

Nonlinear Small Data Scattering for the Wave and Klein-Gordon Equation

Hartmut Pecher

Fachbereich Mathematik, Bergische Universität-Gesamthochschule Wuppertal,
Gaußstraße 20, D-5600 Wuppertal 1, Federal Republic of Germany

§ 0. Introduction and Notation

In this paper the scattering operator which belongs to the pair of equations

$$u_{tt} + Au + f(u) = 0 \quad (1)$$

and

$$u_{tt} + Au = 0 \quad (2)$$

is studied. Here A denotes the operator $-\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} + m^2$, $m \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f(u) = \lambda |u|^{\rho-1}u$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $\rho > 1$.

Given arbitrary initial data (ϕ^-, ψ^-) of finite small energy it is shown that there exists a solution u in $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ of (1) which behaves asymptotically as $t \rightarrow -\infty$ like the solution $u_0^-(t)$ of the Cauchy problem for (2) with data (ϕ^-, ψ^-) at $t=0$ in the sense that $\|u(t) - u_0^-(t)\|_e \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow -\infty$, and moreover there exists a solution $u_0^+(t)$ of (2) with corresponding data (ϕ^+, ψ^+) at $t=0$ such that $\|u(t) - u_0^+(t)\|_e \rightarrow 0$ ($t \rightarrow +\infty$). Here $\|\cdot\|_e$ denotes the energy norm, defined by

$$\|v(t)\|_e^2 := \frac{1}{2}(\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}v(t)\|^2 + \|v_t(t)\|^2).$$

The mapping $S: (\phi^-, \psi^-) \rightarrow (\phi^+, \psi^+)$ is called the scattering operator. The existence of this operator is shown, if (ϕ^-, ψ^-) are given in a whole neighbourhood of the origin in energy space, provided the following conditions are fulfilled:

a) in the case $m=0$ (nonlinear wave equations):

$$3 \leq n \leq 5 \quad \text{and} \quad \rho = 1 + \frac{4}{n-2}$$

b) in the case $m \neq 0$ (nonlinear Klein-Gordon equations):

$$2 \leq n \leq 5 \quad \text{and} \quad 1 + \frac{4}{n-1} \leq \rho \leq 1 + \frac{4}{n-2}, \quad 2 < \rho < \infty.$$

In the case of the nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation the range $1 + \frac{4}{n} \leq \rho \leq 1 + \frac{4}{n-1}$ was considered for arbitrary n by Strauss in [5, 6], and the same results were proven. For smooth and small data most of the results were known before. Brenner proved in [1] the existence of everywhere defined scattering operators (i.e. for possibly large data) in the Klein-Gordon case for $n=3$ or 4 and $\rho_0(n) < \rho \leq 1 + \frac{4}{n-1}$, provided $\lambda \geq 0$, where $\rho_0(n) > 1 + \frac{4}{n}$ has to be chosen appropriately. He remarks that the result remains true for some $\rho > 1 + \frac{4}{n-1}$.

Moreover it is shown that the ordinary Cauchy problem for the wave and Klein-Gordon equation has a unique global solution for small data of finite energy under the same assumption on the nonlinearity f and the dimension n and there exist so-called asymptotic scattering states in the sense of energy norms. Finally a uniqueness result for the Cauchy problem is proven for arbitrary data of finite energy within a regularity class V which has the property that a solution of the corresponding linear problem with data of finite energy belongs to it.

The key estimates for the results presented here are the decay properties (in time) and a space-time estimate for solutions of the linear problems, which were essentially known before (see §1 below).

We use the following notation: $\hat{\cdot}$ and \mathcal{F}^{-1} denote the Fourier transform and its inverse resp. with respect to space variables. For $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ let $H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \dot{H}^{s,p}$ be the completion of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with respect to $\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}((1 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{s}{2}} \hat{f}(\xi))\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$, and $\dot{H}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \dot{H}^{s,p}$ is the completion of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with respect to $\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(|\xi|^s \hat{f}(\xi))\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. Conjugate exponents are denoted by $p, p'; q, q'$; etc. Constants are denoted by c and change from line to line.

