## Projective resolution of identity in C(K) spaces

By

## M. VALDIVIA\*)

For a certain class  $\mathscr{A}$  of compact spaces, which is biger than the class of Corson compact spaces, it is proved that if K belongs to  $\mathscr{A}$ , the Banach space C(K) admits a projective resolution of identity constructed through a family of linear extension operators.

The vector spaces we shall use here are defined over the field H of real or complex numbers. If H is the real field R, we denote by L the field of rational numbers; if H is the complex field C, we mean by L the field of complex numbers a + bi where a and b are rational numbers.

Given a set A, |A| denotes its cardinal number. If  $\alpha$  is an ordinal number,  $|\alpha|$  is its cardinal number. If E is a topological space, the density character of E, dens E, is the first cardinal  $\alpha$  such that E has a dense subset A with  $|A| = \alpha$ . As it is usual,  $\omega$  denotes the first infinite ordinal.

Unless the contrary would be specifically stated,  $\|.\|$  is the norm in any Banach space X. If T denotes the identity operator on X and  $\mu$  is the first ordinal with  $|\mu| = \text{dens } X$ , a projective resolution of identity is a well ordered family

$$\{P_{\alpha}: \omega \leq \alpha \leq \mu\}$$

of projections in X which satisfies the following conditions:

(i)  $||P_{\alpha}|| = 1, \omega \leq \alpha \leq \mu.$ 

(ii) dens  $P_{\alpha}(X) \leq |\alpha|, \omega \leq \alpha \leq \mu$ .

(iii)  $P_{\alpha} \circ P_{\beta} = P_{\beta} = P_{\beta} \circ P_{\alpha}, \ \omega \leq \beta \leq \alpha \leq \mu.$ 

- (iv)  $\overline{\bigcup \{P_{\beta}(X) : \omega \leq \beta < \alpha\}} = P_{\alpha}(X)$  whenever  $\alpha$  is an ordinal limit.
- (v)  $P_{\mu} = T.$

If K is a compact topological space, C(K) denotes the Banach space of continuous functions from K into H endowed with the norm

$$||f|| = \sup \{|f(x)| : x \in K\} \text{ for } f \in C(K).$$

If K is a compact subset of the cube  $[0, 1]^I$ , we write K(I) to denote the subset of K formed with the elements  $(x_i : i \in I)$  such that

$$\{i \in I : x_i \neq 0\}$$

<sup>\*)</sup> Support in part by CAICYT.

is countable. Let us denote by  $e_i$  the projection of K on the *i*-th coordinate. If P is a subset of K,  $\overline{P}$  denotes its closure and we write I(P) to denote the subset of I formed by the elements j of I such that for some  $(x_i : i \in I)$  in P we have  $x_i \neq 0$ . If B is a subset of C(K),  $(B)^*$  is the self conjugate linear algebra on L generated by B together with the constant functions over K valued on L.  $(B)^*$ , the closure of  $(B)^*$  in C(K) is a self conjugate closed subalgebra of C(K).

We shall say that a compact topological space D belongs to the class  $\mathscr{A}$  if it is homeomorphic to a compact subset K of  $[0, 1]^I$  for some set I and such that K(I) is dense in K.

A compact topological space is a Corson compact if it is homeomorphic to a compact subset of  $\sum (R^{I})$ , [2]. Obviously, the class  $\mathscr{A}$  contains the class of Corson compact spaces. Using Theorem 2 it is easy to prove the following result, [1] and [3]: If K belongs to  $\mathcal{A}$ there are a set  $\Gamma$  and an one-to-one bounded operator  $T: C(K) \to c_0(\Gamma)$  that is also pointwise to pointwise continuous.

