) = f(x)$ to $c(\varepsilon, 1) = \gamma(\varepsilon)$.

Consider then the Jacobi fields $J_{\varepsilon_0}(t) = \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} c(\varepsilon_0, t)$ along the geodesics $c(\varepsilon_0, \cdot)$, obviously $J_{\varepsilon_0}(0) = 0$ and $J_{\varepsilon}(1) = \dot{\gamma}(\varepsilon)$. We get

$$-\frac{D}{d\varepsilon} \exp_{\gamma(\varepsilon)}^{-1} f(x) = \frac{D}{d\varepsilon} \frac{d}{dt} c(\varepsilon, t) \Big|_{t=1} = J_{\varepsilon}'(1) = J_{\varepsilon}'(1; x).$$

All these derivatives exist uniformly in B , therefore we have

$$(3.5) \quad \frac{D}{d\varepsilon} v_{\gamma(\varepsilon)} = \int_X -J_{\varepsilon}'(1; x) dx.$$

From $J_{\varepsilon}(1; x) = \dot{\gamma}(\varepsilon)$ together with Lemma 3.3 we obtain

$$(3.6) \quad \left\| \dot{\gamma}(\varepsilon) + \frac{D}{d\varepsilon} v_{\gamma(\varepsilon)} \right\| \leq q \cdot \|\dot{\gamma}(\varepsilon)\|.$$

Therefore v is a differentiable vector field with isolated singularities. The index of the vector field $-v$ is $+1$, since at the boundary of the convex ball B v is an average over inward pointing vectors (and B is contractible). From this we have that v has at least one singularity. On the other hand (3.6) implies that if γ is a geodesic leaving an isolated singularity of v , then the component of v in the direction $-\dot{\gamma}$ is strictly increasing, so the index of each singularity of $-v$ is $+1$, — thus v has exactly one singularity in B , the center $\mathcal{C}(f)$. (3.6) implies also $d(p, \mathcal{C}(f)) \leq \|v_p\| \cdot (1-q)^{-1}$.

Let now X be a compact Lie group G with right-invariant metric of volume 1. Let μ_1 be an action on M by isometries and μ_2 an action by diffeomorphisms. As in Section 2 we consider the map $\eta: G \times M \rightarrow M$, and we denote now $\hat{\eta}(m): G \rightarrow M$ by η_m and similarly $\eta(g, \cdot): M \rightarrow M$ by η^g . We assume that the actions are so close in the C^0 -topology, that for each

$m \in M$ we have that $\eta_m(G)$ is contained in some convex ball $B_{\rho'}$ as described in Proposition 3.1. We therefore have a unique *center of mass* of η_m in $B_{\rho'}$. Assuming now that for all $m \in M$ $\eta_m(G)$ lies in a ball of radius $\frac{1}{2}\rho'$, then there is in M a unique center $S(m) := \mathcal{C}(\eta_m)$ with the property

$$\|\exp_{S(m)}^{-1} \circ \eta_m\|_{C^0} < \rho',$$

i.e. $C^0(\exp)$ is a diffeomorphism on $E_{\rho'}$, see Section 1, thus $S: M \rightarrow M$ is differentiable. (In the notation of Section 2 $S = \tilde{\pi} \circ \hat{\eta}$, where $\tilde{\pi}$ is defined on $U_{\rho'}$.) As we have seen in Section 2 $\mu_1(g, S(m)) = S(\mu_2(g, m))$ for all $(g, m) \in G \times M$.

Proposition 3.7. *There is an explicit condition (3.15) for the C^1 -distance of μ_1 and μ_2 which guarantess that S is a diffeomorphism, and a slightly sharper condition so that S is even isotopic to 1_M .*

Proof. We fix $m \in M$ and a unit tangent vector $A \in T_m M$. Put $\eta^g(m) := m_g$, $\eta_*^g(A) := A_g \in T_{m_g} M$ and $S(m) := c$. Let $\gamma_g: [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ be the geodesic from c to m_g i.e. $\gamma_g(0) = c$ and $\gamma_g(1) = m_g$, put $\|\dot{\gamma}_g\| =: \beta_g$. Next consider $c(\varepsilon) := S(\exp_m \varepsilon \cdot A)$ and the geodesics $\gamma_{g\varepsilon}$ from $c(\varepsilon)$ to $m_{g\varepsilon} := \eta^g(\exp_m \varepsilon \cdot A)$. By that we get the Jacobi fields $J_g(t) = \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \gamma_{g\varepsilon}(t) \Big|_{\varepsilon=0}$ with $J_g(0) = S_*(A)$ and $J_g(1) = A_g$. Moreover, by definition of $c(\varepsilon)$ we have $\int_G \dot{\gamma}_{g\varepsilon}(0) dg = 0$ and thus

$$(3.8) \quad \frac{D}{d\varepsilon} \int_G \dot{\gamma}_{g\varepsilon}(0) dg = \int_G J'_g(0) = 0.$$

