

The Maximal Rank Conjecture for Non-Special Curves in \mathbb{P}^n

Edoardo Ballico¹* and Philippe Ellia²

¹ Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Trento, I-38050 Povo (TN), Italia

² CNRS LA 168, Département de Mathématiques, Université de Nice, Parc Valrose, F-06034 Nice Cedex, France

In this paper we conclude our study ([2, 3]) about the postulation of “general” curves embedded in a projective space by a non-special linear system. Recall that a curve $C \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ is said to be of maximal rank if for every $k \geq 1$, the natural map of restriction $r_{C,n}(k): H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(k)) \rightarrow H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(k))$ is surjective or injective. In this paper we prove the following result (over any algebraically closed base field).

Theorem. *Fix integers n, d, g with $n \geq 5, g \geq 0, d \geq g + n$. Let X be a general curve of genus g and $h: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ a general nondegenerate embedding with non-special hyperplane section, $\deg h(X) = d$. Then $h(X)$ has maximal rank.*

For $n=3$ and $n=4$ the corresponding result was proved respectively in [3] and [2]. In [2] we assumed that the base field has zero characteristic; however this assumption can be avoided quoting [11], Prop. 3 and Lemma 4, in the proof of [2], Lemma 1. Hence this paper, together with [2, 3], yields the so called “Maximal rank conjecture for non-special curves in $\mathbb{P}^n, n \geq 3$ ”. Since in the proof of the theorem we use induction on n , we need the main result of [2] (but not of [3]). As promised in the introduction of [2], here we use the skeleton of the proof (and often the notations) of the main theorem of [2]. The main difference with respect to [2, 4], is in §1 (intersection with a hyperplane). Furthermore we don’t use any nilpotent.

We prove the theorem by induction. We try to construct by an inductive procedure called “la méthode d’Horace” (see [6, 9, 10]) a suitable reducible curve $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^n$, $\deg Y = d, p_a(Y) = g$, with good postulation. A theorem of Sernesi ([13]) and Hartshorne-Hirschowitz [7]) states that the curve Y can be deformed to a smooth curve $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^n$, $\deg Z = d, p_a(Z) = g$, with $h^1(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z(1)) = 0$. By semicontinuity, Z has good postulation.

* Partially supported by Italian M.P.I.

§ 0. Notations and Preliminaries

Definition. Let $Z^*(d, g; n)$ be the closure in $\text{Hilb } \mathbb{P}^n$ of the set of smooth irreducible curves $C \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ with $H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C(1))=0$.

It is well-known that $Z^*(d, g; n)$ is irreducible. This fact will be used many times in the next sections without further mention.

For a curve C in \mathbb{P}^n , N_C is its normal bundle. A curve C is said to be k -secant to another curve D if it intersects D quasi-transversally and exactly at k points. The next lemma is due to Sernesi ([13]) and Hartshorne-Hirschowitz ([7]). It is the fundamental tool for this paper (as it was for [2] and [3]).

0.1 Lemma. *Take $Y \in Z^*(d, g; n)$. Denote by D a rational curve of degree $f \leq n$ which spans a \mathbb{P}^f . Assume that D is k -secant to Y with $1 \leq k \leq f+1$. Then $Y \cup D \in Z^*(d+f, g+k-1; n)$. Furthermore if Y is a locally complete intersection with $h^1(Y, N_Y)=0$, then $h^1(Y \cup D, N_{Y \cup D})=0$.*

A map is said to be strictly surjective (or s -surjective) if it is surjective but not injective. Let E be a closed subscheme of the projective space V ; $\mathcal{I}_{E,V}$ will denote its ideal sheaf. For all integers $k \geq 1$, $r_{E,V}(k): H^0(V, \mathcal{O}_V(k)) \rightarrow H^0(E, \mathcal{O}_E(k))$ is the restriction map. If $V = \mathbb{P}^m$, we write often $\mathcal{I}_{E,m}$, $r_{E,m}(k)$ instead of $\mathcal{I}_{E,V}$, $r_{E,V}(k)$. If $H = \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$, we write often $Z^*(d, g; H)$ instead of $Z^*(d, g; n-1)$.

For a real number x , $[x]$ denotes its integral part.

0.2 Remark. Let $S \subset \mathbb{P}^m$ be a general subset, $\#(S) \leq m+3$. Then there is a smooth, rational normal curve $C \subset \mathbb{P}^m$ with $S \subset C$.

§ 1. Intersection with the Hyperplane

1.1 Definition. Let U, V be irreducible subvarieties of \mathbb{P}^n . The join, U^0V , of U, V is the closure of the union of the lines $[x, y]$, $x \in U, y \in V, x \neq y$.

Note that U^0V is irreducible and $\dim(U^0V) \leq \dim(U) + \dim(V) + 1$. By iteration one defines $V^{0i} := V^0 V^{0(i-1)}$. The following lemma is well-known (for ex. see [1]):

1.2 Lemma. *Let C be a nondegenerate, irreducible curve in \mathbb{P}^n . If U is an irreducible subvariety of \mathbb{P}^n , then $\dim(U^0C) = \min(n, \dim(U) + 2)$.*

Proof. If $\dim(U) < n$, since C is nondegenerate there exists $p \in C$ such that $p \notin \text{Vert}(U) := \{x: x^0U = U\}$ (note that $\text{Vert}(U)$ is a linear subspace of U). Hence $\dim(C^0U) \geq \dim(p^0U) = \dim(U) + 1$. If $\dim(U^0C) = \dim(U) + 1$, then $p^0U = C^0U$. It follows that C is contained in $\text{Vert}(U^0C)$. Since C spans \mathbb{P}^n , $\text{Vert}(U^0C) = \mathbb{P}^n$, hence $U^0C = \mathbb{P}^n$. \square

1.3 Corollary. *Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a nondegenerate, irreducible curve, then $\dim(C^{0(t+1)}) = \min(n, 2t + 1)$.*

Let $H \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a hyperplane and $D \subset H$ be a closed subscheme of dimension at most one. Denote by C a nondegenerate curve in \mathbb{P}^n . For $h := [(n-2)/2]$ let L_1, \dots, L_h be h distinct lines, intersecting H transversally, not meeting D and satisfying the following incidence relations:

- (a1) L_1 is 2-secant to C ;

(a2) $L_i, i \geq 2$, is 2-secant to $C \cup L_{i-1}$ with $L_i \cap C \neq \emptyset, L_{i-1} \cap L_i \neq \emptyset$. Also let $\{Y_j\}, 1 \leq j \leq t$, be t lines such that:

(b) for every $j, 1 \leq j \leq t, Y_j \cap C \neq \emptyset$ and Y_j is 2-secant to $X := C \cup L_1 \cup \dots \cup L_h$.
 Set $Y := L_1 \cup \dots \cup L_h \cup Y_1 \cup \dots \cup Y_t$.

