

On the Second Cohomology Groups of Semidirect Products

Ken-ichi Tahara

Introduction

Let G be a finite group, then $H^3(G, \mathbb{Z})$ is canonically isomorphic to $H^2(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$, which is called the Schur multiplier of the group G . In general we study $H^2(G, A)$, where A is a G -module with trivial G -action. The second cohomology group $H^2(G, A)$ is the additive group of 2-cocycles taken modulo the subgroup of 2-coboundaries, where a map $f: G \times G \rightarrow A$ is a 2-cocycle on G if and only if f satisfies the following:

$$f(\sigma, \tau) - f(\rho\sigma, \tau) + f(\rho, \sigma\tau) - f(\rho, \sigma) = 0 \quad (\rho, \sigma, \tau \in G),$$

and f is a 2-coboundary on G if and only if there exists a map $g: G \rightarrow A$ such that

$$f(\sigma, \tau) = g(\tau) - g(\sigma\tau) + g(\sigma) \quad (\sigma, \tau \in G).$$

Lyndon [5] proved that if a finite group G is the direct product of its normal subgroups N and T , then the cohomology group $H^n(G, A)$ of G with a coefficient module A with trivial G -action is related to the compound cohomology groups $H^k(T, H^{n-k}(N, A))$ ($0 \leq k \leq n$).

In this paper we show that, when G is the semidirect product of a normal subgroup N and a subgroup T , then

$$H^2(G, A) \cong H^2(T, A) \oplus \tilde{H}^2(G, A),$$

and we have the following canonical exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H^1(T, H^1(N, A)) \rightarrow \tilde{H}^2(G, A) \xrightarrow{\text{res}} H^2(N, A)^T \\ \xrightarrow{d_2} H^2(T, H^1(N, A)) \rightarrow \tilde{H}^3(G, A), \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{H}^i(G, A)$ is the kernel of the restriction homomorphism $H^i(G, A) \rightarrow H^i(T, A)$. When both N and T are finite cyclic subgroups, the homomorphism d_2 , and $\tilde{H}^2(G, A)$ hence $H^2(G, A)$ can be determined completely for an arbitrary G -module A with trivial G -action. As its application, the Schur multiplier of a semidirect product of cyclic subgroups is concretely computed.

The author would like to express his appreciation to Professor Y. Akagawa who pointed out to him an error of the first draft of this

paper, and also to Professor T. Oda for his helpful suggestions and encouragement during the preparation of this paper and for his critical reading of the manuscript.

§ 1. Theorems

Let G be a finite group, and let A be a G -module with trivial G -action. Then it was shown by Eilenberg and MacLane [3] that the cohomology group $H^n(G, A)$ is unaffected even if we restrict ourselves only to those n -cochains f which satisfy $f(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n) = 0$ whenever one of the arguments g_i is 1 (= the identity element of G). Since this condition is very useful in computation, we henceforth assume that all cochains satisfy the condition.

If $G = N \cdot T$ is the semidirect product of a normal subgroup N and a subgroup T , then every element g of G is uniquely represented in the form $g = nt$ ($n \in N, t \in T$), and for any $n \in N, t \in T$,

$$tn = {}^tnt \quad \text{with } {}^t n = tnt^{-1}.$$

Proposition 1. *Let G be the semidirect product of a normal subgroup N and a subgroup T , and let A be a G -module with trivial G -action.*

(I) *Let a map $f: G \times G \rightarrow A$ be a 2-cocycle on G . Then f can always be normalized up to coboundaries as follows:*

$$(*) \quad f(N, T) = 0,$$

and hence

$$(a) \quad f(nt, n't') = f(t, t') + f(t, n') + f(n', {}^t n') \quad (n, n' \in N, t, t' \in T).$$

We call such a 2-cocycle f a normal 2-cocycle. Thus a normal 2-cocycle f on G is determined uniquely by $f|_{N \times N}$, $f|_{T \times T}$ and $f|_{T \times N}$.

(II) *The data $f|_{N \times N}$, $f|_{T \times T}$ and $f|_{T \times N}$ determine a normal 2-cocycle on G if and only if they satisfy the following:*

$$(b) \quad f \text{ is a 2-cocycle on } N,$$

$$(c) \quad f \text{ is a 2-cocycle on } T,$$

$$(d) \quad f(tt', n) = f(t', n) + f(t, {}^t n) \quad (n \in N, t, t' \in T),$$

$$(e) \quad f(n, n') - f({}^t n, {}^t n') = f(t, n) - f(t, nn') + f(t, n') \quad (n, n' \in N, t \in T).$$