§1. Estimates for the Linear Wave and Klein-Gordon Equation

For convenience of the reader we repeat first of all well-known results on decay of solutions of the linear wave and Klein-Gordon equation.

Theorem 0. a) Let A denote $-\Delta := -\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2}$. Then for any $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $t > 0$, $1 < p \leq 2 \leq p' < \infty$, the following estimate holds:

$$\|A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sin(A^{\frac{1}{2}} t) \psi\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq c t^{-(n-1)(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p'})} \|\psi\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{n-1}{2} - \frac{n+1}{p'}, p}(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

b) Let A denote $-\Delta + m^2$, $m \neq 0$. Then for any $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 < p \leq 2 \leq p' < \infty$ the following estimate holds:

$$\|A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sin(A^{\frac{1}{2}} t) \psi\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq K(t) \|\psi\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{n-1+\theta}{2} - \frac{n+1+\theta}{p'}, p}(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

where

$$K(t) = c \begin{cases} t^{-(n-1-\theta)(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p'})} & 0 < t \leq 1 \\ t^{-(n-1+\theta)(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p'})} & t \geq 1 \end{cases} \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq 1.$$

The proof of a) and b) is done e.g. by the stationary phase method and can be found in [3], Theorem 2.2, and in [1], respectively.

The following theorem is a generalization of a result due to Strichartz [7] and is closely related to the arguments of Marshall [2] in the case of the Klein-Gordon equation.

Theorem 1. Let A denote $-\Delta := -\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2}$, and assume $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then the solution u_0 of the Cauchy problem

$$u_{0tt} + Au_0 = 0, \quad u_0(0) = 0, \quad u_{0t}(0) = f$$

fulfills the estimate

$$\|A^{\frac{1-b}{4}} u_0\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}, L^q(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq c \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

provided $2 < r < \infty$, $\frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{(n-1)r} = \frac{1}{q}$, $b := \frac{n-1}{2} - \frac{n+1}{q}$.

Corollary. If u_0 is the solution of the Cauchy problem

$$u_{0tt} + Au_0 = 0, \quad u_0(0) = g, \quad u_{0t}(0) = f,$$

and $2 \leq q < \frac{2(n-1)}{n-3}$, $q < \infty$, the following estimate holds:

$$\|u_0\|_{L^{\frac{4q}{(n-1)(q-2)}}(\mathbb{R}, H^{\frac{2(n+1)-(n-3)q}{4q}}(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq c (\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|g\|_{\dot{H}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}).$$

Proof of Theorem 1. In the sequel we denote by $\hat{\cdot}$ and \ast the Fourier transform and convolution resp. with respect to space variables whereas \sim and $\#$ denote the Fourier transform and convolution resp. with respect to space and time variables.

With $\hat{K}(\xi, t) := \frac{e^{-it|\xi|}}{|\xi|^{b+1}}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|K \# F\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}, L^q(\mathbb{R}^n))} &\leq \left\| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathcal{F}_\xi^{-1} \left(\frac{e^{-i(t-s)\xi}}{|\xi|^{b+1}} \hat{F}(\xi, s) \right) \right\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} ds \Big\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\leq c \left\| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (t-s)^{-\frac{n-1}{2} + \frac{n-1}{q}} \left\| |\xi|^{-b} |\xi|^{\frac{n-1}{2} - \frac{n+1}{q}} F(\xi, s) \right\|_{L^{q'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} ds \right\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R})} \\ &= c \left\| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (t-s)^{-\frac{n-1}{2} + \frac{n-1}{q}} \left\| F(\cdot, s) \right\|_{L^{q'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} ds \right\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R})}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used Theorem 0a). The generalized Young's inequality is now applied and gives an estimate by $c \|F\|_{L^{r'}(\mathbb{R}, L^{q'}(\mathbb{R}^n))}$, provided $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{r'} - \frac{1}{\rho'}$, where ρ

$= \left(\frac{n-1}{2} - \frac{n-1}{q}\right)^{-1}$. This condition is fulfilled under the assumption of the theorem.

