

INVERSE PROBLEM FOR INTEGRAL OPERATORS

V. A. Yurko

In this note, we investigate the inverse problem for integral operators of the form

$$Af = \int_0^{\infty} M(x, t) f(t) dt + g(x) \int_0^{\pi} f(t) v(t) dt, \quad 0 \leq x \leq \pi. \quad (1)$$

Let $M(x, t, \lambda)$ denote the kernel of the integral operators $M_{\lambda} = (E - \lambda M)^{-1} M$, where E is the identity operator and

$$Mf = \int_0^{\infty} M(x, t) f(t) dt.$$

Let us set

$$g(x, \lambda) = g(x) + \lambda \int_0^{\infty} M(x, t, \lambda) g(t) dt. \quad (2)$$

Then (see, e.g., [1]) the eigenvalues λ_k of A coincide with the zeros of the function

$$\mathcal{L}(\lambda) = 1 - \lambda \int_0^{\pi} v(x) g(x, \lambda) dx, \quad (3)$$

which is called the characteristic function (c.f.) of A . Here the eigen- and the associated functions $g_k(x)$ of the operator have the form

$$g_{k+v}(x) = \frac{\partial^v}{\partial \lambda^v} g(x, \lambda) \Big|_{\lambda=\lambda_k}, \quad v=0, 1, \dots, r_k-1,$$

if r_k is the multiplicity of λ_k ($\lambda_k = \lambda_{k+1} = \dots = \lambda_{k+r_k-1}$). Let us set $\beta_k = g_k(\pi)$. We will call the totality of the numbers $\{\lambda_k, \beta_k\}$ the spectral data of A .

In this note, we consider the following problems.

Problem 1. To find the function $v(x)$ with respect to preassigned functions $M(x, t)$ and $g(x)$ and the spectrum $\{\lambda_k\}$ of an operator $A = A(M, g, v)$ of the form (1).

Problem 2. To find the functions $v(x)$ and $g(x)$ with respect to the preassigned function $M(x, t)$ and the spectral data $\{\lambda_k, \beta_k\}$ of the operator $A(M, g, v)$.

1. Let the function $M(x, t)$ satisfy the following condition (condition M_1): The functions

$$\frac{\partial^{v+j}}{\partial x^v \partial t^j} M(x, t), \quad v, j=0, 1,$$

are continuous for $0 \leq t \leq x \leq \pi$, and $M(x, x) = -1$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} M(x, t) \Big|_{t=x} = 0$.

Then the operator $D = M^{-1}$ has the form

$$Dy = iy'(x) + \int_0^x H(x, t) y(t) dt, \quad y(0) = 0,$$

where $H(x, t)$ is a continuous function for $0 \leq t \leq x \leq \pi$.

Definition. The operator A is said to belong to $\Lambda^{(1)}_{00}$ if the function $M(x, t)$ satisfies the condition M_1 , the functions $g(x)$ and $v(x)$ are absolutely continuous for $0 \leq x \leq \pi$; $g'(x), v'(x) \in \mathcal{L}_2(0, \pi)$, and $a_0 \cdot b_0 \neq 0$, where

$$a_0 = 1 + ig(0)v(0) + \int_0^\pi v(\tau) \left(ig'(\tau) + \int_0^\tau H(\tau, s)g(s)ds \right) d\tau, \quad (4)$$

$$b_0 = ig(0)v(\pi).$$

For simplicity, we solve Problems 1 and 2 for operators of the class $\Lambda^{(1)}_{00}$.