Proof. For the discussion in this proof, it is more convenient to treat the law of composition of A as multiplication. There is a one-to-one correspondence between the elements of $H^2(G, A)$ and the classes of equivalent central extensions

$$1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow \Pi \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1,$$

and giving a 2-cocycle f representing a cohomology class corresponds to giving a section $\pi: G \rightarrow H$, via the relation

$$f(g, g') \pi(gg') = \pi(g) \pi(g') \quad (g, g' \in G).$$

It is clear that a 2-cochain f satisfies (*) if and only if the corresponding section satisfies

$$(**) \quad \pi(nt) = \pi(n) \pi(t) \quad (n \in N, t \in T).$$

Hence the normalization (*) of 2-cocycles on G is obvious, and since $\pi(nt) \pi(n't') = f(nt, n't') \pi(ntn't') = f(nt, n't') \pi(n'tnt't')$ ($n, n' \in N, t, t' \in T$), and the left hand side is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(n) \pi(t) \pi(n') \pi(t') &= \pi(n) f(t, n') \pi({}^t n') \pi(t) \pi(t') \\ &= f(t, n') f(n, {}^t n') f(t, t') \pi(n'tnt't'), \end{aligned}$$

we have (a). To prove (II), suppose f satisfies (*), and let π be the corresponding section satisfying (**). Since

$$\pi(t) \pi(n) = f(t, n) \pi(tn) = f(t, n) \pi({}^t n) = f(t, n) \pi({}^t n) \pi(t) \quad (n \in N, t \in T),$$

it follows that

$$\pi(t) \pi(n) \pi(t)^{-1} = f(t, n) \pi({}^t n) \quad (n \in N, t \in T).$$

By replacing t by tt' or n by nn' in the above relation, we easily get (d) and (e), respectively.

Conversely it is easy to show that a map $f: G \times G \rightarrow A$ satisfying the relations (a)~(e) is a 2-cocycle on G . Q.E.D.

Let $\tilde{H}^i(G, A)$ be the kernel of the restriction homomorphism

$$\text{res}: H^i(G, A) \rightarrow H^i(T, A).$$

Then by the splitting of the exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \rightarrow & N & \rightarrow & G & \rightarrow & G/N \rightarrow 1, \\ & & & & \uparrow & \nearrow & \\ & & & & T & & \end{array}$$

we see that

$$H^i(G, A) \cong H^i(T, A) \oplus \tilde{H}^i(G, A) \quad (i \geq 1),$$

canonically.

Theorem 2. *Let G be the semidirect product of a normal subgroup N and a subgroup T , and let A be a G -module with trivial G -action. Then*

$$(I) \quad H^2(G, A) \cong H^2(T, A) \oplus \tilde{H}^2(G, A) \text{ canonically.}$$

(II). We have a canonical exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(T, H^1(N, A)) \rightarrow \tilde{H}^2(G, A) \xrightarrow{\text{res}} H^2(N, A)^T \\ \xrightarrow{d_2} H^2(T, H^1(N, A)) \rightarrow \tilde{H}^3(G, A),$$

where T acts on $H^1(N, A) = \text{Hom}(N, A)$ and $H^2(N, A)$ via the canonical action induced by conjugation of T on N , and $H^2(N, A)^T$ is the subgroup of T -invariants. The homomorphism $\text{res}: \tilde{H}^2(G, A) \rightarrow H^2(N, A)^T$ is induced by the restriction map $\text{res}: H^2(G, A) \rightarrow H^2(N, A)$.

Proof. Let $Z_*^2 = Z_*^2(G, A)$ and $B_*^2 = B_*^2(G, A)$ be the groups of normal 2-cocycles and 2-coboundaries, respectively. By Proposition 1, we have $H^2(G, A) = Z_*^2/B_*^2$. Let \tilde{Z}_*^2 be the subgroup of elements f of Z_*^2 for which $f(T, T) = 0$. Put $\tilde{B}_*^2 = \tilde{Z}_*^2 \cap B_*^2$. Then by Proposition 1, it is easy to see that $\tilde{H}^2(G, A) \cong \tilde{Z}_*^2/\tilde{B}_*^2$, because f is an element of \tilde{B}_*^2 if and only if there exists a map $v: N \rightarrow A$ with $v(1) = 0$ such that

$$f(t, n) = v(n) - v({}^t n) \quad (n \in N, t \in T),$$

and

$$f(n, n') = v(n) - v(nn') + v(n') \quad (n, n' \in N).$$

By Proposition 1, the homomorphism sending f to $(f|_{T \times N}, f|_{N \times N})$ is an injection

$$\tilde{Z}_*^2 \rightarrow Z^1(T, C^1(N, A)) \oplus Z^2(N, A).$$

Its image consists of elements (u, h) satisfying

$$h(n, n') - h({}^t n, {}^t n') = u(t, n) - u(t, nn') + u(t, n') \quad (n, n' \in N, t \in T). \quad (1)$$

We identify \tilde{Z}_*^2 with its image in the right hand side. The subgroup consisting of elements $(u, 0)$ with $u \in Z^1(T, H^1(N, A))$ is obviously contained in \tilde{Z}_*^2 . We identify $Z^1(T, H^1(N, A))$ with its image in

$$Z^1(T, C^1(N, A)) \oplus Z^2(N, A).$$

It is straightforward to see that

$$\tilde{B}_*^2 \cap Z^1(T, H^1(N, A)) = B^1(T, H^1(N, A)).$$

Thus we have a canonical injection

$$H^1(T, H^1(N, A)) \rightarrow \tilde{H}^2(G, A).$$

Consider the homomorphism $p: \tilde{Z}_*^2 \rightarrow Z^2(N, A)$ sending (u, h) to h .