1.4 Lemma. *With notations as above, assume that $r_{D \cup (Y \cap H), H}(k)$ is strictly surjective for a given $k > 0$. Then we can deform $L_1, \dots, L_h, Y_1, \dots, Y_t$ to $L'_1, \dots, L'_h, Y'_1, \dots, Y'_t$, the L'_i, Y'_j satisfying (a1), (a2) and (b), and we can find a line A 2-secant to $X' := C \cup L'_1 \cup \dots \cup L'_h$ with $C \cap A \neq \emptyset$ and $r_{D \cup ((Y' \cup A) \cap H), H}(k)$ surjective ($Y' := L'_1 \cup \dots \cup L'_h \cup Y'_1 \cup \dots \cup Y'_t$).*

Proof. Let $S \subset H$ be a hypersurface of degree k containing $D \cup (Y \cap H)$. If $C^{02} \cap H \not\subset S$, we put $Y = Y'$ and take for A a generic 2-secant line to C . If $C^{02} \cap H \subset S$ but $(C^{03} \cap H) \not\subset S$ there exists $L_1 \subset C^{02}$ and $A \subset C^{03}$ such that: $A \cap L_1 \neq \emptyset, A \cap C \neq \emptyset$, and $A \cap H \not\subset S$. We deform L_1 to L'_1 . Since for every line $B, (B^0 C) \cap S \neq \emptyset$, we can follow this deformation with a deformation $L_2, \dots, L_h, Y'_1, \dots, Y'_t$, of $L_2, \dots, L_h, Y_1, \dots, Y_t$, in such a way that the incidence relations (a1), (a2), (b), hold and such that $(D \cup (Y' \cap H)) \subset S$. Then we have $h^0(H, \mathcal{I}_{D \cup ((Y' \cup A) \cap H), H}(k)) = h^0(H, \mathcal{I}_{D \cup (Y \cap H), H}(k)) - 1$ by semicontinuity and $r_{D \cup ((Y' \cup A) \cap H), H}(k)$ is surjective.

If $(C^{03} \cap H) \subset S$, we go on this way. By 1.3 $\dim(C^{0(h+2)}) = n$. Hence there is $s \leq h$ such that $(C^{0(s+1)} \cap H) \subset S$ and $(C^{0(s+2)} \cap H) \not\subset S$. There exist lines $A \subset C^{0(s+2)}, L_i \subset C^{0(i+1)}, 1 \leq i \leq s$, the L_i 's having the same incidence relations as the lines L_i and such that: $A \cap H \not\subset S, A \cap L_s \neq \emptyset, A \cap C \neq \emptyset$. We deform L_1, \dots, L_s to L'_1, \dots, L'_s . We follow this deformation with a deformation $L_{s+1} \cup \dots \cup L_h \cup Y'_1 \cup \dots \cup Y'_t$ of $L_{s+1} \cup \dots \cup L_h \cup Y_1 \cup \dots \cup Y_t$ in such a way that $D \cup (Y' \cap H) \subset S$ and (a1), (a2), (b) do hold. Then, as above, we are done. \square

1.5 Lemma. *Fix integers d, g, n, s with $n \geq 3, g \geq 0, d \geq g + n, s \geq 1, g \geq (s - n - 3 - (d - g - n))[n/2]$. Let S be a general subset of \mathbb{P}^n with $\#(S) = s$. Then there exists a curve $X \in Z^*(d, g; n)$ with $S \subset X$ and $h^1(X, N_X) = 0$.*

Proof. Take a general subset S' of $\mathbb{P}^n, \#(S') = \min(s, n + 3)$. By 0.2 we may find a smooth, rational normal curve C in \mathbb{P}^n with $S' \subset C$. Hence we may assume $s \geq n + 3$. If $P_i, 1 \leq i \leq d - g - n$, are $d - g - n$ general points, there are lines $L_i, 1 \leq i \leq d - g - n$, with $P_i \in L_i$ and L_i 1-secant to C . By 1.3, $C^{0(t+1)} = \mathbb{P}^n$, where $t = [n/2]$. Set $y = s - n - 3 - (d - g - n)$. Given any y general points A_1, \dots, A_y in \mathbb{P}^n , there are lines $B_{ij}, i = 1, \dots, t, j = 1, \dots, y$, with B_{1j} 2-secant to C and if $2 \leq i \leq t, B_{ij}$ intersecting both C and $B_{i-1, j}$ (but not $C \cap B_{i-1, j}$) with $A_j \in B_{tj}, j = 1, \dots, y$ (note that $B_{ij} \subset C^{0(i+1)}$). Now the union of C, L_1, \dots, L_{d-g-n} and $B_{ij}, 1 \leq i \leq t, 1 \leq j \leq y$, is a curve in $Z^*(d - (g - yt), yt; n)$ by 0.1; note that $g - yt \geq 0$ by assumption. Adding further $(g - yt)$ general 2-secant lines to C , we get the curve in $Z^*(d, g; n)$ we were looking for.

1.6 Lemma. *Fix nonnegative integers d', g', n, e, d'', g'' with $0 \leq e \leq d' - g' - n, d'' \geq g'' + n - 1$. Set $s = n + e$. Assume $g'' \geq (s - n - 2 - (d'' - g'' - n + 1))[n/2]$. Let S be a general set of s points in a hyperplane H of \mathbb{P}^n .*

(a) *There exist $Y \in Z^*(d', g'; n)$ through S and $D \in Z^*(d'', g''; H)$ through S . For general such Y and D we have $Y \cup D \in Z^*(d' + d'', g' + g'' + s - 1; n)$.*

(b) Set $B = Y \cap (H \setminus S)$. Assume that $r_{D,H}(k)$ is surjective for some k . Then we may assume that $h^0(H, \mathcal{I}_{D \cup B, H}(k)) = \max(0, h^0(H, \mathcal{I}_{D, H}(k)) - \#(B))$.