THEOREM 1. Let $A \in \Lambda^{(1)}_{00}$. Then the spectral data $\{\lambda_k, \beta_k\}$, $k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$, of the operator A have the form

$$\lambda_k = 2k + \alpha + \kappa_k, \quad \beta_k = \alpha_1 + \kappa_{k1}, \quad (5)$$

$$\lambda_k \neq 0, \quad \alpha_1 \neq 0, \quad \kappa_k, \kappa_{k1} \in l_2.$$

THEOREM 2. Let there be given functions $M(x, t)$ and $g(x)$, $0 \leq t \leq x \leq \pi$, such that $M(x, t)$ satisfies the condition M_1 , $g(x)$ is absolutely continuous, $g'(x) \in \mathcal{L}_2(0, \pi)$, $g(0) \neq 0$. Further, let there be given numbers λ_k , $k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$, of the form $\lambda_k = 2k + \alpha + \kappa_k$, $\lambda_k \neq 0$, $\kappa_k \in l_2$. Then there exists a unique operator $A(M, g, v) \in \Lambda^{(1)}_{00}$, for which λ_k are the eigenvalues.

THEOREM 3. If a function $M(x, t)$ that satisfies the condition M_1 and numbers λ_k and β_k , $k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$, of the form (5) are given, then there exists a unique operator $A(M, g, v) \in \Lambda^{(1)}_{00}$, for which $\{\lambda_k, \beta_k\}$ are the spectral data.

We prove some lemmas.

LEMMA 1. Let there be given numbers λ_k , $k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$, of the form $\lambda_k = 2k + \alpha + \kappa_k$, $\lambda_k \neq 0$, $\kappa_k \in l_2$. Set

$$\mathcal{L}(\lambda) = \exp(p\lambda) \cdot \prod_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_k} \right) \exp\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_k}\right), \quad (6)$$

where

$$p = p_0 + \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_k} - \frac{1}{\lambda_k^0} \right),$$

$$p_0 = i\pi \exp(i\alpha\pi), \quad \lambda_k^0 = 2k + \alpha$$

(the case where α is an even integer brings in insignificant changes). Then the following representation is valid for $\mathcal{L}(\lambda)$:

$$\mathcal{L}(\lambda) = \gamma \cdot (1 - \exp(i(\alpha - \lambda)\pi)) + \int_0^\pi W(t) \exp(-i\lambda t) dt, \quad (7)$$

$$\gamma = \prod_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_k^0}{\lambda_k}, \quad w(t) \in \mathcal{L}_2(0, \pi).$$

Proof. The function $\mathcal{L}_0(\lambda) = 1 - \exp(i(\alpha - \lambda)\pi)$ has zeros λ_k^0 and admits the representation

$$\mathcal{L}_0(\lambda) = \exp(p_0\lambda) \cdot \prod_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_k^0} \right) \cdot \exp\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_k^0}\right).$$

Therefore,

$$\mathcal{L}(\lambda) = \gamma \mathcal{L}_0(\lambda) F(\lambda), \quad F(\lambda) = \prod_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{\kappa_k}{\lambda_k^0 - \lambda} \right). \quad (8)$$

We show that $|F(\lambda)| < C_\delta$ in the domain $G_\delta = \{\lambda: |\lambda - \lambda_k^0| \geq \delta\}$ for a fixed $\delta > 0$. We choose a natural number N such that $|\kappa_k| \leq \delta/2$ for $|k| \geq N$. Then, for $\lambda \in G_\delta$

$$F(\lambda) = \exp(H_N(\lambda)) \prod_{|k| < N} \left(1 + \frac{\kappa_k}{\lambda_k^0 - \lambda}\right), \quad (9)$$

where

$$H_N(\lambda) = \sum_{|k| \geq N} \ln \left(1 + \frac{\kappa_k}{\lambda_k^0 - \lambda}\right) = \sum_{|k| \geq N} \frac{\kappa_k}{\lambda_k^0 - \lambda} \cdot \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^v}{v+1} \cdot \left(\frac{\kappa_k}{\lambda_k^0 - \lambda}\right)^v.$$

Since

$$|H_N(\lambda)| \leq \sum_{|k| \geq N} \frac{|\kappa_k|}{|\lambda_k^0 - \lambda|} \cdot \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^v} \leq C \left(\sum_{|k| \geq N} \frac{1}{|2k + \alpha - \lambda|^2}\right)^{1/2},$$

it follows from (9) that $|F(\lambda)| < C_\delta$ for $\lambda \in G_\delta$.