Lemma 3.

$$p^{-1}(B^2(N, A)) = Z^1(T, H^1(N, A)) + \tilde{B}_*^2.$$

Proof. Let (u, h) be an element of \tilde{Z}_*^2 with $h \in B^2(N, A)$. Then there exists a map $v: N \rightarrow A$ with $v(1) = 0$ such that

$$h(n, n') = v(n) - v(nn') + v(n') \quad (n, n' \in N).$$

By (1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \{u(t, n) - v(n) + v({}^t n)\} + \{u(t, n') - v(n') + v({}^t n')\} \\ & = \{u(t, nn') - v(nn') + v({}^t (nn'))\} \quad (n, n' \in N, t \in T). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $u'(t, n) = u(t, n) - v(n) + v({}^t n)$ is in $Z^1(T, H^1(N, A))$ and $(u', 0)$ is congruent to (u, h) modulo \tilde{B}_*^2 . Q.E.D.

Proof of Theorem 2 (continued). So far we obtained a canonical exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(T, H^1(N, A)) \rightarrow \tilde{H}^2(G, A) \xrightarrow{p} H^2(N, A).$$

Moreover p is obviously induced by the restriction map $\text{res}: H^2(G, A) \rightarrow H^2(N, A)$. By (1), the image of p is contained in $H^2(N, A)^T$.

Next we define a canonical homomorphism

$$d_2: H^2(N, A)^T \rightarrow H^2(T, H^1(N, A)).$$

Let $h: N \times N \rightarrow A$ be a 2-cocycle on N representing a cohomology class of $H^2(N, A)^T$. Then there is a map $u: T \times N \rightarrow A$ with $u(1, n) = u(t, 1) = 0$ ($n \in N, t \in T$) such that

$$h(n, n') - h({}^t n, {}^t n') = u(t, n) - u(t, nn') + u(t, n') \quad (n, n' \in N, t \in T).$$

Consider

$$(d_2 h)(t, t', n) = u(t', n) - u(tt', n) + u(t, {}^t n).$$

$d_2 h$ is easily seen to be contained in $Z^2(T, H^1(N, A))$. We now show that this $d_2 h$ gives rise to the sought-for homomorphism. Suppose $h': N \times N \rightarrow A$ is another 2-cocycle cohomologous to h . Then there exists a map $v: N \rightarrow A$ with $v(1) = 0$ such that

$$h'(n, n') = h(n, n') + v(n) - v(nn') + v(n') \quad (n, n' \in N).$$

Let $u': T \times N \rightarrow A$ be a map with $u'(1, n) = u'(t, 1) = 0$ ($n \in N, t \in T$) such that

$$h'(n, n') - h'({}^t n, {}^t n') = u'(t, n) - u'(t, nn') + u'(t, n') \quad (n, n' \in N, t \in T).$$

Then $w(t, n) = u'(t, n) - u(t, n) - v(n) + v({}^t n)$ is easily seen to be contained in $C^1(T, H^1(N, A))$. It is straightforward to see that

$$(d_2 h')(t, t', n) = (d_2 h)(t, t', n) + w(t', n) - w(tt', n) + w(t, {}^t n) \quad (n \in N, t, t' \in T).$$

Thus $d_2: H^2(N, A)^T \rightarrow H^2(T, H^1(N, A))$ is well defined.

To show the exactness of the sequence, suppose $d_2 h$ is cohomologous to 0. Then there exists $w \in C^1(T, H^1(N, A))$ with

$$(d_2 h)(t, t', n) = w(t', n) - w(tt', n) + w(t, {}^t n) \quad (n \in N, t, t' \in T).$$

Then

$$u(t, n) = w(t, n) + z(t, n) \quad (n \in N, t \in T),$$

with $z(t', n) - z(tt', n) + z(t, {}^t n) = 0$ ($n \in N, t, t' \in T$), and

$$h(n, n') - h({}^t n, {}^t n') = z(t, n) - z(t, nn') + z(t, n') \quad (n, n' \in N, t \in T).$$

Thus (z, h) is in \tilde{Z}_*^2 .

Finally we consider a canonical homomorphism $H^2(T, H^1(N, A)) \rightarrow \tilde{H}^3(G, A)$. Let $f: T \times T \rightarrow H^1(N, A)$ be a 2-cocycle on T representing a cohomology class of $H^2(T, H^1(N, A))$. Then f is considered as a map from $T \times T \times N$ to A , and it is easy to see that f is a 3-cocycle on G with

$$f(nt, n't', n''t'') = f(t, t', n'') \quad (n, n', n'' \in N, t, t', t'' \in T).$$

Suppose f is cohomologous to zero. Then there exists a map $v: T \times N \rightarrow A$ with $v(1, n) = v(t, 1) = 0$ ($n \in N, t \in T$) and

$$v(t, nn') = v(t, n) + v(t, n') \quad (t \in T, n, n' \in N)$$

such that

$$f(t, t', n'') = v(t', n'') - v(tt', n'') + v(t, {}^t n'') \quad (t, t' \in T, n'' \in N).$$

Putting $v(nt, n't') = v(t, n')$ ($n, n' \in N, t, t' \in T$), we have easily

$$f(g, g', g'') = v(g', g'') - v(gg', g'') + v(g, g'g'') - v(g, g') \quad (g, g', g'' \in G).$$

Thus the canonical homomorphism $H^2(T, H^1(N, A)) \rightarrow \tilde{H}^3(G, A)$ is well defined.