Proof. (a) The existence of D' with $h^1(D, N_{D'}) = 0$ and passing through S follows from 1.5. Fix any such D' and $S' \subset S$ with $\#(S') = n$. Take C in $Z^*(d' - e, g'; n)$ through S' with $h^1(C, N_C) = 0$. Then take the union E of e lines 1-secant to C and such that $E \cap H = S \setminus S'$. By 0.1 $h^1(D' \cup C \cup E, N_{D' \cup C \cup E}) = 0$ and $D' \cup C \cup E \in Z^*(d' + d'', g' + g'' + s - 1; n)$. We may deform $D', C \cup E$ and S to general D, Y, S preserving the incidence relations.

(b) The last part follows from 1.4. \square

1.7 Lemma. Let $H \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a hyperplane, $k \geq 1$ an integer, $C \subset \mathbb{P}^n, D \subset H$ reduced subschemes; assume that no component of C is contained in H .

- (a) If $r_{C,n}(k-1)$ and $r_{D \cup (H \cap C), H}(k)$ are injective, then $r_{C \cup D}(k)$ is injective.
- (b) Assume that $r_{C,n}(k-1)$ and $r_{D \cup (H \cap C), H}(k)$ are surjective. Then

$$h^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{I}_{C \cup D, n}(k)) \leq h^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{I}_{C, n}(k-1)) + h^0(H, \mathcal{I}_{D \cup (C \cap H), H}(k)).$$

Proof. Take $f \in \text{Ker}(r_{C \cup D, n}(k))$. Since $f|_H$ vanishes on $D \cup (C \cap H)$, f is divided by the equation z of H . Since f/z vanishes on C , $f = 0$. (b) Take general subsets $A \subset \mathbb{P}^n \setminus H, B \subset H$ with $\#(A) = h^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{I}_{C, n}(k-1)), \#(B) = h^0(H, \mathcal{I}_{D \cup (C \cap H), H}(k))$. Then apply (a) to $C \cup A$ and $D \cup B$. \square

§ 2. Basic Inductive Statements

From now on in this paper we fix integers d, g, n with $d \geq g + n, g \geq 0, n \geq 5$. By [2] and induction we assume the theorem in \mathbb{P}^{n-1} .

2.1 Definition. The critical value $v(t, s, n), t \geq s + n, s \geq 0$, is defined by

$$v(t, s, n) = \min \{k \geq 1 : h^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(k)) \geq kt - s + 1\}.$$

We set $j := v(d, g, n)$.

2.2 Definition. We define integers $g(k, n), f(k, n)$ for $k \geq 2$ by:

$$k(g(k, n) + n) - g(k, n) + 1 + f(k, n) = \binom{n+k}{n}, \quad 0 \leq f(k, n) \leq k - 2. \tag{1}$$

Set $g(1, n) := 0, f(1, n) := 0$.

2.3 Definition. We set $r = \max \{k : g(k, n) \leq g\}$.

2.4 Definition. For $r \leq k \leq j$ we define integers $d(k), h(k)$ by:

$$k d(k) - g + 1 + h(k) = \binom{n+k}{n}, \quad 0 \leq h(k) \leq k - 1. \tag{2}$$

From the definitions we immediately get:

2.5 Lemma. (i) If $t \leq t'$, then $v(t, s, n) \leq v(t', s, n)$.

(ii) $g(u, n) + n = \max \{t \geq n : v(t, t-n, n) = u\}$;

$d(k) = \max \{t \geq g+n : v(t, g, n) = k\}$.

(iii) We have $r \leq j$ with equality if and only if $d = g(r, n) + n, g = g(r, n)$.

Now we introduce the basic inductive statements:

2.6 $H(k), k \geq 1$: A general $C \in Z^*(g(k, n) + n, g(k, n) - f(k, n); n)$ has $r_{C,n}(k)$ bijective.

This definition makes sense because $g(k, n) \geq f(k, n)$ (see 4.3).

2.7 $R(k), k \geq r+1$: There exists (X, Z, T) such that:

(1) $X = Z \cup T, Z \cap T = \emptyset, r_{X,n}(k)$ is bijective;

(2) $Z \in Z^*(d(k) - h(k), g; n)$ and T is the union of $h(k)$ disjoint lines.

We will use $R(k)$ only when it makes sense, i.e. only when $d(k) - h(k) \geq g+n$ (see 3.3, 2.5(ii)); if $k \geq r+2$, there is no problem by 4.6.

2.8 $R'(r+1)$, if $g - h(r+1) \geq 0$: There exists Y in $Z^*(d(r+1), g - h(r+1); n)$ with $r_{Y,n}(r+1)$ bijective.

§ 3. Proof of the Basic Inductive Statements

In this section we prove the statements $H(k), k \geq 1, R(k), k \geq r+1, R'(r+1)$, modulo some numerical lemmas whose proof is postponed to Sect. 4. These numerical lemmas will also be used in Sect. 5 (proof of the theorem).

Recall also that, by [2] and induction, we may assume the theorem for $n-1, n \geq 5$.

3.1 Lemma. For $k \geq 1, H(k)$ holds.

Proof. $H(1)$ is clear and $H(2)$ was proved in [4], Prop. 1.1.

Assume $k \geq 3$ and that $H(k-1)$ is true.

(i) First suppose: $n - f(k, n) + f(k-1, n) \geq 1$.