Consequently Plancherel's theorem in $n+1$ variables gives:

$$\left| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\tilde{F}(\xi, \tau)|^2 \tilde{K}(\xi, \tau) d\xi d\tau \right| = \left| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \bar{F}(x, t)(K \# F)(x, t) dx dt \right| \leq c \|F\|_{L^{q'}(\mathbb{R}, L^q(\mathbb{R}^n))}^2.$$

Because of

$$\tilde{K}(\xi, \tau) = \frac{1}{|\xi|^{b+1}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-it(|\xi|-\tau)} dt = \frac{1}{|\xi|^{b+1}} \delta(|\xi|-\tau)$$

we arrive at

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\tilde{F}(\xi, |\xi|)|^2 \frac{d\xi}{|\xi|^{b+1}} \leq c \|F\|_{L^{q'}(\mathbb{R}, L^q(\mathbb{R}^n))}^2.$$

Thus the following duality argument holds by Plancherel's theorem:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (K * f)(x, t) F(x, t) dx dt \right| &= \left| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{f}(\xi) \tilde{K}(\xi, \tau) \tilde{F}(\xi, \tau) d\xi d\tau \right| \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\tilde{F}(\xi, |\xi|)|^2 \frac{d\xi}{|\xi|^{b+1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 \frac{d\xi}{|\xi|^{b+1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq c \|F\|_{L^{q'}(\mathbb{R}, L^q(\mathbb{R}^n))} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 \frac{d\xi}{|\xi|^{b+1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

consequently

$$\|K * f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}, L^q(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq c \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 \frac{d\xi}{|\xi|^{b+1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = c \|A^{-\frac{b+1}{4}} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

The same estimate holds for $\hat{K}_1(\xi, t) := \frac{e^{it|\xi|}}{|\xi|^{b+1}}$, thus

$$A^{-\frac{b}{2}} u_0 = \mathcal{F}_\xi^{-1} \left(\frac{\hat{K} + \hat{K}_1}{2i}(\xi, t) \hat{f}(\xi) \right)$$

fulfills

$$\|A^{-\frac{b}{2}} u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}, L^q(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq c \|A^{-\frac{b+1}{4}} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

The proof is complete.

Remark. In exactly the same manner for solutions u_0 of the Klein-Gordon equation $u_{0,tt} + Au_0 = 0$, $u_0(0) = g$, $u_t(0) = f$ with $A := -\Delta + m^2$, $m \neq 0$, the following estimate can be proven:

$$\|u_0\|_{L^{\frac{4q}{(n-1)(q-2)}}(\mathbb{R}, H^{\frac{2(n+1)-(n-3)q}{4q}, q}(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq c (\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|g\|_{H^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}),$$

if $2 \leq q < \frac{2(n-1)}{n-3}$, $q < \infty$. For details we refer to Marshall's paper [2].

§2. The Wave Equation with Power Nonlinearity

We consider the Cauchy problem for nonlinear wave equations of the type

$$u_{tt} - \Delta u + \lambda |u|^{\rho-1} u = 0 \quad \text{where } \lambda \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We use the abbreviations $f(u) = \lambda |u|^{\rho-1} u$, $A := -\Delta$, and assume throughout $\rho = 1 + \frac{4}{n-2}$ and $3 \leq n \leq 5$.

Theorem 2. *Let $(\phi^-, \psi^-) \in \dot{H}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be given with $\|\phi^-\|_{\dot{H}^{1,2}} + \|\psi^-\|_{L^2} < \delta$, where $\delta > 0$ is sufficiently small, and let $u_0^-(t)$ denote the solution in $\dot{H}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\nabla u_0^-(0) = \nabla \phi^-$, $u_0^-(t) = \psi^-$ of the linear wave equation $u_{0,tt}^- - \Delta u_0^- = 0$. Under these assumptions there exists a unique solution u of the integral equation*

$$u(t) = u_0^-(t) + \int_{-\infty}^t A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sin[A^{\frac{1}{2}}(t-\tau)] f(u(\tau)) d\tau$$

with $(u, u_t) \in V \times L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$, where $V := L^p(\mathbb{R}, \dot{H}^{s,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \dot{H}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n))$, where $s := \frac{(n-1)\rho - (n+1)}{(n-1)\rho}$, $r := \frac{2(n-1)\rho}{(n-1)\rho - 4}$.