To show the last exactness of the sequence, let $h: N \times N \rightarrow A$ be a 2-cocycle on N representing a cohomology class of $H^2(N, A)^T$. Then there is a map $u: T \times N \rightarrow A$ with $u(1, n) = u(t, 1) = 0$ ($n \in N, t \in T$) such that

$$h(n, n') - h({}^t n, {}^t n') = u(t, n) - u(t, nn') + u(t, n') \quad (n, n' \in N, t \in T).$$

Putting $v(nt, n't') = u(t, n') + h(n, {}^t n')$ ($n, n' \in N, t, t' \in T$), we have easily

$$(d_2 h)(g, g', g'') = v(g', g'') - v(gg', g'') + v(g, g'g'') - v(g, g') \quad (g, g', g'' \in G).$$

Thus its image in $\tilde{H}^3(G, A)$ is zero. Conversely let $f: T \times T \rightarrow H^1(N, A)$ be a 2-cocycle on T representing a cohomology class of $H^2(T, H^1(N, A))$, and let its image in $\tilde{H}^3(G, A)$ be zero. Then there exists a map $v: G \times G \rightarrow A$ with $v(1, g) = v(g, 1)$ ($g \in G$) such that

$$f(g, g', g'') = v(g', g'') - v(gg', g'') + v(g, g'g'') - v(g, g') \quad (g, g', g'' \in G).$$

Putting $u(t, n) = v(t, n) - v({}^t n, t)$ ($t \in T, n \in N$) and

$$h(n, n') = v(n, n') \quad (n, n' \in N),$$

we have easily

$$\begin{aligned}
 h(n', n'') - h(nn', n'') + h(n, n'n'') - h(n, n') &= 0 \quad (n, n', n'' \in N), \\
 h(n, n') - h(n, t'n) &= u(t, n') - u(t, nn') + u(t, n) \quad (n, n' \in N, t \in T),
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$f(t, t', n'') = u(t, n'') - u(tt', n'') + u(t, t'n'') \quad (t, t' \in T, n'' \in N).$$

Thus h determine an element of $H^2(N, A)^T$, and the cohomology class of $d_2 h$ in $H^2(T, H^1(N, A))$ is equal to that of f . Q.E.D.

Remark 1. In general there is the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p(G/N, H^q(N, A)) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(G, A).$$

When $G \rightarrow G/N$ splits, $E_2^{p,q}$ with $q > 0$ converges to $\tilde{H}^{p+q}(G, A)$. The exact sequence we obtained in Theorem 2 is nothing but the exact sequence of terms of low degree in this latter spectral sequence. Our proof gives us concrete descriptions of $\tilde{H}^2(G, A)$ hence $H^2(G, A)$, and d_2 (cf. Theorem 7, 8 below).

We denote by $H^2(N, A)^*$ the image of $\text{res}: \tilde{H}^2(G, A) \rightarrow H^2(N, A)^T$. By Theorem 2, $H^2(N, A)^* = \text{Ker } d_2$.

Corollary. *If $G = N \times T$ is the direct product of N and T , then canonically*

$$H^2(G, A) \cong H^2(T, A) \oplus H^1(T, H^1(N, A)) \oplus H^2(N, A).$$

Proof. T acts trivially on N , hence $H^2(N, A)^T = H^2(N, A)$. Thus we have an exact sequence by Theorem 2,

$$0 \rightarrow H^2(T, A) \oplus H^1(T, H^1(N, A)) \rightarrow H^2(G, A) \rightarrow H^2(N, A).$$

By the splitting of the exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 1 & \rightarrow & T & \rightarrow & G & \rightarrow & G/T \rightarrow 1 \\
 & & & & \uparrow & \nearrow & \\
 & & & & N & &
 \end{array}$$

we have

$$H^2(G, A) \cong H^2(T, A) \oplus H^1(T, H^1(N, A)) \oplus H^2(N, A). \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

Remark 2 (Akagawa). In general $H^2(N, A)^*$ is not equal to $H^2(N, A)^T$. Here is an example. Let G be the group generated by elements n, t with defining relations:

$$n^9 = t^3 = 1, \quad tnt^{-1} = n^4.$$

Then G is the semidirect product of the normal subgroup $N = \{n\}$ and the subgroup $T = \{t\}$. Let $A = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ be the G -module with trivial G -action.