Set $x := g(k, n) - g(k-1, n)$. By 4.1, 4.3 we have $x \geq n-1$. By the theorem for $n-1$ there exists $d \in Z^*(x, x-n+1; H)$, H a hyperplane in \mathbb{P}^n , with $r_{D,H}(k)$ of maximal rank. Note that

$$\binom{n-1+k}{n-1} - (kx - (x-n+1) + 1) = g(k-1, n) + f(k, n) - f(k-1, n) > 0 \quad (\text{see 4.3}).$$

Hence $r_{D,H}(k)$ is s -surjective. Let $S \subset H$ be a set of $s := n - f(k, n) + f(k-1, n)$ general points. Since $g(k, n) - g(k-1, n) \geq n-1 + (f(k-1, n) - f(k, n) - 2) \lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor$ (see 4.2) and $n + f(k-1, n) \geq s$, we may assume by 1.5, 1.6 that there exists $Y \in Z^*(g(k-1, n) + n, g(k-1, n) - f(k-1, n); n)$ with $r_{Y,n}(k-1)$ bijective and such that Y and D intersect (quasi-transversally) exactly at S and with $X := Y \cup D \in Z^*(g(k, n) + n, g(k, n) - f(k, n); n)$. By 1.7 we get that $r_{X,n}(k)$ is bijective.

(ii) Now assume $n - f(k, n) + f(k-1, n) \leq 0$.

This time we take for D an element of $Z^*(x, z; n-1)$, x as above and

$z := g(k, n) - g(k - 1, n) + f(k - 1, n) - f(k, n)$. Note that $x \geq z + n - 1$ and that $f(k, n) \leq k - 2$. By 4.1 we have $z \geq 0$. We have

$$\binom{n-1+k}{n-1} - kx + z - 1 = n + g(k-1, n) - 1.$$

hence $r_{D,H}(k)$ is s -surjective. We conclude as above (but with $\#(S) = 1$). \square

3.2 Lemma. *If $r \geq 2$ and $g - h(r + 1) \geq g(r, n) - f(r, n)(\mathcal{S})$, then $R'(r + 1)$ is true.*

Proof. Since $g(r, n) \geq f(r, n)$ by 4.3, $R'(r + 1)$ is well-defined.

Set $x := d(r + 1) - g(r, n) - n$, $y := \min(x + 1 - n, g - h(r + 1) - g(r, n) + f(r, n))$ and $s := 1 + g - h(r + 1) - g(r, n) + f(r, n) - y$. If $y = x + 1 - n$, we have $y \geq 0$, because $d(r + 1) - g(r, n) \geq 2n$ (see 4.5(b)). So in any case $y \geq 0$ and $x \geq y + n - 1$. Hence, by the theorem for $n - 1$, there is a hyperplane H in \mathbb{P}^n and $D \in Z^*(x, y; H)$ with $r_{D,H}(r + 1)$ of maximal rank. We have

$$\binom{n+r}{n-1} - (r+1)x + y - 1 = g(r, n) + n - s.$$

Hence $r_{D,H}(r + 1)$ is strictly surjective. Let $S \subset H$ be a general set of s points. Note that $s \geq 1$ (assumption (\mathcal{S}) and definition of y). To apply 1.5 we have to check that $y \geq (s - x + y - 3)[(n - 1)/2]$. But if $s = 1$, there is nothing to check. Hence we may assume $y = x + 1 - n$. In this case we see that $s \leq n + f(r, n)$ and the inequality follows from 4.5(a). Similarly since $s \leq n + f(r, n)$, we can apply 1.6 with $Y \in Z^*(g(r, n) + n, g(r, n) - f(r, n); n)$. By 3.1, we may assume that Y satisfies $H(r)$. By 1.7, 1.4 and semicontinuity, $X := Y \cup D$ satisfies $R'(r + 1)$. \square

3.3 Lemma. *If $g - h(r + 1) < g(r, n) - f(r, n)$, $r \geq 2$, then $R(r + 1)$ is true.*

Proof. By the assumption and 4.4, $d(r + 1) - h(r + 1) \geq g + n$, hence $R(r + 1)$ makes sense. Let $H \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a hyperplane and $C \subset H$ be a general smooth curve of degree $c := d(r + 1) - h(r + 1) - g(r, n) - n$ and genus $g - g(r, n) + f(r, n) \leq r - 1$. By 4.4 $c \geq n - 1 + (g - g(r, n) + f(r, n))$. Denote by T the general union of $h(r + 1)$ disjoint lines such that $T \cap C = \emptyset$. Finally set $D = T \cup C$. We will see in 3.4 that $r_{D,H}(r + 1)$ is strictly surjective. We have:

$$h^0(\mathcal{O}_H(r + 1)) - h^0(\mathcal{O}_D(r + 1)) = 2g(r, n) + n - g - f(r, n) - 1 > 0$$

(by the hypothesis).

Let $S \subset H$ be a general set of $s := 1 + g - g(r, n) + f(r, n)$ points. By 2.2, $s \geq 1$. By the hypothesis, $s \leq h(r + 1)$. Hence $0 \geq (s - n - 2 - (c - n + 1))$ and we can apply 1.5 to C and S . Now take $Y \in Z^*(g(r, n) + n, g(r, n) - f(r, n); n)$ satisfying $H(r)$. Since $g(r, n) - f(r, n) \geq (s - n - f(r, n))[n/2]$ (see 4.3) by 1.5 we may assume $C \cap Y = S$. By 0.1 we may assume that $Z := C \cup Y$ belongs to $Z^*(d(r + 1) - h(r + 1), g; n)$. Finally by 1.7, 1.4 and semi-continuity, we get that $r_{Z \cup T, n}(r + 1)$ has maximal rank. \square

3.4 Sublemma. *The map $r_{D,H}(r+1)$ is strictly surjective.*

Proof. Let f be the maximal integer such that

$$rf+1 - (g - g(r, n) + f(r, n)) \leq \binom{n-1+r}{n-1}.$$

Note that $f \geq n+r-2$ for $n \geq 5, r \geq 1$. Set $u = \min(c, f)$. By the theorem for $n-1$ there is $E \in Z^*(u, g - g(r, n) + f(r, n); H)$ such that $r_{E,H}(r)$ is surjective. Fix a hyperplane V of H . In V consider the union B of a general rational curve $F, \deg(F) = c-u, F$ intersecting E quasi-transversally and only at a point, and $h(r+1)$ general disjoint lines.