Proof. We want to apply the contraction mapping principle and first remark that $u_0^- \in V$ if we choose $q = \frac{2(n-1)\rho}{(n-1)\rho - 4}$ in the Corollary to Theorem 1, and moreover $\|u_0^-\|_V \leq c\delta$. This choice of q is possible because $2 < \rho < \infty$ for $3 \leq n \leq 5$. Now consider $u, \tilde{u} \in V$ and estimate as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_{-\infty}^t A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sin[A^{\frac{1}{2}}(t-\tau)] [f(u(\tau)) - f(\tilde{u}(\tau))] d\tau \right\|_{\dot{H}^{s,r}} \\ & \leq c \int_{-\infty}^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{2}{\rho}} \|f(u(\tau)) - f(\tilde{u}(\tau))\|_{\dot{H}^{s,r}} d\tau, \quad \text{where } \bar{s} = \frac{n+1}{(n-1)\rho}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we used Theorem 0a). The imbedding $\dot{H}^{1,\tilde{r}} \subset \dot{H}^{\bar{s},r'}$ holds provided $\frac{1}{\tilde{r}} = \frac{(n+2)\rho + 2}{2n\rho}$ (cf. Stein [4], p. 119, Theorem 1), and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(u) - f(\tilde{u})\|_{\dot{H}^{s,r'}} & \leq c \sum_{|\alpha|=1} \|f'(u) D^\alpha u - f'(\tilde{u}) D^\alpha \tilde{u}\|_{L^{\tilde{r}}} \\ & \leq c \sum_{|\alpha|=1} (\| (u - \tilde{u}) (|u|^{\rho-2} + |\tilde{u}|^{\rho-2}) D^\alpha u \|_{L^{\tilde{r}}} + \|\tilde{u} |\tilde{u}|^{\rho-2} (D^\alpha u - D^\alpha \tilde{u})\|_{L^{\tilde{r}}}). \end{aligned}$$

The first term is estimated using Hölder's inequality by

$$\|u - \tilde{u}\|_{L^{\tilde{r},\tilde{r}}} (\|u\|_{L^{\tilde{r},(\rho-2)\hat{q}}}^{\rho-2} + \|\tilde{u}\|_{L^{\tilde{r},(\rho-2)\hat{q}}}^{\rho-2}) \|D^\alpha u\|_{L^{\tilde{r},\tilde{r}}}.$$

The choice $\hat{r} = \frac{2}{\tilde{r}}$ and $\hat{p} = (\rho-2)\hat{q}$ leads to $\tilde{r}\hat{p} = \tilde{r}'(\rho-2)\hat{q} = \frac{n\rho(\rho-1)}{\rho+1}$. Now the

imbedding $\dot{H}^{s,r} \subset L^{\frac{n\rho(\rho-1)}{\rho+1}}$ holds if and only if $\rho = \frac{n+2}{n-2}$ so that we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(u) - f(\tilde{u})\|_{\dot{H}^{s,r}} \\ & \leq c(\|u - \tilde{u}\|_{\dot{H}^{s,r}}(\|u\|_{\dot{H}^{s,r}}^{\rho-2} + \|\tilde{u}\|_{\dot{H}^{s,r}}^{\rho-2})\|u\|_{\dot{H}^{1,2}} + \|\tilde{u}\|_{\dot{H}^{s,r}}^{\rho-1}\|u - \tilde{u}\|_{\dot{H}^{1,2}}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the following inequality holds:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_{-\infty}^t A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sin[A^{\frac{1}{2}}(t-\tau)] [f(u(\tau)) - f(\tilde{u}(\tau))] d\tau \right\|_{\dot{H}^{s,r}} \\ & \leq c \int_{-\infty}^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{2}{\rho}} [\|u(\tau) - \tilde{u}(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}^{s,r}}(\|u(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}^{s,r}}^{\rho-2} + \|\tilde{u}(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}^{s,r}}^{\rho-2})\|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \dot{H}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n))} \\ & \quad + \|\tilde{u}(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}^{s,r}}^{\rho-1}\|u - \tilde{u}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \dot{H}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n))}] d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Now we use the generalized Young's inequality

$$\|K * g\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R})} \leq c \|g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} \quad \text{for } K(t) = \frac{1}{|t|^\alpha}, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1,$$