Then easily

$$H^2(T, A) = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}, \quad H^1(T, H^1(N, A)) = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z},$$

and

$$H^2(N, A)^T = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}, \quad H^2(T, H^1(N, A)) = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}.$$

By Theorem 8 below, we have $d_2 = \text{identity}$ and hence $H^2(N, A)^* = 0$ and

$$H^2(G, A) \cong H^2(T, A) \oplus H^1(T, H^1(N, A)) = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}.$$

Remark 3. In general $H^2(G, A)$ is not equal to

$$H^2(T, A) \oplus H^1(T, H^1(N, A)) \oplus H^2(N, A)^*,$$

namely the canonical exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(T, H^1(N, A)) \rightarrow \tilde{H}^2(G, A) \rightarrow H^2(N, A)^* \rightarrow 0$$

is not split. Here is an example. Let G be the group generated by elements n, t with defining relations:

$$n^9 = t^9 = 1, \quad tnt^{-1} = n^7.$$

Then G is the semidirect product of the normal subgroup $N = \{n\}$ and the subgroup $T = \{t\}$. Let $A = \mathbb{Z}/27\mathbb{Z}$ be the G -module with trivial G -action. Then easily

$$H^2(T, A) = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}, \quad H^1(T, H^1(N, A)) = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z},$$

and

$$H^2(N, A)^T = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}, \quad H^2(T, H^1(N, A)) = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}.$$

By Theorem 8 below, we have $d_2 = 3 \cdot \text{identity} = 0$, and hence

$$H^2(N, A)^* = H^2(N, A)^T = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}.$$

On the other hand we have by Theorem 7 below,

$$\tilde{H}^2(G, A) = \mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z} \oplus H^1(T, H^1(N, A)) \oplus H^2(N, A)^* = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}.$$

We now determine completely $\tilde{H}^2(G, A)$ hence $H^2(G, A)$, and identify the homomorphism d_2 , when N and T are both finite cyclic groups and G is their semidirect product.

The following is well known, and may be proved in the same way as in Proposition 1.

Lemma 4. *Let S be a cyclic group of order s generated by an element σ , and let A be a left S -module. Then a 2-cocycle $f: S \times S \rightarrow A$ can always be normalized as*

$$f(\sigma^i, \sigma^j) = \left(\left[\frac{i+j}{s} \right] - \left[\frac{i}{s} \right] - \left[\frac{j}{s} \right] \right) f(\sigma, \sigma^{-1}) \quad (i, j \in \mathbb{Z}),$$

where $[\]$ is the Gauss symbol. Moreover the homomorphism sending f to $f(\sigma, \sigma^{-1}) \left(\text{mod} \left(\sum_{l=0}^{s-1} \sigma^l \right) A \right)$ gives a canonical isomorphism

$$H^2(S, A) \cong A^S / \left(\sum_{l=0}^{s-1} \sigma^l \right) A.$$

Lemma 5. Let $G = N \cdot T$ be the semidirect product of a normal subgroup N and a finite cyclic subgroup $T = \{t\} \cong \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$, and let A be a G -module with trivial G -action. Let a map $f: N \times N \rightarrow A$ be a 2-cocycle on N representing an element of $H^2(N, A)^T$. Then there exists a map $v: T \times N \rightarrow A$ with $v(1, n) = v(t', 1) = 0$ ($n \in N, t' \in T$) which satisfies the following:

$$(i) \quad v(t^i, n) = \sum_{l=0}^{i-1} v(t, {}^t l n) \quad (0 \leq i < m, n \in N),$$

and

$$(ii) \quad f(n, n') - f({}^t n, {}^t n') = v(t', n) - v(t', n n') + v(t', n') \quad (n, n' \in N, t' \in T).$$

Moreover $d_2: H^2(N, A)^T \rightarrow H^2(T, H^1(N, A))$ sends the cohomology class of f to that of a 2-cocycle on T with coefficients in $H^1(N, A)$:

$$\left(\left[\frac{i+j}{m} \right] - \left[\frac{i}{m} \right] - \left[\frac{j}{m} \right] \right) \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} v(t, {}^t l n) \quad (i, j \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in N).$$

Proof. Let a map $f: N \times N \rightarrow A$ be a 2-cocycle representing an element of $H^2(N, A)^T$, then there exists a map $u: T \times N \rightarrow A$ with $u(1, n) = u(t', 1) = 0$ ($n \in N, t' \in T$) such that

$$f(n, n') - f({}^t n, {}^t n') = u(t', n) - u(t', n n') + u(t', n') \quad (n, n' \in N, t' \in T).$$

Consider

$$(d_2 f)(t', t'', n) = u(t'', n) - u(t' t'', n) + u(t', {}^{t''} n) \quad (t', t'' \in T, n \in N),$$

which represents an element of $H^2(T, H^1(N, A))$. Since T is cyclic, there exists a map $w: T \times N \rightarrow A$ with $w(1, n) = w(t', 1) = 0$ ($n \in N, t' \in T$) and $w(t', n n') = w(t', n) + w(t', n')$ ($t' \in T, n, n' \in N$), which normalizes $d_2 f$ as in Lemma 4, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} (d_2 f)(t^i, t^j, n) &+ \{w(t^j, n) - w(t^{i+j}, n) + w(t^i, {}^{t^j} n)\} \\ &= \{u(t^j, n) - u(t^{i+j}, n) + u(t^i, {}^{t^j} n)\} + \{w(t^j, n) - w(t^{i+j}, n) + w(t^i, {}^{t^j} n)\} \\ &= \left(\left[\frac{i+j}{m} \right] - \left[\frac{i}{m} \right] - \left[\frac{j}{m} \right] \right) \{(d_2 f)(t, t^{-1}, n) + w(t^{-1}, n) + w(t, {}^{t^{-1}} n)\} \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

($i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$).