Further, it follows from (8) that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(\lambda_n^0) &= -\gamma \cdot \kappa_n b_n \left(\frac{d}{d\lambda} \mathcal{L}_0(\lambda)\right) \Big|_{\lambda=\lambda_n^0}, \\ b_n &= \prod_{k=-\infty, k \neq n}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{\kappa_k}{\lambda_k^0 - \lambda_n^0}\right), \end{aligned}$$

i.e., $\mathcal{L}(\lambda_n^0) \in l_2$. Let us consider the function

$$\Delta(\lambda) = \mathcal{L}(\lambda) - \gamma \mathcal{L}_0(\lambda). \quad (10)$$

Let us set $\theta_n = \Delta(\lambda_n^0)$. It is obvious that $\theta_n \in l_2$. Let us construct a function $w(t) \in \mathcal{L}_2 \cdot (0, \pi)$ such that

$$\theta_n = \int_0^\pi w(t) \exp(-i\lambda_n^0 t) dt.$$

Let us consider the function

$$\theta(\lambda) = \int_0^\pi w(t) \exp(-i\lambda t) dt$$

and set $S(\lambda) = (\mathcal{L}_0(\lambda))^{-1} (\theta(\lambda) - \Delta(\lambda))$. The function $S(\lambda)$ is an analytic integral function of λ . We have

$$|\mathcal{L}_0(\lambda)| > C \cdot (1 + \exp(\operatorname{Im} \lambda \pi))$$

in the domain G_δ . It follows from (8) and (10) that $\Delta(\lambda) = \gamma \mathcal{L}_0(\lambda) \cdot (F(\lambda) - 1)$. Using the maximum modulus principle for analytic functions, we see that the function $S(\lambda)$ is bounded and, consequently, $S(\lambda) \equiv C$ by the Liouville theorem (see [2, p. 209]). Since $\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} S(x) = 0$ (x real), it follows that $C = 0$, and we arrive at relation (7). The lemma is proved.

LEMMA 2. The integral equation

$$\begin{aligned} P(x, t, \alpha) &= i \int_\alpha^{x-t+\alpha} H(t + \xi, \xi) d\xi + i \int_\alpha^{x-t+\alpha} ds \int_0^t H(s + t, s + \xi) \cdot P(s + \xi - \alpha, \xi, \alpha) d\xi, \\ 0 \leq t \leq x \leq \pi - \alpha, \quad 0 \leq \alpha \leq \pi, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

has a unique solution $P(x, t, \alpha)$, and the functions $P(x, t, \alpha)$, $(\partial/\partial x)P(x, t, \alpha)$, $(\partial/\partial \alpha)P(x, t, \alpha)$ are continuous with respect to all the variables.

Proof. We solve Eq. (11) by the method of successive approximations:

$$P_1(x, t, \alpha) = i \int_\alpha^{x-t+\alpha} H(t + \xi, \xi) d\xi,$$

$$P_{k+1}(x, t, \alpha) = i \int_{\alpha}^{x-t+\alpha} ds \int_0^t H(s+t, s+\xi) \cdot P_k(s+\xi-\alpha, \xi, \alpha) d\xi.$$

Let us set $C_0 = \max |H(x, t)|$, $0 \leq t \leq x \leq \pi$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |P_1(x, t, \alpha)| &\leq C_0 \cdot \pi, \\ |P_{k+1}(x, t, \alpha)| &\leq C_0 \int_0^{\pi} ds \int_0^t |P_k(s+\xi, \xi, \alpha)| d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by induction, we obtain the estimates

$$|P_k(x, t, \alpha)| \leq (C_0 \pi)^k \frac{t^{k-1}}{(k-1)!}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

Thus, the continuous function

$$P(x, t, \alpha) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P_k(x, t, \alpha)$$

is a solution of Eq. (11). The existence and continuity of the derivatives with respect to x and α follow from the term-by-term differentiability of the series. The lemma is proved.