where $\tilde{\rho} = \frac{1}{\alpha}$ and $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{\tilde{\rho}}$. We have $\tilde{\rho} = \frac{\rho}{2}$, $q = \rho$, $p = \frac{\rho}{\rho-1}$, thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_{-\infty}^t A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sin[A^{\frac{1}{2}}(t-\tau)] [f(u(\tau)) - f(\tilde{u}(\tau))] d\tau \right\|_{L^\rho(\mathbb{R}, \dot{H}^{s,r}(\mathbb{R}^n))} \\ & \leq c \left\{ \left[\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \|u(\tau) - \tilde{u}(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}^{s,r}}^{\frac{\rho}{\rho-1}} (\|u(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}^{s,r}}^{\frac{\rho}{\rho-1}(\rho-2)} + \|\tilde{u}(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}^{s,r}}^{\frac{\rho}{\rho-1}(\rho-2)}) d\tau \right]^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}} \right. \\ & \quad \cdot \left. \left[\|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \dot{H}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n))} + \left[\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \|\tilde{u}(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}^{s,r}}^{\rho} d\tau \right]^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}} \|u - \tilde{u}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \dot{H}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n))} \right] \right\} \\ & \leq c \|u - \tilde{u}\|_{\mathcal{V}} (\|u\|_{\mathcal{V}}^{\rho-1} + \|\tilde{u}\|_{\mathcal{V}}^{\rho-1}). \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Furthermore one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_{-\infty}^t A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sin[A^{\frac{1}{2}}(t-\tau)] [f(u(\tau)) - f(\tilde{u}(\tau))] d\tau \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \dot{H}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n))} \\ & \leq c \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \|f(u(\tau)) - f(\tilde{u}(\tau))\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} d\tau \\ & \leq c \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\|u(\tau)\|_{L^{2\rho}}^{\rho-1} + \|\tilde{u}(\tau)\|_{L^{2\rho}}^{\rho-1}) \|u(\tau) - \tilde{u}(\tau)\|_{L^{2\rho}} d\tau \\ & \leq c(\|u\|_{\mathcal{V}}^{\rho-1} + \|\tilde{u}\|_{\mathcal{V}}^{\rho-1}) \|u - \tilde{u}\|_{\mathcal{V}}, \end{aligned}$$

because the imbedding $\dot{H}^{s,r} \subset L^{2\rho}$ holds if and only if $\rho = \frac{n+2}{n-2}$.

If we denote the transformation which maps u into the right hand side of the considered integral equation by T we have shown

$$\|T(u) - T(\tilde{u})\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq c(\|u\|_{\mathcal{V}}^{\rho-1} + \|\tilde{u}\|_{\mathcal{V}}^{\rho-1}) \|u - \tilde{u}\|_{\mathcal{V}}$$

as well as

$$\|T(u)\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq c\delta + c\|u\|_{\mathcal{V}}^{\rho}.$$

Assume now that $c\delta \leq \frac{1}{2}\delta_1$ and $2c\delta_1^{\rho-1} \leq \frac{1}{2}$. If then $\|u\|_V, \|\tilde{u}\|_V \leq \delta_1$ we conclude that

$$\|T(u) - T(\tilde{u})\|_V \leq \frac{1}{2}\|u - \tilde{u}\|_V \quad \text{and} \quad \|T(u)\|_V \leq \delta_1.$$

The contraction mapping principle shows the existence of a unique solution within the ball $\|u\|_V \leq \delta_1$. For uniqueness within the whole of V we remark that any two solutions u and \tilde{u} satisfy the estimate (in analogy to (1)):