Then the map $v = u + w: T \times N \rightarrow A$ satisfies the relations (i) and (ii). The rest follows immediately. Q.E.D.

Lemma 6. Let $G = N \cdot T$ be the semidirect product of a cyclic normal subgroup $N = \{n\} \cong \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$ and a subgroup T , and let A be a G -module with trivial G -action. Let a map $f: N \times N \rightarrow A$ be a 2-cocycle on N of the form $f(n^i, n^j) = \left(\left[\frac{i+j}{k} \right] - \left[\frac{i}{k} \right] - \left[\frac{j}{k} \right] \right) f(n, n^{-1})$ ($i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$) such that

(iii) $f(n', n'') - f({}^t n', {}^t n'') = u(t, n') - u(t, n' n'') + u(t, n'')$ ($n', n'' \in N, t \in T$) for a map $u: T \times N \rightarrow A$ with $u(1, n') = u(t, 1) = 0$ ($n' \in N, t \in T$). Then

$$(iv) \quad u(t, n^i) = \left(\left[\frac{i r(t)}{k} \right] - \left[\frac{i}{k} \right] \right) f(n, n^{-1}) + i u(t, n) \quad (i \in \mathbb{Z}, t \in T),$$

where $r(t)$ is an integer with ${}^t n = n^{r(t)}$ and $[\]$ is the Gauss symbol.

Proof. Obvious.

Let $G_{k,m}$ be the group of order km generated by elements n, t with defining relations:

$$n^k = 1, \quad t^m = 1, \quad t n t^{-1} = n^r \quad \text{with } r^m \equiv 1 \pmod{k}.$$

Then $G_{k,m}$ is the semidirect product of the cyclic subgroups $N = \{n\}$ and $T = \{t\}$.

Theorem 7. Let $G = G_{k,m}$ be as above, and let A be a G -module with trivial G -action. Then $\hat{H}^2(G, A)$ is isomorphic to the additive group consisting of elements (a, b) in $A \times A$ with the relation:

$$(v) \quad \left(k, r-1, \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} r^l, \frac{r^m-1}{k} \right) \left\{ \frac{r-1}{(k, r-1)} a + \frac{k}{(k, r-1)} b \right\} = 0,$$

taken modulo the subgroup consisting of elements

$$(vi) \quad (k c, (1-r)c) \quad \text{with } c \in A,$$

via the map sending a cohomology class of f to the element (a, b) with $a = f(n, n^{-1})$ and $b = f(t, n)$, provided f is normalized as

$$f(n^i, n^j) = \left(\left[\frac{i+j}{k} \right] - \left[\frac{i}{k} \right] - \left[\frac{j}{k} \right] \right) f(n, n^{-1}) \quad (i, j \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

Here $\left(k, r-1, \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} r^l, \frac{r^m-1}{k} \right)$ and $(k, r-1)$ are the greatest common divisors of $k, r-1, \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} r^l$ and $\frac{r^m-1}{k}$; and k and $r-1$, respectively.

Proof. Let f be a normal 2-cocycle on G representing a cohomology class of $\hat{H}^2(G, A)$. By Proposition 1, f is a 2-cocycle on N , hence by

Lemma 4, f can be normalized as follows:

$$f(n^i, n^j) = \left(\left[\frac{i+j}{k} \right] - \left[\frac{i}{k} \right] - \left[\frac{j}{k} \right] \right) f(n, n^{-1}) \quad (i, j \in \mathbb{Z}). \quad (3)$$

But by Proposition 1,

$$f(n', n'') - f(t' n', t' n'') = f(t', n') - f(t', n' n'') + f(t', n'') \quad (n', n'' \in N, t' \in T),$$

hence by Lemma 6,

$$f(t, n^j) = \left(\left[\frac{j r}{k} \right] - \left[\frac{j}{k} \right] \right) f(n, n^{-1}) + j f(t, n) \quad (j \in \mathbb{Z}). \quad (4)$$

On the other hand by Proposition 1,

$$f(t' t'', n') = f(t'', n') + f(t', t'' n') \quad (t', t'' \in T, n' \in N).$$

Then

$$f(t^i, n') = \sum_{l=0}^{i-1} f(t, t^l n') \quad (i \in \mathbb{Z}),$$

hence by (4),

$$f(t^i, n^j) = \left(\left[\frac{j r^i}{k} \right] - \left[\frac{j}{k} \right] \right) f(n, n^{-1}) + j \sum_{l=0}^{i-1} r^l f(t, n) \quad (i, j \in \mathbb{Z}). \quad (5)$$

Since $f(1, n') = f(t', 1) = 0$ ($n' \in N, t' \in T$), we have

$$(r-1) f(n, n^{-1}) + k f(t, n) = 0 \quad (6)$$

and

$$\frac{r^m - 1}{k} f(n, n^{-1}) + \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} r^l f(t, n) = 0, \quad (7)$$

by setting $i=1, j=k$, and $i=m, j=1$ in (5), respectively. Moreover (6) and (7) can be unified to be a single equality

$$\left(k, r-1, \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} r^l, \frac{r^m - 1}{k} \right) \left\{ \frac{r-1}{(k, r-1)} f(n, n^{-1}) + \frac{k}{(k, r-1)} f(t, n) \right\} = 0, \quad (8)$$

which is (v) with $a = f(n, n^{-1})$ and $b = f(t, n)$.