**Lemma.** Let K be a compact subset of  $[0, 1]^I$  such that K(I) is dense in K. Let  $A_0$  and  $B_0$  be two infinite subsets of K (I) and C (K) respectively. If  $\lambda$  is a cardinal number such that  $|A_0| \leq \lambda$  and  $|B_0| \leq \lambda$  there exist a subset M of K (I) and a linear extension operator T from  $C(\overline{M})$  into C(K) such that

- 1)  $M \supset A_0$ ,  $|M| \leq \lambda$ , 2) ||T|| = 1, dens  $C(\overline{M}) \leq \lambda$ ,  $T(C(\overline{M})) = \overline{(B_0 \cup \{e_i : i \in I(M)\})^*}$ .

Proof. We are going to describe the process of construction by recurrence. So, let us start by supposing that for a given nonnegative integer n we have found

$$B_n \subset C(K), \quad A_n \subset K(I), \quad |A_n| \leq \lambda, \quad |B_n| \leq \lambda.$$

For each  $f \in B_n$  we choose some point x(f) of K(I) such that |f| attains its supremum on it. We set

$$A_{n+1} = A_n \cup \{x(f) \colon f \in B_n\}.$$

Obviously  $|A_{n+1}| \leq \lambda$ . We write

$$B_{n+1} = (B_0 \cup \{e_i : i \in I(A_{n+1})\})^*.$$

Let  $M := \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n$  and let E be the closure of  $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n$  in C(K). We obviously have  $M \supset A_0, \quad |M| \leq \lambda, \quad E = \overline{(B_0 \cup \{e_i : i \in I(M)\})^*}.$ 

Let us denote by  $||| \cdot |||$  the norm on  $C(\overline{M})$ . We set

$$Sf = f \mid_{\bar{M}}, \quad f \in E.$$

Given  $\varepsilon > 0$  and f in E, we find a positive integer n together with  $g \in B_n$  such that  $||f - g|| < \varepsilon$ . Since x(g) is a point in  $A_{n+1}$  where |g| attains its supremum it now follows that

$$||f|| \le ||g|| + ||f - g|| \le |g(x(g))| + \varepsilon = |||Sg||| + \varepsilon$$
$$\le |||Sg - Sf||| + |||Sf||| + \varepsilon \le |||Sf||| + 2\varepsilon$$

Vol. 54, 1990

from where we have

 $\|f\| \leq |||Sf|||$ 

and we deduce that S is a linear isometry from E into  $C(\overline{M})$ . Let us observe that S(E) is a closed and self conjugate subalgebra of  $C(\overline{M})$  which contains the constants. Moreover, if  $x = (x_i : i \in I)$  and  $y = (y_i : i \in I)$  are different points of  $\overline{M}$  there is some  $j \in I$  such that  $x_j \neq y_j$  and so for some positive integer m and  $(u_i : i \in I)$  in  $A_m$  we see that  $u_j \neq 0$ . It follows that  $e_j \in E$  and  $e_j(x) = x_j \neq y_j = e_j(y)$ . Consequently, S(E) separates points of  $\overline{M}$  and the Stone-Weierstrass theorem assures that  $S(E) = C(\overline{M})$ . Obviously, dens  $C(\overline{M}) \leq \lambda$ . The operator  $T = S^{-1}$  is the linear extension operator we are looking for.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 1.** Let K be an infinite compact subset of [0, 1]I such that K(I) is dense in K. Let  $\mu$  be the first ordinal number such that its cardinal number coincides with dens K(I). Then there exists a family

$$\{K_{\alpha}: \omega \leq \alpha \leq \mu\}$$

of compact subsets of K with  $K_{\alpha}(I)$  dense in  $K_{\alpha}$  together a family

$$\{T_{\alpha}: \omega \leq \alpha \leq \mu\}$$

of linear extension operators from  $C(K_{\alpha})$  into C(K) such that

- (i)  $||T_{\beta}|| = 1, \omega \leq \beta \leq \mu.$
- (ii) dens  $C(K_{\beta}) \leq |\beta|, \omega \leq \beta \leq \mu$ .
- (iii)  $K_{\beta} \subset K_{\gamma}$  and  $T_{\beta}(C(K_{\beta})) \subset T_{\gamma}(C(K_{\gamma})), \omega \leq \beta \leq \gamma \leq \mu$ .
- (iv)  $K_{\alpha} = \overline{\bigcup \{K_{\beta} : \omega \leq \beta < \alpha\}}$  and  $T_{\alpha}(C(K_{\alpha})) = \overline{\bigcup \{T_{\beta}(C(K_{\beta}) : \omega \leq \beta < \alpha\}}$ whenever  $\alpha$  is an ordinal limit.