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u - \tilde{u}\|_{L^\rho(I, \dot{H}^{s,r}(\mathbb{R}^n))} \\ & \leq c \left[\|u\|_{L^\infty(I, \dot{H}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n))} (\|u\|_{L^\rho(I, \dot{H}^{s,r}(\mathbb{R}^n))}^{\rho-2} + \|\tilde{u}\|_{L^\rho(I, \dot{H}^{s,r}(\mathbb{R}^n))}^{\rho-2}) \right. \\ & \quad \cdot \|u - \tilde{u}\|_{L^\rho(I, \dot{H}^{s,r}(\mathbb{R}^n))} + \|\tilde{u}\|_{L^\rho(I, \dot{H}^{s,r}(\mathbb{R}^n))}^{\rho-1} \|u - \tilde{u}\|_{L^\infty(I, \dot{H}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n))} \left. \right] \end{aligned}$$

as well as

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u - \tilde{u}\|_{L^\infty(I, \dot{H}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n))} \\ & \leq c (\|u\|_{L^\rho(I, \dot{H}^{s,r}(\mathbb{R}^n))}^{\rho-1} + \|\tilde{u}\|_{L^\rho(I, \dot{H}^{s,r}(\mathbb{R}^n))}^{\rho-1}) \|u - \tilde{u}\|_{L^\rho(I, \dot{H}^{s,r}(\mathbb{R}^n))}, \end{aligned}$$

where $I = (-\infty, \bar{T})$.

The condition $u, \tilde{u} \in L^\rho(\mathbb{R}, \dot{H}^{s,r}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ allows us to choose $|\bar{T}|$ so large that

$$\|u - \tilde{u}\|_{V_{\bar{T}}} \leq \frac{1}{2}\|u - \tilde{u}\|_{V_{\bar{T}}},$$

where $V_{\bar{T}} = L^\rho(I, \dot{H}^{s,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap L^\infty(I, \dot{H}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n))$, so that $u = \tilde{u}$ in $V_{\bar{T}}$. Step by step it is possible to replace \bar{T} by $\bar{T} + \varepsilon$ where ε depends possibly on u and \tilde{u} , but is the same for all steps. Thus $u = \tilde{u}$ in V , and the proof is complete.

Corollary. $\|u(t) - u_0^-(t)\|_e \rightarrow 0$ ($t \rightarrow -\infty$).

Proof. $\|u(t) - u_0^-(t)\|_e \leq c \int_{-\infty}^t \|f(u(\tau))\|_{L^2} d\tau \leq c \int_{-\infty}^t \|u(\tau)\|_{L^{2\rho}}^\rho d\tau$. This tends to zero, because $L^\rho \mathbb{R}, (\dot{H}^{s,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \subset \bar{L}^\rho \mathbb{R}, L^{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Define now

$$u_0^+(t) := u(t) + \int_t^\infty A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sin[A^{\frac{1}{2}}(t-\tau)] f(u(\tau)) d\tau, \quad \text{and} \quad u_0^+(0) = \phi^+, \quad u_{0t}^+(0) = \psi^+.$$

Then we show in exactly the same manner as above $\|u(t) - u_0^+(t)\|_e \rightarrow 0$ ($t \rightarrow \infty$), so that we have proven

Theorem 3. *The scattering operator $S: (\phi^-, \psi^-) \rightarrow (\phi^+, \psi^+)$ exists in the sense of energy norms in a whole neighbourhood of the origin in $\dot{H}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

§ 3. The Nonlinear Klein-Gordon Equation

In this section we consider the Cauchy problem for equations of the type

$$u_{tt} + Au + f(u) = 0,$$

where $A := -\Delta + m^2$, $m \neq 0$, $f(u) := \lambda|u|^{\rho-1}u$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

We assume $2 \leq n \leq 5$ and $1 + \frac{4}{n-1} \leq \rho \leq 1 + \frac{4}{n-2}$, $2 < \rho < +\infty$.

Theorem 4. Let $(\phi^-, \psi^-) \in H^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be given with $\|\phi^-\|_{H^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|\psi^-\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \delta$, $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small, and let $u_0^-(t)$ denote the unique solution in $H^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of $u_{0t}^- + Au_0^- = 0$, $u_0^-(0) = \phi^-$, $u_{0t}^-(0) = \psi^-$. Under these assumptions there exists a unique solution u of the integral equation

$$u(t) = u_0^-(t) + \int_{-\infty}^t A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sin[A^{\frac{1}{2}}(t-\tau)] f(u(\tau)) d\tau$$

with $(u, u_t) \in V \times L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$, where $V := L^\rho(\mathbb{R}, H^{s,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, H^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ and s, r as in Theorem 2.