Conversely if $f(n, n^{-1})$ and $f(t, n)$ satisfy (8), then $f(n^i, n^j)$ and $f(t^i, n^j)$ are well-defined by (3) and (5), respectively. Moreover they satisfy (b)~(e) in Proposition 1, hence determine a normal 2-cocycle on G with $f(T, T) = 0$.

Let f be a normal 2-coboundary on G with $f(T, T) = 0$, then there exists a map $v: G \rightarrow A$ with $v(1) = 0$ such that

$$f(g, g') = v(g) - v(g g') + v(g') \quad (g, g' \in G).$$

Then it is straightforward to see that

$$f(n, n^{-1}) = kv(n) \quad \text{and} \quad f(t, n) = (1-r)v(n),$$

which is (vi) with $a = f(n, n^{-1})$, $b = f(t, n)$ and $c = v(n)$.

Conversely if $f(n, n^{-1}) = kc$ and $f(t, n) = (1-r)c$ with $c \in A$, then f is a normal 2-coboundary on G with $f(T, T) = 0$. Q.E.D.

Let A be a $G_{k,m}$ -module with trivial $G_{k,m}$ -action. Then by Lemma 4, the canonical isomorphism

$$H^2(N, A)^T \cong_{(1-r)} (A/kA)$$

sends a cohomology class of f to $f(n, n^{-1}) \pmod{kA}$ with $(1-r)f(n, n^{-1}) + ku(t, n) = 0$, provided f is normalized as follows:

$$f(n^i, n^j) = \left(\left[\frac{i+j}{k} \right] - \left[\frac{i}{k} \right] - \left[\frac{j}{k} \right] \right) f(n, n^{-1}) \quad (i, j \in \mathbb{Z}),$$

and

$$f(n', n'') - f(t'n', t'n'') = u(t', n') - u(t', n'n'') + u(t', n'') \quad (n', n'' \in N, t' \in T),$$

for a map $u: T \times N \rightarrow A$ with $u(1, n') = u(t', 1) = 0$ ($n' \in N, t' \in T$).

Moreover by the same lemma, the canonical isomorphism

$$H^2(T, H^1(N, A)) \cong_{(r-1)} ({}_kA) \Big/ \left(\sum_{l=0}^{m-1} r^l \right) {}_kA = ({}_{k,r-1}A) \Big/ \left(\sum_{l=0}^{m-1} r^l \right) {}_kA$$

sends a cohomology class of h to $h(t, t^{-1}, n) \pmod{\left(\sum_{l=0}^{m-1} r^l \right) {}_kA}$, provided h is normalized as

$$h(t^i, t^j, n') = \left(\left[\frac{i+j}{m} \right] - \left[\frac{i}{m} \right] - \left[\frac{j}{m} \right] \right) h(t, t^{-1}, n') \quad (i, j \in \mathbb{Z}, n' \in N).$$

Theorem 8. Let $G = G_{k,m}$ be as above, and let A be a G -module with trivial G -action. Then the homomorphism

$$d_2: ({}_{(1-r)}(A/kA)) \rightarrow ({}_{(k,r-1)}A) \Big/ \left(\sum_{l=0}^{m-1} r^l \right) {}_kA$$

sends $a \pmod{kA}$ with $(1-r)a = kb$ to

$$\frac{r^m - 1}{k} a + \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} r^l b \pmod{\left(\sum_{l=0}^{m-1} r^l \right) {}_kA}.$$

Proof. Let f , with $f(n^i, n^j) = \left(\left[\frac{i+j}{k} \right] - \left[\frac{i}{k} \right] - \left[\frac{j}{k} \right] \right) f(n, n^{-1})$ ($i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$), be a 2-cocycle on N representing a cohomology class of $H^2(N, A)^T$, then by Lemma 5, there exists a map $v: T \times N \rightarrow A$ with $v(1, n') = v(t', 1) = 0$

$(n' \in N, t' \in T)$ such that

$$v(t^i, n') = \sum_{i=0}^{i-1} v(t, {}^i n') \quad (0 \leq i < m, n' \in N),$$

$$f(n^i, n^j) - f({}^i n^i, {}^i n^j) = v(t, n^i) - v(t, n^{i+j}) + v(t, n^j) \quad (i, j \in \mathbb{Z}),$$

and that the cohomology class of $d_2 f$ is

$$\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} v(t, {}^i n) \left(\text{mod } \left(\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} r^i \right) {}_k A \right).$$

On the other hand by Lemma 6,

$$v(t, n^j) = \left(\left[\frac{jr}{k} \right] - \left[\frac{j}{k} \right] \right) f(n, n^{-1}) + j v(t, n) \quad (j \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

Hence

$$(1 - r) f(n, n^{-1}) + k v(t, n) = 0,$$

and

$$\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} v(t, {}^i n) = \frac{r^m - 1}{k} f(n, n^{-1}) + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} r^i v(t, n). \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

§ 2. An Application : Schur Multiplier

As usual \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q} are the ring of integers, the field of rational numbers, respectively. Then

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0 \quad (\text{exact}).$$

Let G be a finite group. Then

$$H^3(G, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^2(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}).$$

This group is called the Schur multiplier of the group G .