(v) 
$$K_{\mu} = K$$

Proof. If dens  $K(I) = \aleph_0$ , we write  $K_{\omega} = K$  and  $T_{\omega}$  for the identity mapping on C(K). Let us now suppose that dens  $K(I) > \aleph_0$ . Let  $\{x_v : v < \mu\}$  be a dense subset of K(I). We can apply the lemma dealing with

$$A_{0} = \{x_{v} : v < \omega\}, \quad B_{0} = \{e_{i} : i \in I(A_{0})\}, \quad \lambda = \aleph_{0}$$

to obtain a subset  $A_{\omega}$  of K(I) and a linear extension operator  $T_{\omega}$  from  $C(\bar{A}_{\omega})$  into C(K) such that

$$A_{\omega} \supset A_0, \quad |A_{\omega}| = \aleph_0, \quad ||T_{\omega}|| = 1, \quad \text{dens } C(\overline{A}_{\omega}) = \aleph_0$$

and if we write

$$B_{\omega} = (\{e_i : i \in I(A_{\omega})\})^*$$

then

$$T_{\omega}(C(\bar{A}_{\omega})) = \bar{B}_{\omega}.$$

We set  $K_{\omega} = \overline{A}_{\omega}$ . Let us proceed by transfinite induction. Let us take  $\omega < \alpha \leq \mu$  and suppose we have determined the family

$$\{A_{\beta}: \omega \leq \beta < \alpha\}$$

of subsets of K(I) together with the family

$$\{T_{\beta}: \omega \leq \beta < \alpha\}$$

of linear extension operators from  $C(K_{\beta})$  into C(K), where  $K_{\beta} = \overline{A}_{\beta}$ , and the family

$$\{B_{\beta}: \omega \leq \beta < \alpha\}$$

of subsets of C(K) such that

$$\begin{split} A_{\beta} &\supset \{x_{\nu} \colon \nu < \beta\}, \quad |A_{\beta}| = |\beta|, \quad \|T_{\beta}\| = 1, \quad \text{dens } C(K_{\beta}) \leq |\beta|, \\ B_{\beta} &= (\{e_i \colon i \in I(A_{\beta})\})^*, \quad T_{\beta}(C(K_{\beta})) = \overline{B}_{\beta}. \end{split}$$

We can now distinguish two cases. If  $\alpha$  is not an ordinal limit, we have  $\alpha = \gamma + 1$ . The idea would be to apply the lemma for the sets

$$A_0 = A_{\gamma} \cup \{x_{\nu} : \nu < \alpha\}, \quad B_0 = \{e_i : i \in I(A_0)\}$$

and the cardinal number  $\lambda = |\gamma| = |\alpha|$ . We would obtain a subset  $A_{\alpha}$  of K(I) and a linear extension operator  $T_{\alpha}$  from  $C(\overline{A}_{\alpha})$  into C(K) such that

$$A_{\alpha} \supset A_0, \quad |A_{\alpha}| = |\alpha|, \quad ||T_{\alpha}|| = 1, \quad \text{dens } C(\overline{A}_{\alpha}) \leq |\alpha|,$$

and if we write

$$B_{\alpha} = (\{e_i \colon i \in I(A_{\alpha})\})^*$$

then

$$T_{\alpha}(C(\overline{A}_{\alpha}))=\overline{B}_{\alpha}.$$

We set  $K_{\alpha} = \overline{A}_{\alpha}$  that finishes the construction for the first case. If  $\alpha$  is an ordinal limit we put