Note that if $r \geq 1$ and $n \geq 5$ we have

$$r(r+2) + (n-2)(r+1) + 1 \leq \binom{n-1+r}{n-2}.$$

Hence by [8] if $n=5$, by [5] if $n \geq 6, r_{B,V}(r+1)$ is surjective. By 0.1, 1.7, we may assume the surjectivity of $r_{V \cup B, H}(r+1)$. By semicontinuity $r_{D \cup T, H}(r+1)$ is surjective. By counting dimensions, it is also strictly surjective. \square

3.5 Lemma. *$R'(r+1)$ implies $R(r+2)$.*

Proof. In a hyperplane H we take for Z a general rational curve of degree $x := d(r+2) - d(r+1) - h(r+2)$ and for T the union of $h(r+2)$ general lines. By [5] $D := Z \cup T$ is of maximal rank. We have:

$$\binom{n+r+1}{n-1} - (r+2)x - 1 - (r+3)h(r+2) = d(r+1) - h(r+1) - 1 > 0 \quad (\text{by 4.5, 4.3}).$$

Hence $r_{D,H}(r+2)$ is strictly surjective. Then we take a general set S of $s := h(r+1) + 1$ points in H . Since $x + 1 \geq s$ (4.4) we may assume $S \subset Z$. Now let Y be a general element of $Z^*(d(r+1), g - h(r+1); n)$ (hence satisfying $R'(r+1)$). Since $d(r+1) \geq g + n$ (2.5), by 1.6 we may assume $S \subset Y$. By 0.1 (recall $x + 1 \geq s$) and 1.7, 1.4 and semicontinuity, $X := Z \cup Y \subset Z^*(d(r+2) - h(r+2), g; n)$ and $r_{X \cup T, n}(r+2)$ has maximal rank. \square

3.6 Lemma. *For $k \geq r+1, R(k)$ implies $R(k+1)$.*

Proof. (i) First assume $h(k+1) \geq h(k)$.

In a hyperplane H we take a general rational curve Z of degree $x := d(k+1) - d(k) - h(k+1) + h(k)$. By 4.4 $x \geq n-1$. Let $T \subset H$ be the general union of $h(k+1) - h(k)$ lines. By [5] $D := Z \cup T$ has maximal rank. We have:

$$\binom{n+k}{n-1} - h^0(\mathcal{O}_D(k+1)) = d(k) - 1 > 0.$$

By $R(k)$ there is $X := Y \cup T'$ with $r_{X,n}(k)$ bijective. We may assume that Z and Y intersect at one point and that $Z \cup Y$ is smoothable (0.1). We conclude with 1.7, 1.4 and semicontinuity.

(ii) Now assume: $h(k) > h(k+1)$.

This time we take $D \subset H$, a general rational curve of degree $x := d(k+1) - d(k)$. By 4.4, $x \geq n-1$. We have:

$$\binom{n+k}{n-1} - h^0(\mathcal{O}_D(k+1)) = d(k) - 1 + h(k+1) - h(k) \tag{3}$$

which according for instance to 4.4 is strictly positive. Hence $r_{D,H}(k+1)$ is strictly positive. As above, $R(k)$ gives $X := Y \cup T'$. We would like that D and Y intersect at one point and that D meets $h(k) - h(k+1)$ lines of T' . This is possible if we can impose $s' := 1 + h(k) - h(k+1)$ general points to D . According to 1.5 this is possible, because by 4.4 $d(k+1) \geq d(k) + h(k) - h(k+1) - 2$. Now $D \cup X$ is smoothable (0.1). We conclude with 1.7, 1.4, and semicontinuity. \square

§ 4. Numerical Lemmas

4.1 Lemma. For $n \geq 5$ and $k \geq 3$ we have $g(k, n) - g(k-1, n) \geq n+k-2$.

Proof. From the definition (1) of $g(k, n)$, $g(k-1, n)$, we get:

$$(k-2)(g(k, n) - g(k-1, n)) = \binom{n-1+k}{n-1} - g(k, n) + f(k-1, n) - f(k, n). \tag{4}$$

Set $F_n(k) = \binom{n+k}{n} - (k-1) \cdot \binom{n-1+k}{n-1} - n - 1 + (k-1)(k-2)(n+k-2)$ and $G_n(k) = \binom{n-2+k}{n-2} - 2n - 3k + 7$; hence $F_n(k-1) - F_n(k) = (k-2)G_n(k)$.

From the definition (1) of $g(k, n)$ we obtain

$$g(k, n) = \left[\left(\binom{n+k}{n} - kn - 1 - f(k, n) \right) / (k-1) \right]. \tag{5}$$

Assume $g(k, n) - g(k-1, n) \leq n+k-3$. By (4) and (5) to obtain a contradiction, it is sufficient to check that $F_n(k) < 0$. We easily see that $G_n(k+1) \geq G_n(k)$, $k \geq 2$, $n \geq 5$, and that $G_n(2) > 0$, $F_n(3) < 0$, $n \geq 5$. \square

4.2 Lemma. We have $g(k, n) - g(k-1, n) \geq n-1 + (k-5)(n-1)/2$ if $k \geq 5$, $n \geq 5$.

Proof. Set

$$F(n, k) = \binom{n+k-1}{n-1} - \binom{n+k-1}{n} / (k-2) - n - (k-2) - k(n-1) - k(k-5)(n-1)/2.$$

By (1), (4), (5), it is sufficient to check that $F(n, k) \geq 0$ if $n \geq 5$, $k \geq 5$. Set $F'(n, k) := F(n+1, k) - F(n, k)$ and $F''(n, k) := F'(n+1, k) - F'(n, k)$.

Since $F''(n, k) = \binom{n+k-1}{n+1} - \binom{n+k-1}{n+2} / (k-2) \geq 0$ if $n \geq 5$, $k \geq 3$, it is sufficient

to check that $F(5, k) \geq 0$ and $F'(5, k) \geq 0$ for every $k \geq 5$. This is left to the reader. \square

By the definition (1) of $g(k, n)$ the following lemma follows immediately.