Proof. In analogy to the proof of Theorem 2 we have $u_0^- \in V$ and $\|u_0^-\|_V \leq c\delta$ by use of the remark after Theorem 1, and moreover

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_{-\infty}^t A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sin[A^{\frac{1}{2}}(t-\tau)] [f(u(\tau)) - f(\tilde{u}(\tau))] d\tau \right\|_{H^{s,r}} \\ & \leq c \int_{-\infty}^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{2}{\rho}} \|f(u(\tau)) - f(\tilde{u}(\tau))\|_{H^{s,r}} d\tau \end{aligned}$$

by Theorem 0b) (notation as in the proof of Theorem 2).

We now use Sobolev's imbedding $H^{1,\tilde{r}'} \subset H^{s,r'}$ which holds for

$$\frac{1}{\tilde{r}'} \geq \frac{1}{r'} \geq \frac{1}{\tilde{r}'} - \frac{1-s}{n}$$

and arrive as in the proof of Theorem 2 at

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(u) - f(\tilde{u})\|_{H^{s,r'}} \\ & \leq c \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1} [\|u - \tilde{u}\|_{L^{\tilde{r}'}, \tilde{r}} (\|u\|_{L^{\tilde{r}'}, (\rho-2)\hat{q}}^{\rho-2} + \|\tilde{u}\|_{L^{\tilde{r}'}, (\rho-2)\hat{q}}^{\rho-2}) \|D^\alpha u\|_{L^{\tilde{r}'}, \tilde{r}} \\ & \quad + \|\tilde{u}\|_{L^{\tilde{r}'}, \tilde{r}} \|\tilde{u}\|_{L^{\tilde{r}'}, (\rho-2)\hat{q}}^{\rho-2} \|D^\alpha u - D^\alpha \tilde{u}\|_{L^{\tilde{r}'}, \tilde{r}}]. \end{aligned}$$

We choose $\hat{p}, \hat{q}, \hat{r}$ as follows: $\tilde{r}'\hat{r} = 2$, $\hat{p} = (\rho - 2)\hat{q}$.

This gives $\tilde{r}'\hat{p} = (\rho - 1)\frac{2\tilde{r}'}{2 - \tilde{r}'}$. Now the imbedding $H^{s,r} \subset L^{(\rho-1)\frac{2\tilde{r}'}{2-\tilde{r}'}}$ holds provided $\frac{1}{r} \geq \frac{1}{\rho-1} \left(\frac{1}{\tilde{r}'} - \frac{1}{2}\right) \geq \frac{1}{r} - \frac{s}{n}$. This condition can be fulfilled taking into account the range for $\frac{1}{\tilde{r}'}$ above if and only if $1 + \frac{4}{n-1} \leq \rho \leq 1 + \frac{4}{n-2}$ as a simple calculation shows. Thus the following estimate holds:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_{-\infty}^t A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sin[A^{\frac{1}{2}}(t-\tau)] [f(u(\tau)) - f(\tilde{u}(\tau))] d\tau \right\|_{H^{s,r}} \\ & \leq c \int_{-\infty}^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{2}{\rho}} [\|u(\tau) - \tilde{u}(\tau)\|_{H^{s,r}} (\|u(\tau)\|_{H^{s,r}}^{\rho-2} + \|\tilde{u}(\tau)\|_{H^{s,r}}^{\rho-2}) \|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, H^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n))} \\ & \quad + \|\tilde{u}(\tau)\|_{H^{s,r}}^{\rho-1} \|u - \tilde{u}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, H^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n))}] d\tau \\ & \leq c \|u - \tilde{u}\|_V (\|u\|_V^{\rho-1} + \|\tilde{u}\|_V^{\rho-1}), \end{aligned}$$

if we proceed as in the proof of Theorem 2.

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_{-\infty}^t A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sin[A^{\frac{1}{2}}(t-\tau)] [f(u(\tau)) - f(\tilde{u}(\tau))] d\tau \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, H^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n))} \\ & \leq c \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\|u(\tau)\|_{L^{2\rho}}^{\rho-1} + \|\tilde{u}(\tau)\|_{L^{2\rho}}^{\rho-1}) \|u(\tau) - \tilde{u}(\tau)\|_{L^{2\rho}} d\tau \\ & \leq c (\|u\|_V^{\rho-1} + \|\tilde{u}\|_V^{\rho-1}) \|u - \tilde{u}\|_V, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the imbedding $H^{s,r} \subset L^{2\rho}$ which holds for $1 + \frac{4}{n-1} \leq \rho \leq 1 + \frac{4}{n-2}$ again. Now the claim follows as in Theorem 2.

