## VARIATIONS OF THE SCATTERING INDICATRIX

FOR RANGING ANGLES  $\theta = 120-180^{\circ}$ 

V. A. Lyadzhin

One of the important problems in laser sounding of atmospheric aerosol is the choice of the scattering indicatrix of the light, normalized with respect to the volume scattering coefficient in the direction of the receiving device. As a rule, these are scattering angles close to 180°. The purpose of the present study was to analyze the variations of the normal-

ized scattering indicatrices in the scattering-angle region  $\theta$  = 120-180°, in order to separate the scattering angles where these variations are minimal, i.e., where the connection between the indicatrix and the volume scattering coefficient is closest to linear. We analyzed 41 groups of calculated normalized scattering indicatrices of light by polydispersed systems of spherical nonabsorbing particles. The groups considered included the Junge models [1, 2], gamma distributions [2-4], and normal-logarithmic distributions [5]. For each group of models

we calculated the average normalized scattering indicatrices of the light  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\ell}}(\boldsymbol{\Theta}_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}})$  and their variation coefficients  $\Delta(\boldsymbol{\Theta}_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}})$ .

For convenience in presenting the results of the analysis, all the considered groups of models can be arbitrarily divided into two types: hazes and clouds (fogs). Hazes were taken to be distributions of the Junge type [1, 2], "broad" single-vertex distributions — Deirmenjian hazes (configurated gamma distribution) [3], and normally logarithmic distributions [5]

with variance of the logarithms of the particle radii  $\delta^2 = 0.5$ . Clouds (fogs) included groups of Deirmenjian clouds [3], gamma distributions [2, 4], and normal-logarithmic distributions ( $\delta^2 = 0.01-0.1$ ). As a result of the analysis, one can draw the following conclusions (the details of the analysis results are given in [6]).

1. Most average normalized indicatrices are close in value at  $\theta pprox$  150°, and their vari-

ation coefficients have minima in the region of scattering angles  $\Theta$  = 140-160°. This makes it possible to estimate approximately the bulk-scattering coefficient from measurements of the scattering indicatrix for  $\Theta \approx 150^{\circ}$ .

2. In the case of concrete aerosol formations, an estimate of the bulk-scattering coefficient can be obtained from the scattering of the light into a fixed angle as a function of the refractive index of the aerosol m and of the "width" of the distribution. Namely:

a) hazes: m = 1.33, observations at  $\Theta \approx 155^{\circ}$ ,  $\bar{\ell}(155^{\circ}) = 0.01-0.015$ ; m = 1.5, observations at  $\Theta \approx 157^{\circ}$ ,  $\bar{\ell}(157^{\circ}) = 0.022-0.026$ ;

b) clouds (fogs):  $\vec{m} = 1.33$ , observations at  $\Theta = 140-145^{\circ}$ ,  $\vec{\ell}(140^{\circ}) = 0.02-0.03$ ;  $\vec{m} = 1.5$ , observations at  $\Theta = 160-165^{\circ}$ ,  $\vec{\ell}(165^{\circ}) = 0.045-0.055$ .

3. From the point of view of the minimum coefficient of the variations of the average normalized indicatrix, backscattering is best used when sounding aerosol formations with single-top distribution of the number of particles in size and with a "width" typical of clouds (fogs):  $\vec{b}$  (180°) = 0.032-0.054 (m = 1.33) and  $\vec{b}$  (180°) = 0.058-0.070 (m = 1.5). For "broad" distributions (haze) the scatter of the values at  $\vec{b}$  (180°) is larger:  $\vec{b}$  (180°) = 0.013-0.040 (m = 1.33) and  $\vec{b}$  (180°) = 0.038-0.076 (m = 1.5). It must be noted that the minimum of the variation coefficient is typical only of models of the Junge type, while for

Translated from II Vsesoyuznoe Soveshchanie po Rasprostraneniyu Lazernogo Izlucheniya v Dispersnoi Srede, Tezisy Dokladov (Second All-Union Conference on Propagation of Laser Radiation in Dispersive Medium, Summary of Proceedings), Part I, pp. 82-84, 1982.

the remaining models of the hazes the connection between the scattering indicatrix at angles  $\theta$  = 155-157° with the bulk scattering coefficient is closer to linear than for the backscattering indicatrix.

4. There are grounds for assuming that the position of the minimum of  $\Delta(\Theta)$  depends on the refractive index. This can permit, in principle, the refractive index to be estimated from the position of the minimum of the coefficient of variation  $\vec{l}(\Theta)$  in the scattering angle range  $\Theta = 120-180$ °C.

The results of the work as a whole agree with the experimental and calculated data of [7-10].

## LITERATURE CITED

- 1. E. de Bary, B. Braun, and K. Bullrich, Tables Related to Light Scattering in Turbid Atmosphere, Camb. Res. Lab. Special Reports, No. 33, AFCRL-65-710, Vols. I-III (1965).
- I. A. Zel'manovich and K. S. Shifrin, Light-Scattering Tables [in Russian], Vol. IV, Gidrometeoizdat, Leningrad (1971), p. 168.
- 3. D. Deirmendjian, Electromagnetic Scattering on Atmospheric Polydispersions, Am. Elsevier (1968).
- 4. V. P. Shari and N. L. Lukashevich, "Characteristics of scattering of unpolarized light for a gamma distribution of spherical particles," Preprint No. 105, IPM AN SSSR (1977), p. 64.
- 5. É. G. Yanovitskii and Z. O. Dumanskii, Tables of Light Scattering by Spherical Particles of a Polydisperse System [in Russian], Naukova Dumka, Kiev (1972), p. 123.
- 6. V. A. Lyadzhin, "Fearues of light-scattering indicatrices in the region of ranging angles," Manuscript deposited at VINITI, 25 Feb. 1981, No. 885-81 Dep.
- 7. T. P. Toropova, "Nephelometric Method of Determining Light Attenuation in the Ultraviolet and Visible Regions of the Spectrum," Meteorolog. Gidrolog., No. 3, 109-111 (1980).
- A. P. Prishivalko, "Relative humidity and light scattering by a system of homogeneous and two-layer atmospheric aerosol particles," Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Fiz. Atmosf. Okeana, 13, No. 8, 828-837 (1977).
- 9. M. A. Gol'dberg, "Connection between coefficient of scattering at an angle 180° and the scattering index," Trudy NIIGMP, No. 18, 62-69 (1967).
- V. A. Gavrilov, Visibility in the Atmosphere [in Russian], Gidrometeoizdat, Leningrad (1966), p. 324.