4.3 Lemma. *We have $g(k, n) \geq k \cdot n/2 + (k - 2)$ for all $k \geq 2, n \geq 5$.*

4.4 Lemma. *For every $k \geq r + 1, r \geq 1$, we have $d(k) - d(k - 1) \geq n + 2k - 2$, except in the following cases: $k = 3, n = 5; k = 2, n = 5$ or $n = 6$.*

Proof. By the definition (2) of $d(k), d(k - 1)$, we find:

$$k(d(k) - d(k - 1)) + d(k - 1) + h(k) - h(k - 1) = \binom{n+k-1}{n-1}. \tag{6}$$

First assume $k \geq 3$. Set

$$G(n, k) = \binom{n+k-1}{n-1} - \binom{n+k-1}{n} / (k-2) - kn - 2k^2 - 3k + 1.$$

Since $d(k - 1) \leq g(k - 1) + n$, by (5), (6), it is sufficient to check when $G(n, k) \geq 0$. Set $G'(n, k) = G(n + 1, k) - G(n, k)$ and $G''(n, k) = G'(n + 1, k) - G'(n, k)$. Note that $G''(n, k) \geq 0$ for every $k \geq 3, n \geq 5$. It is easy to check that $G'(5, k) \geq 0$ for every $k \geq 3$ and that $G(5, k) \geq 0$ for every $k \geq 6$. Furthermore $G(7, 3) \geq 0$. Since $d(2) \leq g(2, n) + n, d(1) = n$, it is easy to check the thesis for $k = 3, n = 6; k = 4, n = 6; k = 2, n \geq 7$. \square

4.5 Lemma. (a) *We have $d(r + 1) - g(r, n) \geq 2n + (r - 4)(n - 1)/2$ if $r \geq 4, n \geq 5$.*

(b) *We have $d(r + 1) - g(r, n) \geq 2n$ if $r \geq 1, n \geq 5$.*

Proof. From the definitions (1) and (2) we obtain:

$$(r + 1)(d(r + 1) - g(r, n) - n) + 2g(r, n) + n - g + h(r + 1) - f(r, n) = \binom{n+r}{n-1}. \tag{7}$$

(a) Set

$$M(n, r) = \binom{n+r}{n-1} - \binom{n+r}{n} / (r-1) - n - r - 2n(r+1) - (r+1)(r-4)(n-1)/2.$$

By (5) and the inequality: $g(r, n) \leq g$, it is sufficient to check when $M(n, r) \geq 0$. Set $M'(n, r) := M(n + 1, r) - M(n, r)$ and $M''(n, r) := M'(n + 1, r) - M'(n, r)$. Since $M''(n, r) \geq 0$ for $n \geq 5, r \geq 2$, it is sufficient to check (left to the reader) that $M(5, r) \geq 0$ and $M'(5, r) \geq 0$ for every $r \geq 4$.

(b) If $r \geq 4$, this is covered by part (a); the remaining cases have to be checked by hands. Assume for instance $r = 1$; it is sufficient to check that $2(2n) + 1 \leq (n + 2)(n + 1)/2$ if $n \geq 5$. \square

4.6 Lemma. *We have $d(r + 1) - g(r, n) \geq n - 1 + 2r$ if $n \geq 5, r \geq 2$.*

Proof. Set $Z(n, r) = \binom{n+r}{n-1} - \binom{n+r}{n} - (r-1) - n - r - (2r-1)(r+1)$. Again it is suf-

ficient to check when $Z(n, r) \geq 0$. Set $Z'(n, r) = Z(n+1, r) - Z(n, r)$ and $Z''(n, r) = Z'(n+1, r) - Z'(n, r)$. $Z''(n, r) \geq 0$ for every $n \geq 5, r \geq 2, Z'(n, r) \geq 0$ for every $r \geq 2, Z(5, r) \geq 0$ if $r \geq 3, Z(6, 2) \geq 0$; if $n = 5, r = 2$, we have $g(2, 5) = 10, g \geq g(2, 5)$, hence $d(3) \geq 21$. \square

§ 5. Proof of the Theorem

We fix $n \geq 5, g \geq 0, d \geq g + n$, and we set $j = v(d, g, n)$ (see 2.1). Since $Z^*(d, g; n)$ is irreducible, it is sufficient to find X, Y in $Z^*(d, g; n)$ such that $r_{X,n}(j)$ is surjective and $r_{Y,n}(j-1)$ is injective (semicontinuity and Castelnuovo-Mumford’s lemma ([12], p. 99)). If $j \leq 2$ see [4], Prop. 1.1. Hence we assume $j \geq 3$. We distinguish several cases.

5.1 Lemma. *If $j = r$ the general curve X in $Z^*(d, g; n)$ has $r_{X,n}(j)$ surjective.*

Proof. According to 2.5(iii) we have $g = g(r, n)$ and $d = g(r, n) + n$. We show that there exist $X \in Z^*(g(r, n) + n, g(r, n); n)$ and a set P of $f(r, n)$ points such that $r_{X \cup P, n}(r)$ is bijective. For this we repeat the proof of “ $H(r-1)$ implies $H(r), n - f(r, n) + f(r-1, n) \geq 1$ ” (see 3.1), with two minor modifications. To get the correct genus we put $\#(S) = n + f(r-1, n)$ (instead of $n + f(r-1, n) - f(r, n)$). To have the right number of conditions in H , we add $P \subset H$, a set of $f(r, n)$ general points. We have only to check that $g(r, n) - g(r-1, n) \geq (f(r-1, n) - 2)[(n-1)/2]$, to apply 1.5. This follows from 4.2. Note also that $\deg(Y) - p_a(Y) \geq s$, where Y satisfies $H(r-1)$. Hence we can apply 1.6, 1.4 and conclude by 1.7. \square

5.2 Lemma. *If $j = r$ the general curve X in $Z^*(d, g; n)$ has $r_{X,n}(r-1)$ injective.*

Proof. If $r = 3$ the injectivity is contained in [4]. Hence we may assume $r \geq 4$. To prove the lemma it is sufficient to construct J in $Z^*(g(r-1, n) + n + 1, g(r-1, n) + 1; n)$ with $r_{J,n}(r-1)$ injective. Indeed, then we may take as X the union of J and $g(r, n) - g(r-1, n) - 1$ 2-secant lines to J (0.1). To construct J we modify the proof of “ $H(r-2)$ implies $H(r-1)$ ” (see 3.1).