LEMMA 3. Let $A \in \Lambda_{00}^{(1)}$. Then the following statements are valid:

1) The c.f. $\mathcal{L}(\lambda)$ of the operator A has the form

$$\mathcal{L}(\lambda) = 1 - \lambda \int_0^{\pi} m(t) \exp(-i\lambda(\pi-t)) dt, \quad (12)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} m(t) &= g(0)u(t) + \int_0^t u(\tau)Q(t, \tau) d\tau, \\ u(t) &= v(\pi-t), \\ Q(t, \tau) &= \frac{d}{dt} \left(g(t-\tau) + \int_0^{t-\tau} P(\pi-t+s, t-\tau-s)g(t-\tau-s) ds \right), \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

The function $P(x, t, \alpha)$ is a solution of Eq. (11). In addition, the function $m(t)$ is continuous, $m'(t) \in \mathcal{L}_2(0, \pi)$, $1 + im(\pi) = a_0$, $m(0) = -ib_0$, where a_0 and b_0 have the form (4).

2) The following representation is valid for the function $g(\pi, \lambda)$:

$$g(\pi, \lambda) = g(0) \exp(-i\lambda\pi) + \int_0^{\pi} \gamma(t) \exp(-i\lambda t) dt, \quad (14)$$

where $\gamma(t) = \mu'(t) \in \mathcal{L}_2(0, \pi)$,

$$\mu(\pi-t) = -g(t) - \int_0^t P(\pi-\tau, t-\tau, \tau)g(\tau) d\tau. \quad (15)$$

Proof. It is clear that $M_{\lambda}^{-1}y = Dy - \lambda y$, $y(0) = 0$, and the function $z(x) = M(x + \alpha, \alpha, \lambda)$ is a solution of the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{aligned} iz'(x) + \int_0^x H(x+\alpha, t+\alpha)z(t) dt &= \lambda z(x), \quad z(0) = -i, \\ 0 \leq x \leq \pi - \alpha. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

for fixed $\alpha \in [0, \pi]$. Consequently,

$$M(x + \alpha, \alpha, \lambda) = -i(\exp(-i\lambda x) + \int_0^x P(x, t, \alpha) \exp(-i\lambda(x-t)) dt), \quad (17)$$

since the right-hand side of Eq. (17) is also a solution of the Cauchy problem (16). We substitute the obtained representation (17) in (2) and get

$$g(x, \lambda) = g(x) - i\lambda \int_0^x \exp(-i\lambda t) \cdot \left(g(x-t) + \int_0^{x-t} P(t+\tau, \tau, x-t-\tau) g(x-t-\tau) d\tau \right) dt, \quad (18)$$

Hence, in particular, the representation (14) follows. Further, we substitute (18) in (3) and get (12), where the function $m(t)$ is defined by Eq. (13) and is a continuous function. We show that $m'(t) \in \mathcal{L}_2(0, \pi)$. To this end, we write $m(t)$ in the form

$$\begin{aligned} m(t) &= g(0)u(t) + \int_0^t u(t-\tau)R(t, \tau) d\tau, \\ R(t, \tau) &= g'(\tau) + P(\pi-t+\tau, \tau, 0)g(0) + \\ &+ \int_0^\tau g'(\tau-s)P(\pi-t+s, s, \tau-s) ds + \int_0^\tau g(\tau-s)\bar{P}(\pi-t+s, s, \tau-s) ds, \\ \bar{P}(x, t, \alpha) &= \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) P(x, t, \alpha). \end{aligned}$$

By virtue of (11), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\bar{P}(\pi-t+s, s, \tau-s) = \\ &= -iH(\tau, \tau-s) - i \int_{\tau-s}^{\pi-t+\tau-s} d\eta \int_0^s H(\eta+s, \eta+\xi) \bar{P}(\eta+\xi-\tau+s, \xi, \tau-s) d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the function $R(t, \tau)$ is continuously differentiable with respect to t and, consequently, $m'(t) \in \mathcal{L}_2(0, \pi)$. The lemma is proved.