We shall now approximate $S_*(A)$ by an average of the A_g parallel translated to $c(0) = S(m)$ and thereby derive $S_*(A) \neq 0$. Let us split J_g in $J_g = Y_g + Z_g$, where Y_g and Z_g are Jacobi fields along γ_g with

$$(3.9) \quad Y_g(0) = 0, \quad Y_g(1) = A_g$$

and

$$(3.10) \quad Z_g(0) = S_*(A), \quad Z_g(1) = 0.$$

Lemma 3.3 gives us

$$(3.11) \quad \|Z_g(0) + Z'_g(0)\| \leq k_1(A, \delta, \beta_g) \cdot \|Z_g(0)\|.$$

Similarly we have to compare $Y'_g(0)$ and $B_g =$ parallel translate of A_g along γ_g to $\gamma_g(0) = c$. Therefore

Lemma 3.12. *If J is a Jacobi field along γ with $J(0) = 0$ and P_t denotes parallel translation along γ , then, if $\delta > 0$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|J(t) - t \cdot P_t J'(0)\| \\ & \leq \max |K| \cdot \delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot (\delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \beta t - \sin \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \beta t) \cdot \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot (\sin \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \beta t)^{-1} \cdot \|J(t)\| \end{aligned}$$

and similar estimates for other signs of δ and Δ .

Proof. Put $W = J - t \cdot P_t J'(0)$, hence $W'' = J'' = -R(J, \dot{c}) \dot{c}$. Let Q be the unit parallel field such that $Q(\tau) = \|W(\tau)\|^{-1} W(\tau)$ for a fixed τ . Then

$$\|W(\tau)\| = \langle W(\tau), Q(\tau) \rangle = \int_0^\tau \int_0^\tau \langle W'', Q \rangle \leq \int_0^\tau \int_0^\tau \max |K| \beta^2 \|J\|,$$

we insert the upper Rauch estimate to get

$$\|W(\tau)\| \leq \|J'(0)\| \cdot \max |K| \cdot \delta^{-\frac{3}{2}} \beta^{-1} (\delta^{\frac{3}{2}} \beta \tau - \sin \delta^{\frac{3}{2}} \beta \tau),$$

and the lower Rauch estimate to complete the proof.

Remark. Also this lemma can be improved for pinching situations. Continuing the proof of Proposition 3.7 we get from Lemma 3.12

$$(3.13) \quad \|B_g - Y'_g(0)\| \leq k_2(\Delta, \delta, \beta_g) \cdot \|A_g\|.$$

We approximate now $S_*(A) = \int_G Z_g(0) dg$ by $\int_G B_g dg$:

$$\int_G Z_g(0) dg - \int_G B_g dg \stackrel{(3.8)}{=} \int_G (Z_g(0) + Z'_g(0)) dg - \int_G (B_g - Y'_g(0)) dg,$$

hence by (3.11) and (3.13)

$$(3.14) \quad \|S_*(A) - \int_G B_g dg\| \leq k_1(\Delta, \delta, \beta) \cdot \|S_*(A)\| + \int_G k_2(\Delta, \delta, \beta_g) \cdot \|A_g\| dg.$$

This inequality contains the desired information. We make now the *assumption* that the two actions of G are so close in the C^1 -topology, that parallel translation of A_g along any once broken geodesic from m_g to m in B_ρ gives a vector PA_g such that $\angle(A, PA_g) \leq \Phi_g$. Then (3.14) implies that if

$$(3.15) \quad k_1(\Delta, \delta, \beta) < 1, \quad k_2(\Delta, \delta, \beta_g) < \cos \Phi_g$$

we have

$$(1 + k_1(\Delta, \delta, \beta)) \|S_*(A)\| \geq \int_G (\cos \Phi_g - k_2(\Delta, \delta, \beta_g)) \cdot \|A_g\| dg > 0.$$

So (3.15) is the condition for S to be of maximal rank and since S is also homotopic to 1_M it is a diffeomorphism.

Moreover if $\varphi := \angle(S_*(A), \int_G B_g dg)$ we have from (3.14) and (3.15) also

$$(3.16) \quad \sin \varphi \leq k_1 + k_2 \frac{1 + k_1}{\cos \Phi_g - k_2}.$$

Therefore we have also an explicit condition for S to satisfy the assumptions of the diffeotopy Theorem 3.1 in Grove and Karcher [3], so S is then isotopic to 1_M .