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\alpha} &:= \cup \{A_{\beta} : \omega \leq \beta < \alpha\}, \quad K_{\alpha} = \overline{A}_{\alpha}, \\ B_{\alpha} &:= \cup \{B_{\beta} : \omega \leq \beta < \alpha\} = (\{e_{i} : i \in I(A_{\alpha})\})^{*} \end{aligned}$$

Let us denote by  $\|.\|_{\beta}$  the norm on  $C(K_{\beta}), \omega \leq \beta \leq \alpha$ . We set

$$S_{\alpha}f = f|_{K_{\alpha}}, \quad f \in \overline{B}_{\alpha}.$$

Given any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and  $f \in B_{\alpha}$ , we find an ordinal  $\beta, \omega \leq \beta < \alpha$ , and  $g \in B_{\beta}$  such that  $||f - g|| < \varepsilon$ . Then

$$\begin{split} \|f\| &\leq \|g\| + \|f - g\| = \|T_{\beta}(g|_{K_{\beta}})\| + \|f - g\| \leq \|g|_{K_{\beta}}\|_{\beta} + \varepsilon \\ &\leq \|g|_{K_{\alpha}}\|_{\alpha} + \varepsilon = \|S_{\alpha}g\|_{\alpha} + \varepsilon \leq \|S_{\alpha}g - S_{\alpha}f\|_{\alpha} + \|S_{\alpha}f\|_{\alpha} + \varepsilon \\ &\leq \|S_{\alpha}f\|_{\alpha} + 2\varepsilon. \end{split}$$

Therefore

 $\|f\| \leq \|S_{\alpha}f\|_{\alpha}$ 

from where it follows that  $S_{\alpha}$  is a linear isometry from  $\overline{B}_{\alpha}$  into  $C(K_{\alpha})$ . Moreover,  $S_{\alpha}(\overline{B}_{\alpha})$  is a closed subalgebra of  $C(K_{\alpha})$  which is self conjugated and contains the constants. On the other hand, if  $x = (x_i : i \in I)$  and  $y = (y_i : i \in I)$  are different points of  $K_{\alpha}$ , there is some

ARCH. MATH.

496

 $j \in J$  with  $x_j \neq y_j$  from where it follows that for some ordinal number  $\beta$  and  $(u_i: i \in I)$  in  $A_\beta$  we have  $u_j \neq 0$ . Thus, we see that  $e_j$  belongs to  $\overline{B}_\alpha$  and  $e_j(x) = x_j \neq y_j = e_j(y)$ . Consequently,  $S_\alpha(\overline{B}_\beta)$  separate points of  $K_\alpha$  and the Stone-Weierstrass theorem assures that  $S_\alpha(\overline{B}_\alpha) = C(K_\alpha)$ . We take  $T_\alpha = S_\alpha^{-1}$ . We now have

$$\begin{split} A_{\alpha} &\supset \{x_{\nu} \colon \nu < \alpha\}, \quad |A_{\alpha}| = |\alpha|, \quad \|T_{\alpha}\| = 1, \quad \text{dens } C(K_{\alpha}) \leq |\alpha|, \\ B_{\alpha} &= (\{e_i \colon i \in I(A_{\alpha})\})^*, \quad T_{\alpha}(C(K_{\alpha})) = \overline{B}_{\alpha}. \end{split}$$

We have finished the construction because

 $\{K_{\alpha}: \omega \leq \alpha \leq \mu\}$  and  $\{T_{\alpha}: \omega \leq \alpha \leq \mu\}$ 

verifies the conclusion of our theorem.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 2.** Let K be an infinite compact of the class  $\mathscr{A}$ . Let  $\mu$  be the first ordinal with  $|\mu| = \text{dens } K$ . Then there is a family

$$\{K_{\alpha}: \omega \leq \alpha \leq \mu\}$$

of compact subsets of K with  $K_{\alpha}$  in the class  $\mathcal{A}$ , together with a family

$$\{T_{\alpha}: \omega \leq \alpha \leq \mu\}$$

of linear extension operators from  $C(K_{\alpha})$  into C(K) such that if

$$P_{\alpha}f = T_{\alpha}(f|_{K_{\alpha}}), \quad f \in C(K),$$

then

$$\{P_{\alpha}: \omega \leq \alpha \leq \mu\}$$

is a projective resolution of identity in C(K).