Proof of Theorem 1. By virtue of Lemma 3, the c.f. $\mathcal{L}(\lambda)$ of the operator A has the form

$$\mathcal{L}(\lambda) = a_0 - b_0 \exp(-i\lambda\pi) + \int_0^\pi w(t) \exp(-i\lambda t) dt = a_0 \mathcal{L}_0(\lambda) + \mathcal{L}_1(\lambda), \quad (19)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_0(\lambda) &= 1 - \exp(i(\alpha - \lambda)\pi), \\ \mathcal{L}_1(\lambda) &= \int_0^\pi w(t) \exp(-i\lambda t) dt, \\ \exp(-i\alpha\pi) &= a_0 \cdot b_0^{-1}, \quad w(t) = i \frac{d}{dt} m(\pi-t) \in \mathcal{L}_2(0, \pi). \end{aligned}$$

The estimate $|\mathcal{L}_0(\lambda)| > C(1 + \exp(\text{Im} \lambda \pi))$, is valid in the domain $G_0 = \{\lambda: |\lambda - \lambda_k^0| \geq \delta\}$, where $\lambda_k^0 = 2k + \alpha$ and, consequently,

$$|a_0| \cdot |\mathcal{L}_0(\lambda)| > |\mathcal{L}_1(\lambda)|$$

for sufficiently large $|\lambda|$. Therefore, by the Rouché theorem (see [2, p. 246]), $2N + 1$ zeros λ_k , $k = 0, \pm 1, \dots, \pm N$, of the function $\mathcal{L}(\lambda)$, lie inside the contour $\Gamma_N = \{\lambda: |\lambda - \alpha| = 2N + 1\}$ for sufficiently large N and exactly one zero λ_k of the function $\mathcal{L}(\lambda)$, lie inside the contour $\gamma_k(\delta) = \{\lambda: |\lambda - \lambda_k^0| = \delta\}$ for sufficiently large λ_k^0 , i.e., $\lambda_k = 2k + \alpha + \kappa_k$, $\kappa_k = o(1)$. Substituting this expression in (19), we make the more precise assertion that $\kappa_k \in l_2$. Using (14), we now easily obtain the desired asymptotic formula for the numbers β_k . The theorem is proved.

Proof of Theorem 2. We construct the function $\mathcal{L}(\lambda)$ by Eq. (6) with respect to the given numbers λ_k and, by Lemma 1, the representation (7) is valid for $\mathcal{L}(\lambda)$. Let us set

$$m(t) = -ib_0 + i \int_0^t w(\pi-\tau) d\tau, \quad b_0 = \gamma \exp(i\alpha\pi).$$

Further, let a function $u(t)$ be a solution of Eq. (13). It is clear that $u(t)$ is continuous, $u'(t) \in \mathcal{L}_2(0, \pi)$, and $u(0) \neq 0$. Let us set $v(t) = u(\pi-t)$ and consider an operator $A(M, g, v)$ of the form (1). Let $\mathcal{L}^*(\lambda)$ be the c.f. of A . Then, as in the proof of Lemma 3, we get

$$\mathcal{L}^*(\lambda) = 1 - \lambda \int_0^\pi m(t) \exp(-i\lambda(\pi-t)) dt$$

or, after integrating by parts,

$$\mathcal{L}^*(\lambda) = 1 + im(\pi) - im(0) \exp(-i\lambda\pi) + \int_0^\pi w(t) \exp(-i\lambda t) dt.$$

Comparing this equation with relation (7) and taking into account the relations $\mathcal{L}(0) = \mathcal{L}^*(0) = 1$, $im(0) = \gamma \exp(i\alpha\pi)$, we get $\mathcal{L}^*(\lambda) \equiv \mathcal{L}(\lambda)$, and $1 + im(\pi) = \gamma$, and, consequently, the operator $A \in \Lambda_{00}^{(1)}$ and has spectrum λ_k . If it is assumed that there also exists an operator $A(M, g, \tilde{v}) \in \Lambda_{00}^{(1)}$ with the same spectrum λ_k , then it would follow from Lemma 3 and the uniqueness of solution of the integral equation (13) that $v(t) = \tilde{v}(t)$, $t \in [0, \pi]$. The theorem is proved.