Example. In the case of M being the standard sphere i.e. $\delta = \Delta = 1$ we can, knowing the structure of Jacobifields on the sphere (compare (3.9) and (3.10)), obtain more explicit estimates. With $X^{*\sharp}$ denoting the reflection of the vector $X \in T_{S(m)}M$ at the tangent hyperplane orthogonal to γ_g we have,

$$(3.17) \quad \int_G (1 - \alpha_g) S_*(A) dg - \int_G (1 + \varepsilon_g) PA_g dg = \int_G \alpha_g S_*(A)^{*\sharp} dg - \int_G \varepsilon_g PA_g^{*\sharp} dg$$

where

$$(3.18) \quad \alpha_g := \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{\beta_g}{\tan \beta_g} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon_g := \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\beta_g}{\sin \beta_g} - 1 \right).$$

Except in the case $G = \mathbb{Z}_2$ all the reflections “ $^{*\sharp}$ ” are different; therefore it is not obvious how to get a reasonably sharp sufficient condition from (3.17). A rough one is: If for all $m \in S^n$ $\eta_m(G)$ lies in a ball of radius $\leq \frac{\pi}{4}$ and $\Phi_g \leq 76^\circ$ for all $g \in G$ then μ_1 and μ_2 are conjugate.

Since a spherical triangle in a ball of radius $r = 45^\circ (= 36^\circ, = 30^\circ)$ has area $\leq 55.5^\circ (\leq 33.1^\circ, \leq 22.2^\circ)$, we can guarantee $\Phi_g \leq 76^\circ$ if the angle Ψ_g between $A \in T_m S^n$ and $A_g \in T_{m_g} S^n$ parallel translated from m_g to m along the unique shortest geodesic is $\leq \Phi_g$ -area, i.e. $\leq 20.5^\circ (\leq 42.9^\circ, \leq 53.8^\circ)$.

Added in Proof. § 3 gives a proof of Theorem A without using Banach manifolds except for the differentiability argument for S on top of p. 17. To give a completely selfcontained differential geometric proof of Theorem A we prove the differentiability of the conjugating map S as follows:

On the neighborhood $U = \{(m, n) \in M \times M \mid d(m, n) < \rho'\}$ of the diagonal of $M \times M$ we define the map

$$v: U \rightarrow TM \quad \text{by} \quad v(m, n) := \int_G \exp_n^{-1}(\eta_m(g)) dg \in T_n M.$$

We know $v(m, n) = 0 \Rightarrow n = \mathcal{C}(\eta_m) = S(m)$; therefore $\text{graph } S = v^{-1}(Z)$, where Z is the zero-section of TM . Now we prove that already the partial map $v(m, \cdot): B_{\rho'}(m) \rightarrow TM$ is transversal to Z . Then $\text{graph } S$ is (i) a differentiable submanifold of U and (ii) has no “vertical” tangent (= tangent to the second factor of $M \times M$), hence S is a differentiable map.

To prove the transversality assume $v(m, n) = 0$, note that $v(m, \cdot)$ is a vector field on $B_{\rho'}$, consider geodesics γ with $\gamma(0) = n$ and their image curves under $v(m, \cdot)$ in TM : $X(t) = v(m, \gamma(t))$. We identify $(\pi_*, K): TTM \rightarrow$

$TM \oplus TM$, G.K.M. [2] p.45, where π_* is the differential of $\pi: TM \rightarrow M$ and K is the connection map. Under this identification $\dot{X}(0) = (\dot{y}, D_{\dot{y}}X)(0)$, while tangent vectors to the zero-section are represented by $(\dot{y}, 0)$. Now (3.6) proves the transversality.

References

1. Eliasson, H.I.: Geometry of manifolds of maps. *J. Diff. Geometry* **1**, 169–194 (1967).
2. Gromoll, D., Klingenberg, W., Meyer, W.: *Riemannsche Geometrie im Großen*. Lecture notes series 55. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer 1968.
3. Grove, K., Karcher, H.: On pinched manifolds with fundamental group \mathbb{Z}_2 , to appear in *Compositio math.*
4. Karcher, H.: Anwendungen der Alexandrowschen Winkelvergleichssätze. *Manuscripta math.* **2**, 77–102 (1970).
5. Palais, R.S.: Equivalence of nearby differentiable actions of a compact group. *Bull. Amer. math. Soc.* **67**, 362–364 (1961).
6. Shiohama, K.: Pinching theorem for the real projective space, preprint Tokyo (1972).

K. Grove
 Mathematisches Institut
 D-5300 Bonn
 Federal Republic of Germany

H. Karcher
 Mathematisches Institut
 D-5300 Bonn
 Federal Republic of Germany

(Received January 30, 1973)