Set $x = g(r-1, n) - g(r-2, n) + 1$ and $s = f(r-2, n) + n$. By 4.1 $x \geq n - 1$. Hence for general $D \in Z^*(x, x - n + 1, H)$, H a hyperplane, $r_{D,H}(r-1)$ is of maximal rank. We have: $h^0(\mathcal{O}_H(r-1)) - h^0(\mathcal{O}_D(r-1)) = g(r-2, n) - r + 1 + f(r-1, n) - f(r-2, n) > 0$ (by 4.3). Since $g(r-1, n) - g(r-2, n) \geq n - 2 + (f(r-2, n) - 2)[(n-1)/2]$ (by 4.2), we may apply 1.5 and assume that D contains a general set S of s points in H . By 1.6(a) there is Y in $Z^*(g(r-2, n) + n, g(r-2, n) - f(r-2, n); n)$ satisfying $H(r-2)$ and such that $Y \cap D = S, Y \cup D \in Z^*(g(r-1, n) + n + 1, g(r-1, n) + 1; n)$. Since $\deg(Y) - s \geq h^0(\mathcal{O}_H(r-1)) - h^0(\mathcal{O}_D(r-1))$, we conclude with 1.7, 1.6(b). \square

5.3 Lemma. *If $j = r + 1$ the general curve X in $Z^*(d, g; n)$ has $r_{X,n}(j)$ surjective.*

Proof. (i) First assume $d = d(r + 1)$.

We have $d(r + 1) \geq g(r, n) + 2n - 1$ by 4.4. Note that $g \geq g(r, n)$ by the definition of r . Set $x = d - g(r, n) - n, y = \min(x + 1 - n, g - g(r, n) + f(r, n)), s = g - g(r, n) + f(r, n) - y + 1$. We have $y \geq 0, x \geq y + n - 1$ and $1 \leq s \leq n + f(r, n)$ (§§). Let H be a hyperplane. By the theorem for $n - 1$, for a general $D \in Z^*(x, y; n - 1), r_{D,H}(r + 1)$

is of maximal rank. We have:

$$\binom{n+r}{n-1} - h^0(\mathcal{O}_D(r+1)) = g(r, n) + n - s + h(r+1) > 0.$$

We show the existence of $C \in Z^*(d(r+1), g; n)$ and of a set P of $h(r+1)$ points such that $r_{C \cup P, n}(r+1)$ is bijective. For this we repeat the proof of 3.2 with D, s defined as above and $Y \in Z^*(g(r, n) + n, g(r, n) - f(r, n); n)$. Furthermore, to have the right number of conditions, we add $h(r+1)$ general points P in H . We have to verify the hypothesis of 1.5 for D and s . However we may assume $y = x + 1 - n$ (otherwise $s = 1$). Then the condition is:

$$d(r+1) - g(r, n) - 2n + 1 \geq (g - d(r+1) + n + f(r, n) - 3)[(n-1)/2].$$

Since $d(r+1) \geq g + n$, this follows from 4.5(a). Finally, since $\deg(Y) - p_a(Y) \geq s$ (by (§§)), we conclude with 1.6 and 1.7.

(ii) Now assume $d < d(r+1)$.

Set $c = d - g(r, n) - n$, $v = \min(c + 1 - n, g - g(r, n))$, $u = \max(v, 0)$ and $s = 1 + g - g(r, n) - n$. Take $D \in Z^*(c, u; H)$ and $Y \in Z^*(g(r, n) + n, g(r, n); n)$ with $r_{Y, n}(r)$ surjective. The existence of Y was shown in the proof of 5.1. If $u = v = g - g(r, n)$, then $s = 1$ and we conclude with 1.6, 1.7. If $u = v = c + 1 - n$, then $s \leq n$ and we conclude with 1.5, 1.6, 1.7. Finally $s \leq c + 1$ if $c \leq n - 1$. Then D is a rational normal curve in \mathbb{P}^c . We conclude with 0.1, 1.6(b), 1.7. \square

5.4 Lemma. *If $j = r + 1$, the general curve X in $Z^*(d, g; n)$ has $r_{X, n}(r)$ injective.*

Proof. The lemma follows from the existence of $T \in Z^*(g(r, n) + n + 1, g(r, n) + e; n)$ ($e = 0$ if $g = g(r, n)$, $e = 1$ otherwise) with $r_{T, n}(r)$ injective. If $e = 1$ the existence of T was shown in the proof of 5.2. A slight modification of that proof also yields the case $e = 0$. We have just to check the corresponding condition for 1.5 which is:

$$g(r, n) - g(r - 1, n) \geq n - 2 + (f(r - 1, n) - 2)[(n - 1)/2].$$

See 4.2. \square

5.5 Lemma. *If $j \geq r + 2$, the general $T \in Z^*(d, g; n)$ has $r_{T, n}(j - 1)$ injective.*

Proof. The lemma follows from the existence of $X \in Z^*(d(j - 1) + 1, g; n)$ with $r_{X, n}(j - 1)$ injective (note that $d \geq d(j - 1) + 1$, see 2.5).

(i) Assume $R(j - 2)$ holds (which is always the case if $j \geq r + 4$ by 3.4, 3.5). We apply 1.6(b), 1.7 with: $D \subset H$ a rational curve of degree $x := d(j - 1) - d(j - 2) + 1$; $Y = Z \cup T$ given by $R(j - 2)$ ($Z \in Z^*(d(j - 2) - h(j - 2), g; n)$, T the union of $h(j - 2)$ disjoint lines). We require that D intersects Z and each line of T at one point. This is possible since $x + 3 \geq h(j - 2) + 1$ (see 4.4). Clearly $Y \cup D$ is smoothable. Set $m := h^0(\mathcal{O}_H(j - 1)) - h^0(\mathcal{O}_D(j - 1)) = d(j - 2) + h(j - 1) - h(j - 2) - j$. By 4.4 or 4.3, 4.5, we have $m > 0$. Since $\deg(Z) \geq m + 1$, $r_{Y \cup D, n}(j - 1)$ is injective by 1.6(b), 1.7.

(ii) Now assume $j = r + 3$ and that $R'(r + 1)$ holds.