Consequently as in the case of the wave equation we have

Theorem 5. *The scattering operator $S: (\phi^-, \psi^-) \rightarrow (\phi^+, \psi^+)$ exists in the sense of energy norms in a whole neighbourhood of the origin in $H^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

§ 4. Further Results

By the same methods as above it is possible to prove the following result for the initial-value problem under the same assumptions on f and n .

Theorem 6. *Let $(\phi, \psi) \in \dot{H}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (or $H^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$) be given with sufficiently small norm, and let $u_0(t)$ denote the solution in $\dot{H}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (or $H^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$) resp.) with $u_{0,t}(t) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of the problem $u_{0,tt} + Au_0 = 0$, $u_0(0) = \phi$, $u_{0,t}(0) = \psi$, where $A = -\Delta$ (or $-\Delta + m^2$, $m \neq 0$, resp.). Under these assumptions the integral equation*

$$u(t) = u_0(t) - \int_0^t A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sin[A^{\frac{1}{2}}(t-\tau)] f(u(\tau)) d\tau$$

has a unique solution in V with $u_\pm \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$. Moreover there exist solutions u_\pm of $u_{\pm,tt} + Au_\pm = 0$ such that $\|u(t) - u_\pm(t)\|_e \rightarrow 0$ ($t \rightarrow \pm\infty$).

Proof. The existence of u is shown as before, and

$$u_\pm(t) := u(t) + \int_{\pm\infty}^t A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sin[A^{\frac{1}{2}}(t-\tau)] f(u(\tau)) d\tau$$

has the claimed properties.

Moreover, the following uniqueness result is valid under the same assumptions on f and n as before (proof as above):

Theorem 7. Let $(\phi, \psi) \in \dot{H}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (or $H^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$) be given arbitrarily, and let $u_0(t)$ denote the solution in $\dot{H}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (or $H^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ resp.) with $u_{0,t}(t) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of the problem $u_{0,tt} + Au_0 = 0$, $u_0(0) = \phi$, $u_{0,t}(0) = \psi$, where $A = -\Delta$ (or $A = -\Delta + m^2$, $m \neq 0$, resp.). Then there exists at most one solution of the integral equation

$$u(t) = u_0(t) - \int_0^t A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sin[A^{\frac{1}{2}}(t-\tau)] f(u(\tau)) d\tau$$

in the space V .

Concluding Remark. The results of §§ 2-4 hold in the case of a more general nonlinearity $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$, which fulfills $f(0) = f'(0) = 0$ and $|f'(u_1) - f'(u_2)| \leq c(|u_1|^{\rho-2} + |u_2|^{\rho-2})|u_1 - u_2|$ for $u_1, u_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, where ρ is restricted as above.

References

1. Brenner, Ph.: On scattering and everywhere defined scattering operators for nonlinear Klein-Gordon equations. To appear in J. Differential Equations
2. Marshall, B.: Mixed norm estimates for the Klein-Gordon equation; in: Proceedings of a Conference on Harmonic Analysis (Chicago 1981)
3. Pecher, H.: L^p -Abschätzungen und klassische Lösungen für nichtlineare Wellengleichungen I. Math. Z. **150**, 159-183 (1976)
4. Stein, E.M.: Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions. Princeton, New Jersey: Princeton University Press 1970
5. Strauss, W.A.: Nonlinear scattering theory at low energy. J. Funct. Analysis **41**, 110-133 (1981)
6. Strauss, W.A.: Nonlinear scattering theory at low energy: sequel. J. Funct. Analysis **43**, 281-293 (1981)
7. Strichartz, R.S.: Restrictions of Fourier transforms to quadratic surfaces and decay of solutions of wave equations. Duke Math. J. **44**, 705-714 (1977)

Received June 15, 1983