Proof of Theorem 3. For simplicity, we restrict ourselves to the case where all λ_k are different. As in the proof of Theorem 2, let us construct the functions $\mathcal{L}(\lambda)$, $m(t)$, and $P(x, t, \alpha)$ with respect to the preassigned numbers λ_k and the function $M(x, t)$. We set $\mu_k = \lambda_k - \alpha$, $g = \alpha_1 \exp(i\alpha\pi)$, $\tilde{\beta}_k = \beta_k - g \exp(-i\lambda_k\pi)$. It is clear that $\tilde{\beta}_k \in l_2$. The system of the functions $\exp(-i\mu_k t)$ forms a Riesz basis in $\mathcal{L}_2(0, \pi)$, since it is complete and quadratically close (see [3]) to the orthogonal basis $\exp(-2kit)$. Let $h(t) \in \mathcal{L}_2(0, \pi)$ be such that

$$\tilde{\beta}_k = \int_0^\pi h(t) \exp(-i\mu_k t) dt,$$

and set

$$\mu(t) = -g - \int_t^\pi h(\tau) \exp(i\alpha\tau) d\tau.$$

Let the function $g(t)$ be a solution of Eq. (15). It is clear that $g(t)$ is continuous, $g'(t) \in \mathcal{L}_2(0, \pi)$, and $g(0) = g \neq 0$. As in Theorem 2, we now find the function $v(t)$. By the same token, an operator $A(M, g, v)$ of the form (1) has been constructed, and the numbers λ_k and β_k are the spectral data of A . As in Theorem 2, the uniqueness follows obviously from Lemma 3. In the case of multiple λ_k , the system of the functions $t^\nu \exp(-i\mu_k t)$, $\nu = 0, 1, \dots, r_k - 1$, where r_k is the multiplicity of λ_k , is a Riesz basis. The theorem is proved.

Remark. Results, analogous to the above ones, hold also for other classes of operators, e.g., for the operators $A \in \Lambda_{\nu\mu}^{(m)}$, $\max(\nu, \mu) < m$, whose c.f. have the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(\lambda) &= \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{\lambda^k} (a_k - b_k \exp(-i\lambda\pi)) + \frac{1}{\lambda^{m-1}} \int_0^\pi w_m(t) \exp(-i\lambda t) dt, \\ w_m(t) &\in \mathcal{L}_2(0, \pi), \quad a_\nu \cdot b_\mu \neq 0, \\ a_k = b_j &= 0, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, \nu - 1, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, \mu - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Let us also observe that similar results are valid also for the case where M^{-1} is an integro-differential operator of second order.

2. In spite of the qualitative difference of the above-considered problems from the inverse problems for ordinary differential operators, there is a connection between them. In this section, by the example of Borg's theorem [4] we show how the inverse problem for ordinary differential operators can be reduced to Problem 1. To this end, we give here a general uniqueness theorem for the solution of Problem 1.

Let us consider an operator A of the form (1) under the assumption that the function $M(x, t)$ is the Hilbert-Schmidt kernel and $g(x), v(x) \in \mathcal{L}_2(0, \pi)$.

THEOREM 4. Let the system of the eigen- and associated functions $g_k(x)$ of the operator $A(M, g, v)$ be complete in $\mathcal{L}_2(0, \pi)$ and let λ_k and $\tilde{\lambda}_k$ be the spectra of the operators $A = A(M, g, v)$ and $\tilde{A} = A(M, g, \tilde{v})$, respectively. If $\lambda_k = \tilde{\lambda}_k$ for all k , then $v(x) = \tilde{v}(x)$ a.e. on the segment $[0, \pi]$.