In a similar way we take $Y \in Z^*(d(r + 1), g - h(r + 1); n)$ satisfying $R'(r + 1)$, a rational curve $D \subset H$, $\deg(D) = x := d(r + 2) - d(r + 1) + 1$. Since $x \geq h(r + 1)$ (4.4),

by 0.1 we may assume that D and Y intersects quasi-transversally in $s := h(r+1) + 1$ points, and that $D \cup Y \in Z^*(d(r+2) + 1, g; n)$. We conclude with 1.6(b), 1.7.

(iii) Finally assume $j = r + 2$.

Again the proof is similar with $Y \in Z^*(g(r, n) + n, g(r, n) - f(r, n); n)$ satisfying $H(r)$, $D \in Z^*(x, y; H)$, $x := d(r+1) + 1 - g(r, n) - n$, $y := \min(x - n + 1, g - g(r, n) + f(r, n))$ and $s := g - g(r, n) + f(r, n) + 1 - y$. The condition $x \geq n - 1$ is equivalent to $d(r+1) - g(r, n) \geq 2n - 2$ (see 4.5(b)). We always have $1 \leq s \leq n + f(r, n) - 1$, hence $\deg(Y) - p_a(Y) \geq s$. To use 1.5 for D and s we may assume $y = x + n - 1$ (otherwise $s = 1$). Since $d(r+1) \geq g + n$ (2.5) it is enough to show that $d(r+1) + 2 - g(r, n) - 2n \geq (f(r, n) - 2)[(n-1)/2]$ (see 4.5). \square

5.6 Lemma. *If $j \geq r + 2$ and $d - d(j-1) \geq h(j-1)$, the general T in $Z^*(d, g; n)$ has $r_{T,n}(j)$ surjective.*

Proof. (i) Assume $R(j-1)$ holds.

We take $Y \cup T$ satisfying $R(j-1)$, $D \subset H$ rational of degree $x := d - d(j-1)$ which intersects Y and each line of T at one point. This is possible since $x \geq h(j-1)$ by assumption. Hence $A = D \cup T \cup Y$ is connected, $p_a(A) = g$, and by 1.6(b), 1.7, $r_{A,n}(j)$ is surjective.

(ii) In a similar way if $j = r + 2$ and $R'(r+1)$ holds, we take Y satisfying $R'(r+1)$, $D \subset H$ rational of degree $x = d - d(r+1)$ and $s := h(r+1) + 1$. By [5] we may assume D of maximal rank. We have $\deg(Y) - p_a(Y) \geq s$ and $x + 3 \geq s$. We conclude as usual with 1.6(b) and 1.7. \square

5.7 Lemma. *If $j \geq r + 2$ and $d - d(j-1) < h(j-1)$, the general curve T in $Z^*(d, g; n)$ has $r_{T,n}(j)$ surjective.*

Proof. (i) Assume that $R(j-2)$ holds.

Then as in 5.5(i) we construct $X \in Z^*(d(j-1), g; n)$ with $r_{X,n}(j-1)$ surjective (for this we have to check: $d(j-1) - d(j-2) \geq h(j-2)$ (see 4.4)). Then we take $D \subset H$ rational of degree $d - d(j-1)$, of maximal rank and intersecting X at one point.

(ii) Assume $j = r + 3$ and that $R'(j-2)$ holds.

As in 5.5(ii) we construct $X \in Z^*(d(j-1), g; n)$ with $r_{X,n}(j-1)$ surjective (we have to check that $d(r+2) - d(r+1) \geq h(r+1)$; 4.4). Once we have X , we conclude as above.

(iii) Assume $j = r + 2$.

We construct $X \in Z^*(d(j-1), g; n)$ with $r_{X,n}(j-1)$ surjective. For this we repeat the proof of 5.5(iii) but with $x := d(r+1) - g(r, n) - n$. The arithmetical conditions now are: $d(r+1) - g(r, n) \geq 2n - 1$ (4.5(b)) and $d(r+1) + 1 - g(r, n) - 2n \geq (f(r, n) - 2)[(n-1)/2]$ (4.5(a)). Note that we have $1 \leq s \leq n + f(r, n)$ and hence $\deg(Y) - p_a(Y) \geq s$. \square

References

1. Ådlandsvik, B.: Joins and higher secant varieties, preprint, Bergen, 1984
2. Ballico, E., Ellia, Ph.: On postulation of curves in \mathbb{P}^4 , Math. Z. **188**, 215-223 (1985)
3. Ballico, E., Ellia, Ph.: The maximal rank conjecture for non-special curves in \mathbb{P}^3 . Invent. Math. **79**, 541-555 (1985)

4. Ballico, E., Ellia, Ph.: On the hypersurfaces containing a general projective curve, *Compositio Math.* **60**, 85–95 (1986)
5. Ballico, E., Ellia, Ph.: On the postulation of many disjoint rational curves in \mathbb{P}_N , $N \geq 4$, *Bollettino U.M.I.* (6) **4-B**, 585–599 (1985)
6. Hartshorne, R., Hirschowitz, A.: Droites en position générale dans \mathbb{P}^n . *Algebraic Geometry 1981*, Lect. Notes in Math. **961**, pp. 169–189. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer 1982
7. Hartshorne, R., Hirschowitz, A.: Smoothing algebraic space curves, *Algebraic Geometry-Sitgers 1983*, Lect. Notes in Math. **1124**, pp. 98–131. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer 1984
8. Hartshorne, R., Hirschowitz, A.: Courbes rationnelles et droites en position générale. *Annales Institut Fourier* **25**, 39–58 (1985)
9. Hirschowitz, A.: Sur la postulation générique des courbes rationnelles. *Acta Math.* **146**, 209–230 (1981)
10. Hirschowitz, A.: La methode d'Horace pour l'interpolation à plusieurs variables. *Manusc. Math.* **50**, 337–388 (1985)
11. Laksov, D.: Indecomposability of the restricted tangent bundles, in *Tableaux de Young et foncteurs de Schur en algèbre et géométrie*, pp. 207–219. *Astérisque* **87–88**, 1981
12. Mumford, D.: Lectures on curves on an algebraic surface, *Annals of Math. Studies*, vol. 59, p. 200. Princeton: Princeton Univ. Press N.J. 1966
13. Sernesi, E.: On the existence of certain families of curves. *Invent. Math.* **75**, 25–57 (1984)

Received July 1, 1986