Let G be a finite abelian group, then $H^3(G, \mathbb{Z})$ is trivial if and only if G is cyclic. We now compute $H^3(G, \mathbb{Z})$ when G is a semidirect product of its finite cyclic subgroups. Let $G_{k,m}$ be the group of order km generated by elements n, t with defining relations:

$$n^k = 1, \quad t^m = 1, \quad t n t^{-1} = n^r \quad \text{with } r^m \equiv 1 \pmod{k}.$$

Then $G_{k,m}$ is the semidirect product of the cyclic subgroups $N = \{n\}$ and $T = \{t\}$.

Proposition 9.

$$H^3(G_{k,m}, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z} / \left(k, r-1, \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} r^i, \frac{r^m - 1}{k} \right) \mathbb{Z},$$

where $\left(k, r-1, \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} r^i, \frac{r^m - 1}{k} \right)$ is the greatest common divisor of $k, r-1, \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} r^i$ and $\frac{r^m - 1}{k}$.

Proof. Since the Schur multiplier of a cyclic group is trivial, we have by Theorem 7

$$H^3(G_{k,m}, \mathbb{Z}) = H^1(T, H^1(N, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})) = \mathbb{Z} / \left(k, r-1, \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} r^l, \frac{r^m-1}{k} \right) \mathbb{Z}. \text{ Q.E.D.}$$

We list below the Schur multipliers of all non-abelian groups of order ≤ 30 , but in this table there are some groups whose ones are computed by the well-known methods, e.g. the restriction map and the transfer map. We use the notation of Coxeter-Moser [2] (p. 134).

Order	Symbol	$H^3(G, \mathbb{Z})$	Order	Symbol	$H^3(G, \mathbb{Z})$
6	D_3	0	21	R''	0
8	D_4 $Q = \langle 2, 2, 2 \rangle$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ 0	22	D_{11}	0
10	D_5	0	24	$\mathbb{Z}_2 \times A_4$	$(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$
12	D_6	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$		$\mathbb{Z}_2 \times D_6$	$(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3$
	A_4 $\langle 2, 2, 3 \rangle$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ 0		$\mathbb{Z}_3 \times D_4$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$
14	D_7	0		$\mathbb{Z}_3 \times Q$	0
				$\mathbb{Z}_4 \times D_3$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$
16	$\mathbb{Z}_2 \times D_4$	$(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3$		$\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \langle 2, 2, 3 \rangle$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$
	$\mathbb{Z}_2 \times Q$	$(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$		D_{12}	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$
	D_8	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	S_4	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	
	$\langle -2, 4 2 \rangle$	0	$\langle 2, 3, 3 \rangle$	0	
	$\langle 2, 2 2 \rangle$	0	$(4, 6 2, 2)$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	
	$\langle 2, 2 4, 2 \rangle$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$\langle -2, 2, 3 \rangle$	0	
	$(4, 4 2, 2)$	$(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$	$\langle 2, 2, 6 \rangle$	0	
	R	$(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$	26	D_{13}	0
18	$\langle 2, 2, 4 \rangle$	0	27	$(3, 3 3, 3)$	$(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2$
	$\mathbb{Z}_3 \times D_3$	0		R'''	0
	D_9 $(3, 3, 3: 2)$	0 $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$	28	D_{14} $\langle 2, 2, 7 \rangle$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ 0
20	D_{10}	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	30	$\mathbb{Z}_3 \times D_5$	0
	R'	0		$\mathbb{Z}_5 \times D_3$	0
	$\langle 2, 2, 5 \rangle$	0		D_{15}	0

References

1. Cartan, H., Eilenberg, S.: Homological algebra. Princeton: University Press 1956.
2. Coxeter, H.S.M., Moser, W.O.J.: Generators and relations for discrete groups. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer 1957.
3. Eilenberg, S., MacLane, S.: Cohomology theory in abstract groups, I. Ann. of Math. **48**, 51-78 (1947).
4. Huppert, B.: Endliche Gruppen I. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer 1967.

5. Lyndon, R. C.: The cohomology theory of group extensions. *Duke Math. J.* **15**, 271–292 (1948).
6. Schur, I.: Über die Darstellung der endlichen Gruppen durch gebrochene lineare Substitutionen. *J. reine angew. Math.* **127**, 20–50 (1904).
7. Schur, I.: Untersuchungen über die Darstellung der endlichen Gruppen durch gebrochene lineare Substitutionen. *J. reine angew. Math.* **132**, 85–137 (1907).
8. Schur, I.: Über die Darstellungen der symmetrischen und alternierenden Gruppen durch gebrochene lineare Substitutionen. *J. reine angew. Math.* **139**, 155–250 (1911).
9. Wiegold, J.: Some groups with non-trivial multipliers. *Math. Z.* **120**, 307–308 (1971).

Dr. Ken-ichi Tahara
Department of Mathematics
Aichi University of Education
Igaya-cho, Kariya-shi
Japan 448

(Received April 12, 1972)