Indeed, under the conditions of the theorem, it follows from (3) that

$$\int_0^\pi (v(x) - \tilde{v}(x)) g(x, \lambda) dx = \lambda^{-1} (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\lambda) - \mathcal{L}(\lambda)),$$

where $\mathcal{L}(\lambda)$, and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\lambda)$ are the c.f. of the operators A and \tilde{A} , respectively. Therefore,

$$\int_0^{\pi} (v(x) - \tilde{v}(x)) g_k(x) dx = 0$$

and, consequently, $v(x) = \tilde{v}(x)$ a.e. on the segment $[0, \pi]$. The theorem is proved.

Let us consider the boundary-value problems $L_i = L(q(x), h, H_i)$, $i = 1, 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} -y'' + q(x)y &= \lambda y, \quad q'(x) \in \mathcal{L}_2(0, \pi), \\ y'(0) - hy(0) &= y'(\pi) + H_i y(\pi) = 0, \quad H_1 \neq H_2. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Let the functions $\varphi(x, \lambda)$ and $\psi_i(x, \lambda)$ be the solutions of Eq. (20) under the initial conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(0, \lambda) &= \psi_i(\pi, \lambda) = 1, \quad \varphi'(0, \lambda) = h, \\ \psi_i'(\pi, \lambda) &= -H_i \end{aligned}$$

and let $M(x, t, \lambda)$ be the Green function of the operator $y'' - q(x)y = \lambda y$, $y(0) = y'(\pi) = 0$. Then the eigenvalues μ_{ni} , $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ of the problems L_i are the zeros of the functions $\Delta_i(\lambda) = \psi_i'(0, \lambda) - h\psi_i(0, \lambda)$, and the functions $\Delta_i(\lambda)$ are determined uniquely by their zeros. Let $\tilde{\mu}_{ni}$ be the eigenvalues of the problems $\tilde{L}_i = L(\tilde{q}(x), \tilde{h}, \tilde{H}_i)$ and let the functions $\tilde{\varphi}(x, \lambda)$, $\tilde{\psi}_i(x, \lambda)$, $\tilde{M}(x, t, \lambda)$, $\tilde{\Delta}_i(\lambda)$ be constructed analogously for the problems \tilde{L}_i .

We know from the theory of transformation operators (see, e.g., [5]), that if a function $G(x, t)$ satisfies the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 G(x, t)}{\partial x^2} - q(x)G(x, t) &= \frac{\partial^2 G(x, t)}{\partial t^2} - \tilde{q}(t)G(x, t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq x \leq \pi, \\ G(x, x) &= h + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^x (q(t) - \tilde{q}(t)) dt, \\ \left(\frac{\partial G(x, t)}{\partial t} - \tilde{h}G(x, t) \right) \Big|_{t=0} &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

then

$$\varphi(x, \lambda) = (E + G)\tilde{\varphi}(x, \lambda), \quad M_\lambda(E + G) = (E + G)\tilde{M}_\lambda, \quad (22)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} (E + G)f &= f(x) + \int_0^x G(x, t)f(t) dt, \\ M_\lambda f &= \int_0^x M(x, t, \lambda)f(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Let us consider the family of the operators

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\alpha, i} &= L(q(x), h, H_i), \quad -\infty < \alpha < \infty, \\ L_{\alpha, i} y &= y'' - q(x)y + \alpha y, \\ y'(0) - hy(0) &= y'(\pi) + H_i y(\pi) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The inverse operators $A_{\alpha, i} = L^{-1}_{\alpha, i}$ have the form

$$A_{\alpha, i} f = \int_0^x M(x, t, \alpha) f(t) dt + \frac{\varphi(x, \alpha)}{\Delta_i(\alpha)} \int_0^\pi \psi_i(t, \alpha) f(t) dt,$$