Proof. We can suppose that K is compact in some cube  $[0, 1]^{I}$ . Let

$$\{K_{\alpha} : \omega \leq \alpha \leq \mu\}$$
 and  $\{T_{\alpha} : \omega \leq \alpha \leq \mu\}$ 

be the families of compact subsets of K and linear extension operators constructed in the former theorem. Let us take f in C(K) and  $\omega \leq \beta \leq \alpha \leq \mu$ . Then

$$||P_{\alpha}f|| = ||T_{\alpha}(f|_{K_{\alpha}})|| \le ||f||_{K_{\alpha}}||_{\alpha} \le ||f||$$

and so,  $||P_{\alpha}|| = 1$ . We also gave

$$(P_{\alpha} \circ P_{\beta}) f = P_{\alpha}(T_{\beta}(f|_{K_{\beta}})) = T_{\alpha}(T_{\beta}(f|_{K_{\beta}})|_{K_{\alpha}}) = T_{\beta}(f|_{K_{\beta}}) = P_{\beta}f,$$
  
$$(P_{\beta} \circ P_{\alpha}) f = P_{\beta}(T_{\alpha}(f|_{K_{\alpha}})) = T_{\beta}(T_{\alpha}(f|_{K_{\alpha}})|_{K_{\beta}}) = T_{\beta}(f|_{K_{\beta}}) = P_{\beta}f$$

and thus

$$P_{\alpha} \circ P_{\beta} = P_{\beta} = P_{\beta} \circ P_{\alpha}.$$

 $P_{\mu}$  obviously coincides with the identity in C(K). Since

$$P_{\alpha}(C(K)) = T_{\alpha}(C(K_{\alpha}))$$

we have

dens 
$$P_{\alpha}(C(X)) = \text{dens } C(K_{\alpha}) \leq |\alpha|$$
.

Archiv der Mathematik 54

M. VALDIVIA

Finally, if  $\alpha$  is a ordinal limit,  $\omega \leq \alpha \leq \mu$ , it follows that

$$\overline{\cup \{P_{\beta}(C(K)): \omega \leq \beta < \alpha\}} = \overline{\cup \{T_{\beta}(C(K_{\beta})): \omega \leq \beta < \alpha\}}$$
$$= T_{\alpha}(C(K_{\alpha})) = P_{\alpha}(C(K))$$

and everything in the definition of projective resolution of identity has been checked.  $\Box$ 

If we apply a result of Troyanski [4] and Zizler [5] on renorming theory, from the former theorem we obtain:

**Corollary.** If K is the continuous image of a compact space of the class  $\mathcal{A}$ , then C(K) admits an equivalent norm which is locally uniformly rotund. Particularly, the diadic compact spaces satisfies this property.

## References

- S. ARGYROS, S. MERCOURAKIS and S. NEGREPONTIS, Functional-analytic properties of Corsoncompact spaces. Studia Math. 89, 197–229 (1988).
- [2] H. H. CORSON, Normality in subsets and product spaces. Amer. J. Math. 81, 785-796 (1959).
- [3] S. P. GUL'KO, On properties of function spaces (in Russian). In: Seminar on General Topology, P. S. Aleksandrov (ed.), Izdat. Moscow Univ., 8-41, Moscow 1981.
- [4] S. TROYANSKI, On locally uniformly convex and differentiable norms. Studia Math. 37, 173–180 (1971).
- [5] V. ZIZLER, Locally uniformly rotund renorming and decomposition of Banach spaces. Bull. Australian Math. Soc. 29, 259–265 (1984).

Eingegangen am 13. 10. 1988\*)

Anschrift des Autors:

M. Valdivia Facultad de Matemáticas Dr. Moliner 50 46100 Burjasot/Valencia Spain

498

<sup>\*)</sup> Eine Neufassung ging am 19. 5. 1989 ein.