and $\mu_{ni} - \alpha$ is the spectrum of $A_{\alpha, i}$. Analogously, the operators

$$\tilde{A}_{\alpha, i} f = \int_0^x \tilde{M}(x, t, \alpha) f(t) dt + \frac{\tilde{\varphi}(x, \alpha)}{\tilde{\Delta}_i(\alpha)} \int_0^\pi \tilde{\psi}_i(t, \alpha) f(t) dt$$

are inverse to the operators $L_{\alpha, i}(\tilde{q}(x), \tilde{h}, \tilde{H}_1, \tilde{H}_2)$ and have the spectrum $\tilde{\mu}_{ni} - \alpha$. Now, we show that Borg's theorem [4] can be obtained as a corollary of Theorem 4.

Borg's Theorem. If $\mu_{ni} = \tilde{\mu}_{ni}$ for $i = 1, 2$, then

$$q(x) = \tilde{q}(x), \quad h = \tilde{h}, \quad H_i = \tilde{H}_i.$$

Proof. Let a function $G(x, t)$ satisfy the conditions (21). Let us set $B_{\alpha, i} = (E + G)^{-1} A_{\alpha, i} (E + G)$. Then, using (22), we get

$$B_{\alpha, i} f = \int_0^x \tilde{M}(x, t, \alpha) f(t) dt + \frac{\tilde{\varphi}(x, \alpha)}{\tilde{\Delta}_i(\alpha)} \int_0^\pi v_i(t, \alpha) f(t) dt,$$

where

$$v_i(x, \alpha) = (E + G^*) \psi_i(x, \alpha),$$

$$(E + G^*) f = f(x) + \int_x^\pi G(t, x) f(t) dt.$$

Under the conditions of the theorem, the operators $\tilde{A}_{\alpha, i}$ and $B_{\alpha, i}$ have identical spectra and, consequently, by Theorem 4 we have

$$\tilde{\psi}_i(x, \alpha) = (E + G^*) \psi_i(x, \alpha).$$

Since

$$\varphi(x, \alpha) = (H_1 - H_2)^{-1} (\Delta_2(\alpha) \psi_1(x, \alpha) - \Delta_1(\alpha) \psi_2(x, \alpha)),$$

we have $\tilde{\varphi}(x, \alpha) = (E + G^*) \varphi(x, \alpha)$, which, together with (22), gives $(E + G^*) = (E + G)^{-1}$. This is possible only in the case where $G(x, t) \equiv 0$. Consequently, $q(x) \equiv \tilde{q}(x)$, $h = \tilde{h}$, $H_1 = \tilde{H}_1$. The theorem is proved.

In analogous manner we can obtain a uniqueness theorem for the reconstruction of a differential operator with semidecomposable boundary conditions with respect to two spectra (see [6, Theorem 3]).

LITERATURE CITED

1. A. P. Khromov, "Finite-dimensional perturbations of Volterra operators in a Banach space," in: *Differential Equations and Numerical Mathematics* [in Russian], No. 8, Saratov State Univ. (1973), pp. 3-23.
2. I. I. Privalov, *Introduction to the Theory of Functions of a Complex Variable* [in Russian], Nauka, Moscow (1967).
3. I. C. Gohberg and M. G. Krein, *Introduction to the Theory of Nonselfadjoint Operators*, Am. Math. Soc. (1969).
4. G. Borg, "Eine Umkehrung der Sturm-Liouvilleschen Eigenwert aufgabe Bestimmung der Differentialgleichung durch die Eigenwerte," *Acta Math.*, 78, 1-96 (1946).
5. V. A. Marchenko, "Certain questions of the theory of linear differential operators of second order," *Tr. Mosk. Mat. Obshch.*, 1, 327-420 (1952).
6. V. A. Yurko, "The inverse problem for ordinary linear differential operators of second order with indecomposable boundary conditions," in: *Investigations on Differential Equations and Theory of Functions* [in Russian], No. 4, Saratov State Univ. (1974), pp. 84-103.